

# Kernel-Based Learning & Multivariate Modeling

## MIRI Master

Lluís A. Belanche  
belanche@cs.upc.edu

Problem Set #2, Sept 17, 2019

### Problem 1 Solving simple extremal problems 1

1. Consider the real two-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ; in this space planes correspond to lines. Find the point  $\mathbf{p}$  on a given plane  $\pi$  that is closest to the origin; in other words, derive the formula

$$d(\mathbf{0}, \pi) = \frac{|b|}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$$

where  $\pi : \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + b = 0$  or  $w_2x_2 + w_1x_1 + b = 0$ .

2. In  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , find the point  $\mathbf{p}$  on a given plane  $\pi$  that is closest to a point  $\mathbf{q}$ ; in other words, derive the formula

$$d(\mathbf{q}, \pi) = \frac{|g(\mathbf{q})|}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$$

where  $g(\mathbf{p}) = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{p} \rangle + b$ . Note that the previous case corresponds to  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$ .

.....

### Problem 2 Solving simple extremal problems 2

Consider the two-dimensional optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & 2 - x^2 - 2y^2 \\ \text{subject to} & x^2 + y^2 = 1 \end{array}$$

Give a graphical/geometrical explanation of the problem and solve it using Lagrange multipliers.

.....

### Problem 3 Solving simple extremal problems 3

Consider the circle formed by the intersection of the unit sphere with the plane  $x + y + z = 0.5$ . Consider the three-dimensional optimization problem of finding the point on this circle that is closest to the point  $(1, 2, 3)$ . Solve it using Lagrange multipliers.

.....

### Problem 4 SVM Lagrangian (from scratch to dual), 1-norm version

In class, we considered the problem of finding the OSH in different scenarios:

1. In the separable case, we expressed it as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \\ &\text{subject to} \quad t_n(\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle + b) \geq 1, \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Obtain the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$  for this linear SVM in primal form.
- (b) Take the gradient of  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{w}, \varepsilon_n$  and  $b$  and make it equal to zero; obtain the other conditions on the solution (including the KKT conditions).
- (c) Substitute the resulting equations back into  $\mathcal{L}$  to obtain its dual form  $\mathcal{L}_D$ , just in terms of the dual variables  $\alpha$ .

2. In the non-separable case, we expressed it as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n \\ &\text{subject to} \quad t_n(\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle + b) \geq 1 - \varepsilon_n, \quad \varepsilon_n \geq 0 \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Obtain the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$  for this linear SVM in primal form.
- (b) Take the gradient of  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{w}, \varepsilon_n$  and  $b$  and make it equal to zero; obtain the other conditions on the solution (including the KKT conditions).
- (c) Substitute the resulting equations back into  $\mathcal{L}$  to obtain its dual form  $\mathcal{L}_D$ , just in terms of the dual variables  $\alpha$ . Argue why this form should be maximized, subject to  $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq C$ .
- (d) Do the primal and dual forms scale with dimensionality or with training data size? Reason when it will be more convenient to solve one or the other problem.

.....

### Problem 5 SVM Lagrangian (from primal to dual), 2-norm version

In class, we considered the non-separable case by penalizing the misclassified training examples with a “sum of violations” term which leads to the primal Lagrangian in Problem 4.2. Consider an alternative departing point, where instead we penalize the sum of the *squared* slacks:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n^2 \\ &\text{subject to} \quad t_n(\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle + b) \geq 1 - \varepsilon_n, \quad \varepsilon_n \geq 0 \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned}$$

1. Starting with this new objective function, write down the new Lagrangian for this soft linear SVM in primal form.
2. Optimize this primal Lagrangian with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $b$ , plug these solutions back in and write the optimization problem just in terms of the dual variables  $\alpha$ . How does this compare to the dual formulation for the standard 1-norm soft SVM?

.....

### Problem 6 Feature maps and kernels

Given a feature map  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$ , we define its associated kernel function  $k : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $k(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \langle \phi(\mathbf{u}), \phi(\mathbf{v}) \rangle$ ,  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Show that the dual form obtained in Problem 4.2 can be kernelised to yield:

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_n \alpha_m t_n t_m k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m)$$

and the dual decision function becomes

$$y_{\text{SVM}}(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sgn} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n t_n k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_n) + b \right)$$

Is the primal form  $y_{\text{SVM}}(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sgn}(\langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}) \rangle + b)$  always computable? What if  $D = \infty$ ? What about  $\mathbf{w}$ ? and what about the margin in feature space?

.....

### Problem 7 Connection to regularization

The SVM formulation has a direct connection to the regularization framework. Consider again a regularized empirical error, expressed in the general form:

$$E_\lambda(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N L(t_n, \langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \rangle) + \lambda \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \rangle, \quad \lambda > 0$$

where  $L : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a suitable *loss* function and  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that the primal for the soft SVM problem can be cast as a regularization problem  $E_\lambda(\mathbf{w}, b)$ , with the choices  $\lambda = (2NC)^{-1}$  and  $L(t_n, \langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \rangle) = \max(1 - t_n(\langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \rangle + b), 0)$  (the *hinge loss*).

.....