Translation & philological commentary

2.1

Transl.: Now, when posture is firm, the disciplined yogi whose diet is wholesome and measured, should practise breath control according to the path taught by the teacher.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 3.78
```

```
atha prāṇāyāmaḥ–
athāsane dṛḍhe yogī vaśī hitamitāśanaḥ ||
gurūpadiṣṭamārgena prānāyāmān samabhyaset || 3.78 ||
```

Cf. Yogamārgaprakāśikā

```
athāsane dṛḍhībhūte suśobhanamaṭhe yadā |
guruṃ natvā śivaṃ caiva prāṇāyāmaṃ tato 'bhyaset || 47 ||
```

Comm.: $drdho\ yog\bar{\imath}$ seems unlikely as drdha usually qualifies a technique, the body, etc. The vulgate has $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$. Other witnesses have the plural $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$ and this variation between singular and plural recurs through this chapter without a clear split among different branches of the stemma, so we have preferred what seem to us to be the better readings on semantic grounds. It is interesting that J10, J17 and N17 have $yogam\bar{a}rgam$ but it is unlikely that this was the original reading.

2.2

Transl.: When the wind moves, everything moves and, when it is still, everything is still. Therefore, the yogī obtains the motionless state because of restraining the breath.

Sources:

VM 71

```
cale vāte calaṃ sarvaṃ niścale niścal*aṃ* tathā |
yogī sthāṇutvam āpnoti tato vāyuni*baṃ*dhanāt || 71 ||
```

Cf. Amanaska 92

```
citte calati saṃsāro 'cale mokṣaḥ prajāyate |
tasmāc cittaṃ sthirīkuryād audāsīnyaparāyaṇaḥ ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
tathā ca skandapurāṇe-
cale vāte calaṃ cittaṃ niścalaṃ niścale tathā |
yogī sthāṇutvam āpnoti tato vāyuṃ nirodhayet ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
cale vāte calam cittam niścale niścalam tathā || yogī sthānutvam āpnoti tato vāyum nirundhayet || 3.79 ||
```

Comm.: Comment on *cittaṃ* instead of *sarvaṃ*. The latter is supported by the source and makes better sense as a general statement (i.e., when the wind moves, everything moves, etc.). The reading *citta* only seems to make sense if one understands *sthāṇutvam* as referring to *samādhi* (i.e., motionlessness of the mind). As far as we know, such a meaning of *sthāṇutvam* is not attested elsewhere. Nonetheless, Brahmānanda glosses *sthāṇutvam* as *kāṣṭhavat*, implying that it refers to *samādhi*. *vāyunibandanāt* makes better sense, but most witnesses have an optative verb. There was probably confusion over the ablative and optative in 2d and 3d. The optative verb works well in 3d.

2.3

Transl.: As long as the breath is situated in the body, there is said to be life. The emission of this is death. Therefore, one should stop the breath.

Sources:

VM 72.

```
yāvad vāyuḥ sthito dehe tāvaj jīvitam ucyate
maraṇaṃ tasya niḥkrāntau tato vāyuṃ nirodhayet || 72 ||
```

Cf. Mrgendratantra 1.11.20cd-22ab

```
vyāpārād yasya ceṣṭante śārīrāḥ pañca vāyavaḥ || 1,11.20 || prāṇāpānād ayas te tu bhinnā vṛtter na vastutaḥ | vṛttiṃ leśān nigadato bharadvāja nibodha me || 1,11.21 || vṛttiḥ praṇayanaṃ nāma yat taj jīvanam ucyate |
```

Testimonia:

Comm.: We should adopt *jīvitaṃ* because the old witnesses of the VM have it and it is well attested among the old HP mss. However, both *jīvitaṃ* and *jīvanaṃ* are possible. Also, *nirundhayet* may have been the original reading that was changed to the more correct form of *nirodhayet* and later confused with the final pāda of the previous verse and changed to *nibandhayet*.

2.4

Transl.: When the channels are full of impurities, the breath does not go into the central channel. How would the state beyond the mind arise? How would perfection of the body be achieved?

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.81

```
malākulāsu nādīṣu māruto naiva madhyagaḥ || katham syād unmanībhāvah kāyasiddhih katham bhavet || 3.81 ||
```

Comm.: The manuscripts are split between *kāyasiddhi* and *kāryasiddhi*. In the context of physical yoga, *kāyasiddhi* makes better sense, as *kāryasiddhi* can refer more generally to accomplishing anything.

2.5

Transl.: When entire network of channels, which is full of impurities, becomes pure, then the yogi becomes capable of holding the breath.

Sources:

VM 76

```
śuddhim eti yadā sarvam nāḍīcakram malākulam |
tadaiva jāyate yogī prānasamgrahanaksamaḥ || 76 ||
```

On nādīcakra: Sārdhatriśatikālottara 10.1

```
nāḍīcakram param sūkṣmam pravakṣyāmy anupūrvaśaḥ |
nābher adhastād yat kandam aṅkurās tatra nirgatāḥ || 1 ||
dvāsaptatisahasrāṇi nābhimadhye vyavasthitāḥ |
tiryag ūrdhvam adhaś caiva vyāptaṃ nābheḥ samantataḥ |
cakravatsaṃsthitā nāḍyaḥ pradhānā daśa tāsu yāḥ |
idā ca pingalā caiva susumnā ca trtīyakā
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
skandapurāṇe-
śuddhim eti yadā sarvam nāḍīcakraṃ malākulam |
```

tadaiva jāyate yogī kṣamaḥ prāṇanibandhane ||

Comm.:

2.6

Transl.: Therefore, one should always practice breath [retention] with a pure mind, so that the impurities situated at the sides of Susumṇā wither away.

Sources:

```
Goraksaśataka 73cd-74ab
```

prāṇābhyāsas tataḥ kāryo nityam sattvāsthayā dhiyā | susumnām layate cittam na ca vāyuḥ pradhāvati ||

Testimonia:

Jyotsnā

prāṇāyāmam tataḥ kuryān nityam sāttvikayā dhiyā | yathā susumnānādīsthā malāh śuddhim prayānti ca || 6 ||

Yogakarnikā 137

prāṇāyāmaṃ tataḥ kuryānnityaṃ sāttvikayā dhiyā || 137 || tathā suṣumnāpārśvasthā malāḥ śodhaṃ prayānti hi |

Prānatosanī p.788

prāṇāyāmam tataḥ kuryān nityam sāttvikayā dhiyā | tathā susumnāpārśvasthā malāh śosam prayānti hi |

Comm.: There's a problem in the third pāda. Among the divergent readings, V19 conveys the idea that the impurities are in the central channel (susumnāntarasthā), which is a ravipulā without the caesura after the 4th syllable). This is similar in sense to V2, which has the reading susumnāmadhyasthā. This meaning was accepted by Brahmānanda. However, we are not aware of a reference in another text to impurities (mala) existing in the central channel. Therefore, we have adopted the reading of N23,J7 and A1, which states that the impurites are situated at the sides of Suṣumṇā, which would allude to the secondary channels of $id\bar{a}$ and $pingal\bar{a}$.

The *ca* at the end of the 4th pāda suggests that two statements are being made in the second hemistich. The reading of V1 makes sense of the *ca*. However, the meaning of *suṣumṇā* susnigdhā ('suṣumṇā becomes well-lubricated') seems implausible. It seems likely that *ca* was understood simply as a verse-filler.

2.7

Transl.: Seated in lotus pose, the yogi should breathe in the air through the moon channel,

hold according to his capacity and then breathe out through the sun channel.

Sources:

VM 77

baddhapadmāsano yogī prāṇaṃ candreṇa pūrayet | dhārayitvā yathāśaktyā bhūyaḥ sūryeṇa recayet || 77 ||

Testimonia:

Yuktabhayadeya

baddhapadmāsano yogī prāṇaṃ candreṇa pūrayet | dhārayitvā yathāśakti bhūyah sūryeṇa recayet || 12 ||

Comm.:

2.8

Transl.: And having drawn the breath through the sun channel, he should gradually fill the abdomen. Having done the retention as prescribed, he should then exhale through the moon channel.

Sources:

VM 79

prāṇaṃ sūryeṇa cākṛṣya pūrayed udaraṃ śanaiḥ vidhivat kumbhakaṃ kṛtvā punaś candreṇa recayet ||

Testimonia:

Yukabhayadeya

prāṇaṃ sūryeṇa cākṛṣya pūrayedudaraṃ śanaiḥ kumbhayitvā vidhānena bhūyaś candreṇa recayet ||

Comm.: *udare* is well-attested (V1 and J10) but the verb needs an object so *udaram* has been adopted.

2.9

Transl.: Having inhaled through the [channel] by which one exhales, one should hold it without discomfort. And then he exhales through the other [channel] gently, not forcefully.

Sources:

Cf. DYŚ 60-61

dhārayitvā yathāśakti recayed idayā śanaiḥ || 62 || yathā tyajet tathāpūrya dhārayed avirodhataḥ |

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.85cd

yena tyajet tenāpūrya dhārayed avirodhataḥ ||

Comm.: The meaning of *avirodhataḥ* ('without harm') makes better sense in this context than *anirodhataḥ* ('without cessation'). One might try to construe *anirodhataḥ* as 'without stopping the breath', but verse 2.7 clearly states that the breath should be held as long as possible (*yathāśakti*). According to the apparatus of the critical edition of the *Haṭharatnā-valī*, *avirodhatah* is well-attested in the transmission of this work.

2.10

Transl.: If [the yogi] takes in the breath by the moon channel, he should then exhale the restrained [breath] through the other. Having taken in the breath through the sun channel and held it, he should then exhale through the left. By this method of sun and moon, the multitudes of channels of yamis who are meditating on both orbs become pure after three months.

Sources:

VM 81

prāṇaṃ ced iḍayā pibet niyamitaṃ bhūyo 'nyayā recayet |
pītvā piṅgalayā samīraṇam alaṃ baddhvā tyajed vāmayā
sūryācandramasor anena vidhinā bimbadvayaṃ dhyāyatāṃ
śuddhā nādiganā bhavanti yaminā māsatrayād ūrdhvatah ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
haṭhayoge 'pi—
prāṇaṃ ced iḍayā piben niyamito bhūyo 'nyayā recayet
pītvā pingalayā samīraṇam atho baddhvā tyajed vāmayā |
sūryācandramasor anena vidhinā bimbadvayaṃ dhyāyatām
śuddhā nādiganā bhavanti yamināṃ māsatrayād ūrdhvatah ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
prāṇaṃ ced iḍayā piben niyamitaṃ bhūyo 'nyayā recayet
pītvā piṅgalayā samīraṇaṃ atho baddhvā tyajed vāmayā ||
sūryācandramasor anena vidhinā bimbadvayaṃ dhyāyatāṃ
śuddhā nādiganā bhavanti yamināṃ māsatrayād ūrdhvataḥ || 3.86 ||
```

Comm.: The reading *vidhinābhyāsaṃ* in the third pāda of various witnesses is unmetrical because it lacks the caesura.

2.11

Transl.: One should slowly practise retentions four times, at sunrise, midday, sunset and midnight, up to [a total of] eighty.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.87

```
prātar madhyadine sāyam ardharātre ca kumbhakān || śanair aśītiparyantam caturvāram samabhyaset || 3.87 ||
```

Cf. DYŚ 63cd-65ab

```
evaṃ prātaḥ samāsīnaḥ kuryād viṃśati kumbhakān || 63 ||
evaṃ madhyāhnasamaye kuryād viṃśati kumbhakān |
evaṃ sāyaṃ prakurvīta punar viṃśati kumbhakān || 64 ||
evam evārdharātre 'pi kuryād viṃśati kumbhakān |
```

Comm.: The *caturvāraṃ* is ambiguous, but the parallel verses in the DYŚ make it clear that twenty kumbhakas are to be practised four times a day. In his Jyotsnā, Brahmānanda understands it as eighty kumbhakas four times a day.

Note Brahmānanda's comment on śanaiḥ (i.e., gradually building up to 80 retentions).

2.12

Transl.: In the lowest cessation of the breath, sweating arises, in the average, shaking and in the highest [the yogi] rises up again again in lotus pose.

Sources:

Cf. VM 87

```
adhame ca ghano gharma kampo bhavati madhyame |
uttisthaty uttamo deho baddhapadmāsano muhuḥ ||
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.88

```
kanīyasi bhavet svedaḥ kampo bhavati madhyame | uttisthaty uttame prāṇarodhe padmāsane muhuḥ || 3.88 ||
```

Yogacintāmaņi

```
kanīyasi bhavet svedaḥ kampo bhavati madhyame | uttisthaty uttame prāṇarodhe padmāsanasthitaḥ ||
```

Comm.: The manuscripts diverge greatly in the second hemistich. All have *prāṇa* (instead of *deha* in the VM). J10 (and others) appear to say that the breaths rise up again and again

when one is seated in the lotus pose (*uttiṣṭḥanty uttame prāṇā baddhe padmāsane muhuḥ*). However, this is a statement about the external signs that arise in *prāṇāyāma*. V1 seems to be stating that *padmāsana* rises up again and again in the highest stage of holding the breath (*uttiṣṭhaty uttame prāṇarodhe padmāsanam muhuḥ*).

Confusion has arisen here from the verse being taken from the VM without its context, which is a classification of different levels of prāṇāyāma. Thus Svātmārāma needed to include prāṇarodhe meaning prāṇāyāma in order for the different adjectives to have something to agree with.

2.13

Transl.: The yogī should practise rubbing the limbs with the sweat produced by his exertion. Because of it, firmness and dexterity of the body arise.

Sources:

Cf. DYŚ 75

```
prasvedo jāyate pūrvam mardanam tena kārayet | tato 'tidhāranād vāyoh kramenaiva śanaih śanaih ||
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.89

```
jalena śramajātena aṅgamardanam ācaret | dṛḍhatā laghutā cāpi tathā gātrasya jāyate || 3.89 ||
```

Cf. Śivasamhitā 3.46

```
svedaḥ saṃjāyate dehe yoginaḥ prathamodyame |
yadā saṃjāyate svedo mardanaṃ kārayet sudhīḥ |
anyathā vigrahe dhāturnaṣṭo bhavati yoginaḥ ||
```

Yogacintāmani

```
jalena śramajātena gātramardanam ācaret | drdhatā laghutā cāpi tena gātrasya jāyate ||
```

Comm.: See Śivasamhitā 3.46 on *mardana*. If it is not done, dhātus are lost from the body.

2.14

Transl.: At the beginning of the practice, food with milk and ghee is recommended. Then, when the practice has become well established, there is no need to adopt such regulations.

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 3.43

abhyāsakāle prathamam kuryāt ksīrājyabhojanam

tato'bhyāse sthirībhūte na tādrn niyamagrahah 3.43

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.24

```
abhyāsakāle prathame śastam kṣīrādibhojanam | tato 'bhyāse dṛḍhībhūte na tāvan niyamagrahah ||
```

Comm.:

2.15

Transl.: Just as a lion, elephant and tiger should be tamed gradually, so the breath should be trained. Otherwise, it kills the practitioner.

Sources:

VM 101

```
yathā siṃho gajo vyāghro bhaved vaśyaḥ śanaiḥ śāneḥ |
anyathā hanti yāntāraṃ tathā vāyur asevitaḥ ||
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.90

```
yathā siṃho gajo vyāghro bhaved vaśyaḥ śanaiḥ śanaiḥ || tathaiva sevito vāyur bhaved vaśyaḥ śanaiḥ śanaiḥ || 3.90 ||
```

Comm.: The second hemistich has been rewritten to express the same idea (and simile) in the VM, but the VM expresses it better.

2.16

Transl.: The end of all diseases is caused by prāṇāyāma as prescribed. As a result of inappropriate practice any disease can arise.

Sources:

VM 99

```
prāṇāyāmena yuktena sarvarogakṣayo bhavet | ayuktābhyāsayogena sarvarogasamudbhavaḥ ||
```

Testimonia:

Comm.:

2.17

Transl.: Hiccups, wheezing, cough, pains in the head, ears and eyes and various [other]

diseases arise as a result of the breath going awry.

Sources:

VM 100

hikkā śvāsaś ca kāsaś ca śiraḥkarṇākṣivedanā | bhavanti vividhā dosāḥ pavanasya vyatikramāt ||

Testimonia:

Cf. Śiyadharmottara 10 124

```
hikkāśvāsapratiśyāyaḥ karṇadantākṣivedanāḥ || 10.124 ||
mūkatā jaḍatā kāsaḥ śirorogaḥ śramakṣaraḥ |
ityevamādayo doṣā jāyante vyutkrameṇa tu || 10.125 ||
```

Cf. Dharmaputrikā 10.265

```
kaphakoṣṭhe yadā vāyur granthir bhūtvāvatiṣṭhate |
hallāsahikkikāśvāsaśiraḥ śūlādayo rujāḥ || 265 || [hṛllāsa-]
jāyante dhātuvaiṣamyāt tadā kuryāt pratikriyām |
```

Comm.:

2.18

Transl.: One should exhale correctly, inhale correctly and hold the breath correctly. Thus, one obtains success.

Sources:

VM 102

yuktam yuktam tyajed vāyum yuktam yuktam ca pūrayet yuktam yuktam ca badhnīyād evam siddhim avāpnuyāt ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī

```
yuktam yuktam tyajed vāyum yuktam yuktam prapūrayet || yuktam yuktam ca badhnīyād evam siddhim avāpnuyāt || 3.93 ||
```

Comm.: V1 has *śuddhim* (instead of *siddhim*) but this may be an error by someone who was anticipating the subject of the next verse.

2.19

Transl.: When the channels are pure, then external signs occur. Leanness and lustre of the body are certain to arise.

Sources:

Cf. DYŚ 67-68

```
yadā tu nāḍiśuddhiḥ syāt tadā cihnāni bāhyataḥ || 67 ||
jāyante yogino dehe tāni vakṣyāmy aśeṣataḥ |
śarīralaghutā dīptir jaṭharāgnivivardhanam || 68 ||
kṛśatvam ca śarīrasya tadā jāyeta niścitam |
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī

```
yadā tu nādīśuddhih syāt tadā cihnāni bāhyataḥ || kāyasya kṛśatā kāntir jāyate tasya niścitam || 3.94 ||
```

Comm.: The idea that $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ is done to purify the channels $(n\bar{a}d\bar{i})$ can be found in discussions of $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ in early Śaiva tantras. For example, the $Nayas\bar{u}tra$ of the $Niśv\bar{a}satattvasamhit\bar{a}$ (4.110) and the Svacchandatantra (7.294cd–7.295ab) specifically refer to purifying the channels by inhaling through the left nostril and exhaling through the right ($apasavyena\ p\bar{u}ryeta\ savyenaiva\ virecayet\ |\ n\bar{a}d\bar{i}samśodhanam\ caitan\ mokṣamārgapathasya\ ca).$

2.20

Transl.: Holding of the breath as long as one desires, stimulating the fire, causing the internal resonance to arise and freedom from disease occur when the channels are pure.

Sources:

VM 101

```
yathesṭaṃ dhāraṇā[ṃ] vāyor analasya pradīpanam | nādābhivyaktir ārogyaṃ jāyate nādīśodhanāt ||
```

Testimonia:

Cf. Vasisthasamhitā 2.68-69

```
nāḍīśuddhim avāpnoti pṛthak cihnopalakṣitām | 2.68ab
śarīralaghutā dīptir jaṭharāgnivivardhanam || 2.68cd
nādābhivyaktir ity etac cihnaṃ tacchuddhisūcakam | 2.69ab
yāvad etāni sampaśyet tāvad evam samācaret || 2.69cd
```

Comm.:

2.21

Transl.: One who has an excess of fat and phlegm should initially practise the six therapeutic interventions. However, anyone else should not practise them when their humours are in balance.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Comm.: The J10 group also have a valid reading of *medaḥśleṣmādināśārthaṃ* and *anyathā*. However, the *pūrvam* in V1, J8 and V3 seems to the fit the context the ṣaṭkarma as a preliminary practice for prānāyāma.

2.22

Transl.: Dhauti, basti, neti, trāṭaka, naulika and kapālabhāti. [Experts] says these are the six therapeutic interventions.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Comm.: The issue with this verse is the spellings of the names of the techniques. Looking at the occurrences of each name in subsequent verses helps to establish this.

2.23

Transl.: These six interventions should be kept secret. Purifiers of the body, which bestow various good qualities, they are worshipped by the best yogis.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Comm.:

2.24

Transl.: One should swallow a cloth that is four finger-breadths wide and has been soaked. Then one should draw it out. This has been taught as the action of dhauti.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Satkarmasangraha 56-58

atha dhautī mṛdulaṃ dhavalaṃ śuddhaṃ caturaṅgulavistṛtam | tithihastamitāyāmaṃ dhautīvastrasya lakṣaṇam || 56 || [tithi =15] toyasiktaṃ grased vastraṃ ghrāṇābhyāṃ vāyum utsṛjan |

```
śanaiḥ sanais tu sakalaṃ punaḥ pratyāharec chanaiḥ ||
dhautīkarmedam ākhyātaṃ yatra gaṅgādhidaivatam || 57 ||
kāsasvāsaphīlakuṣṭhādināśam vahner māndyaṃ viṃśatiḥ śleṣarogān |
dūrīkuryāt karṇabādhir tam uccair dhautīkarma praditaṃ śaṅkarena || 58 ||
```

Yogacintāmaņi

```
caturaṅgulavistāraṃ hastapañcadaśena tu |
svagurūktaprakāreṇa siktaṃ vastraṃ śanair graset |
punaḥ pratyāhared etad abhyāsād dhautikarmavit ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
atha dhautiḥ-
viṃśaddhastapramāṇena dhautavastraṃ sudīrghitam |
caturaṅgulavistāraṃ siktaṃ caiva śanaiḥ graset || 1.37 ||
tataḥ pratyāharec caitad abhyāsād dhautir ucyate |
```

Comm.: At this point, it seems that most mss. have added $p\bar{a}das$ on the length of the cloth and doing the practice according to the guru's teachings. These $p\bar{a}das$ are absent in many of the old manuscripts, including both V1, V19 and group 2, and the compound $hastapa\bar{a}cadasena$ does not seem to fit the syntax of the sentence. The other inserted $p\bar{a}da$ (i.e., $gur\bar{u}padista^{\circ}$) appears to be a verse filler.

2.25

Transl.: Coughing, wheezing, splenitis and skin diseases, as well as the twenty phlegmatic diseases, undoubtedly disappear through the power of the dhauti technique.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
kāsaśvāsaplīhakuṣṭhaṃ kapharogāś ca vidradhiḥ |
dhautīkarmaprabhāvena prayānty eva na saṃśayah ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
kāsaśvāsaplīhakuṣṭhaṃ kapharogāś ca viṃśatiḥ |
dhautikarmaprabhāvena dhāvanty eva na saṃśayaḥ || 1.39 ||
```

Comm.: *dhāvanti* is a play on words, using a different root *dhāv*, "to run", from that of *dhauti*, which is derived from *dhāv*, "to purify". Look at lists of the 20 kapha roga (in āyurvedic texts) to see if they are different to those mentioned in 2.25a

2.26

Transl.: In water up to the navel, one adopts a squatting pose (*utkaṭa*) with a reed fixed in the anus. One should clench the base. This cleansing is bastikarma.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaņi
```

```
atha vastī |
nābhidaghne jale pāyunyastanālotkaṭāsanaḥ |
ādhārākuñcanaṃ kuryād abhyāsād vastikarmavit ||
```

Hațharatnāvalī 1.45

```
nābhidaghne jale sthitvā pāyunāle sthitānguliḥ |
cakrimārgeṇa jatharaṃ pāyunālena pūrayet || 1.45 ||
vicitrakaraṇīm kṛtvā nirbhītaḥ recayej jalam |
yāvad balaṃ prapūryaiva kṣaṇaṃ sthitvā virecayet || 1.46 ||
```

Comm.:

2.27

Transl.: By the power of the basti technique, swelling, splenitis, stomach disorders and all diseases arising from wind, bile and phlegm are removed.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī

```
gulmaplīhodaram vāpi vātapittakaphādikam |
bastikarmaprabhāvena dhāvanty eva samśayah || 1.48 ||
```

Yogacintāmaņi

```
gulmodaram cāpi vātaplīhapittakaphodbhavāḥ | vastikarmaprabhāvena bādhyante sakalāmayāh ||
```

Cf. Satkarmasangraha 135

```
yāvan malā vinaśyanti vātapittakaphodbhāvāḥ |
trivāraṃ vā caturvāraṃ kṛtvā bastim virecayet || 135 ||
mahojasvī mahajjyotir jaṭharāgnipradīpanam |
gulmaplīhodarādīnāṃ nāśanaṃ sukhavardhanam || 140 ||
vātapittakaphottānāṃ doṣāṇāṃ nāśanaṃ paraṃ |
```

```
kusthānām nāśanam cāpi bastisiddhe prajāyate | 141 | |
```

Comm.: The J10 group has "bhavam, which would qualify gulmaplihodaram.

Diwakar thinks that *gulmodara* and *plīhodara* should be read. Search āyurvedic commentaries on this. (homework)

2.28

Transl.: When practised repeatedly the jalabasti technique bestows purity of the bodily constituents, senses and mind, radiance, [and] stimulation of the digestive fire, and removes [excessive] accumulation of any humour.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
dhātvindriyāntaḥkaraṇaprasādaṃ
dadhyāc ca kāntiṃ dahanapradīptim |
aśeṣadoṣopacayaṃ nihanyād
abhyasyamānam jalavastikarma ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
dhātvindriyāntaḥkaraṇaprasādaṃ
dadyāc ca kāntiṃ dahanapradīptim |
aśeṣadoṣopacayaṃ nihanyād
abhyasyamānaṃ jalabastikarma || 1.49 ||
```

Cf. SKS

```
tiṣṭhed vaśī mitāhāraḥ sarvāṅgaṃ tena śudhyati |
dhātvindriyāntaḥkaraṇaprasādo dehalāghavam || 139 ||
mahojasvī mahajjyotir jaṭharāgnipradīpanam |
```

Comm.:

2.29

Transl.: [The technique] which, after the apāna wind has been raised into the tube of the throat (i.e., oesophagus), ejects the contents of the stomach from the windpipe (which has been brought under control by cumulative practice) is called the elephant's action by experts of Hatha.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 1.51
```

```
udaragatapadārtham udvamantī
pavanam apānam udīrya kaṇṭhanāle |
kramaparicayatas tu vāyumārge
gajakaranīti nigadyate hathajñaiḥ || 1.51 ||
```

HTK 8.8

udaragatapadārthān udvamed eva nityam pavanagamanamārgāt kaṇṭhanālapraveśāt || kramaparicayavaśyaṃ syāc ca gargādayo hi gajakaraṇam itīha prahur āryā munīndrāḥ || 8 ||

Satkarmasangraha 108-109

```
atha gajakaraṇī śuddham toyam nārikelodbhavam vā pītvākanṭham dugdhamiśram jalam vā | vāraṃ vāraṃ māṇibandham tu kurvan nodgāreṇa prakṣiped bhūmibhāge || 108 || eṣā proktā kaphapittāmayeṣu medoghnīva kariṇī hastipūrvā || 109 ||
```

Comm.: The reference to the elephants in in the J10 group (as well as J8 and V19) appears to be explaining the name of this practice (i.e., 'because the speed of the breath is like that of water [propelled] by elephants'). However, the syntax is not so easy to construe. The first half of the adopted reading (i.e., *kramaparicayavaśya* is supported by V1 and group 2, and these witnesses preserve *mārga* at the end.

The vulgate and printed editions have a finite verb in the first hemistich and assume a plural subject (i.e., $yogina\dot{h}$) instead of the feminine singular present participle (agreeing with $gajakaran\bar{\imath}$).

2.30

Transl.: [The yogi] should insert a thread which is handspan [long] and very smooth into the nasal passage. He takes it out through the mouth. This is called neti by the Siddhas.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi
atha netī |
```

```
sūtraṃ vitastisusnigdhaṃ nāsānāle praveśayet | mukhān nirgamayet sā hi netī siddhair nigadyate ||
```

Cf. Hatharatnāvalī 1.40-41

```
atha netikarma–
ākhupucchākāranibhaṃ sūtraṃ susnigdhanirmitam |
ṣaḍvitastimitaṃ sūtraṃ netisūtrasya lakṣanam || 1.40 ||
nāsānāle praviśyainaṃ mukhān nirgamayet kramāt |
sūtrasyāntaṃ prabaddhvā tu bhrāmayen nāsanālayoḥ |
```

Cf. SKS

```
atha netī
mṛdu ślakṣṇaṃ sitaṃ sūtraṃ nāsānāle praveśayet |
mukhān nirgamayed dasrau cintayen netikā smṛtā || 67 ||
```

Comm.: The reading *mukhanirgamanād* is difficult to construe. It appears to a vague *nirva-cana*. According to Turner's Comparative and Etymological Dictionary (1966: 427, entry 7588), the word *netī* in Hindi refers to the cord of a churning stick and is cognate with the Sanskrit *netra*. The action of pulling the cord of a churning stick is similar to the way the thread can be pulled back and forth, from side to side, through the nostril and mouth. The reading *mukhān nirgamayec caiṣā* makes better sense in terms of describing the final part of the practise, but it may be a patch because the first hemistich has a finite verb and the *eṣā* must be construed with *netiḥ* in the fourth pāda. The same problem is in the reading V19 (*sāpi*).

2.31

Transl.: And neti purifies the skull, bestows divine sight and quickly cures a multitude of diseases that arise above the clavicles.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
kapālaśodhanī caiva divyadṛṣṭipradīpinī |
jatrūrdhvajātarogaughān jarayaty āśu netivit ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
kapālaśodhinī kāryā divyadṛṣṭipradāyinī | [caiva -P] jatrūrdhvajātarogaghnī jāyate netir uttamā || 1.42 ||
```

Comm.: V1 has $k\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ in the first $p\bar{a}da$, which is unnecessary because of the main verb in the final $p\bar{a}da$. Therefore, *caiva* is easier to construe in the first $p\bar{a}da$.

Different readings exist for the last pāda. Most witnesses have *netir āśu nihaṃti ca*. V1's *jayati sā tu sūtrikā* is possible. The variants with *netivit* seem implausible because of the epithets in the first hemistich, which require *neti* to be understood as the subject of the sentence.

2.32

Transl.: The focused [yogi] should look at a small focal point with unmoving gaze until tears fall. This is taught as trāṭaka by teachers.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī
```

```
nirīkṣya niścaladṛśā sūkṣmalakṣyaṃ samāhitaḥ | aśrusampātaparyantam ācāryais trātakam smrtam || 1.54 ||
```

Yogacintāmaņi

```
atha trāṭakam |
nirīkṣen niścaladṛśā sūkṣmalakṣyaṃ samāhitaḥ |
aśruprapātaparyantam āryais tat trātakaṃ matam ||
```

Comm.:

2.33

Transl.: It is the destroyer of eye diseases and the door [blocking] sloth and so forth. Trāṭaka should be carefully concealed just as chest of gold.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmani
```

```
moṭanaṃ netrarogānāṃ tandrādīnāṃ kapāṭakam |
etac ca trāṭakaṃ gopyaṃ yathā hāṭakapeṭakam ||
```

Hațharatnāvalī

```
sphotanam netrarogāṇām tandrādīnām kapāṭakam | prayatnāt trāṭakam gopyam yathā ratnasupeṭakam || 1.55 ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 6.159

```
moṭakaṃ sarvarogāṇāṃ tandrādīnāṃ kapāṭanam || yatnatas trātakaṃ gopyaṃ yathā hāṭakapetakam || 159 ||
```

Comm.: The reading *moṭakaṃ* is found in V1 and the *Yuktabhavadeva*. We understand it to mean "destroyer". Bohtlingk and Roth (s.v.) and Monier-Williams (s.v.) give medicinal pill as a possible meaning of *moṭaka* (cf. *modaka*) but it appears that this is mainly an inference drawn only from this verse, where the pill is merely a comparison. Several witnesses have *sphoṭanaṃ* ('destroying'), which is also possible. The reading *kapāṭakam* in pāda b is found in most of the witnesses and testimonia and we have adopted it accordingly. The context indicates that it must mean "blocker" (perhaps, in the sense of a door that blocks something), but we have not found any parallel usages of it in this sense.

2.34

Transl.: With the shoulders lowered, one should rotate the stomach to the left and right With speed of a rapid whirlpool. This is called Nauli by people from Gauda.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

amandāvartavegena tundaṃ savyāpasavyayoḥ | natāmso bhrāmayed esā naulī yoge pracaksate ||

Hatharatnāvalī

```
atha nauliḥ-
amandāvartavegena tundaṃ savyāpasavyataḥ |
natāṃso bhrāmayed esā nauliḥ gauḍaiḥ praśasyate || 1.34 ||
```

Comm.: *āmandācakravegena* could mean the speed of a slightly slow wheel (Jürgen's suggestion). But this is strange so we have adopted the usual metaphor of a fast moving whirlpool. The reading *gauḍaiḥ* is found in only two witnesses but none of the others makes sense apart from the *Jyotsnā*'s *siddhaiḥ* which is likely to be a correction.

2.35

Transl.: Naulī brings about the stimulation of sluggish bodily fire, digestion and the like, always brings bliss, and makes all humoural disorders and diseases wither away. Naulī is the best of all Haṭha practices.

Sources:

Testimonia:

HTK

mandāgnisandīpanapācanādisandhāyikānandakarī sadaiva

```
aśeṣadoṣopacayaśoṣaṇīva haṭhakriyā 'sau jayatīha nauliḥ || 12 ||
```

Yogacintāmaņi

mandāgnisaṃdīpanapācanāgnisaṃdhāyikānandakarī tathaiva | aśeṣadoṣāmayaśoṣinī ca haṭhakriyāmaulir iyaṃ hi naulī ||

Hatharatnāvalī

```
tundāgnisandīpanapācanādisandīpikānandakarī sadaiva | aśeṣadosāmayaśosaṇī ca haṭhakriyāmaulir iyaṃ ca nauliḥ || 1.35 ||
```

Comm.: We should adopt *pācana* in the sense of digestion (cooking the food in the intestines). *āmaya* makes better sense in the third pāda, and *mala* might have crept in because of the association of this word with śoṣiṇā.

Most witnesses and the testimonia have *maulir iyaṃ* in the fourth *pāda*, which expresses the idea that *nauli* was thought to be the best of the *ṣaṭkarma*, and the assonance of *nauli* and *mauli* may have been intended. The reading of *mūlam iyaṃ* in V1 would suggest that *nauli* is necessary for the other practices, which does not seem to be the case.

2.36

Transl.: Like the bellows of a blacksmith, the inhalation and exhalation are fast. It is called kapālabhāti. It dries up all phlegmatic disorders.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

atha kapālabhātī | bhastreva lohakārāṇāṃ recapūrau sasaṃbhramau | kapālabhātī vikhyātā kaphadoṣaviśoṣiṇī ||

Hațharatnāvalī

atha kapālabhastrikā– bhastrival lohakārāṇāṃ recapūrasusambhramau | kapālabhastrī vikhyātā sarvarogaviśoṣaṇī || 1.56 ||

Comm.: The term $bh\bar{a}t\bar{t}$ is derived from $bhastr\bar{t}$ (Turner 1966: 537, entry 9424). The 'skull bellows' is the implication of the name. The J10 group has $savy\bar{a}pasavyatah$ ('left and right') but this doesn't make sense as this version of the verse does not stipulate what is moving to the left and right.

2.37

Transl.: One whose excess weight, phlegm, fat, impurity and the like have been removed by the satkarma, should then do prāṇāyāma. It is accomplished without exertion.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
tathā cātmārāmaḥ—
ṣaṭkarmanirgatasthaulyakaphamedogadādikaḥ |
prānāyāmaṃ tatah kuryād anāyāsena sidhyati ||
```

Comm.: Most witnesses support <code>ṣaṭkarmabhir</code> <code>gata</code>°, which is somewhat unconventional syntax. It seems more likely that it was corrected to <code>ṣaṭkarmanirgata</code>° than the latter being original. The variant reading °ādhikaḥ in the second pāda is inferior because one would assume that the <code>satkarma</code> should remove all excess weight (<code>sthaulya</code>) and impurities (<code>mala</code>).

2.38

Transl.: Some teachers say that all the impurities are dried up by breath-controls alone and do not recommend any other practice.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
prāṇāyāmair eva <2 syllables missing> praśuṣyanti malā iti | keṣām cid ācāryāṇām anya karma na saṃmatam iti ||
```

Comm.: The plural of *prāṇāyāma*, which we have translated here as 'breath-controls', probably refers to practising multiple repetitions of breath retentions with alternate nostril breathing. The verb *praśuṣyanti* is well attested and makes good sense in the context of *malas*. Many witnesses lower on the stemma have *malāśaya* (instead of *malā api* or *malā iti*) but this usually has the more specific meaning of bowels or bladder and so seems inappropriate in a general statement. The witnesses that have *malāśaya* also have the verb *pra+śudh*, which connotes that the place where the impurities accumulate is cleaned (rather than the impurities themselves).

2.39

Transl.:

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī

```
brahmādayo 'pi tridaśāḥ pavanābhyāsatatparaḥ || abhūvan mṛtyurahitā tasmāt pavanam abhyaset || 3.82 ||
```

Comm.: *ṣaṭkarmayoga* is somewhat strange and this hemistich is omitted in V1. Perhaps, yoga was intended as 'method'. It seems likely that the second and fourth hemistiches (as found in V1) were original. *samanaska* is strange and difficult to construe (Jim thinks it might refer to death with the mind active as opposed to the preferable situation of dying while in samādhi). However, the verbs *abhuvann* and *amucann* make better sense. The third hemistich may be an attempt to rewrite the pāda to fix the problem of *samanaska*.

2.40

Transl.: So long as the breath is bound in the body, the mind without support, and one looks at the middle of the brow, where is the fear of death?

Sources:

VM 73

```
yāvad baddho marud dehe tāvac cittam nirāśrayam |
yāvad dṛṣṭir bhruvor madhye tāvat kālabhayam kutaḥ || 72 ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
yāvad baddho marud dehe yāvad vṛttau nirāśrayam |
yāvad drstir bhruvor madhye tāvat kālabhayam kutah ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.8

```
yāvad baddho maruddehe yāvaccittam nirāmayam |
yāvaddṛṣṭarbhruvormadhye tāvat kālabhayam kutah ||
```

Comm.: The verb *paśyet* in the third *pāda* is well attested among the manuscripts (V1, J10, etc.). However, it often occurs with *bhruvor madhye*, which is rather odd and suggests that the alternative reading *dṛṣṭir* was original. In fact, *dṛṣṭir* is supported by the manuscripts of the source text, the *Vivekamārtanḍa*, and the testimonia.

2.41

Transl.: When the network of channels has been purified by breath-controls as prescribed, the breath pierces and easily enters the mouth of susumnā.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
haṭhapradīpikāyām—
vividhaiḥ prāṇasaṃyāmaiḥ nāḍīcakre viśodhite |
susumnāvadanaṃ bhitvā sukhād viśati mārutaḥ ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
vidhivat prāṇasaṃyāmaiḥ nāḍicakre viśodhite | suṣumnāvadanaṃ bhitvā sukhād viśati mārutaḥ || 2.2 ||
```

Comm.: The compound *prāṇasaṃyāmaiḥ* ('breath-controls') should be understood here as a synonym for *prāṇāyāmaiḥ* in 2.38 (see the note on this verse).

2.42

Transl.: When the breath moves in the central channel, stillness of the mind arises. The mind's becoming very still is the 'mind beyond mind' (*manonmanī*) state.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
mārute madhyasaṃcāre manaḥsthairyaṃ prajāyate | vo manahsusthirībhāvah saivāvasthā manonmanīti ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
mārute madhyame jāte manahsthairyam prajāyate |
manasah susthirībhāvah saivāvasthā manonmanī || 2.3 ||
```

Comm.:

2.43

Transl.: In order to achieve that, those who know [their] methods perform various retentions. As a result of the practice of the various different retentions, [the yogi] obtains various different results.

Sources:

Testimonia:

YBD

tatsiddhaye vidhānajñāś citrān kurvanti kumbhakān || vicitrakumbhakābhyāsād vicitrām siddhim āpnuyāt || 92 ||

Comm.:

2.44

Transl.: Sūryabhedana, ujjāyī, sītkāra, [etc.] and kevala are the eight kumbhakas.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
haṭhayoge—
sūryabhedanam ujjāyī tathā sītkāraśītalī |
bhastrikā bhramarī mūrcchā sahitaṃ cāṣṭa kumbhakāḥ ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
sūryabhedanam ujjayī tathā sītkāraśītalī |
bhastrikā bhrāmarī mūrcchā kevalaś cāṣṭakumbhakāḥ || 2.6 ||
```

Comm.: In the fourth $p\bar{a}da$, the manuscripts of group 2 have $pl\bar{a}van\bar{\imath}ty$ $a\underline{s}ta$, which makes good sense in so far as most manuscripts contain a verse on $pl\bar{a}vin\bar{\imath}kumbhaka$ as one of the kumbhakas. Furthermore, the alternative reading $keval\bar{\imath}/kevala\dot{s}$, which is supported by V1, J10, etc., is not consistent with the idea of kevalakumbhaka standing outside the category of sahitakumbhaka, as stated in 2.72–75. This idea of two types of kumbhaka is found in the source text from which Svātmārāma borrowed four of the kumbhakas, namely, the $Gorak\underline{s}a\dot{s}ataka$. Therefore, it seems likely the word $pl\bar{a}vin\bar{\imath}$ dropped out of some manuscripts. In fact, it may have been removed by some scribes because its heading is omitted in many witnesses. The reading in V19 and the $Yogacint\bar{a}man\bar{\imath}$ ($sahit\bar{a}\dot{s}$ $c\bar{a}\underline{s}ia$) was probably an attempt to remove $keval\bar{\imath}/kevala\dot{s}$ from the list.

2,45

Transl.: At the end of the inhalation, the lock called Jālandhara should be done. However, at the end of the retention and beginning of the exhalation, uḍḍiyāna should be done.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 62ab

pūrakānte tu kartavyo bandho jālandharābhidhaḥ |

Gorakşaśataka 58ab

kumbhakānte recakādau kartavyoddiyanābhidhah |

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņī

pūrakānte tu kartavyo bandho jālandharābhidhaḥ | kumbhakānte recakādau kartavyas tūḍḍiyānakaḥ ||

Hatharatnāvalī 2.7

pūrakānte tu kartavyo bandho jālandharābhidhaḥ | kumbhakānte recakādau kartavyas tūddiyānakah ||

Yuktabhayadeya 7.94

pūrakānte ca karttavyo bandho jālandharābhidhaḥ | kumbhakānte recakādau karttavyas tūḍḍiyānakaḥ ||

Comm.:

2.46

Transl.: When the contraction of the throat has been applied, the breath goes into the central channel by quickly contracting below [the abdomen] and stretching back the middle [of the body].

Sources:

Yogabīja?

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņī

adhas tv ākuñcanenāśu kaṇṭhasankocanena ca | madhye paścimatānena syāt prāno brahmanāḍigah ||

Comm.: madhyapaścimatānena is possible and well attested.

2.47

Transl.: Having raised up *apānavāyu*, one should move *prāṇa* down from the throat. The yogi is freed from old age and becomes sixteen years old.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņī

apānam ūrdhvam utthāpya prāṇam kaṇthād adho nayet | yogī jarāvimuktaḥ san vayasā ṣoḍaśo bhavet ||

Comm.:

2.48

Transl.: Now, piercing the sun— Having adopted vajrāsana on a comfortable mat, the yogi should gradually draw in the external air through the right nostril,

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
āsane sukhade yogī baddhavajrāsanas tataḥ | dakṣanāḍyā samākṛṣya bahistham pavanaṃ śanaiḥ ||
```

Comm.: āsane sukhade is supported by the [different but parallel] reading in the Gorakṣa-śataka

2,49

Transl.: [The yogi] does *kumbhaka* until the cessation [of the breath] as far as the tips of the hair and nails. The wise yogi should then exhale the breath slowly through the left nostril.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
ākeśāgram nakhāgram ca śirodhāvadhi kumbhakam | tatah śanaih savyanādyā recayet pavanam sudhīḥ ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.99

```
ā keśād ā nakhāgrāc ca nirodhāvadhi kumbhayet |
tatah śanaih savyanādyā recayet pavanam sudhīh ||
```

Comm.: Refer to Brahmānanda's commentary for an explanation of *ākeśāgram nakhāgraṃ ca*. It seems that one should hold the breath within the body. If it escapes through the hair follicles or nails then it destroys the body. The ablative with *ā* (*a keśād nakhāgrāc ca*) is also well-attested (group 2 manuscripts), and it would mean the same.

```
Cf. BDhS 4.1.23
```

```
āvartayet sadā yuktaḥ prāṇāyāmān punaḥpunaḥ | ā keśāntān nakhāgrāc ca tapas tapyata uttamam ||
```

nirodhāvadhi is not entirely clear, but all sources and the *Jyotsnā* agree on this reading. The problem is that to practise *kumbhaka* "up to cessation *nirodha*" seems to suggest that cessation is not of the physical breath (which by definition ceases in *kumbhaka*), but, if this explanation is not too far-reaching, of the *prāṇa* within the body.

Perhaps, *nirodhāvadhi* is referring to stopping the flow of *prāṇa* at the extremities of the body so that it does not damage the body by exiting through the hair follicles. This idea is alluded to in the following verse quoted in the *Jyotsnā*:

```
hathān niruddhaḥ prāṇo'yaṃ romakūpeṣu niḥsaret |
deham vidārayaty esa kuṣthādi janayaty api ||
```

2.50

Transl.: This purifies the skull, cures [imbalances] of vātadoṣa [and] gets rid of diseases caused by worms [so] should be done repeatedly. It is called the piercing of the sun.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 35ab, 36ab

```
kapālaśodhane vāpi recayet pavanam sudhīḥ |
tundasya vātadoṣaghnaḥ kṛmidoṣaṃ nihanti ca ||
punaḥ punar idaṃ kāryaṃ sūryābhedam udāhṛtam |
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
kapālaśodhanaṃ vātadoṣaghnaṃ kṛmidoṣahṛt |
punaḥ punar idaṃ kuryāt sūryabhedanam uttamam ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī 2.11cd-12

```
kapālaṃ śodhanaṃ cāpi recayet pavanaṃ śanaiḥ ||
[kapālaśodhanaṃ ... kṛmināśanaṃ – N,n1,n4]
ālasyaṃ vātadoṣaghnaṃ kṛmikīṭaṃ nihanti ca |
punaḥ punar idaṃ kāryaṃ sūryabhedākhyakumbhakam ||
```

Comm.: The *Gorakṣaśataka* and *Yogacintāmaṇi* support *kṛmidoṣa*. The compound *sūryab-heda* is metri causa. The J10 group and V19 have attempted to reinstate the name *sūryab-hedana*.

2.51

Transl.: Having closed the mouth, one should gradually draw in the breath through the nostrils so that it comes into contact with the throat as far as the chest and resonates.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 36c-37b

```
mukham samyamya nāḍībhyām ākṛṣya pavanam śanaiḥ | yathā lagati kaṇṭhāt tu hṛdayāvadhi sasvanam || [T kaṇṭham tu]
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

mukham saṃyamya nāḍībhyām ākṛṣya pavanaṃ punaḥ | yathā lagati hṛtkanthād dhṛdayāvadhi sasvanah ||

Hatharatnāvalī

mukham samyamya nāḍībhyām ākṛṣya pavanam śanaiḥ | [nāsābhyām J,n1,n4] yathā lagati hrtkantham hrdayāvadhi svasvanah || 2.13 ||

Comm.:

2.52

Transl.: As before, he should hold the breath and then exhale through the $id\bar{a}$ channel. It cures diseases caused by phlegm in the throat and increases the body's fire.

Sources:

GŚ 37c-38b

pūrvavat kumbhayet prāṇam recayed iḍayā tataḥ | śīrsotthitānalaharam galaślesmaharam param ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

pūrvavat kumbhayet prāṇaṃ recayed iḍayā tataḥ | śleṣmadoṣaharaṃ kaṇṭhe dehānalavivardhanam ||

Hațharatnāvalī

```
pūrvavat kumbhayet prāṇaṃ recayed iḍayā tataḥ | gale ślesmaharam proktam dehānalavivardhanam || 2.14 ||
```

Comm.: In the second hemistich of this verse, many of the readings in the oldest manuscripts, such as $deh\bar{a}d$ analad $\bar{i}ptivardhanam$ (V1), $deh\bar{a}nalad\bar{i}ptivivardhanam$ (J10) and $dehe[?]nal-ad\bar{i}ptivi$ vardhanam (P28), are unlikely to be original because both the source and testimonia indicate that $Ujj\bar{a}y\bar{i}$ is supposed to remove phlegm from the throat. These versions may have arisen from attempts to remove kanthe in the third $p\bar{a}da$, which was thought to be hanging. Therefore, it seems that kanthe $deh\bar{a}nalavardhanam$ is the better reading and it is well attested among the manuscripts (including group 2).

2.53

Transl.: It cures diseases in the bodily constituents inside the network of nāḍīs, The retention called ujjāyī should be done by one moving or stationary.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 38

```
nāḍījalodarādhātugatadoṣavināśanam |
gacchatas tiṣṭhataḥ kāryam ujjāyyākhyaṃ ca kumbhakam ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
nāḍījalodaradhātugatadoṣavināśanam |
gacchatas tisthatah kāryam ujjāyyākhyam ca kumbhakam ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī 2.15

```
nāḍījālodarādhātugatadoṣavināśanam |
[nāḍījalodaradhātu- J,P; nāḍījalodaraṃ dhātu- N, n1, n4]
gacchatā tiṣṭhatā kāryam ujjāyyākhyaṃ hi kumbhakam ||
```

Comm.: Nearly all the manuscripts have $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}jalodara$, which does not make sense in this context because it connotes that a disease or humoral imbalance (dosa) exists in the disease ascites (jalodara). This problem is also present in the transmission of the source text for the verse, the Goraksasataka. A solution can be found in some of the manuscripts of the $Hatharatn\bar{a}val\bar{\imath}$, which read $n\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}j\bar{\imath}lodar\bar{a}$ ° ('in the network of channels and stomach'). The other problem is " $dar\bar{a}dh\bar{a}tu$ ". In spite of Brahmānanda's efforts to explain it as "dara, \bar{a} , $dh\bar{a}tu$ °, the \bar{a} before $dh\bar{a}tu$ ° appears to have been inserted for metri causa. We have adopted $n\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}j\bar{a}lodare$ ('inside the network of channels'), which we understand to have been changed in the transmission to "jalodara, through confusion with the disease of a similar name.

For the compound *dhātudoṣā* see *Tantrāloka* 28.283cd, where worldly concepts are said to arise from it (*dhātudoṣāc ca saṃsārasaṃskārās te ...*), but it is also the source of physical disorders (*dhātudoṣākṛtaṃ mūrcchā* ĪPV on 2.15).

Diwakar Acharya suggests that the name $ujj\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$ may be a Prakrit form from $uddhm\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$ from the verb $ud\text{-}dhm\bar{a}$, "to blow out".

2.54

Transl.: Now śītkāra— He should constantly produce a *śīt* sound in the mouth and a flaring of the nostrils. By practising thus, one becomes a second god of love.

Sources:

```
Cf. Kaulajñānanirņaya ??
```

```
cittan dadyāt tu vaktreņa nāse dadyād vijṛmbhikā[m] || vācāsiddhir bhavaty evan kāmadevo 'paraḥ priyaḥ |
```

```
Cf. BHU Ms. of the Jñānasāra
```

hikkā dadyāt sadā vaktre prāyaś caiva vijṛmbhikām ||

```
evamabhyasyamānas tu kāmadevo dvitīyakaḥ |
```

Prāṇatoṣiṇī (citing the Jñānasāra)

hikkām dadyāt sadā vaktre ghrāṇañ caiva vijrmbhate | evam abhyāsayogena kāmadevo dvitīyakah |

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
sītkāṃ kuryāt tathā vaktre ghrāṇenaiva visarjayet |
evam abhyāsayogena kāmadevo dvitīyakah ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī 2.16

```
sītkām kuryāt tathā vaktre ghrāṇenaiva visarjayet | evam abhyāsayogena kāmadevo dvitīyakah || 2.16 ||
```

Comm.: The source texts and V1 have $dady\bar{a}t$ in the first $p\bar{a}da$, which seems to be the lectio difficilior. Many of the old manuscripts have kumbham instead of $s\bar{i}tk\bar{a}m$. The latter reading is supported by some manuscripts of the $Hathaprad\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$, the testimonia and the name of the kumbhaka, which is stated in 2.44 and the heading of this verse. Various readings for first $p\bar{a}da$ are also seen in the source texts, namely the $Kaulaj\bar{n}anairnaya$ and $J\bar{n}anasara$. There is also a discrepancy over $s\bar{i}t$ and $s\bar{i}t$. The result of becoming a second god of love may be connected with the sound $s\bar{i}tk\bar{a}ra$, which is one of the sounds made during sex in $K\bar{a}mas\bar{a}stra$ (ref?).

2.55

Transl.: Having become one among the circle of yoginis, he can bring about creation and destruction. Neither hunger nor thirst [nor] sleep nor indolence arise.

Sources:

```
Cf. Kaulajñānanirnaya 7.18ab
```

```
yoginīgaņasāmānyā sṛṣṭisaṃhārakārakaḥ |
```

Jñānasāra 13cd-14ab

```
yoginīguṇasāmānyaḥ sṛṣṭisaṃhārakārakaḥ || 13 ||
na kṣudhā na ca tṛṇnidrā naiva murchā prajāyate |
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
yoginīcakrasaṃsevyaḥ sṛṣṭisaṃhārakārakaḥ |
na kṣudhā na tṛṣṇā nidrā tandrālasyaṃ na jāyate ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī 2.17

```
yoginīcakrasamsevyah sṛṣṭisamhārakārakaḥ |
```

na kṣudhā na tṛṣā nidrā naivālasyam prajāyate || 2.17 ||

Yuktabhayadeya

```
yoginīcakrasāmānyaḥ sṛṣṭisthityantakārakaḥ ||
na kṣudhā na tṛṣā nidrā nālasya ca prajāyate || 105 ||
```

Comm.: There are various possible readings for the first *pāda*, namely, *yoginīcakrasāmānyaḥ* ('one who is one among the circle of yoginīs'), *yoginīcakram āsādya* ('having reached the circle of yoginis') and *yoginīcakrasaṃsevyaḥ* ('one worshipped by the circle of yoginis'). The first of these is closer to the source texts and is a cliche in Kaula literature.

2.56

Transl.: His body is as he wishes, and he is free from all afflictions. By this method, he truly becomes the lord of yogis in the region of the earth.

Sources:

Jñānasāra

bhavet svacchandadehas tu sarvopadravavarjitah

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

bhavet svachandadehas tu sarvopadravavarjitaḥ | anena vidhinā yas tu yogīndro bhūmimandale ||

Hatharatnāvalī

```
bhavet svacchandadehas tu sarvopadravavarjitaḥ | anena vidhinā satyam yogīndro bhāti bhūtale || 2.18 ||
```

Comm.: The aiśa compound *bhuvimaṇḍale*, which is attested at *Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa* 45.221, is likely the original reading here. The word *bhuvi* as the first member of a compound is attested elsewhere. The alternative *bhumi* is well-attested and so the change may have happened early in the transmission.

2.56*1

Transl.: One who always takes in the breath through the aperture at the roots of the tongue, undoubtedly becomes a receptacle of all *siddhis*.

Comm.: This verse appears to be a derivative of 2.57, and was not original to the text. Cf. *Siddhāntamuktāvalī*

```
jihvāmūlena randhreṇa yaḥ prāṇaṃ satataṃ pibet |
sa bhavet sarvasiddhānāṃ bhājanaṃ nātra saṃśayaḥ || 46 ||
```

2.57

Transl.: It has also been taught: He who regularly takes in the breath through the root of the tongue and palate, has all his diseases cured in half a year.

Sources:

```
Vivekamārtaņda 120
```

```
rasanātālumūlena yaḥ prāṇam anilaṃ pibet |
abdārdhena bhavet tasya sarvarogapariksayah ||
```

Śivasamhitā 3.80

rasanāṃ tālumūle yaḥ sthāpayitvā vipaścitaḥ |
pibet prānānilam tasya rogānām samksayo bhavet ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
rasanātāluyogena yah prāṇaṃ satataṃ pibet | abdārdhena bhavet tasya sarvarogaparikṣayaḥ ||
```

Ānandakanda 1.20.137

```
jihvayā tālumūlena prāṇaṃ yaḥ pibati priye |
tasya ṣaṇmāsataḥ sarve rogā naśyanti yoginaḥ ||
```

Comm.: Verse 2.57 seems to be describing an alternative method of *śītkārakumbhaka*. It could have been included by Svātmārāma. The introductory phrase *uktaṃ ca* suggests that the teaching in this verse is consistent with what preceded it, but one would expect that it is another view (*matāntare*).

The compound rasanātālumūlena is difficult to understand. In his Haṭhasanketacandrikā (ref??), Sundaradeva seems to think that the external air strikes (which might thus make the sound sīt) the root of the tongue and palate and the upper part of the uvula (atra mūhū (muhu?) rasanātālumūlāhataṃ ghaṃṭikordhvabhāgāhataṃ bahiḥsthavāyuṃ vidhāya pibed ity arthaḥ). More helpful are the remarks of the commentator of the Yogatarangiṇī. He seems to think that a hole or cavity (vivara) is made by the root of the palate with the help of the tongue, and the yogi breathes through it (evaṃ rasanātālumūlena rasanā jihvā tatsahāyabhūtatālumūlena kṛtaṃ yad vivaraṃ, tena kṛtvā yaḥ yogī prāṇam anilaṃ prāṇavāyuṃ pibet pūrayet, tasya yogino'bdārdhena sanmāsena sarvarogānām nāśah ksayo bhavet || 39 |).

This idea might have been intended with the parallel reading of the *Yogacintāmaṇi: rasanātāluyogena* ('by connecting the tongue and palate'). So, we have translated *rasanātālumūlena* as 'through the tongue and root of the palate'. It's a vague way of saying that the tongue is turned back to touch the root of the palate to make a hole that one breathes through (when the breath is taken in through the mouth). The *Kumbhakapaddhati* (137) states this more clearly:

```
rasanām unmukhīkṛtya sītkāraṃ kurvatā marut |
pīyante kumbhake yasmin nāsikābhyāṃ virecanam || [pīyate?]
```

And this idea is also expressed clearly in *Śivasamhitā* 3.80:

```
rasanāṃ tālumūle yaḥ sthāpayitvā vipaścitaḥ |
pibet prāṇānilaṃ tasya rogāṇāṃ saṃkṣayo bhavet ||
```

The verse may derive from *Kauljñānanirṇaya* 6.19, which has *rasanā[m] tālumūle tu kṛtvā vāyum pibet....*

2.58

Transl.: Having drawn in the air through the tongue, the method of retention [is done] as before. The wise yogi gradually exhales through the nostrils.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka

```
jihvayā vāyum ākṛṣya pūrvavat kuṃbhakād anu |
śanais tu ghrāṇarandhrābhyāṃ recayed anilaṃ sudhīh ||
```

Testimonia:

Cf. Ānandakanda

```
kākacañcuvad āsyaṃ ca kṛtvā vāyuṃ sasūtkṛtam |
ādāya nāsārandhreṇa punastaṃ śvasanaṃ tyajet ||
śītalīkaraṇākhyo 'yam yogas tu jvarapittahṛt |
```

Comm.:

2.59

Transl.: Diseases such as swelling, enlargement of the spleen and the like, and fever, [excess] bile, hunger and thirst; this retention called śītalī cures them.

Sources:

GŚ

```
gulmaplīhādikā doṣāh kṣayaṃ yānti pittaṃ jvaraṃ |
viṣāṇi śītalī nāma kuṃbhako 'yaṃ nihanti ca
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
gulmaplīhodaram cāpi vātapittam kṣudhām tṛṣām |
viṣāṇi śītalī nāma kumbhako vinihanti ca ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
gulmaplīhodaram doṣam jvarapittakṣudhātrṣāḥ | visāni śītalī nāma kumbhako 'yam nihanti ca || 2.20 ||
```

Comm.:

2.60

Transl.: Now, bhastrikā— If [the yogi] places the soles of both feet on the thighs, the lotus pose, which destroys all bad deeds, duly arises.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka

```
ūrvor upari ced dhatte ubhe pādatale tathā | padmāsanam bhavet samyak sarvapāpapranāśanam || 14 ||
```

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaņi
```

```
bhastrikā—

ūrvor upari saṃsthāpya ubhe pādatale tathā ||
padmāsanam bhavet samyak sarvapāpapranāśanam ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
atha bhastrikā—
recakaḥ pūrakaś caiva kumbhakaḥ praṇavātmakaḥ |
recako 'jasraniḥśvāsaḥ pūrakas tannirodhakaḥ |
samānasaṃsthito yo 'sau kumbhakaḥ parikīrtitaḥ || 2.21 ||
```

Yuktabhayadeya

```
atha bhastrikā—
ūrvor upari cādhatte ubhe pādatale tathā ||
padmāsanam bhavet samyak sarvapāpapraṇaśanam || 110 ||
```

Comm.: The source text, the *Gorakṣaśataka*, has *ced* in the first pāda, and this has been dropped in nearly all of the available manuscripts of the *Haṭhapradīpikā*, as well as the testimonia. It seems likely that *ced dhatte* was the reading reading adopted by Svatmārāma because the *cet* makes sense of the two finite verbs in the description. The first finite verb *dhatte* is also supported by some of the old manuscripts, such as V1 and J10. At some stage, the verse was changed to read *saṃsthāpya* to remove the awkward syntax posed by *saṃdhatte* and *vai dhatte*.

2.61

Transl.: Having adopted lotus pose correctly, the wise yogi whose neck and abdomen are

straight should close the mouth and exhale the breath through the nose effortfully.

Sources:

```
Goraksaśataka 41
```

```
tataḥ padmāsanaṃ baddhvā samagrīvodaraḥ sudhīḥ |
mukhaṃ samyamya yatnena prānaṃ ghrāṇena recayet |
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
samyak padmāsanam badhvā samagrīvodaraḥ sudhīḥ | mukhaṃ samyamya yatnena prāṇaṃ ghrāṇena recayet ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva

```
samyak padmāsanam baddhvā samagrīvodarah śanaiḥ || mukham samyamya yatnena prāṇam ghrāṇena recayet || 111 ||
```

Comm.:

2.62

Transl.: In such a way that [the breath] comes into contact with the chest and throat, and there is then a sound in the skull, he should quickly inhale a small amount of the breath as far as the heart lotus.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 43

```
yathā lagati kaṇṭhāt tu kapāle sasvanaṃ tataḥ
vegena pūrayet kiṃ cit hṛṭpadmāvadhi mārutam
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
yathā lagati hṛtkaṇṭhe kapālāvadhi pūrayet | vegena pūrayet samyag hṛtpadmāvadhi mārutam ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva

```
yathā lagati hṛtkaṇṭhakapāleṣu ca sasvanam ||
vegena pūrayet kiñcit hṛtpadmāvadhi mārutam || 112 ||
```

Comm.: First hemistich is tricky. None of the old mss preserve *sasvanaṃ*, which is in the GŚ and makes good sense. All witnesses have *hṛṭkaṇṭhe*, which we have understand as a dual accusative. One would expect *kapāla* to also be in the accusative, but we have understood it as a locative sg. with *sasvana*.

2.63

Transl.: Then, the yogi should exhale and inhale again and again. In the very same way as blacksmiths' bellows are operated forcefully, [...]

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 44

```
punar virecayet tadvat pūrayec ca punaḥ punaḥ | yathaiva lohakārānām bhastrā vegena cālyate ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
punar virecayet tadvat pūrayitvā punaḥ punaḥ | yathaiva lohakārāṇāṃ bhastrā vegena cālyate |
```

Hatharatnāvalī

yathaiva lohakārāṇāṃ bhastrī vegena cālyate |

YBD

```
punar virecayet tadvat pūrayec ca punaḥ punaḥ ||
yathaiva lohakārāṇāṃ bhastrā vegena cālyate || 113 ||
```

Comm.: V1 reading of *lohakāreṇa* fits well with the passive verb, but it is the only witness to have this, and appears to be an attempt to improve what was probably the original reading *lohakārāṇām* (as attested by the group 2 manuscripts, the source and testimonia).

2.64

Transl.: [...] the wise [yogi] should move the breath in the body. When fatigue arises in the body, he should inhale by way of the sun

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 45

```
tathaiva svaśarīrastham cālayet pavanam sudhīḥ | yadā śramo bhaved dehe tadā sūryeṇa pūrayet |
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
tathaiva svaśarīrasthaś cālyate pavano dhiyā | yathā śramo bhaved dehe tathā vegena pūrayet |
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
tathaiva svaśarīrastham cālayet pavanam sudhīḥ || 2.22 || yathā śramo bhaved dehe tathā sūryena pūrayet |
```

YBD

```
tathaiva svaśarīrasthaṃ cālayet pavanaṃ dhiyā || yadā śramo bhaved dehe tadā sūryeṇa recayet || 114 ||
```

Comm.: Most of the manuscripts support *dhiyā* but the manuscripts of the source text and the testimonia support *sudhīḥ*. Since the subject of the simile is *bhastrā*, one would expect the subject of *cālayet*, which must be different, to be stated (as is the case with *sudhīḥ*). Also, one would expect the instrumental of $dh\bar{\iota}$ to be qualified by some adjective, such as in the case of *sattvāsthayā dhiyā* (Gorakṣaśataka 74b) and *sāttvikayā dhiyā* (Haṭhapradīpikā 2.6b).

2.65

Transl.: in such a way that the abdomen is filled quickly by the breath and hold the nose firmly without using the middle and index fingers.

Sources:

```
Gorakṣaśataka 45cd-46ab
```

```
yathodaram bhavet pūrṇam pavanena tathā laghu |
dhārayan nāsikā madhyam tarjanībhyām vinā drdham |
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
yathodaram bhavet pūrṇam pavanena tathā laghu | dhārayen nāsikām madhyatarjanībhyām vinā drḍham ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
yathodaraṃ bhavet pūrṇaṃ pavanena tathā laghu || 2.23 || dhārayen nāsikāṃ madhyātarjanībhyāṃ vinā dṛḍham | 23c madhyā | madhye vl
```

Comm.: Only two witnesses have *madhyātarjanībhyāṃ* and it is not well attested by the manuscripts of the source text and testimonia. To hold the nose without the middle and index fingers is consistent with the way alternate nostril breathing is done in modern yoga (ref??). However, the reading of many manuscripts suggests that the nose was held with only the index fingers of both hands (*nāsikāmadhye ... tathā*) or that the nose was held with all the fingers of both hands, except the index fingers (*nāsikāmadhye ... vinā*), which seems highly impracticable. It is likely that scribes changed *madhyātarjanībhyāṃ* to *madhye tarjanībhyām* or *madhyam tarjanībhyām* because of the *pāda* break.

2.66

Transl.: Having done the retention as before, the yogi should exhale through the left channel. [Because] it removes [imbalances] in wind, bile and phlegm, increases the body's fire,

Sources:

Goraksaśataka

kumbhakam pūrvavat kṛtvā recayed iḍayānilam kaṇthotthitānalaharam śarīrāgnivivardhanam

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
kumbhakaṃ pūrvavat kṛtvā recayed iḍayā tataḥ |
vātapittaśleṣmaharaṃ śarīrāgnivivardhanam ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
kumbhakam pūrvavat kṛtvā recayed iḍayānilam || 2.24 | vātapittaślesmaharam śarīrāgnivivardhanam |
```

Comm.:

2.67

Transl.: is an auspicious thunderbolt that awakens kuṇḍalinī, destroys bad deeds, bestows happiness, and destroys the blockage of phlegm, etc., situated at the mouth of the central channel,

Sources:

Goraksaśataka

```
kuṇḍalībodhakaṃ vajraṃ pāpaghnaṃ śubhadaṃ sukham |
brahmanādīmukhāntahsthakaphādyargalanāśanam ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
kuṇḍalībodhanaṃ kuryāt pāpaghnaṃ sukhadaṃ śubham |
brahmanāḍīmukhe saṃsthaṃ kapāṭārgalanāśanam ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

brahmanādīmukhe saṃsthakaphādyargalanāśanam |

Yuktabhavadeva

```
kuṇḍalībodhanaṃ sarvadoṣaghnaṃ sukhadaṃ śubham ||
brahmanāḍīmukhāntasthakaphādyargalanāśanam || 117 ||
```

Comm.: The word *vipra* in V1 seems to be a mistake for *vajraṃ* in the *Gorakṣaśataka*.

Maybe comment on pāpaghnam and bhavaghnam

The J10 group has rewritten this verse in the masc sg. with *kumbhaḥ*. And this is possible without the *viśeṣeṇaiva* [...] *kumbhakaṃ tv idaṃ* line. However, it seems that the *viśeṣeṇaiva* line has dropped out because it is in the Gorakṣaśataka. Therefore, the neuter was probably original.

2.68

Transl.: [and] completely pierces the three knots that have arisen from the three *guṇas*, this retention called 'the bellows' in particular is to be done.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka

guṇatrayasamudbhūtagranthitrayavibhedakam || 48 || viśesenaiva kartavyam bhastrākhyam kumbhakam tv idam

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
samyaggātrasamudbhūtagranthitrayavibhedanam | viśeseṇaiva kartavyaṃ bhastrākhyaṃ kumbhakaṃ tv idam ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

viśesenaiva kartavyam bhastrākhyam kumbhakam tv idam || 2.25 ||

YBhD

```
samyaggātrasamudbhūtagranthitrayavibhedanam ||
viśeṣeṇaiva karttavyaṃ bhastrākhyaṃ kumbhakaṃ tv idam || 118 ||
```

Comm.:

2.69

Transl.: Now Bhrāmarī— The inhalation has a forceful noise and the sound of a male bee, and the exhalation has the sound of the female bee and is very slow. For the best yogis, as a result of practising thus, there arises in the mind an extraordinary blissful playfulness.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
bhramarī—
vegodghoṣaṃ pūrakaṃ bhṛṅganādaṃ
bhṛṅgīnādaṃ recakaṃ mandamandam |
yogīndrāṇāṃ nityam abhyāsayogāc
citte jātā kācid ānandalīlā ||
```

Hațharatnāvalī

atha bhrāmarī—
vegodghoṣaṃ pūrakaṃ bhṛṅganādaṃ
bhṛṅgīnādaṃ recakaṃ mandamandam |
yogīndrāṇāṃ nityam abhyāsayogāc
citte jātā kā cid ānandalīlā || 2.26 ||

YBD

atha bhrāmarī—
vegodghoṣaṃ pūrakaṃ bhrṃganādaṃ
recakaṃ mandamandam ||
yogīndrāṇāmevamabhyāsayogāc
citte jātā kācidānandalīlā || 119

YPr.

bhrāmarīkumbhakam lakṣayatyatheti || vegena sañjāta udghoṣo yasmin pūrake tam bhrmganādatulyam

HSC

```
vegākṛṣṭim pūrakaṃ bhṛṃganādaṃ
bhaṃgānādaṃ recakaṃ maṃdaṃ maṃdaṃ ||
yogīdrāṇām evam abhyāsayogac
cite jātā kā cid ānaṃdamūrchā ||
vegodghoṣam iti vā pāṭhaḥ ||
```

Kumbhakapaddhati

```
aliśabdayutaṃ vegāt pūrayet kumbhayet tataḥ |
sāliśabdāc chanai rekāt bhrāmarīkumbhako muneḥ ||
ānandalīlām kurute bhrāmarīkumbhako muneh || 169 ||
```

Gherandasamhitā 7.10-11

```
anilaṃ mandavegena bhrāmarīkumbhakaṃ caret | mandaṃ mandaṃ recayed vāyuṃ bhrṇganādaṃ tato bhavet || 7.10 || antaḥsthaṃ bhramarīnādaṃ śrutvā tatra mano nayet | samādhir jāyate tatra ānandaḥ so 'ham ity ataḥ || 7.11 ||
```

Comm.: The first hemistich needs a verb because *recaka* and *pūraka* are in the accusative and it is difficult to construe without one.

2.70

Transl.: Now mūrcchā— Having deeply applied Jālandhara at the end of the inhalation, [the yogi] should exhale slowly. This [kumbhaka] called mūrcchā gives the bliss of the fainting

mind.

Sources:

```
Yogacintāmaņi
```

mūrchā pūrakānte gāḍhataraṃ bandho jālandharaḥ śanaiḥ | recayen mūrchanākhyo 'yaṃ manomūrchā sukhapradā ||

Hatharatnāvalī

atha mūrcchā—
pūrakānte gāḍhataraṃ baddhva jālandharaṃ śanaiḥ |
recayen mūrcchanākhyo 'yaṃ manomūrcchā sukhapradā || 2.27 ||

YBD

atha mūrcchā pūrakānte gāḍhataraṃ baddhvā jālandharaṃ śanaiḥ || recayen mūrcchanākhyeyam manomūrcchā sukhapradā || 120 ||

Kumbhakapaddhati

āpūrya kumbhitam prāṇam badhvā jālandharam śanaiḥ | recayen mūrcchanākumbho manomūrcchā sukhapradā || 170 ||

Comm.: The kumbhaka (retention) is not apparent in the description.

2.71

Transl.: Now Plāvinī— [The yogi] whose abdomen is completely filled by the breath of eructation, which has been internally inverted, floats easily like a lotus leaf even on deep water.

Testimonia:

[Not in Yogacintāmani, Hatharatnāvalī]

Cf. Kumbhakapaddhati 171

yatheṣṭaṃ pūrayed vāyuṃ baddhe jālandhare dṛḍhe | hṛdi dhṛtvā jale suptvā plāvinīkumbhako bhavet || 171 ||

YBD

antaḥpravarttitādhāramārutāpūritodaraḥ || payasy agādhe 'pi sukhāt plavate padmapatravat || ayameva plāvinī kumbhako'pi || 121 ||

Yogaprakāśikā

plāvanīkumbhakam lakṣayati antariti || antahsañcāritenāpānavāyunā pūritamudaram yasyeti vigrahah ||

Comm.: We have understood *udgāramāruta* to refer to the breath of eructation, i.e. the nāga breath as described in e.g. *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* 36. We have adopted *payasy agādhe pi sukham* in pāda c because *sukham* gives a better meaning and it is a bha-vipulā V19 and P28, Yogacintāmaṇi and the Haṭharatnāvalī omit this verse and accordingly do not mention plāvinī in verse 44, substituting it with kevala.

2.72

Transl.: Now kevalakumbhaka— Prāṇāyāma is said to be threefold, with exhalation, inhalation, and retention. Retention is considered twofold: sahita and kevala.

Sources:

GŚ

```
prāṇaś ca dehajo vāyur āyāmaḥ kumbhakaḥ smṛtaḥ | sa eva dvividhah proktah sahitah kevalas tathā ||
```

Vasisthasamhitā 3.2cd

prāṇāyāmas tribhih prokto recapūrakakumbhakaih || 2 ||

Testimonia:

YBD

```
atha kevalaḥ—
prāṇāyāmas tridhā prokto recapūrakakumbhakaiḥ ||
sahitah kevalaś ceti kumbhako dvividho matah || 122 ||
```

Comm.:

2.73

Transl.: The [kumbhaka] that one performs with exhalation and inhalation is sahita. One should practice sahita until kevala is perfected.

Sources:

Cf. DYŚ

```
sahito recapūrābhyāṃ tasmāt sahitakumbhakaḥ |
```

GŚ

yāvat kevalasiddhiḥ syāt tāvat sahitam abhyaset |

Vasisthasamhitā 3.28

```
virecyāpūrya yaṃ kuryāt sa vai sahitakumbhakaḥ
sahitaṃ kevalaṃ cātha kumbhakaṃ nityam abhyaset ||
yāvat kevalasiddhiḥ syāt tāvat sahitam abhyaset |
```

Yogayājñavalkya 6.31cd-32

```
recya cāpūrya yaḥ kuryāt sa vai sahitakumbhakaḥ ||
sahitaṃ kevalaṃ cātha kumbhakaṃ nityam abhyaset |
yāvat kevalasiddhiḥ syāt tāvat sahitam abhyaset ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

ārecyāpūrya yat kuryāt sa vai sahitakumbhakah |

YBD

```
recya vā pūrakaḥ kāryaḥ śanaiḥ sahitakumbhakaḥ ||
yāvat kevalasiddhiḥ syāt sahitaṃ tāvad abhyaset || 123 ||
```

Comm.: The *Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā*'s reading, which is not found in any of the HP mss, is the only one that makes sense of 2.73ab so has been adopted.

2.74

Transl.: Holding the breath comfortably without exhalation and inhalation is kevalakumbhaka. This is said to be [the true] prāṇāyāma.

Sources:

Vasisthasamhitā 3.27

```
recanaṃ pūraṇaṃ muktvā sukhaṃ yad vāyudhāraṇam |
prāṇāyāmo 'yam ity uktaḥ sa vai kevalakumbhakaḥ ||
```

Yogayājñavalkya 6.30cd-6.31ab

recakaṃ pūrakaṃ muktvā sukhaṃ yad vāyudhāraṇam | prāṇāyāmo 'yam ity uktaḥ sa vai kevalakumbhakaḥ ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
recakam pūrakam muktvā yat sukham vāyudhāraṇam |
prānāyāmo 'yam ity uktah sa vai kevalakumbhakah ||
```

Hațharatnāvalī

```
atha kevalaḥ—
recakaṃ pūrakaṃ muktvā sukhaṃ yad vāyudhāraṇam |
prāṇāyāmo 'yam ity uktaḥ sa vai kevalakumbhakaḥ ||
```

YBD

```
recakaṃ pūrakaṃ muktvā yad vāyudhāraṇam ||
prānāyāmo 'yam ity uktaḥ sa vai kevalakumbhakaḥ ||
```

Yogadīpikā 77cd-78ab

recakam pūrakam muktvā susukham vāyudhāranam prānāyāmoyam ityuktah sa vai kevalakumbhakah

Comm.: The relative pronoun is omitted in V1 and the J10 group, which have *vāyunirodhanam*. But the relative is needed to connect the description of kevalakumbhaka in the first hemistich to the last pāda (*sa vai kevalakumbhakaḥ*). On this verse see Sellmer ?date of article.

2.75

Transl.: When kevalakumbhaka without exhalation and inhalation is accomplished, there is nothing in the three worlds that is impossible for the yogi to achieve.

Sources:

Vasisthasamhitā 3.30

kevale kumbhake siddhe recapūraṇavarjite | na tasya durlabham kim cit trisu lokesu vidyate |

DYŚ

kevale kumbhake siddhe recapūrakavarjite | na tasya durlabham kim cit trisu lokesu vidyate || 74 ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī

kevale kumbhake siddhe recapūrakavarjite | na tasya durlabhaṃ kiñ cit triṣu lokeṣu vidyate ||

2.76

Transl.: He who is empowered by kevalakumbhaka undoubtedly attains [the ability to] hold the breath as long as he wants and the state of Rājayoga.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī

```
śaktaḥ kevalakumbhena yatheṣṭaṃ vāyudhāraṇam | etādṛśo rājayogo kathito nātra saṃśayaḥ || 2.30 ||
```

YBD

```
śaktaḥ kevalakumbhena yathesṭaṃ vāyudhāraṇam ||
rājayogapadaṃ saṃyak labhate nātra saṃśayaḥ || 126 ||
```

HTK

```
haṭhapradīpikāyām-
śaktaḥ kevalakumbhena yatheṣṭaṃ vāyudhāraṇe |
rājayogapadaṃ caiva labhate nātra saṃśayaḥ || 59 ||
```

Comm.:

2.77

Transl.: Rājayoga does not succeed without Haṭha nor Haṭha without Rājayoga. So, one should practise both until the nispatti [stage].

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 5.222

```
haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogo rājayogaṃ vinā haṭhaḥ |
na sidhyati tato yugmam āniṣpatteḥ samabhyaset
[middle hemistich not in mss. I, III, IV, VII, IX, X, XII, XIV–XVI]
tasmāt pravartate yogī haṭhe sadgurumārgataḥ ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
haṭhapradīpikāyām haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogo rājayogaṃ vinā haṭhaḥ |
na sidhyati tato yugmaṃ manīṣy etau samabhyaset |
haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogaṃ rājayogaṃ vinā haṭham |
ye vai caranti tān manye prayāsaphalavarjitān iti ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogo rājayogaṃ vinā haṭhaḥ |
vyāptiḥ syād avinābhūtā śrīrājahaṭhayogayoḥ || 1.19 ||
```

YBD

```
haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogo rājayogaṃ vinā haṭhaḥ ||
na sidhyati tato yugmam āniṣpatteḥ samācaret || 127 ||
```

Śivayogadarpana

```
haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogo rājayogaṃ vinā haṭhaḥ |
na sidhyati tato yugmam manīsī tat samabhyaset || 6 ||
```

Comm.:

2.78

Transl.: At the end of exhaling the retained breath, one should make the mind supportless. One reaches the state of Rājayoga by practising thus.

Testimonia:

YBD

kumbhitaḥ prāṇarecānte kuryyāc cittaṃ nirāmayam || evamabhyāsayogena rājayogapadaṃ vrajet || 128 ||

HTK 44.60

kumbhitaḥ prāṇarecānte kuryyāc cittaṃ nirāśrayam | evamabhyāsayogena rājayogaṃ labhet punaḥ || 60 || nirāśrayaṃ saṃkalparahitam ||

Yogaprakāśikā

tad eva visadayati kumbhakam iti || kevalakumbhakābhyāsena cittam dagdhaparṇavat nirvāsanam bhavatītyarthaḥ || anyad vyākhyātam || 67 ||

Comm.:

2.79

Transl.: Because of a kumbhaka, kuṇḍalinī awakens; from the awakening of kuṇḍalinī, suṣumṇā becomes free of blockages and success in Hatha arises.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

kumbhakāt kuṇḍalībodhaḥ kuṇḍalībodhato bhavet | anargalah susumṇānto hathasiddhiś ca jāyate ||

YBD

kumbhakāt kuṇḍalībodhaḥ kuṇḍalībodhato bhavet || anargalā suṣumnā ca haṭhasiddhiśca jāyate || 128 ||

HTK

kumbhakāt kuṇḍalībodhaḥ kuṇḍalībodhato bhavet | anargalā suṣumṇā ca haṭhasiddhiḥ prajāyate || iti || 61 || kumbhakaprāṇāyāmāt bodho jāgaraṇaṃ | suṣumṇā anargalā bādhakarahitā bhavati | tato yogasiddhir bhavati iti ||

Comm.:

2.80

Transl.: Thinness of the body, healthy complexion, clarity of the internal resonance, very bright eyes, freedom from disease, mastery of semen, stimulation of the [body's] fire [and] purification of the channels are the signs of success in Haṭha.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

vapuḥkṛśatvaṃ vadane prasannatā nādasphuṭatvaṃ nayane sunirmale | arogitā bindujayo ʾgnidīpanaṃ nāḍīviśuddhir haṭhasiddhilakṣaṇam ||

YBD

vapuḥ kṛśatvaṃ vadane prasannatā nādasphuṭatvaṃ nayane ca nirmale || arogatā bindujayo'gnidīpanaṃ nāḍīviśuddhir haṭhasiddhilakṣaṇam || 130 ||

Comm.: