

1 When Is Consumption Growth Declining in m ?

Figure ?? depicts the expected consumption growth factor as a strictly declining function of the cash-on-hand ratio. To investigate this, define

$$\mathbf{Y}(m_t) \equiv \Gamma_{t+1} c(\mathcal{R}_{t+1} a(m_t) + \xi_{t+1}) / c(m_t) = \mathbf{c}_{t+1} / \mathbf{c}_t$$

and the proposition in which we are interested is

$$(d/dm_t) \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{Y}(m_t)]}_{\equiv \mathbf{Y}_{t+1}} < 0$$

or differentiating through the expectations operator, what we want is

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[\Gamma_{t+1} \left(\frac{c'(m_{t+1}) \mathcal{R}_{t+1} a'(m_t) c(m_t) - c(m_{t+1}) c'(m_t)}{c(m_t)^2} \right) \right] < 0. \quad (1)$$

Henceforth indicating appropriate arguments by the corresponding subscript (e.g. $c'_{t+1} \equiv c'(m_{t+1})$), since $\Gamma_{t+1} \mathcal{R}_{t+1} = R$, the portion of the LHS of equation (1) in brackets can be manipulated to yield

$$\begin{aligned} c_t \mathbf{Y}'_{t+1} &= c'_{t+1} a'_t R - c'_t \Gamma_{t+1} c_{t+1} / c_t \\ &= c'_{t+1} a'_t R - c'_t \mathbf{Y}_{t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now differentiate the Euler equation with respect to m_t :

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= R\beta \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}^{-\rho}] \\ 0 &= \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}^{-\rho-1} \mathbf{Y}'_{t+1}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}] \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{Y}'_{t+1}] + \text{cov}_t(\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}, \mathbf{Y}'_{t+1}) \\ \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{Y}'_{t+1}] &= -\text{cov}_t(\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}, \mathbf{Y}'_{t+1}) / \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}] \end{aligned}$$

but since $\mathbf{Y}_{t+1} > 0$ we can see from (2) that (1) is equivalent to

$$\text{cov}_t(\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}, \mathbf{Y}'_{t+1}) > 0$$

which, using (2), will be true if

$$\text{cov}_t(\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}, c'_{t+1} a'_t R - c'_t \mathbf{Y}_{t+1}) > 0$$

which in turn will be true if both

$$\text{cov}_t(\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}, c'_{t+1}) > 0$$

and

$$\text{cov}_t(\mathbf{Y}_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}, \mathbf{Y}_{t+1}) < 0.$$

The latter proposition is obviously true under our assumption $\rho > 1$. The former will be true if

$$\text{cov}_t \left((\Gamma \psi_{t+1} c(m_{t+1}))^{-\rho-1}, c'(m_{t+1}) \right) > 0.$$

The two shocks cause two kinds of variation in m_{t+1} . Variations due to ξ_{t+1} satisfy

the proposition, since a higher draw of ξ both reduces $c_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}$ and reduces the marginal propensity to consume. However, permanent shocks have conflicting effects. On the one hand, a higher draw of ψ_{t+1} will reduce m_{t+1} , thus increasing both $c_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}$ and c'_{t+1} . On the other hand, the $c_{t+1}^{-\rho-1}$ term is multiplied by $\Gamma\psi_{t+1}$, so the effect of a higher ψ_{t+1} could be to decrease the first term in the covariance, leading to a negative covariance with the second term. (Analogously, a lower permanent shock ψ_{t+1} can also lead a negative correlation.)