

# **Instructor Report for Matthew Barry**

### MEMS 0071 - INTRO TO FLUID MECHANICS - 1020 - Lecture

### 2171 - Teaching Survey

Total Enrollment 85 Responses Received 77 Response Rate 90.59%

#### **Subject Details**

Name MEMS 0071 - INTRO TO FLUID MECHANICS - 1020 - Lecture

CAMPUS\_CD PIT SCHOOL\_CD **ENGR** DEPARTMENT\_CD **MEMS** CLASS\_NBR 23783 COURSE\_NUMBER 71 SECTION\_NUMBER 1020 TERM\_NUMBER 2171 COURSE\_TYPE Lecture

**CLASS\_ATTRIBUTE** 

ENROLLED\_STUDENTS 87

First Name Matthew Last Name Barry

RANK\_DESCR Assistant Professor

FIRST\_GRAD\_TERM\_START\_DATE

**DEPARTMENT** 

**TENURE** NT

#### **Report Comments**

**Table of Contents:** 

Instructor and Course Evaluation

- Overall Summary of Results
- Detailed Results

Student Self Report (if applicable)

Creation Date Thu, Sep 28, 2017



# **University Questions**

# **Instructor Summary of Results**


Question	Results		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The instructor stimulated my thinking.	4.14	77	0.77
The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the course.	4.14	77	0.87
The instructor presented the course in an organized manner.	4.12	76	0.80
The instructor maintained an environment where students felt comfortable participating.	4.37	76	0.71
The instructor maintained an environment where students felt comfortable seeking assistance.	4.39	77	0.78
The instructor provided helpful feedback.	4.22	77	0.80
Assignments contributed to my understanding of the subject.	4.09	77	0.91

# Instructor's overall teaching effectiveness


Question	Results		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Express your judgment of the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness.	4.23	77	0.74

#### Instructor Evaluation: Detailed Results


1. The instructor stimulated my thinking.




2. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the course.




3. The instructor presented the course in an organized manner.




4. The instructor maintained an environment where students felt comfortable participating.




5. The instructor maintained an environment where 6. The instructor provided helpful feedback. students felt comfortable seeking assistance.






## **Instructor Evaluation: Detailed Results (continued)**


7. Assignments contributed to my understanding of the subject.



# Instructor's overall teaching effectiveness:



# The instructor was accessible.




## This course has improved my:

Question	Results		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Ability to use math concepts to solve engineering problems.	4.30	77	0.65
Ability to use chemistry concepts to solve engineering problems.	2.47	75	1.29
Ability to use physics concepts to help solve engineering problems.	4.11	76	0.83
Ability to use engineering concepts to help solve problems.	4.04	76	0.86
Ability to design an experiment to obtain measurements or gain additional knowledge about a process.	2.61	75	1.41
Ability to analyze and interpret engineering data.	3.25	76	1.26
Ability to design a device or process to meet a stated need.	2.77	75	1.27
Ability to function effectively in different team roles.	2.08	75	1.36
Ability to formulate and solve engineering problems.	3.75	75	1.15
Ability to use laboratory procedures and equipment.	1.95	75	1.34
Ability to use software packages to solve engineering problems.	1.87	75	1.33
Ability to use CAD software.	1.59	75	1.16
Knowledge of professional and ethical responsibility.	2.48	75	1.36
Ability to write reports effectively.	1.82	74	1.30
Ability to make effective oral presentations.	1.81	73	1.36
Knowledge about the potential risks (to the public) and impacts that an engineering solution or design may have.	2.96	74	1.23
Ability to apply knowledge about current issues (economic/environmental/political/societal/etc.) to engineering-related problems.	2.84	73	1.39
Appreciation of the need to engage in life-long learning.	3.93	76	1.11


### **Swanson School of Engineering Additional Items Detailed Results**

This course has improved my:


1. Ability to use math concepts to solve engineering 2. Ability to use chemistry concepts to solve problems.




engineering problems.




3. Ability to use physics concepts to help solve engineering problems.




4. Ability to use engineering concepts to help solve problems.




5. Ability to design an experiment to obtain measurements or gain additional knowledge about a process.




6. Ability to analyze and interpret engineering data.




7. Ability to design a device or process to meet a stated need.




8. Ability to function effectively in different team roles.



9. Ability to formulate and solve engineering problems.




10. Ability to use laboratory procedures and equipment.




### Swanson School of Engineering Additional Items Detailed Results (continued)

This course has improved my:


11. Ability to use software packages to solve engineering problems.




12. Ability to use CAD software.




13. knowledge of professional and ethical responsibility.




14. Ability to write reports effectively.




15. Ability to make effective oral presentations.



16. Knowledge about the potential risks (to the public) and impacts that an engineering solution or design may have.



17. Ability to apply knowledge about current issues (economic/environmental/political/societal/etc.) to engineering-related problems.



18. Appreciation of the need to engage in life-long learning.

