

1 Introduction

2 Complexity, Games, Polytopes and Gale Strings

2.1 The Complexity Classes P and PPAD

2.2 Normal Form Games and Nash Equilibria

file: background

2.3 Some Geometrical Notation

2.4 Bimatrix Games, Labels and Polytopes

Theorem 1. Let $l : [n] \rightarrow [m]$, and let (U, B) be the unit vector game where $U = (e_{l(1)} \cdots e_{l(n)})$. Consider the polytopes P^l and Q^l where

$$P^l = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m | x \geq \mathbf{0}, B^\top x \leq \mathbf{1}\} \quad (1)$$

$$Q^l = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n | y \geq \mathbf{0}, \sum_{\substack{j \in N_i \\ i \in [m]}} y_j \leq 1\} \quad (2)$$

where $N_i = \{j \in [n] | l(j) = i\}$ for $i \in [m]$.

Label every facet of P^l according to the inequality defining it, as follows:

- $x_i \geq 0$ has label i , for $i \in [m]$
- $(B^\top x)_j \leq 1$ has label $l(j)$, for $j \in [n]$

Then $x \in P^l$ is a completely labeled point of $P^l \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ if and only if there is some $y \in Q^l$ such that, after scaling, the pair (x, y) is a Nash equilibrium of (U, B)

file: polytopes

2.5 Cyclic Polytopes and Gale Strings

We have now built a correspondence between labeled best response polytopes and bimatrix games. We now consider a special case of games, where the polytope in theorem 1 is the dual of a particular kind of polytope that can be represented as a combinatorial structure. We first give the definition of these particular polytopes, called *cyclic polytopes*, then we define the combinatorial structure that we will use to study them, the *Gale strings*.

A *cyclic polytope* P in dimension d with n vertices is the convex hull of n distinct points $\mu_d(t_j)$ on the *moment curve* $\mu_d : t \mapsto (t, t^2, \dots, t^d)^\top$ for $j \in [n]$ such that $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$.

example(s) with graphics! There's one in Ziegler

A *bitstring* is a string of labels that are either 0s or 1s. Formally: given an integer k and a set S , we can represent the function $f_s : [k] \rightarrow S$ as the string $s = s(1)s(2)\dots s(k)$; we have a bitstring in the case where $S = \{0, 1\}$. A maximal substring of consecutive 1's in a bitstring is called a *run*.

A *Gale string of length n and dimension d* is a bitstring of length n , denoted as $s \in G(d, n)$, such that

1. exactly d bits in s are 1 and
2. (*Gale evenness condition*)

$$01^k0 \text{ is a substring of } s \implies k \text{ is even.} \quad (3)$$

The Gale evenness condition characterises Gale strings in $G(d, n)$ as the bitstrings of length n with exactly d elements equal to 1, such that *interior* runs (that is, runs bounded on both sides by 0s) must be of even length. In general, this condition allows Gale strings to start or end with an odd-length run; but when d is even this means that s starts with an odd run if and only if it ends with an odd run. We can then consider the Gale strings in $G(d, n)$ with even d as a “loop” obtained by “glueing together” the extremes of the string to form an even run. Formally, we can see the indices of a Gale

string $s \in G(d, n)$ with d even as equivalence classes modulo n , identifying $s(i+n) = s(i)$. This also shows that the set of Gale strings of even dimension is invariant under a cyclic shift of the strings.

Example 2.1. As an example of d even, we have

$$G(4, 6) = \{\mathbf{111100}, \mathbf{111001}, \mathbf{110011}, \mathbf{100111}, \mathbf{001111}, \\ \mathbf{011110}, \mathbf{110110}, \mathbf{101101}, \mathbf{011011}\}$$

The strings $\mathbf{111100}$, $\mathbf{111001}$, $\mathbf{110011}$, $\mathbf{100111}$, $\mathbf{001111}$ and $\mathbf{011110}$ are equivalent under a cyclic shift (if considering the strings as “loops”, the **1**’s are all consecutive), as are the strings $\mathbf{110110}$, $\mathbf{101101}$ and $\mathbf{011011}$ (if considering the strings as “loops”, the even runs of **1**’s are two couples separated by a single 0).

As an example for d odd, we have

$$G(3, 5) = \{\mathbf{11100}, \mathbf{10110}, \mathbf{10011}, \mathbf{11001}, \mathbf{01101}, \mathbf{00111}\}$$

Note how $\mathbf{01011}$ is a cyclic shift of $\mathbf{10110}$, but it is not a Gale string.

The relation between cyclic polytopes and Gale strings is given by the following theorem by Gale [6].

Theorem 2 ([6]). *For any positive integers d, n let P be the cyclic polytope in dimension d with n vertices. Then the facets of P are encoded by $G(d, n)$; that is,*

$$F \text{ is a facet of } P$$

$$\iff$$

$$F = \text{conv}\{\mu(t_j) \mid s(j) = 1 \text{ for some } j \in [n] \text{ and } s \in G(d, n)\}$$

pf - see Ziegler

Essentially, this holds because any set $S \subset [n]$ the moment curve defines a unique hyperplane which is crossed (and not just touched) by the moment curve; if the bitstring s that encodes F as $1(s)$ has a substring 01^k0 example of cyclic polytope + equivalent gale string - pg 35 JM has nice one

We now give define a *labeling* for Gale strings, corresponding to the labeling of best-response polytopes.

A string s is *completely labeled* for some labeling function $l : [n] \rightarrow [d]$ if $\{\bar{l} \in [d] | s(i) = 1 \text{ and } l(i) = \bar{l} \text{ for some } i \in [n]\} = [d]$. If $s \in G(d, n)$, this implies that for every $\bar{l} \in [d]$ there is exactly one $s(i)$ such that $s(i) = 1$ and $l(i) = \bar{l}$, since there are exactly d positions such that $s(i) = 1$.

Example 2.2. Given the string of labels $l = 123432$, there are four associated completely labeled Gale strings: 111100, 110110, 100111 and 101101.

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{4} & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{2} \\ \hline \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \cdot & \cdot \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \cdot & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \cdot \\ \mathbf{1} & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & \cdot & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \cdot & \mathbf{1} \end{array}$$

Sometimes it is not possible to find a completely labeled Gale string.

Example 2.3. For $l = 121314$, there are no completely labeled Gale strings.

The labels $l(i) = 2, 3, 4$ appear only once in l , as $l(2), l(4), l(6)$ respectively; therefore we must have $s(2) = s(4) = s(6) = 1$. For every other $i \in [n]$ we have $l(i) = 1$, so we have $l(i) = 1$ for exactly one $i = 1, 3, 5$. The candidate strings are then **110101**, **011101**, **010111**; but none of these satisfies the Gale evenness condition.

From now on, we will always consider labeling l such that $l(i) \neq l(i+1)$; we now show that we can do it without loss of generality. In the case when $l(i) = \dots = l(i+k)$, by Gale Evenness Condition we have $s(i+j) = 0$ for $j \in [k-1]$; we can therefore “simplify” by deleting all indices k . Suppose now that $l(i-1) \neq l(i) = l(i+1) \neq l(i+2)$ for some index, and let s be a completely labeled Gale string for l . Then only one of $s(i)$ and $s(i+1)$ can be equal to **1** (note that it’s possible that both are **0s**). So $s(i)s(i+1)$ will never be a run of even length that “interferes” with the Gale Evenness Condition, so we can “simplify” by deleting the index $i+1$.

geometrically? projection?

how labeled GS are corresponding to br cyclic polytopes

NB: we have given DUAL version (SvS 15) of Balthasar!!! facets $-i$ vertices (we must suppose a facet F_0 that maps to $\mathbf{0}$)
graphics

ANOTHER GALE

By theorem 2, the Gale strings $s \in G(d, n)$ encode the facets of a cyclic polytope in dimension d with n vertices. We want to exploit this to study

We now give a correspondence between the labeling of the facets of a cyclic polytope chosen as best-response polytope Q for a unit vector game (U, B) as in theorem 1 and a Gale string $s \in G(d, d + n)$.

We look for: labeling $l : [d + n] \rightarrow [d]$ for each vertex $\mu(t_j)$ of P^Δ , where $j \in [n]$,

so we can look for a CL facet F of P^Δ

When P^Δ is a cyclic polytope in dimension d with $d + n$ vertices, then the string of labels $l(1) \cdots l(n)$ in Theorem balthasar

defines a labeling $l' : [d + n] \rightarrow [d]$ where $l'(i) = i$ for $i \in [d]$ and $l'(d + j) = l(j)$ for $j \in [n]$. In other words, the string of labels $l(1) \cdots l(n)$ is just prefixed with the string $12 \cdots d$ to give l' . Then l' has a trivial completely labeled Gale string $1^d 0^n$ which defines the facet F_0 .

From this point forward, we will assume that d is even.

Then the problem ANOTHER GALE defines exactly the problem of finding a Nash equilibrium of the unit vector game (I, B) .

ANOTHER GALE

input : A labeling $l : [n] \rightarrow [d]$, where d is even and $d < n$, and an associated completely labeled Gale string s in $G(d, n)$.

output : A completely labeled Gale string s' in $G(d, n)$ associated with l , such that $s' \neq s$.

References

- [1] A. V. Balthasar (2009). “Geometry and equilibria in bimatrix games.” PhD Thesis, London School of Economics and Political Science.
- [2] M. M. Casetti, J. Merschen, B. von Stengel (2010). “Finding Gale Strings.” *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics* 36, pp. 1065–1082.
- [3] X. Chen, X. Deng (2006). “Settling the Complexity of 2-Player Nash Equilibrium.” *Proc. 47th FOCS*, pp. 261–272.
- [4] C. Daskalakis, P. W. Goldberg, C. H. Papadimitriou (2006). “The Complexity of Computing a Nash Equilibrium.” *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 39(1), pp. 195–259.
- [5] J. Edmonds (1965). “Paths, Trees, and Flowers.” *Canad. J. Math.* 17, pp. 449–467.
- [6] D. Gale (1963). “Neighborly and Cyclic Polytopes.” *Convexity, Proc. Symposia in Pure Math.*, Vol. 7, ed. V. Klee, American Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, pp. 225–232.
- [7] D. Gale, H. W. Kuhn, A. W. Tucker (1950). “On Symmetric Games.” *Contributions to the Theory of Games I*, eds. H. W. Kuhn and A. W. Tucker, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* 24, Princeton University Press, Princeton, pp. 81–87.
- [8] C. E. Lemke, J. T. Howson, Jr. (1964). “Equilibrium Points of Bimatrix Games.” *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Mathematics* 12, pp. 413–423.
- [9] A. McLennan, R. Tourky (2010). “Imitation Games and Computation.” *Games and Economic Behavior* 70, pp. 4–11.
- [10] N. Megiddo, C. H. Papadimitriou (1991). “On Total Functions, Existence Theorems and Computational Complexity.” *Theoretical Computer Science* 81, pp. 317–324.
- [11] J. Merschen (2012). “Nash Equilibria, Gale Strings, and Perfect Matchings.” PhD Thesis, London School of Economics and Political Science.
- [12] J. F. Nash (1951). “Noncooperative games.” *Annals of Mathematics*, 54, pp. 289–295.
- [13] C. H. Papadimitriou (1994). “On the Complexity of the Parity Argument and Other Inefficient Proofs of Existence.” *J. Comput. System Sci.* 48, pp. 498–532.
- [14] R. Savani, B. von Stengel (2006). “Hard-to-solve Bimatrix Games.” *Econometrica* 74, pp. 397–429.

better other article, “Exponentially many steps for finding a NE in a bimatrix game.” In the 45th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2004.?

- [15] L. S. Shapley (1974). “A Note on the Lemke-Howson Algorithm.” *Mathematical Programming Study 1: Pivoting and Extensions*, pp. 175–189
- [16] L. Végh, B. von Stengel “Oriented Euler Complexes and Signed Perfect Matchings.” arXiv:1210.4694v2 [cs.DM]
textbooks - papad, ziegler, sth gth