3.1

Translation: Just as the lord of snakes is the foundation of the regions of the earth along with their mountains and forests, so Kuṇḍalinī is the foundation of all systems of yoga.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.124

saśailavanadhātryās tu yathādhāro 'hināyakaḥ | aśeṣayogatantrāṇāṃ tathādhāro hi kuṇḍalī ||

Yogacintāmani f. 71v

haṭhapradīpikāyām saśailavanadhātrīṇāṃ yathādhāro 'hināyakaḥ | sarveṣāṃ yogatantrāṇāṃ tathādhāro hi kuṇḍalī ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.170

tatra haṭhapradīpikāyām saśailavanadhātrīṇāṃ yathādhāro 'hināyakaḥ | sarvesām yogatantrānām tathādhāro hi kundalī ||

Commentary: The plural °dhātrīṇām is hard to construe. Only this world has mountains and forests, but we want a plural for the comparison with °tantrāṇām. Brahmānanda (Jyotsnā 3.1) understands °dhātrīṇām to refer to the different regions of the earth, even though the world (dhātrī) is a single entity (dhātryā ekatve 'pi deśabhedād bhedam ādāya bahuvacanam). A similar comment occurs in the Yogaprakāśikā 5.1 (yathā samastadvīpādisahitapṛthvī ādhāraḥ phaṇīndras tathā samastayogādhāraḥ kuṇḍalīty āha saśaileti). The author of the Haṭharatnāvalī circumvented this issue by adopting the reading °dhātryāḥ.

3.2

Translation: When the sleeping Kuṇḍalinī awakens through the favour of the guru, then all the lotuses are pierced, and the knots too, [...]

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 4.21

suptā guruprasādena yadā jāgarti kuṇḍalī | tadā sarvāṇi padmāni bhidyante granthayo 'pi ca ||

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 71v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā) suptā guruprasādena bodhitā sukhadā bhavet |
```

tathā sarvāni padmāni bhidyante granthayo 'pi ca ||

Yuktabhavadeva 171 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

suptā guruprasādena yadā jāgarti kuṇḍalī |

tadā sarvāni padmāni bhidyante granthayo 'pi ca ||

Commentary: The usual meaning of *jāgarti* would be "is wakeful" rather than "awakens", which explains the variant *bodhitā*.

3.3

Translation: [...] the empty pathway becomes the royal highway for *prāṇa*, the mind becomes free of support, and death is cheated.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 72r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

prāṇasya śūnyapadavī tathā rājapathāyate | tathā cittam nirālambam tathā kālasya vañcanam ||

Metre: Anustubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.4 heading

Translation: What is "the empty pathway"?

3.4

Translation: Suṣumṇā, the empty pathway, the great path to the aperture of Brahmā, the cremation ground, Śāmbhavī, and the middle path are synonyms.

Sources:

Cf. Amrtasiddhi 2.6

avadhūtīpadaṃ ke cic chmaśānaṃ ca mahāpatham | ke cid vadanti ādhārāṃ suṣumnāṃ ca sarasvatīm ||

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 109c-110b

mahāpathaṃ śmaśānaṃ ca suṣumnāpy ekam eva hi || nāmnāṃ matāntare bhedaḥ phale bhedo na vidyate |

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi f. 59r

hathapradīpikāyām—

suṣumṇā śūnyapadavī brahmarandhraṃ mahāpatham | śmaśānī śāmbhavī madhyamārgaś cety ekavācakā iti ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.172 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

prāṇasya śūnyapadavī mahārandhraṃ mahāpatham | śmaśānam śāmbhavī madhyamārgaś cety ekavācakam ||

Metre: Anustubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.5

Translation: Therefore, in order to do his utmost to awaken the goddess sleeping in front of the doorway of Brahman, [the yogi] should carry out the practice of *mudrā*.

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 4.22

tasmāt sarvaprayatnena prabodhayitum īśvarīm | brahmadvāramukhe suptām mudrābhyāsam samācaret ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 59r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

tasmāt sarvaprayatnena prabodhayitum īśvarīm | brahmadvāramukhe suptām mudrābhyāsaparo bhavet ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.173 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

tasmāt sarvaprayatnena prabodhayitum īśvarīm | brahmadvāramukhe suptāṃ mudrābhyāsaṃ samācaret ||

3.6

Translation: The great seal, the great lock, the great piercing, the sky-roving [seal], the $uddiy\bar{a}na$ [lock], the root lock, then [the lock] called $j\bar{a}landhara$, [...]

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 4.23

mahāmudrā mahābandho mahāvedhaś ca khecarī | jālandharo mūlabandho viparītakṛtis tathā ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.32

mahāmudrā mahābandho mahāvedhas trtīyakah |

```
uḍḍiyānaṃ mūlabandho bandho jālandharābhidhaḥ ||
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 72r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
mahāmudrā mahābandho mahāvedhaś ca khecarī |
uḍḍiyānaṃ mūlabandho bandho jālandharābhidhaḥ ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.174 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
mahāmudrā mahābandho mahāvedhaś ca khecarī |
uḍyānaṃ mūlabandhaś ca bandho jālandharas tathā ||
Metre: Anustubh (c: ra-vipulā)
```

3.7

Translation: [...] the bodily position called inverted, $vajrol\bar{\iota}$ [and] the stimulation of the goddess: this group of ten $mudr\bar{a}s$ and other [practices] destroys old age and death.

Sources:

Śivasaṃhitā 4.24

uḍyānaṃ caiva vajrolī daśamaṃ śakticālanam | idam hi mudrādaśakam mudrānām uttamottamam ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.33

```
karaṇī viparītākhyā vajrolī śakticālanam |
sampradāyā khecarī sā daśa mudrāḥ prakīrtitāḥ ||
```

Yogacintāmani f. 72r (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

karaṇī viparītākhyā tathā vai śakticālanam | etad dhi mudrānavakam jarāmaranavarjitam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.175 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

```
viparītakṛtiś caiva vajrolī śakticālanam | idam hi mudrādaśakam mudrānām uttamomam ||
```

The reading of α *idaṃ* $mudr\bar{a}di^{\circ}$, is not attested by the other manuscript groups but it makes sense in so far as 'locks' (bandha) and 'actions' (karaṇa) figure among the ten techniques taught in this chapter. It is also consistent with the reference to $mudr\bar{a}di$ in 1.55. However, most manuscripts have readings, such as idaṃ hi $mudr\bar{a}daśakam$, that refer to the techniques of this chapter as only $mudr\bar{a}s$, and this is consistent with 3.104.

Metre: Anustubh (c: na-vipulā)

3.8

Translation: It has been taught by Śiva, is divine, bestows the eight supramundane powers, is beloved of all the Siddhas, is difficult for even the gods to obtain, [...]

Sources:

Testimonia:

3.9

Translation: [...] should be carefully kept secret like a casket of gems [and] must not be spoken of to anyone, like sex with a respectable woman.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 72r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
gopanīyaṃ prayatnena yathā ratnakaraṇḍakam |
kasyacin naiva vaktavyaṃ kulastrīsurataṃ yathā ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.177 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
gopanīyaṃ prayatnena jarāmaraṇanāśanam |
kasyacinnaiva vaktavyaṃ kulastrīsurataṃ yathā ||
```

3.9*1

Translation: [With] *Amarolī* and *Sahajolī*, Vajrolī is considered to be threefold. I shall teach their characteristics and the details of how they should be performed.

Sources:

```
Dattātreyayogaśāstra 31c–32b

vajrolī cāmarolī ca sahajolī tridhā matā |

etesām laksanam vaksye kartavyam ca viśesatah ||
```

vajrolī | vajrolir v.l. cāmarolī | amaroliś v.l. sahajolī | sahajolis v.l.

3.10 heading

Translation: Of these, the great seal (*mahāmudrā*) [is now taught]:

3.10

Translation: [The yogi] should press the perineum with the heel of the left foot, hold [the foot of] the extended leg with the hands and breathe in through the mouth.

Sources:

Amaraugha 19

pādamūlena vāmena yonim sampīdya dakṣiṇam | pādam prasāritam dhṛtvā karābhyām pūrayen mukhe || dhṛtvā] krtvā vl.

Cf. Amrtasiddhi 11.3

yonim sampīḍya vāmena pādamūlena yatnataḥ | savyam prasāritam pādam karābhyām dhārayed drdham ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.37

pādamūlena vāmena yoniṃ sampīḍya dakṣiṇam | pādaṃ prasāritaṃ kṛtvā karābhyāṃ pūrayen mukham || mukham | mukhe v.l.

Yogacintāmaṇi ff. 72v-73r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

pādamūlena vāmena yonim sampīdya daksiņam | pādam prasāritam dhṛtvā karābhyām pūrayen mukham ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.178 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

pādamūlena vāmena yoniṃ sampīḍya dakṣiṇam | pādam prasāritam krtvā karābhyām dhārayed drdham ||

3.11

Translation: [The yogi] should apply a lock to the throat and hold the breath in the upper [part of the body]. Just as a snake hit with a staff assumes the form of a staff, [...]

Sources:

Amaraugha 20

kaṇṭhe bandhaṃ samāropya dhārayed vāyum ūrdhvataḥ | yathā daṇḍāhataḥ sarpo daṇḍākāraḥ prajāyate ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.37cd-38ab

kaṇṭhe bandhaṃ samāropya pūrayed vāyum ūrdhvataḥ || yathā dandāhatah sarpo dandākārah prajāyate |

Yogacintāmaņi ff. 73r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

kaṇṭhe bandhaṃ samāropya dhārayed vāyum ūrdhvataḥ | yathā daṇḍāhataḥ sarpo daṇḍākāraḥ prajāyate ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.179 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

kaṇṭhe bandhaṃ samāropya dhārayed vāyum ūrdhvataḥ | yathā daṇḍāhataḥ sarpo daṇḍākāraḥ prajāyate ||

Commentary: The instruction to hold the breath upwards ($\bar{u}rdhvatah$) is somewhat vague. In a commentarial passage on this verse in *Yuktabhavadeva* 7.187, Bhavadevamiśra clarifies this by saying, 'one should hold it higher than the heart' ($hrdayad \bar{u}rdhvato dh\bar{u}rayet$).

3.12

Translation: [...] so the goddess Kuṇḍalinī suddenly becomes straight. Then she becomes still in the vessel with two halves.

Sources:

Amaraugha 21

rjvībhūtā tathā śaktiḥ kuṇḍalī sahasā bhavet | tadāsau maranāvasthā jāyate dviputāśritā ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.38cd-39ab

rjvībhūtā tathā śaktiḥ kuṇḍalī sahajā bhavet || tathā sā maraṇāvasthā jāyate dvipuṭīsthitā |

Yogacintāmaṇi ff. 72v-73r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

rjvībhūtā tathā śaktiḥ kuṇḍalī sahajā bhavet | tathāsau maraṇāvasthāṃ harate dvipuṭāśrayām || dviputā] em., dvipatā° L, dvipadā° N.

Yuktabhavadeva 7.180 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

rjvībhūtā tathā śaktiḥ kuṇḍalī sahasā bhavet | tadāsau maranāvasthā jāyate dviputāśritā ||

Commentary: This verse is taken from the *Amaraugha*, which uses the alchemical imagery of the *Amṛtasiddhi* to describe the stilling of Kundalinī in the central channel. Drawing on Hellwig 2009: 238-240, Mallinson and Szanto (2021: 21) note that "In alchemical texts mārana ("killing") involves heating a substance and thereby changing its state, usually through calcination or oxidation, so that it becomes inert. In the Amrtasiddhi māraṇa and other derivatives of the root mr, "die", are used to denote the stilling or stopping of either the breath or Bindu." Thus when Kundalinī is said to be in the state of marana the meaning is that she is stilled. The *dviputa* or "vessel with two halves" in which this occurs is the same as the Amrtasiddhi's samputa, which, drawing on Hellwig 2009: 342, Mallinson and Szanto (2021: 22) say "consists of two putas joined together to form a sealed crucible for heating reagents without evaporation". In the yoga of the Amrtasiddhi, the bodily samputa is formed by applying locks at the top and bottom of the central channel, i.e. constricting the perineal region and the throat. In the Hathapradīpikā it is formed by pressing the perineum with the heel and constricting the throat.

As Birch (2019: 971) notes, it is unlikely that later non-Buddhist authors understood maraṇāvasthā and dvipuṭa according to the alchemical metaphors of the Amrtasiddhi. Later commentators take dviputa as the two nostrils (e.g., Yuktabhavadeva 7.187, dvināsāpuṭa); the iḍā and pingalā channels (e.g., Jyotsnā 3.27, puṭayor dvayam iḍāpingalayor yugmam); or the in and out flows of the breath (e.g., Yogaprakāśikā 5.16-17, vāyor bahirnirgamanam antahpraveśa iti yat puṭadvayam tam). How these commentators understood asau māraṇāvasthā is less clear. Brahmānanda seems to take it as the death of prāna, or in other words, the absence of the breath, in the two nostrils (maranāvasthā jāyate kundalībodhe sati suşumnāyām praviste prāņe dvayoh putayoh prāņaviyogāt). Bhavadeva thought that Kundalinī, along with prāṇa and apāna, remains in the two nostrils while the breath is being held (evam vāyudhāraņāyām kriyamānāyām vyākulā bhūtā Kundalinī apānaprāṇābhyām saha nāsāpuṭadvayāśritā bhavati). Others, such as Śivānanda and Bālakrsna, favour the reading tadā sā maranāvasthām harate dviputāśritām (or dviputāśrayām), which is present in group 2 and 3 manuscripts of the Hathapradīpikā. Bālakrsna understands this to mean that the great seal destroys death (maraṇāvasthām harate mahāmudreti bhāvaḥ) but it could also mean that the awakened Kundalinī destroys death, which is usually dependent on the in and out breaths.

The form $rjv\bar{\iota}bh\bar{\iota}t\bar{a}$ is non-Paninian (it should be $rj\bar{\iota}bh\bar{\iota}t\bar{a}$) but all witnesses have $rjv\bar{\iota}$ or variants thereof. α_1 has $rjv\bar{\iota}$ $bh\bar{\iota}tv\bar{a}$ which is correct morphologically, but

it does not make sense with *bhavet* in the next $p\bar{a}da$ and may be an attempt at correction by the redactor.

3.13

Translation: [The yogi] should then exhale very slowly, not quickly. This is the great seal revealed by the great Siddhas.

Testimonia:

```
Yuktabhavadeva 181 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
tataḥ śanaiḥ śanair eva recayen na tu vegataḥ |
iyam khalu mahāmudrā mahāsiddhaih pradrśyate ||
```

3.14

Translation: Problems such as the great afflictions [and] death and so forth dissolve, and that is why the most wise call it the 'great seal.'

Sources:

Amaraugha 22

```
mahākleśādayo doṣā bhidyante maraṇādayaḥ | mahāmudrāṃ tu tenaiva vadanti vibudhottamāḥ || mahākleśādayo doṣā ] mahārogā mahākleśā v.l. bhidyante ] jīryante v.l.
```

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 72v (attr. to the Skandapurāṇa)
mahākleśā yato doṣā jīryante maraṇādayaḥ |
mahāmudrāṃ ca tenaiva vadanti vibudhottamā iti ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.182 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)
```

```
mahākleśā yato doṣā jīryante maraṇādayaḥ |
```

mahāmudrā ca tām eva vadanti vibudhottamāh 🏽

Commentary: This verse might be explaining the name of *mahāmudrā* through assonance with *mahākleśādayo*, *doṣā* and *maraṇādayah* in the first line.

3.15

Translation: After practising on the lunar side of the body, the yogi should then practise on the solar side. [The yogi] should finish practising the seal when the count is even.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 60

candrāṅge tu samabhyasya sūryāṅge punar abhyaset | yāvat tulyā bhavet saṅkhyā tato mudrāṃ visarjayet ||

Testimonia:

Yuktabhavadeva 7.183 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

candrāṅgena samabhyasya sūryāṅgenābhyaset tataḥ | yāvat tulyā bhavet samkhyā tato mudrām visarjayet ||

Commentary: The terms *candrāṅga* and *sūryāṅga* are unusual and not used in other yoga texts outside the context of *mahāmudrā*. In *Jyotsnā* 3.15, Brahmānanda glosses *candrāṅga* as *vāmāṅga* ('the left side of the body') and *sūryāṅga* as *dakṣāṅga* ('the right side of the body') and goes on to explain the sequence of practice as follows:

This is the sequence in the [practice]. Joining the heel of the bent left leg with the region of the perineum and holding the big toe of the extended right leg with bent index fingers bent is the practice, that is, the practice on the left side of the body ($v\bar{a}m\bar{a}nga$). In this practice, the inhaled breath remains on the left side of the body. Joining the heel of the bent right leg with the region of the perineum and holding the big toe of the extended left leg with bent index fingers is the practice, that is, the practice on the right side of the body. In this practice, the inhaled breath remains on the right side of the body. $atr\bar{a}yam\ kramah\ |\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}citav\bar{a}map\bar{a}dap\bar{a}rsnim\ yonisth\bar{a}ne\ samyojya\ prasaritadaksinap\bar{a}dangustham\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}citatarjanibhyam\ grhītvābhyaso\ vāmange\ 'bhyāsah\ |\ asminn\ abhyāse\ pūrito\ vāyur\ dakṣānge\ 'bhyāsah\ |\ asminn\ abhyāse\ pūrito\ vāyur\ dakṣānge\ tiṣthati\ |\ asminn\ abhyāse\ pūrito\ vāyur\ dakṣūnge\ tiṣthati\ |\ asminn\ abhyāse\ pūrito\ vāyur\ daksūnge\ tiṣthati\ |\ asminn\$

3.16

Translation: [For the yogi who practises thus] there is no wholesome or unwholesome [food], and all flavours without exception become flavourless. Even terrible poison, when consumed, is digested like nectar.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 61

na hi pathyam apathyam vā rasāh sārve 'pi nīrasāh |

```
api bhuktam viṣam ghoram pīyūṣam iva jīryati || jīryati] jīryate v.l., jāyate v.l.
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.40

na hi pathyam apathyam vā rasāḥ sarve 'pi nīrasāḥ | api bhuktam viṣam ghoram pīyūṣam iva jīryate ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

na hi pathyam apathyam vā rasāḥ sarve 'pi nīrasāḥ | api bhuktam viṣam ghoram pīyūṣam iva jīryati ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.184 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

iha pathyam apathyam vā sarasam nirasam ca vā | api bhuktam viṣam ghoram pīyūṣam iva jīryati ||

3.17

Translation: Diseases such as consumption, skin afflictions, constipation, swelling and indigestion disappear for [the yogi] who practises the great seal.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 62

kṣayakuṣṭagudāvarttagulmājīrṇajvaravyathāḥ | tasya doṣāḥ kṣayaṃ yānti mahāmudrāṃ tu yo 'bhyaset || tasya doṣāḥ] sarvarogāh v.l., rogās tasya v.l.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.41

kṣayakuṣṭhagudāvartagulmājīrṇapurogamāḥ | doṣāḥ sarve kṣayaṃ yānti mahāmudrāṃ tu yo 'bhyaset ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

kṣayakuṣṭhagudāvartagulmaplīhapurogamāḥ | tasya dosāh ksayam yānti mahāmudrām ca yo 'bhyaset ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.185 (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

kṣayakuṣṭhagudāvarttagulmājīrṇapurogamāḥ | tasya rogāh ksayam yānti mahāmudrām tu yo'bhyaset ||

3.18

Translation: This great seal has been taught. It brings about the great *siddhi* for

men: it should be carefully kept secret and should not be given to all and sundry.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 63

kathiteyam mahāmudrā mahāsiddhikarī nṛṇām | gopanīyā prayatnena na deyā yasya kasya cit \parallel

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.42

kathiteyam mahāmudrā jarāmṛtyuvināśinī | gopanīyā prayatnena na deyā yasya kasya cit ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.186 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

kathiteyam mahāmudrā mahāsiddhikarī nṛṇām | gopanīyā prayatnena na deyā yasya kasya cit ||

Commentary: Two readings of the second quarter are well-attested: $jar\bar{a}mrtyuvin\bar{a}sin\bar{\iota}$ (α_1 , etc.) and $mah\bar{a}siddhikar\bar{\iota}$ $nrn\bar{a}m$ (α_3 , etc.). While the first reading is possible, the play on $mah\bar{a}siddhi$ and $mah\bar{a}mudr\bar{a}$ seems more likely original, as seen in the source text, the $Vivekam\bar{a}rtanda$ (without significant variants).

In Jyotsnā 3.18, Brahmānanda understands mahāsiddhi as referring to 'great siddhis,' but in other works it can mean liberation (Mallinson 2012).

3.19 heading

Translation: Now, the great lock (*mahābandha*):

3.19

Translation: [The yogi] should place the heel of the left foot on the perineal region. And he should put the right foot on the left thigh, [...]

Sources:

19ab = $Datt\bar{a}treyayogaśāstra$ 132cd (in the section on $mah\bar{a}mudr\bar{a})$ pārṣṇiṃ vāmasya pādasya yonisthāne niyojayet ||

19cd = Cf. Vivekamārtaṇḍa 8a (not anuṣṭubh)

vāmorūpari dakṣiṇañ ca caraṇaṃ saṃsthāpya

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.43

pārṣṇiṃ vāmasya pādasya yonisthāne niyojayet | vāmorūpari samsthāpya daksinam caranam tathā ||

```
Yogalakşanāvalī f. 31v
```

haṭhapradīpikāyām vāmāṅghripārṣṇibhāgena yonisthānaṃ nipīḍayet | vāmorupari samsthāpya daksinam caranam tathā ||

Yogacintāmani f. 73r

haṭhapradīpikāyām pārṣṇiṃ vāmasya pādasya yonisthāne niyojayet | vāmorūpari saṃsthāpya dakṣṇaṃ caraṇaṃ tathā ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.190 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

pārṣṇivāmasya pādasya yonisthāne niyojayet | vāmorupari saṃsthāpya dakṣiṇaṃ caraṇaṃ tathā ||

Commentary: The seated position for *mahābandha* described in this verse is not in the *Amṛtasiddhi* (chapter 12) or *Amaraugha* (25cd–27). The *Amṛtasiddhi* instructs the same position for *mahāmudrā* and *mahābandha*, and the *Amaraugha* does not comment on the posture of *mahābandha*, implying that its posture is the same as *mahāmudrā*.

3.20

Translation: [...] inhale through the mouth, firmly put the chin on the chest, contract the perineum and fix the mind in the centre.

Sources:

Amaraugha 24

pūrayitvā mukhe vāyuṃ cibukaṃ hṛdaye dṛḍham | nibhṛtya yonim ākuñcya mano madhye niyojayet || nibhṛtya] nibhṛtaṃ v.l.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.44

pūrayen mukhato vāyum hṛdaye cibukam dṛḍham | nibhṛtya yonim ākuñcya mano madhye niyojayet || nibhṛtya] niṣpīḍya v.l.

Yogalakṣanāvalī f. 31v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

pūrayitvā tato vāyuṃ hṛdaye cibukaṃ dṛḍham | niḥpīḍya yonim ākuṃcya mano madhye niyojayet ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 73
v (attr. to the $Haṭhaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a})$

pūrayitvā mukhe vāyum hṛdaye cibukam tathā |

```
niḥpīḍya yonim ākuñcya mano madhye niyojayet ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.190 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

pūrayitvā tato vāyum cibukam dṛḍham |

niḥkṣipya yonim ākuñcya mano madhye niyojayet ||
```

Commentary: The referent of *madhye* is uncertain. The verse is derived from the *Amaraugha*, and the *Amṛtasiddhi* makes no mention of a place to focus the mind in its treatment of *mahābandha* (it does however instruct the yogi to place the mind at the *catuṣpatha* in its teachings on *mahāmudrā*). Bhavadevamiśra (7.196), Brahmānanda (3.20) and Bālakṛṣṇa (5.24) take it to mean the central channel. It could also plausibly mean the region between the chest and perineum, or perhaps the place between the eyebrows. At 3.24 this practice is said to make the mind reach Kedāra, which is sometimes located between the eyebrows (see Mallinson 2007: 214 n.285; Birch 2019: 967 n.57).

3.21

Translation: [The yogi] should hold the breath as long as possible and exhale slowly. And having practised it on the left side, he should practise it on the right side.

Sources:

```
Dattātreyayogaśāstra 62cd (padmāsana), 134cd (mahāmudrā) dhārayitvā yathāśakti recayed iḍayā śanaiḥ || ... vāmāṅgena samabhyasya dakṣiṇāṅgena cābhyaset ||
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.44cd-2.45

```
recayec ca śanair evaṃ mahābandho 'yam ucyate || ayaṃ yogo mahābandhas sarvasiddhipradāyakaḥ | savyāṅge ca samabhyasya dakṣiṇāṅge samabhyaset ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.192ab (attr. to the $Haṭhaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$)

dhārayitvā yathāśakti recayed anilam sudhīh |

Commentary: This section in the description of $mah\bar{a}bandha$ has been subject to various revisions in the manuscript groups. The shortest version occurs in α_1 and α_2 , as well as the β group and the $Hatharatn\bar{a}val\bar{\iota}$, which omit verses 3.21 and 3.22. This omission results in the somewhat odd juxtaposition of two lines stating that this is $mah\bar{a}bandha$.

Verse 3.21 is in the γ group and some manuscripts of the β and η groups. It is a

composite of hemistichs from the $Datt\bar{a}treyayogaś\bar{a}stra$ (62cd, 134cd). The first is taken from a description of $padm\bar{a}sana$, and may have been included by Svātmārāma in his description of $mah\bar{a}bandha$ to make it clear that the breath is held for as long as possible after the inhalation. The second hemistich is from a passage on $mah\bar{a}mudr\bar{a}$, and it echoes a similar statement on $mah\bar{a}mudr\bar{a}$ in $Haṭhaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ 3.15. It also occurs in the α group after 3.22.

3.22

Translation: With regard to this [practice] some are of the opinion that [the yogi] should leave out the throat lock, saying that he should lift up the hollow at the uvula with the tongue instead.

Sources:

Cf. Vivekamārtanda 126ab

sampīdya rasanāgrena rājadantabilam mahat |

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 36

nāsāgre vinyased rājadantamūlaṃ ca jihvayā | uttabhya cibukam vaksasy āsthāpya pavanam śanaih ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani f. 73r (attr. to Īśvara)

matam atra tu keṣāṃ cit kaṇṭhabandhaṃ vivarjayet | rājadantadvayaṃ tatra jihvayottambhayed iti ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.192cd (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

rājadantadvayam tatra jihvayonnamayed iti ||

Commentary: This verse expresses an alternative to the application of the chin lock in $mah\bar{a}bandha$ (mentioned in 3.20). It is found in all groups but is notably absent in α_1 and α_2 , and the δ group. At present we cannot be certain if this verse was written by Svātmārāma because it does not reflect textual teachings on $mah\bar{a}bandha$ in so far as no other text known to us advocates the use of the tongue rather than the chin lock in $mah\bar{a}bandha$. If the verse was composed by Svātmārāma, it was omitted early in the transmission by someone who did not agree with the alternative teaching.

This verse occurs in the *Yogacintāmaṇi* in the middle of a quotation attributed to Īśvara. The other verses of the quoted passage are found in the *Śivasaṃhitā* (4.37–42), but the verse in question is not reported in the critical edition of the *Śivasaṃhitā* (2018). The verse is absent in another passage on *mahābandha* that the author of the *Yogacintāmaṇi* cites and attributes to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*.

We do not find the idea of lifting up the $r\bar{a}jadantabila$ with the tongue in other works, but $Vivekam\bar{a}rtanda$ 126ab instructs the yogi to press it with the tip of the tongue and $Datt\bar{a}treyayogaś\bar{a}stra$ 36 (found at $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a}$ 1.46) instructs the yogi in $padm\bar{a}sana$ to lift up the 'root of the uvula' ($r\bar{a}jadantam\bar{u}la$) with the tongue.

3.23

Translation: This is truly the great lock: it bestows the great *siddhi* [and] is adept at loosening the great bond (*mahābandha*) that is the noose of time.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaņi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
ayaṃ khalu mahābandho mahāsiddhipradāyakaḥ |
kālapāśamahābandhavimocanavicakṣaṇaḥ ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.193 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
ayaṃ khalu mahābandho mahāsiddhipradāyakaḥ |
kālapāśamahābandhavimocanavicakṣaṇaḥ ||
```

3.24

Translation: And this [lock] initiates an upward flow in all the channels. It brings about a confluence at the Trivenī [and] causes the mind to reach Kedāra.

Sources:

Amaraugha 25

ayam ca sarvanāḍīnām ūrdhvaṃgativibodhakaḥ | triveṇīsaṅgame dhatte kedāraṃ prāpayen manaḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.46

```
ayam ca sarvanāḍīnām ūrdhvagativibodhakaḥ | triveṇīsaṅgamam dhatte kedāram prāpayen manaḥ ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

```
ayam tu sarvanādīnām ūrdhvamgamanarodhakaḥ | triveṇīsamgamam dhatte kedāram prāpayen manaḥ ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.94 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

```
ayam hi sarvanāḍīnām ūrdhvam gativibodhakaḥ | trivenīsamgamam dhatte kedāram prāpayen manah ||
```

Commentary: The reading $\bar{u}rdhvamgatibodhakah$ ('initiates an upward flow') is found in the source (Amaraugha 25) and all $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a}$ witnesses except the $Jyotsn\bar{a}$ (where the line is found earlier). While the Amaraughaprabodha has the reading $\bar{u}rdhvamgativiśodhanah$ ('purification of the upward flow'), the Amrtasid-dhi (12.14) states that the chin-lock prevents the upward flow ($\bar{u}rdhvamgatinirod-hakah$) in all the channels. The Amaraugha is referring to the idea (likely accepted by Svātmārāma) that the root lock creates an upward flow in all the channels that prevents the elements and essences of the body from escaping (cf. Amrtasiddhi 12.8–10).

Triveṇī and Kedāra are pilgrimage sites, the former at Prayāga where the Gaṅgā and Yamunā meet, the latter in the Himālaya, near the source of the Gaṅgā. The bodily $triveṇ\bar{\iota}$ is located in the navel or heart by earlier Śaiva works (Birch 2019: 967). Here it may be the same as the $trik\bar{\iota}\iota$ a and located between the eyebrows (Mallinson 2007: 209 n.259). Brahmānanda, who does not identify a location for Triveṇī, understands Kedāra to be between the eyebrows. In the $Khecar\bar{\iota}vidy\bar{\iota}$ it is located on the back of the head above the nape of the neck (Mallinson 2007: 214 n.285). For other references on the location of Kedāra, see Birch 2019: 967 n.57.

3.25

Translation: Like a beautiful and charming woman without a man, the great seal and the great lock are barren without the great piercing.

Sources:

Amaraugha 26

rūpalāvaṇyasampannā yathā strī puruṣaṃ vinā | mahāmudrāmahābandhau niṣphalau vedhavarjitau || °bandhau niṣphalau vedhavarjitaḥ v.l.

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.47

mahāmudrāmahābandhau niṣphalau vedhavarjitau | tasmād yogī prayatnena karoti tritayam kramāt \parallel

Cf. Amrtasiddhi 13.3

guṇarūpavatī nārī niṣphalā puruṣaṃ vinā | mahāmudrāmahābandhau vinā vedhena niṣphalau ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.47

rūpalāvanyasampannā yathā strī purusam vinā |

```
mahāmudrāmahābandhau niṣphalau vedhavarjitau ||

°mahābandhau ] mahābandho v.l.

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

atha mahāvedhaḥ—

rūpalāvaṇyasaṃpannā yathā strī puruṣaṃ vinā |

mahāmudrāmahābandhau niṣphalau vedhavarjitau ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.197 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

atha mahāvedhaḥ -

mahāmudrāmahābandhau niṣphalau vedavarjitau |

rūpalāvaṇyasampannā yathā strī puruṣaṃ vinā ||
```

Commentary: This verse, which is from the *Amaraugha* and similar to verses in the *Amṛtasiddhi* and *Śivasaṃhitā*, is stating that the great seal, lock and piercing should be practised together. This can be done as a sequence as shown in this video (© Mark Robberds).

3.26 heading

Translation: Now the great piercing (*mahāvedha*):

3.26

Translation: While in the great lock, the yogi should inhale, focus his mind and firmly block the flow of the bodily winds by means of the throat seal.

Sources:

Amaraugha 27

punar āsphālayet kaṭyāṃ susthiraṃ kaṇṭhamudrayā | vāyūnāṃ gatim ārudhya kṛtvā pūrakakumbhakau || ārudhya] āvṛtya, āśritya v.l.

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.43

mahābandhasthito yogī kukṣim āpurya vāyunā | sphicau saṃtāpayed dhīmān vedho 'yaṃ kīrtito mayā ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.48

mahābandhasthito yogī kṛtvā pūrakam ekadhīḥ | vāyūnāṃ gatim ākṛṣya nibhṛtaṃ kaṇṭhamudrayā || ekadhīḥ] ekadhā v.l.

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

```
mahābandhe sthito yogī kṛtvā pūrakam ekadhā | vāyūnāṃ gatim āvṛtya nibhṛtaṃ kaṇṭhamudrayā ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.198 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

```
mahābandhasthito yogī kṛtvā pūrakam ekadhā |
vāyunām gatim āvrtya nibhrtam kanthamudrayā ||
```

Commentary: We are not certain of the meaning of *nibhṛṭam*, which is found in all of the collated witnesses and important testimonia. We have understood it as an adverb meaning 'firmly.'

3.27

Translation: With hands even on the ground, he should gently tap the buttocks [on the ground]. The breath enters the vessel of two halves and quickly flashes forth.

Sources:

Amaraugha 28ab, 29cd

```
samahastayugo bhūmau samapādayugas tathā | [vedhayet kramayogena catuṣpīṭhaṃ tu vāyunā || āsphālayen mahāmeruṃ vāyuvajrāgnikoṭibhiḥ | ] puṭadvayaṃ samākṛṣya vāyuḥ sphurati satvaram || samākṛṣya ] samākramya v.l.
```

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 136ab

mahābandhasthito bhūmau sphicau santādayec chanaih

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.51

```
samahastayugo bhūmau sphicau saṃtāḍayec chanaiḥ |
ayam eva mahāvedhaḥ siddhido 'bhyāsato bhavet
```

Yogacintāmani f. 73v (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

```
samahastayugo bhūmau sphijau saṃtāḍayec chanaiḥ | putadvayam samākramya vāyuh sphurati madhyagah ||
```

Yuktabhavedeva 7.199 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

```
nyastahastayugo bhūmau sphicau santāḍayec chanaiḥ | jamghādvayam samākrsya vāyuh spharati satvaram ||
```

Commentary: The term *puṭadvaya* is referring back to the *dvipuṭa* mentioned in verse 3.12. On the alchemical meaning and other interpretations by later commentators, see the note to 3.12.

The greyscale hemistich added to this verse in the γ group is taken from the *Śiva-saṃhitā* (4.42ab) in the section on *mahābandha*.

3.28

Translation: And the union of the moon, sun and fire arises, which leads to immortality. The state of a dead person has arisen, so where is the fear of death?

Sources:

Amaraugha 30

```
somasūryāgnisaṃbandhaṃ jānīyād amṛtāya vai | mṛtāvasthā samutpannā tato mṛtyubhayaṃ kutaḥ || °sambandham ] °sambandhāi, °sambandhā v.l.
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
somasūryāgnisandhānaṃ jāyate cāmṛtāyate | mṛtāvasthāsamutpannaṃ tato mṛtyubhayaṃ kutaḥ || °samutpannam ] °samutpannā v.l.
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.200 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

```
somasūryāgnisambandho jāyate cāmṛtāya ca | samutpannā mṛtāvasthā tato vāyum virecayet ||
```

Commentary: The α and γ groups have "sambandhāj, which is possible but somewhat awkward (i.e., 'because of the union of the moon, sun and fire, the state of a dead person, which has arisen, leads to immortality'). The adopted reading "sambandho, which is supported by ζ_2 , Yuktabhavadeva 7.200 and Jyotsnā 3.28, makes better sense but its meaning is not as clear as the formulation in the source text (i.e., Amaraugha 30).

The compound $mrt\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}$ ('the state of death') likely refers to a dead person in the sense that the yogi appears as if dead when the moon, sun and fire have united. In the version found in $\Im yotsn\bar{a}$ 3.28 and η_1 , η_2 and ζ_3 , the final verse quarter has been rewritten to say that the yogi then exhales the breath ($tato\ v\bar{a}yum\ virecayet$). This implies that $mrt\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}$ is a breath retention (kumbhaka), which is apparent in Brahmānanda's explanation:

The state of death that has arisen is the state of one who has died, [that is,] of one who is devoid of the breath because of the absence of movement of $pr\bar{a}na$ in the $id\bar{a}$ and $pingal\bar{a}$ channels. Then, immediately after that [state], [the yogi] exhales the breath, [that is,] he gradually releases it through the nostrils.

mṛtasya prāṇaviyuktasyāvasthā mṛtāvasthā samutpannā bhavati, iḍāpiṅ-galayoḥ prāṇasañcārābhāvāt | tatas tadanantaraṃ vāyuṃ virecayen nāsikāpuṭābhyāṃ śanais tyajet |

3.29

Translation: Through practice, this great piercing bestows the great *siddhi* [and] cures wrinkles, grey hair, and trembling. It is used by the best practitioners.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.51cd

ayam eva mahāvedhaḥ siddhido 'bhyāsato bhavet ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

mahāvedho 'yam abhyāsān mahāsiddhipradāyakaḥ | valīpalitavepaghnah sevyate sādhakottamaih ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.201 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

mahāvedho 'yam abhyasto mahāsiddhipradāyakaḥ | valīpalitavepaghnah sevyate sādhakottamaih ||

Commentary: In the third verse quarter, the reading ${}^{\circ}vedhaghnah}$ ('cures wounds'?) in α and the other groups, the exception being γ ('vegaghnah), is strange as it does not seem related to the other two symptoms of old age (i.e., wrinkles and grey hair) that this $mudr\bar{a}$ can cure. It is perhaps a misreading of "vepaghnah, which occurs in some manuscripts in the δ_{ω} (J₁, J₃, N₁₆, N₁₈) and β (N₁₂ contaminated) and ζ (J₁₄ contaminated) groups, as well as the $Yogacint\bar{a}mani$ and $Jyotsn\bar{a}$. The meaning of vepa, 'trembling,' is consistent with wrinkles and grey hair.

3.30

Translation: This triad is a great secret that destroys old and death, increases [the body's] fire and bestows the powers beginning with minimisation.

Sources:

Amaraugha 31

etat trayam mahāguhyam jarāmṛtyuvināśanam | vahnivṛddhikarañ caiva aṇimādiguṇapradam || °guhyam] °gunyam v.l.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.52

etat trayam mahāguhyam jarāmrtyuvināśanam |

vahnivṛddhikaraṃ caiva hy aṇimādiguṇa
pradam \parallel

Yogacintāmaņi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

etat trayam mahāguptam jalāmṛtyuvināśanam | vahnivrddhikaram caiva animādigunapradam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.204 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

bandhatrayam mahāguhyam jarāmṛtyuvināśanam | vahnivrddhikaram caiva animādigunapradam ||

3.31

Translation: It is practised eight times a day, every three hours. It always produces a wealth of merit and destroys an ocean of demerit.

Sources:

Amaraugha 32

aṣṭadhā kriyate caiva yāme yāme dine dine | puṇyasañcayasambhāvi pāpaughabhiduraṃ sadā || caiva | caitad v.l.

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.49

aṣṭadhā kriyate caitat yāme yāme dine dine | punyasaṅghātasandhāyī pāpaughabhidurah sadā ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

aṣṭadhā kriyate caiva yāme yāme dine dine | puṇyasaṃbhārasandhāyi pāpaughabhiduraṃ sadā ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.205 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

aṣṭadhā kriyate caiva yāme yāme dine dine | punyasambhārasandhāyi pāpaughabhiduram sadā ||

3.32

Translation: It is only for those who have received proper instruction. It is taught that they should [do it] a little in the first stage of the practice [and] that at the beginning [the yogi] should avoid frequenting fire, women and roads.

Sources:

Amaraugha 33

samyakśiksāvatām eva svalpam prathamasādhane

vahnistrīpathasevānām ādau varjanam ācaret ||

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
samyakśikṣāvatām eva svalpaṃ prathamasādhane ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.205 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
samyak śikṣāvatām evaṃ svalpaṃ prathamasādhanam |
vahnistrīpathisevānām ādau varjanam ācaret ||
```

3.33 heading

Translation: Now, the sky-roving [seal] (*khecarī*):

3.33

Translation: By cutting, moving, and milking, the yogi should gradually lengthen the tongue until it touches the middle of the brows. Then there is success in *khecarī*.

Testimonia:

```
Hațharatnāvalī 2.141
```

```
haṭhapradīpikāyām || chedanacālanadohaiḥ kalāṃ krameṇa vardhayet tāvat | yāvad iyaṃ bhrūmadhye spṛśati tadānīṃ khecarīsiddhiḥ || yāvad iyam bhrūmadhye spṛśati ] sā yāti yāvad bhrūmadhyam spṛśati hi v.l.
```

Yogacintāmani f. 74r

```
haṭhapradīpikāyām—
chedanacālanadohair jihvāṃ saṃvardhayet tāvat |
yāvad iyaṃ bhrūmadhyaṃ spṛśati tadā khecarī siddhiḥ ||
```

Hathatattvakaumudī 14.18

```
haṭhapradīpikāmate tu –
chedanacālanadohaiḥ krameṇa jihvāṃ pravardhayet tāvat |
sā yāvad bhrūmadhyaṃ spṛśati tadā khecarīsiddhiḥ ||
```

Commentary:

Various versions of this verse have been transmitted in $\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$, $g\bar{\imath}ti$ and $anu\underline{\imath}tubh$ metres. We have adopted a version close to α_2 , which has a slight metrical fault:

```
chedanacālanadohaiḥ kalāṃ krameṇa pravardhayet tāvat |
sā yāvad bhrūmadhyam viśati tadānīm khecarīsiddhih ||
```

The emendation of $tad\bar{a}n\bar{t}m$ to $tad\bar{a}$ renders the verse an $\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$. The word kramena is well attested by manuscripts of the α , β , η and ζ groups, which all have unmetrical or corrupted versions.

The meaning of $kal\bar{a}$ as 'tongue' is not attested by any Sanskrit dictionary but $kal\bar{a}$ occurs in the sense of the tongue in a subsequent verse of this chapter (Cf. 3.35a) and it is glossed by Brahmānanda with $jihv\bar{a}$ in $Jyotsn\bar{a}$ 3.33 and 3.37.

Metre: Āryā

3.34

Translation: When the tongue is turned back and inserted into the cavity of the skull and the gaze is between the brows, *khecarīmudrā* arises.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņļa 47

kapālakuhare jihvā praviṣṭā viparītagā | bhruvor antargatā drstir mudrā bhavati khecarī ||

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.138

dattātreyas tu ||

kapālakuhare jihvā pravistā viparītagā

bhruvor antargatā drstir mudrā bhavati khecarī ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 75r

skandapurāne—

kapālakuhare jihvā pravistā viparītagā |

bhruvor antargatā dṛṣṭir mudrā bhavati khecarī ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.207 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

atha khecarī -

kapālakuhare jihvā pravistā viparītagā |

bhruvor antargatā drstir mudrā bhavati khecarī ||

3.35

Translation: If the yogi turns back the tongue and remains [like that] for half an instant, he is instantly freed from disease, death, old age and the like.

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 3.91

rasanām ūrdhvagām krtvā ksanārdham yadi tisthati |

kṣaṇena mucyate yogī vyādhimṛtyujarādibhiḥ ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 74r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

kalāṃ parāṅmukhīṃ kṛtvā tripathe parivartayet |
sā bhavet khecarī mudrā vyomacakraṃ tad ucyate ||
rasanām ūrdhvagāṃ kṛtvā kṣaṇārdhaṃ yadi tiṣṭhati |
visayair mucyate yogī vyādhimrtyujarādibhih ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.209 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

jihvāṃ parāṅmukhīṃ kṛtvā kṣaṇārddhaṃ yadi tiṣṭhati | ksanena mucyate yogī vyādhimrtyujarādibhih ||

Commentary: Although 3.35 is absent in α_1 , it is in α_2 and α_3 , and also the γ and η groups. Other manuscripts have an additional line that gives *vyomacakra* as an alternative name for *khecarīmudrā*. This alternative name does not occur in any of the source texts known to have been used by Svātmārāma, but it may have been inspired by the name *nabhomudrā*, which is what the *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* calls *khecarīmudrā* (*Vivekamārtaṇḍa* 40).

3.36

Translation: For the yogi who knows *khecarīmudrā* there is no disease, death, sleep, hunger, thirst or fainting.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 48

na rogo maraṇaṃ tandrā na nidrā na kṣudhā tṛṣā | na ca mūrchā bhavet tasya yo mudrāṃ vetti khecarīm || tandrā] tasya v.l.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.139 (attr. to Dattātreya)

na rogo maraṇaṃ tasya na nidrā na kṣudhā tṛṣā | na ca mūrcchā bhavet tasya yo mudrāṃ vetti khecarīm ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 75v (attr. to Dattātreya)

na rogo maraṇaṃ tasya na nidrā na kṣudhā tṛṣā | na ca mūrcchā bhavet tasya yo mudrāṃ vetti khecarīm ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.210 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

na rogo maraṇaṃ tasya na nidrā na tṛṣā kṣudhā | na ca mūrcchā bhavet tasya yo mudrām vetti khecarīm ||

3.37

Translation: [The yogi] who knows *khecarīmudrā* is neither afflicted by disease, nor tainted by action, nor tormented by death.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 49

pīḍyate na sa rogeṇa lipyate na ca karmaṇā | bādhyate na sa kālena yo mudrām vetti khecarīm ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.140 (attr. to Dattātreya)

pīḍyate na sa rogeṇa lipyate na ca karmaṇā| bādhyate na ca kālena yo mudrām vetti khecarīm||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 75v (attr. to the Skandapurāņa)

piḍyate na sa rogeṇa na ca lipyeta karmaṇā | bādhyate na sa kālena yo mudrāṃ vetti khecarīm ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.211 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

pīḍyate na sa rogeṇa lipyate na sa karmaṇā | bādhyate na sa kālena yasya mudrāsti khecarī ||

Commentary: The form of *lipyati*, which is found in the α manuscripts and has been adopted, is widely attested in epic Sanskrit.

3.38

Translation: Because the mind moves (*carati*) in the ether (*khe*) and the tongue moves (*carati*) in the cavity (*khe*), this mudrā is called *khecarī* [and] is worshipped by the Siddhas.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 50

cittaṃ carati khe yasmāj jihvā carati khe gatā | tenaiṣā khecarī nāma mudrā siddhair namaskṛtā || 37c tenaiṣā] tenaiva, teneyaṃ v.l.37cd nāma mudrā] mudrā sarva° v.l.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi (attributed to the Skandapurāṇa)

cittam carati khe yasmāj jihvā carati khe gatā | tenaiṣā khecarī nāma mudrā siddhair niṣevitā ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.212 (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

cittaṃ carati khe yasmāj jihvā carati khe yataḥ | teneyam khecarī mudrā sarvasiddhair namaskrtā ||

3.39

Translation: The yogi who has sealed the cavity above the uvula with *khecarī* does not lose his semen [even if] embraced by an amorous woman.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņda 51

```
khecaryā mudritaṃ yena vivaraṃ lambikordhvataḥ | na tasya kṣarate binduḥ kāminyāliṅgitasya ca || na tasya kṣarate binduḥ ] binduḥ kṣarati no tasya, tasya na kṣarate binduḥ v.l. °āliṃgitasya ] °āśleṣitasya v.l.
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi f. 74v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

khecaryā mudritam yena vivaram lambikordhvataḥ | na tasya kṣarate binduḥ kāminyālingitasya ca ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.213 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

khecaryā mudritam yena vivaram lambikordhvataḥ | na tasya kṣarate binduḥ kāminyālimgitasya ca ||

3.40

Translation: Even when semen has moved [down] and reached the region of the perineum, it moves upwards having been blocked by $yonimudr\bar{a}$ and struck by the goddess [Kundalinī].

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 53

```
calito 'pi yadā binduḥ saṃprāptaś ca hutāśanam | gacchaty ūrdhvaṃ hataḥ śaktyā nibaddho yonimudrayā || 53c gacchaty ] vrajaty v.l.53d hataḥ ] tanaṃ, hṛtas, kṛte, kṛtaḥ, tadā, gatā v.l.• nibaddho ] niruddho v.l.
```

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.82

svakam bindum ca sambodhya lingacālanam ācaret | daivāc calati ced ūrdhvam nibaddho yonimudrayā ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi f. 74v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

calito 'pi yadā binduḥ saṃprāptaś ca hutāśanam |
vrajaty ūrdhvaṃ hi tacchaktyā niruddho yonimudrayā ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.278 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
calito'pi mahābinduḥ samprāpte'pi hutāśanam |
vrajaty ūrdhvaṃ haṭhaḥ śaktyā nibaddho yonimudrayā ||

Commentary:

The third quarter of this verse has been subjected to much rewriting. Most of the collated manuscripts, including α_2 (α_1 is illegible here and α_3 is missing this verse quarter), have the reading $hath\bar{a}t$ $\acute{s}akty\bar{a}$. This reading only makes sense if one infers that $\acute{s}akty\bar{a}$ is referring to $khecar\bar{\imath}mudr\bar{a}$, so that the second line means 'blocked by $yonimudr\bar{a}$, semen goes up forcefully by the power [of $khecar\bar{\imath}mudr\bar{a}$].' The reading hi $tacchakty\bar{a}$ of δ_2 and the $Yogacint\bar{a}mani$), appears to be an attempt to render more clearly the meaning 'by the power of $khecar\bar{\imath}$.' Such an interpretation suggests that $yonimudr\bar{a}$ blocks bindu's downward course and $khecar\bar{\imath}$ causes it to go upwards forcefully.

A manuscript of an early recension of the *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* (ms. no. 4110) has *hataḥ* $śakty\bar{a}$, which is attested by three $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ manuscripts on lower branches of the stemma (i.e. <??>, C_2 and P_4). The participle hatah makes sense of the instrumental $śakty\bar{a}$, rendering the meaning 'struck by Kundalinī.'

Alternatively, the word *hataḥ* (as well as the other variants *kṛtaḥ*, *kṛte*, and even $hath\bar{a}t$) may derive from hrtah, which is attested by manuscripts of the $\Im yotsn\bar{a}$ (i.e., <??>, <??> and N₁₃). The reading hrtah śaktyā renders the verse as saying that semen goes up, carried by Kundalinī.

In Jyotsnā 3.43, Brahmānanda explains 'yonimudrā' as 'taking the form of contracting the penis' (yonimudrayā meḍhrākuñcanarūpayā). He may have had in mind the practice of contracting and drawing the urethra upwards, which is described below in the section on vajrolimudrā (Haṭhapradīpikā 3.82). The author of the Yogaprakāśikā (5.66) says that yonimudrā is well-known in treatises on mantra (yonimudrayeti mantraśāstraprasiddhayety arthaḥ | °prasidhyayety ed.). This is consistent with the Śivasaṃhitā's teaching on yonimudrā, where it is described as activating the perineum (yoni) by contracting it (4.2) to bring about success in mantra repetition (5.12). Later compendiums on yoga reiterate the role of yonimudrā in mantra practice (e.g., the Yogacintāmaṇi f. 65r, citing the Pārameśvaratantra, and Haṭhatattvakaumudī 33.12). The Haṭhayogasaṃhitā (43–48) teaches a different version of yonimudrā in its reportoire of twenty-five mudrās. In this work, yonimudrā is supposed to awaken Kuṇḍalinī and consists of sitting in siddhasana, blocking the ears, eyes, nose and mouth with the thumbs, index, middle and ring fingers, uniting praṇa and apāna, meditating on the six cakras and repeating the

mantra hum hamsa.

Manuscripts of the main groups, including α, β and γ , have an additional hemistich after 3.40, which seems to say that the tongue is in the cavity of the skull by means of a $mudr\bar{a}$ for uniting the $kal\bar{a}s$ ($kap\bar{a}lakuhare\,jihv\bar{a}\,kal\bar{a}sandh\bar{a}namudray\bar{a}$). This hemistich likely derives from a marginal note that was explaining $\bar{u}rdhvajihvah$ in the next verse. The compound $kal\bar{a}sandh\bar{a}namudray\bar{a}$ may have been added as some form of dittography or as a gloss on $yonimudr\bar{a}$, which is not described elsewhere in the text. In a slightly modified form, this line appears in a verse in the six-chapter version of the $Hathaprad\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$ (f. 112r–112v):

```
kapālakuhare jihvā kalāsaṃdhānavarjitā |
brahmarandhragatā nityām tasya siddhi na dūratah ||
```

3.41

Translation: The knower of yoga who remains with the tongue upwards and drinks nectar certainly conquers death in half a month.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 125

```
ūrdhvajihvaḥ sthito bhūtvā somapānaṃ karoti yaḥ | māsārdhena na sandeho mṛtyuṃ jayati yogavit || ūrdhvajihvaḥ sthito bhūtvā ] ūrdhvajihvas tato bhūtvā, ūrdhvaṃ jihvāṃ sthiraṃ kṛtvā, ūrdhvām jihvā sthirām kṛtvā v.l.
```

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 75v (attr. to the Skandapurāṇa) 
ūrdhvajihvaḥ sthiro bhutvā somapānaṃ karoti yaḥ | 
māsārdheṇa na saṃdeho mṛtyuṃ jayati yogavid || 
Yuktabhavadeva 7.215 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
```

```
ūrdhvajihvaḥ sa medhāvī somapānaṃ karoti yaḥ | māsārddhena na sandeho mrtyum jayati yogavit ||
```

3.42

Translation: Poison does not enter the yogi whose body is always filled by [nectar from] the digits of the moon, even if he is bitten by Takṣaka.

Sources:

```
Vivekamārtanda 130
```

```
nityam somakalāpūrnam śarīram yasya yoginah |
```

takṣakenāpi daṣṭasya viṣaṃ tasya na pīḍayet || pīḍayet] pīḍyate, sarpati, bādhyate v.l.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 75v (attr. to the Skandapurāṇa)

nityam somakalāpūrņam śarīram yasya yoginaḥ | taksakenāpi dastasya visam tam na ca sarpati ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.216 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

nityam somakalāpūrņam śarīram yasya yoginaḥ | takṣakenāpi daṣṭasya viṣam tasya na sarpati ||

Commentary: In the context of poison, takşaka refers to one of the three kings of the snakes $(n\bar{a}ga)$, the other two being Śeṣa and Vāsuki (Mani 1975: 782–783).

The verb *sarpati* is well attested by the manuscripts of the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ and testimonia. It can take an object, which in this case is the yogi's body.

3.43

Translation: Just as fire does not leave its fuel nor light a wick in oil, so the embodied person does not leave a body filled by the digits of the moon.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 131

indhanāni yathā vahnis tailavartim ca dīpakaḥ | tathā somakalāpūrṇaṃ dehī dehaṃ na muñcati || °vartim ca] °vartīva, °vartti ca v.l.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani f. 74v (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

indhanāni yathā vahnis tailavartī ca dīpakaḥ | nityam somakalāpūrnam dehī deham na muñcati ||

3.44

Translation: I consider he who regularly eats cow flesh and drinks God's liquor to be of good family. Others are destroyers of the family.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.158

gomāṃsaṃ bhakṣayen nityaṃ pibed amaravāruṇīṃ | kulīnaṃ tam ahaṃ manye anye tu kulaghātakāḥ \parallel

Yogacintāmaņi f. 74v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

gomāṃsaṃ bhakṣayen nityaṃ pibed amaravāruṇīm | kulīnam tam aham manye netarān kulaghātakān ||

3.45

Translation: By the word 'cow' is meant the tongue, for its insertion into the palate is the eating of cow's flesh. That [eating] is the destroyer of great sin.

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 2.157
```

```
gośabdenoditā jihvā tatpraveśo hi tāluni |
gomāṃsabhakṣaṇaṃ tat tu mahāpātakanāśanaṃ ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 74v-75r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
gośabdenoditā jihvā tatpraveśo hi tāluni | gomāṃsabhakṣaṇaṃ tat tu mahāpātakanāśanam ||
```

3.46

Translation: The essence produced by the fire caused by the insertion of the tongue which flows from the moon is the liquor of the gods.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.159

```
jihvāpraveśasaṃbhūtavahninotthāpitā khalu | candrāt sravati yah sārah sā syād amaravārunī ||
```

Yogacintāmani f. 75r (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

```
jihvāpraveśasaṃbhūtavahninotpāditaḥ khalu |
candrāt sravati yah sārah sā syād amaravārunī ||
```

3.47

Translation: With his face upwards and his tongue fixed in the aperture [of the skull], the yogi should visualise as the supreme *śakti* [the nectar] that is forcibly obtained from the breath as it drips from the head into the sixteen petals of the lotus. And he who drinks the gushing nectar, the pure fluid [surging] from the [moon's] digits in waves, is free of disease, has a body as soft as lotus fibre, and lives a long time.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 118

mūrdhnah sodaśapatrapadmagalitam prānād avāptam hathād

ūrdhvāsyo rasanām niyamya vivare śaktim parām cintayet | utkallolakalājalam ca vimalam dhārāmayam yaḥ piben nirdoṣaḥ sa mṛṇālakomalatanur yogī ciram jīvati || 118b niyamya] nidhāya, vidhāya v.l.• cintayet] cālayet v.l.118c utkallolakalājalam] ca vimalam dhārāmayam] (from HP); utkallolakalākalam, utkallolajalākulam, utkallolajalāmṛtam, tat kallolakalājalam, tat kallolajalākulam v.l.• ca vimalam] suvimalam v.l.• dhārāmayam] (from HP); jīvākulam, jihvākulam, dhārājalam vl 118d tanur] vapur v.l.•

Testimonia:

Cf. Hatharatnāvalī 2.150

utkallolakalāmṛtaṃ ca vimalaṃ dhārāmṛtaṃ yaḥ pibet | nirdoṣaḥ sa mṛnālakomalatanur yogī ciraṃ jīvati || utkallola°] tatkallola° v.l., °tanur] °vapur v.l.

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 75r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

mūrdhnaḥ ṣoḍaśapadmapatragalitaṃ prāṇād avāptaṃ haṭhād ūrdhvāsyo rasanāṃ niyamya vivare śaktiṃ parāṃ cintayan | tatkallolakalājalaṃ ca vimalaṃ jihvākulaṃ yaḥ piben nirdosah sa mrnālakomalatanur yogī ciram jīvati ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.217 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

mūrdhnaḥ ṣoḍaśapatrapadmagalitaṃ prāṇād avāptaṃ haṭhāt ūrdhvāsyo rasanāṃ niyamya vivare śaktiṃ parāṃ cintayet | tatkallolakalājalaṃ suvimalaṃ dhārāmṛtaṃ yaḥ pibet nirddoṣaḥ sa mṛṇālakomalatanur yogī paraṃ jīvati || cintayet] cintayan v.l.

Hathatattvakaumudī 14.24 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

ūrdhvam ṣoḍaśapatrapadmagalitam prāṇād avāptam haṭhād ūrdhvāsyo rasanām niyamya kuhare śaktim parām cintayan | utkallolakalājalam suvimalam dhārāmṛtam yaḥ piben nirddoṣaḥ sa mṛṇālakomalavapur yogī ciram jīvati || ūrdhvam | mūrdhvam v.l.

Commentary: The meaning of prāṇāt ('from the breath') in the first verse quarter is not easy to understand without the context of this verse in the source text, the Vivekamārtaṇḍa. In the verse preceding this one in the Vivekamārtaṇḍa (117), the breath, on reaching the "great lotus", is said to turn into nectar (amṛṭa). In Jyotsnā 3.51, Brahmānanda says that there is a variant prāṇaiḥ ('by means of the breaths'), which is easier to understand than prāṇāt. He nonetheless accepts prāṇāt and understands it as being a means (prāṇāt sādhanabhūtād avāptam). He also understands the sixteen-petalled lotus to be the lotus in the throat, into which the

nectar drips.

Metre: Śārdūlavikrīdita

3.48

Translation: If the tongue, while oozing nectar and constantly kissing the tip of the uvula, is salty, pungent, like milk or the same as honey and ghee, diseases are eliminated for [the yogi], old age is stopped, he can recite treatises and scriptures, attains immortality together with the eightfold powers, and attracts Siddha women.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 128

cumbantī yadi lambikāgram aniśaṃ jihvā rasasyandinī sakṣārā kaṭukātha dugdhasadṛśā madhvājyatulyāthavā | vyādhīnāṃ haraṇaṃ jaropaśamanaṃ śāstrāgamodīraṇaṃ tasya syād amaratvaṃ aṣṭaguṇitaṃ siddhāṅgānākarṣaṇam || 128a rasasyandanī] rasaspandanī VTG, rasāsvādinī A 128c jaropaśamanaṃ] AGBGL; jarāpaharaṇaṃ V, jarāntakaranaṃ TGP • °odīraṇaṃ] VA; °odgīraṇaṃ TGBGL, °occāranam GP

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi f. 75r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

cumbantī yadi lambikāgram aniśaṃ jihvā rasasyandinī sakṣārā kaṭukāmladugdhasadṛśaṃ madhvājyatulyaṃ yadā | vyādhīnāṃ haraṇaṃ jarāntakaraṇaṃ śāstrāgamoddhāraṇaṃ tasya syād iha siddhir astagunitā siddhāṅganākarsanam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.218 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

cumbantī yadi lambikāgram aniśaṃ jihvā rasasyandinī sakṣārā kaṭukāmladugdhasadṛśī madhvājyatulyāthavā | vyādhīnāṃ haraṇaṃ jarāmbutaraṇaṃ śāstrāgamodgīraṇaṃ tasya syād amaratvam astagunitam siddhāṅganākarsanam ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 14.25 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

cumbantī yadi lambikāgram anilaṃ jihvā rasasyandinī sakṣārā kaṭukāmladugdhasadṛśāṃ madhvājyatulyā tathā | vyādhīnāṃ haraṇaṃ jarāntakaraṇaṃ śāstrāgamodgīraṇaṃ tasya syād amaratvam astagunavat siddhāṅganākarsanam ||

Metre: Śārdūlavikrīdita

3.49

Translation: There is one seed [syllable], which contains creation, one *mudrā*, *khecarī*, one god, the unsupported, and one state, beyond mind.

Sources:

```
Timirodghāṭana 5.14c-15b (NGMPP A35/3)
```

eka[m] sṛṣṭimayam bījam ek[ā] mudrā tu khecarī | dvāv etau jñāyate yena so pi śāntapade sthitam ||

Quotation by Jayaratha ad Tantrāloka 32.63, introduced with yad āgamaḥ

ekam sṛṣṭimayam bījam ekā mudrā ca khecarī | dvāv ekam yo vijānāti sa vai pūjyaḥ kulāgame ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 4.28

ekaṃ sṛṣṭimayaṃ bījam ekā mudrā ca khecarī | eko devo nirālambah ekāvasthā manonmanī ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 75r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

ekaṃ sṛṣṭimayaṃ bījam ekā mudrā ca khecarī | eko devo nirālamba ekāvasthā manonmanī ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.219 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

ekaṃ sṛṣṭimayaṃ bījaṃ ekā mudrā ca khecarī | eko devo nirālamba ekāvasthā manonmanī ||

3.49*1

3.49*2

Commentary: For the translation and testimonia, as well as an explanation of the various places and versions of this verse in the text, see 4.9.

Metre: Mandākrāntā

3.50 heading

Translation: The root lock (*mūlabandha*):

3.50

Translation: When [the yogi] presses the perineum with part of the heel, clenches the anus and draws up $ap\bar{a}na$, it is called the root lock.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 42

pārṣṇibhāgena saṃpīḍya yonim ākuñcayed gudam | apānam ūrdhvam ākṛṣya mūlabandho 'yam ucyate ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.58

pārṣṇibhāgena sampīḍya yonim ākuñcayed gudaṃ | apānam ūrdhvam ākuñcya mūlabandho 'yam ucyate ||

Yogacintāmani f. 76r (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

pārṣṇibhāgena saṃpīḍya yonim ākuñcayed gudam | apānam ūrdhvam ākṛṣya mūlabandho 'yam ucyate ||

3.51

Translation: It forces the downward-moving *apāna* breath to move upwards by contraction [of the anus]. Yogis call that *mūlabandha*.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 53

adhogatim apānaṃ vai ūrdhvagaṃ kurute balāt | ākuñcanena taṃ prāhur mūlabandhaṃ tu yoginaḥ || 53a °gatiṃ] TU; °gataṃ G 53c ākuñcanena taṃ] GU ākuñcane ca tat T 53d mūlabandhaṃ tu yoginaḥ] T; mūlabandho yam ucyate GU

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.59

adhogatim apānam vai ūrdhvagam kurute balāt | ākuñcanena tam prāhur mūlabandham hi yoginaḥ ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

adhogatam apānaṃ ca tad ūrdhvaṃ kurute haṭhāt | ākuñcanena taṃ prāhur mūlabandhaṃ tu yoginaḥ ||

3.52

Translation: [The yogi] should press his anus with his heel and forcefully contract the [apāna] wind over and over again so that the breath goes upwards.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 144

gudam pārṣṇyā tu saṃpīḍya yonim ākuñcayed balāt |

vāraṃ vāraṃ yathā cordhvaṃ samāyāti samīraṇaḥ || yonim] from Jyotsnā, vāyum codd.

Cf. Śārṅgadharapaddhati 4416

gudaṃ pārṣṇyā tu sampīḍya vāyum ākuñcayed balāt | bāram bāram yathā cordhvam samāyāti samīranah ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.60

gudam pārṣṇyā ca sampīḍya vāyum ākuñcayed balāt | vāram vāram yathā cordhvam samāyāti samīraṇaḥ ||

Yogacintāmaņi f.76r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

gudam pārṣṇyā tu saṃpīḍya vāyum ākuñcayed balāt | vāram vāram tathā cordhvam samāyāti samīranah ||

Yogabīja 103 (south-Indian recension)

gudam pārṣṇyā tu saṃpīḍya vāyum ākuñcayed balāt | vāram vāram yathā cordhvam samāyāti samīraṇaḥ ||

Commentary: The instruction to 'contract the wind' ($v\bar{a}yum\ \bar{a}ku\tilde{n}cayet$) is odd (especially with $sam\bar{i}ranah$ in the fourth $p\bar{a}da$) and not found in other texts. Mallinson has adopted *yonim* for $v\bar{a}yum$ (cf. $Hathaprad\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$ 3.50d) in his edition of this verse in its source text, the $Datt\bar{a}treyayogas\bar{a}stra$, which is not found in the manuscripts of that text but is in the $Hathaprad\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$'s δ manuscripts.

In the context of the root lock, $v\bar{a}yum\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}cayet$ can be understood as an instruction to contract $ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yu$, which is mentioned in the previous verse (3.51a). Instructions to contract $ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yu$ are found in other yoga texts, such as $Yogat\bar{a}r\bar{a}val\bar{\imath}$ 7b ($\bar{a}ku\bar{n}canaih$ śaśvad $ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yoh$), Śivasamhitā 4.84cd ($ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yum\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}cya\ bal\bar{a}d...$), Śivayogaprad $\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$ 2.53ab ($athordhvamadhyasthirabandhan\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}canad\ \bar{u}rdhvam\ ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yoh$) and Yuktabhavadeva 7.297 ($\bar{a}dh\bar{a}rakamale\ suptam\ c\bar{a}layet\ kundal<math>\bar{i}m\ drdh\bar{a}m\ |\ ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yum\ \bar{a}krsya\ bal\bar{a}d\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}cya\ buddhim\bar{a}n$). In $\bar{J}yotsn\bar{a}$ 3.63, Brahmānanda understands $v\bar{a}yu$ in this verse as $ap\bar{a}na\ when\ he\ says\ that$ 'one should contract the wind, $ap\bar{a}na'$ ($v\bar{a}yum\ ap\bar{a}nam\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}cayed$), which he explains as, 'one should pull it by contractions of the anus' ($gudasy\bar{a}-ku\bar{n}canen\bar{a}karsayet$). In the same vein, Bhavadevamiśra glosses 'pulling $ap\bar{a}na'$ ($ap\bar{a}na\bar{k}arsanam$) as 'contracting the anus' ($gudaku\bar{n}canam$), when commenting on 'having pulled $ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yu$ and forcefully contracted it ...' ($ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yum\ \bar{a}krsya\ bal\bar{a}d\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}cya...$) in Yuktabhavadeva 297 and 301.

3.53

Translation: When *prāṇa* and *apāna* [and] *nāda* and *bindu* become united by means of the root lock they are sure to bestow complete success in yoga.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 145

prāṇāpānau nādabindū mūlabandhena caikatām | gatvā yogasya saṃsiddhiṃ yacchato nātra saṃśayaḥ || 145c gatvā yogasya saṃ°] gacchato yogasaṃ° M1A 145d yacchato] gacchato M1, kurute AM2, gachate π

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.61

prāṇāpānau nādabindū mūlabandhena caikatām | gatau tadā yogasiddhim prāpnoty eva na samśayah ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f.76r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

prāṇāpānau nādabindū mūlabandhena caikatām | gatvā yogasya saṃsiddhiṃ gacchato nātra saṃśayaḥ ||

Commentary: Since the term $n\bar{a}da$ usually means 'internal sound' in Haṭha and Rājayoga texts, it is possible that bindu here was understood by some to have the tantric connotations of sonic and visual foci (Mallinson 2007:219 n.325) or two levels of sonic emanation in $mantrocc\bar{a}ra$, where $n\bar{a}da$ is an unvoiced sound and bindu is the slightly coarser sound of inner murmuring (see $T\bar{a}ntrik\bar{a}bhidh\bar{a}nakośa$ vol. 3, 2013: 278–279). However there is a passage in the Amaraugha (10–12) where $n\bar{a}da$ and bindu are paired and it is clear that bindu means generative fluid.

Metre: Anuştubh (a: ra-vipulā)

3.54

Translation: *Prāṇa* and *apāna* unite, urine and faeces diminish, [and] even an old man becomes young as a result of the continuous application of the root lock.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņļa 41

apānaprāṇayor aikyaṃ kṣayo mūtrapurīṣayoḥ | yuvā bhavati vṛddho 'pi satataṃ mūlabandhanāt ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.62

apānaprānayor aikyam ksayo mūtrapurīsayoh |

yuvā bhavati vrddho 'pi satatam mūlabandhanāt ||

Commentary: The diminishing of urine and faeces as a result of success in yoga is mentioned in the *Amanaska* (1.50c) and *Dattātreyayogaśāstra* (80a).

3.55

Translation: When *apāna* has turned upwards and reached the orb of fire, then the flame of the fire, fanned by the wind, grows tall.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 54

```
apāne cordhvage jāte saṃprāpte vahnimaṇḍalam | tato 'nalaśikhā dīrghā vardhate vāyunāhatā || 54a 'mandalam | from Hathapradīpikā witnesses, mandale codd.
```

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.63

```
apāne cordhvage jāte prayāte vahnimaṇḍale | tathānalaśikhādīptir vāyunā preritā yathā ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

```
apāne cordhvage jāte saṃprāpte vahnimaṇḍale | tathānalaśikhā dīrghā vardhate vāyunāhatā ||
```

3.56

Translation: As a result, fire and $ap\bar{a}na$ reach $pr\bar{a}na$, which is hot by nature. Then $[pr\bar{a}na]$ makes the fire in the body extremely hot.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 55

```
tato yātau vahnyapānau prāṇam uṣṇasvarūpakam |
tenātyantapradīptena jvalano dehajas tathā ||
dehajas ] T; dehagas GU
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.64

```
yātāyātau vahnyapānau mūlarūpasvarūpakau |
tenābhyantaḥ pradīptas tu jvalano dehajas tathā || 2.64 ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76r–76v (attr. to the *Yogabīja*)

tato yātau vahnyapānau prāṇam uktasvarūpakau | tenātyantapradīptas tu jvalano dehajas tathā || Commentary: The second verse quarter has been rewritten in α_1 and α_2 as $pr\bar{a}nam\bar{u}lasvar\bar{u}pakam$, which is similar to η_1 ($pr\bar{a}nam\bar{u}lasvar\bar{u}pakau$) and the $Hatharatn\bar{a}val\bar{u}$ ($m\bar{u}lar\bar{u}pasvar\bar{u}pakau$). Manuscripts from the delta group, as well as the Yogacintāmaṇi, have $pr\bar{a}nam$ uktasvar $\bar{u}pakam$ or $pr\bar{a}nam$ uktasvar $\bar{u}pakau$. It appears that the intention behind these rewrites was to avoid the reading in the source text, ' $pr\bar{a}na$ is hot by nature' ($pr\bar{a}nam$ uṣṇasvar $\bar{u}pakam$), which was likely accepted by Svātmārāma because it is in some witnesses of the β , γ and ζ groups. References to $pr\bar{a}na$ being hot by nature (and $ap\bar{a}na$ being cold) occur in other works, such as Mokṣopāya 6.85.111-112 and $Hathatattvakaumud\bar{\iota}$ 4.14, 41.2. The commentators, Bālakṛṣṇa (Yogaprakāśikā 5.85) and Brahmānanda (Jyotsnā 3.67) accept the idea that $pr\bar{a}na$ is hot by nature.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: ra-vipulā)

3.57

Translation: Heated by that [blaze], the sleeping Kuṇḍalinī wakes up. Like a snake struck by a stick, she hisses and becomes straight.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 56

tena kuṇḍalinī suptā saṃtaptā saṃprabudhyate | dandāhatā bhujamgīva niśvasya rjutām vrajet ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.65ab

daṇḍāhatā bhujaṅgīva niścitaṃ ṛjutām iyāt | niścitam | niśvasya T.P.t1

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

tena kuṇḍalinī suptā satataṃ saṃprabodhyate | dandāhatabhujaṅgīva niścitam rjutām vrajet ||

3.58

Translation: Then, like [a snake] that has entered a hole, she goes into the channel of Brahman. Therefore, yogis should regularly practise the root lock.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 57

bile pravișțe ca tato brahmanāḍyantaraṃ vrajet | tasmān nityaṃ mūlabandhaḥ kartavyo yogibhiḥ sadā || 57a bile] bil*e*T, bila° G2U, bilaṃ G1 • °pravișțe ca tato] T; °praveśato yatra GU

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.65c-f

bilam pravisteva tato brahmanādyantaram vrajet | tasmān nityam mūlabandhaḥ kartavyo yogibhih sadā ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

bilam praviṣṭeva tathā brahmanāḍyantaram vrajet | tasmān nityam mūlabandhaḥ kartavyo yogipuṅgavaiḥ || pravisteva | pravistaiva v.l.

Metre: Anustubh (a: bha-vipulā; c: ra-vipulā)

3.59 heading

Translation: Now the Uddīyana lock:

3.59

Translation: Because the breath flies up ($udd\bar{i}yate$) into the Suṣumṇā when it is bound by it, yogis say that this [practice] is called Uddīyana.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 58c-59b

baddho yena suṣumṇāyāṃ prāṇas tūḍḍīyate yataḥ | tasmād uḍḍīyaṇākhyo 'yaṃ yogibhiḥ samudāhṛtaḥ || 58c baddho] *em. from HP*; vajro G, bandho TU 58d yataḥ] TU; tatah G

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.53

baddho yena suşumnāyām prāṇas tūḍḍiyate yataḥ | tasmād uddiyānākhyo 'yam yogibhih samudāhrtah ||

Yogacintāmani f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

baddho yena suşumnāyām prānas tūḍḍīyate yataḥ | tasmād uddiyānākhyo 'yam yogibhih samudāhrtah ||

Yogabīja 104cd–105ab (South-Indian recension)

baddho yena suṣumṇāyāṃ prāṇas tūḍḍīyate tataḥ tasmād uḍḍīyānākhyo 'yaṃ yogibhiḥ samudāhṛtaḥ||

3.60

Translation: Because the great bird tirelessly flies up (udyāṇam kurute), it is

[called] 'flying up'. In it, the [root] lock is applied.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņda 43

```
uḍyāṇaṃ kurute yasmād aviśrāntaṃ mahākhagaḥ | uḍḍiyānaṃ tad eva syāt tatra bandho vidhīyate || 43b aviśrāntam ] em. from HP; aviśrānta VTU, aviśrānto G
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.54

```
uddīnam kurute yasmād aviśrāntam mahākhagah | uddiyānam tad eva syāt tatra bandho 'bhidhīyate ||
```

Yogacintāmaņi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

```
uddinam kurute yasmād aviśrāntam mahākhagaḥ | uddiyānan tad eva syān mūlabandho 'bhidhīyate ||
```

Commentary: In the *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* this verse is preceded by a passage on $m\bar{u}labandha$, so the likely meaning of the fourth verse quarter is that $(m\bar{u}la)bandha$ is to be performed in this practice. The δ group of the $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{\iota}a$ witnesses has 'the root [lock] is applied' $(m\bar{u}lam \ vidh\bar{\iota}yate)$, which appears to be an attempt to clarify the meaning of the original verse.

The word $udy\bar{a}nam$ in the first $p\bar{a}da$ is attested in manuscripts of the $Viveka-m\bar{a}rtanda$, the source of this verse, and two manuscripts of the α group. This spelling is attested in several vernacular works (e.g., $Ast\bar{a}ngayoga$ of Caraṇadāsa 144, 275, 307–8, $Jogaprad\bar{\iota}pyaka$ 588, 635, 686, etc.), as well as other Sanskrit yoga texts (e.g., $Yogat\bar{a}r\bar{a}val\bar{\iota}$ 6, $Dhy\bar{a}nabind\bar{\iota}panisat$ 75, etc.). Other witnesses, including the $Jyotsn\bar{a}$, have $udd\bar{\iota}nam$, the usual form for the verbal noun from $ud-d\bar{\iota}$.

3.61

Translation: [The yogi] should perform a rearward and upward stretching of the navel into the abdomen. That is the Uḍḍiyāna lock, a lion to the elephant of death.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 44

```
udare paścime tāṇaṃ nābher ūrdhvaṃ ca kārayet | uḍḍīyāno hy asau bandho mṛtyumātaṅgakesarī ||
```

Śivasaṃhitā 4.73

```
udare paṣcimaṃ tānaṃ nābher ūrdhvaṃ tu kārayet | udyānākhyo'tra bandho'yam mrtyumātaṅgakesarī ||
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.55

udare paścimam tānam nābher ūrdhvam ca dhārayet | uddiyāno hy asau bandho mṛtyumātangakesarī ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

udare paścimam tānam nābher ūrdhvam samācaret | uddivāno hy asau bandho mrtyumātangakesarī ||

Commentary: The spelling $t\bar{a}na$ (where many witnesses have $t\bar{a}na$) reflects vernacular pronunciation (see e.g. Molesworth 1857 s.v. $t\bar{a}na$).

3.62

Translation: *Uḍḍiyāṇa* is easy, but it is always taught by a guru. Even an old person becomes young if they practise it tirelessly.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 141c-142b

uḍḍiyāṇaṃ tu sahajaṃ guruṇā kathitaṃ sadā \mid abhyased astatandras tu vṛddho 'pi taruṇo bhavet $\mid\mid$ 141d guruṇā \mid guṇaughāt π

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.56

guruṇā sahajaṃ proktaṃ vṛddho 'pi taruṇo bhavet | bāhyoḍyāṇaṃ ca kurute bāhyālaṅkāravardhanam ||

Yogacintāmani f. 76v (attr. to the *Yogabīja*)

uḍḍiyānaṃ tu sahajaṃ guruṇā kathitaṃ yathā | abhyaset tad atandras tu vrddho 'pi tarunāyate ||

Yogabīja 106cd–107ab (South Indian recension)

uḍḍiyāṇaṃ tu sahajaṃ guruṇā kathitaṃ sadā | abhyased asvatantras tu vrddho 'pi taruno bhavet ||

Commentary: At the end of the second $p\bar{a}da \, sad\bar{a}$ is attested by witnesses of α , η , ζ and δ groups, as well as the $Datt\bar{a}treyayoga \, s\bar{a}stra$. We have understood the first line to mean that even though the basics of the practice of $uddiy\bar{a}na$ are easy, it still needs to be taught by the guru. Some witnesses read $yath\bar{a}$ for $sad\bar{a}$, perhaps as a deliberate substitution of the more difficult $sad\bar{a}$, making the verse mean that $uddiy\bar{a}na$ is easy in the way that is taught by the guru.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.63

Translation: [The yogi] should carefully stretch [the region of the abdomen] above and below the navel. If he practises [like this] for six months, he is sure to conquer death.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 142c-143b

nābher ūrdhvam ataḥ paścāt tānaṃ kuryāt prayatnataḥ || 142 || ṣaṇmāsam abhyasen mṛtyuṃ jayaty eva na saṃśayaḥ |
142c atah paścāt] PT, adhaś cāpi *cett.*

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.72

nābher ūrdhvam adhaś cāpi tānam paścimam ācaret | uḍyānabandha eṣaḥ syāt sarvaduḥkhaughanāśanaḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.57

nābher ūrdhvam adho vāpi tānam kuryāt prayatnataḥ | ṣaṇmāsam abhyasen mṛtyum jayaty eva na saṃśayaḥ ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

nābher ūrdhvam adho vāpi tānaṃ kuryāt prayatnataḥ | sanmāsam abhyasen mrtyum jayaty eva na samśayah ||

Cf. Yuktabhavadeva f. 76v (attr. to the Śivayoga)

nābher ūrdhvam adhaś cāpi tānaṃ nirbharam ācaret | uḍḍiyāno hy ayaṃ bandhaḥ sarvaduḥkhaughanāśanaḥ ||

3.64

Translation: Sitting in *vajrāsana*, [the yogi] should hold his feet firmly with his hands near the region of the ankles and press the bulb (*kanda*) there.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 59c-60b

sati vajrāsane jānū karābhyāṃ dhārayed dṛḍham || gulphadeśasamīpe ca kandaṃ tatra prapīḍayet | 59c jānū] em.; pādau GU, jānu T, prādau V

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

sati vajrāsane pādau karābhyām dhārayed dṛḍham | gulphadeśasamīpe ca udaram tat prapīḍayet ||

Cf. Yuktabhavadeva 7.224 (commenting on uḍḍiyānabandha)

dṛḍham āsanaṃ baddhvā gulphadeśasamīpe karābhyāṃ pādau datvā nābhisamīpasthaṃ kandaṃ pīḍayann udare paścimatāṇaṃ tathā kuryād yathā vāyuḥ kukṣisandhiṃ na gacchaty evam uḍḍiyānabandho jarāmṛtyuvināśanaḥ sampadyate ||

Hathasanketacandrikā (ms. no. 2244) f. 36r

tathā coktaṃ haṭhapradīpikāyām | sati vajrāsane pādau karābhyāṃ dharayed dṛḍhaṃ | gulphadeśasamīpe ca kandaṃ tatra nipīḍayet ||... siddhāsane sthitvā hastābhyāṃ pādau gulphapradeśasamīpe dṛḍhaṃ dhṛtvā tunde nālotthāna[ṃ] sādhu vidhāya samāhitamanasā sudṛḍhamūlabandhajālandharabandhavatābhyāsinā sādhakena recakādau kuṃbhakānte udare paścimatāne kriyamāṇe nitarāṃ tadā tatra nābhikandanipīḍane paścimatānena sati nābhikandotthānāḍaya urdhvamukhā vikasitā viralā vimalā asaṃhatā vāyugrahasamarthā bhavanti tadā sakuṃbhitah prānavāyuh śanaih [||]

Commentary: In the *Haṭhapradīpikā* this verse seems to instruct the yogi to press the bulb (kanda) with the feet while holding them with the hands. The adopted reading of the source text, the Gorakṣaśataka, which is only found in witness T (and there in the singular jānu, which has been emended to the dual $j\bar{a}n\bar{u}$ in Mallinson's edition) says that it is the knees that are to be held, which would still allow for the feet to press the bulb. In the Yuktabhavadeva (7.224), Bhavadevamiśra says that the bulb is near the navel and the legs are held near the ankles, suggesting that the bulb is pressed by using the hands to pull the feet into the lower abdomen. Holding the ankles with the hands and pressing the kanda with the feet is also the view of Brahmānanda (Tyotsnā 3.114), who follows the Yogayājñavalkya (4.14, 4.16) in thinking that the place of the kanda is nine fingerbreadths above the middle of the body, which is two fingerbreadths above the anus (Jyotsnā 3.113). In the Hathasanketacandrikā (f. 36r), Sundaradeva explains that the yogi presses the *kanda* in the navel by performing *uddiyānabandha*, along with the root and chin locks, at the end of kumbhaka and the beginning of exhalation. It is thus the backward stretch in the abdomen (udare paścimatāna) that presses the *kanda* in the navel.

3.65

Translation: [The yogi] should very gently stretch back his stomach, chest and neck in such a way that the breath does not come into contact with the stomach.

Sources:

```
Goraksaśataka 60c-61b
```

```
paścimaṃ tānam udare kārayed dhṛdaye gale | śanaiḥ śanair yathā prāṇas tundasaṃdhiṃ na gacchati || 60d dhārayedd°] GU; kārye*raṃ*T, kuryāñ ca V dhṛdaye gate] em. Sathyanarayanan; dhṛdaye gale GUT, civukam hṛdi V
```

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

paścimaṃ tānam udare kārayec cibukaṃ hṛdi |
śanaih śanair yathā prānas tundasiddhim na gacchati ||
```

Haṭhsaṅketacandrikā, f. 36r–36v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

```
paścime tānam udare ku[r]yac c[a] cibukaṃ hṛdi | śanaiḥ śanair yathā prāṇaḥ kandasaṃdhi[m] nigacchati || yathābhyāsānurūpaṃ kandasaṃdhiṃ kandagatanāḍisaṃghavimalavivaraprānteṣu nigachati nitarāṃ gacchati tathā tathā kuṃbhakavṛddhiḥ sukharūpodbhavati sādhakasya yenoḍḍīyānena bandhena vāyuḥ proḍḍīyāste brahmanāḍyāṃ yato 'sau uḍḍīyānākhyaḥ smṛto bandha ārthah sevyas tasmād yogibhih siddhasevyah ||
```

Yogaprakāśikā 5.96

```
paścimaṃ tānam udare kārayec cibukaṃ hṛdi | śanaiḥ śanairyathā prāṇaḥ skandhasaṅge na gacchati || uktalakṣaṇe vajrāsane baddhe satī gulphadeśasamīpena meḍhraṃ vā prapīḍayet cibukaṃ hṛdi kṛtvā prāṇasyordhvasañcalanaṃ kārayet tena prān[a]h skandhasandhim gacchatīty arthah ||
```

 and the absence of *ca* suggests that *gale* may be a corruption. The alternative reading *cibukaṃ hṛdi* in manuscripts of the *Hathapradīpikā* on lower branches of the stemma and in the testimonia is a reference to *jālandharabandha* and appears to be a patch. In his edition of the *Gorakṣaśataka*, Mallinson has adopted the emendation *gate* suggested by Dr Sathyanaryanan, which he understands to mean that the rearward stretch reaches as far as the heart. **Metre:** Anustubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.66

Translation: *Uḍḍiyāna* is the best of all the locks. When the *uḍḍiyāna* lock is firm, liberation becomes easy.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76v (attr. to the <code>Haṭhapradīpikā</code>) sarveṣām eva bandhānāṃ hy uttamo hy uḍḍiyānakaḥ | uḍḍiyāne dṛḍhe bandhe mūlaḥ svābhāviko bhavet ||
```

Yogaprakāśikā 5.97

```
sarveṣām eva bandhānām uttamo hy uḍḍiyāṇakaḥ |
uḍḍiyāṇe dṛḍhe bandhe mūlaṃ svābhāvikaṃ bhavet ||
uḍḍīyānabandham upasamharati sarveṣām iti || mūlam iti mūlabandho
'nāyāsena sidhyatīty arthaḥ ||
```

3.67 heading

Translation: Now the *jālandhara* lock:

3.67

Translation: [The yogi] should contract the throat and firmly place the chin on the chest. This is the lock called $j\bar{a}l$ and h are. It prevents loss of the nectar of immortality.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 138

```
kaṇṭham ākuñcya hṛdaye sthāpayec cibukaṃ dṛḍham | jālandharo bandha eṣa amṛtāvyayakārakaḥ || 138b sthāpayec cibukaṃ dṛḍham] HRPTPT; sthāpayed dṛḍhayā dhiyā YTU, sthāpayed dṛḍham icchayā cett.
```

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.66

kaṇṭham ākuñcya hṛdaye sthāpayec cibukaṃ dṛḍham | bandho jālandharākhyo 'yaṃ jarāmṛtyuvināśakaḥ ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 77r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

kaṇṭham ākuñcya hṛdaye sthāpayed dṛḍham icchayā | bandho jālandharākhyo 'yam amrtāvyayakārakah ||

Yogabīja 109 (south Indian recension)

kaṇṭham ākuñcya hṛdaye sthāpayed dṛḍham icchayā | bandho jālandharākhyo 'yam amṛtāvyayakārakaḥ || amrtāvyaya°] amrtavyaya° v.l.

Commentary: Manuscripts of the α , β , δ and η groups have $sth\bar{a}payed\ drdham\ ic-chay\bar{a}$ ('one should place it firmly as desired') in the second verse quarter, which is also well-attested in the transmission of the source text, the $Datt\bar{a}treyayoga\acute{s}\bar{a}stra$. This reading seems secondary because, in a subsequent verse (3.69), contracting the throat is the main feature of $j\bar{a}landharabandha$, so it seems contradictory to say that it may be done 'as one likes' in 3.67b. The word $icchay\bar{a}$ may have crept in to this verse because someone wanted to make this practice optional in light of 3.22, or it might be a corruption of $hrdaye\ sth\bar{a}payed\ drdham\ ni\acute{s}cayam$ which is found in some other manuscripts.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.68

Translation: Because it binds all the channels in which the liquid from the void flows down it is [called] the *jālandhara* lock. It gets rid of all problems in the throat.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 45

badhnāti hi śirājālam adhogāminabhojalam | tato jālandharo bandhaḥ kaṇṭhaduḥkhaughanāśanaḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.66ef-2.67ab

badhnāti hi śirājālaṃ nādho yāti nabhojalam | tato jālandharo bandhaḥ kaṇṭhasaṅkocane kṛte ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 77r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

badhnātīha śirājālam adhogāminabhojalam | tato jālandharah proktah kanthe duhkhaughanāśanah || Yuktabhavadeva 7.230 (attr. to the Śivayoga)

badhnāti hi śirājālam nādho yāti nabhojalam | tato jālandharo bandhah kanthaduhkhaughanāśanah ||

3.69

Translation: When the *jālandhara* lock is performed, its defining feature being the contraction of the throat, nectar does not fall in the fire and the breath does not escape.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņda 46

```
jālandhare kṛte bandhe kaṇṭhasaṃkocalakṣaṇe | na pīyūṣaṃ pataty agnau na ca vāyuḥ pradhāvati || pradhāvati || crakupyati AGT
```

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaņi f. 77<br/>v (attr. to the Haṭhaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a})
```

jālandhare kṛte bandhe kaṇṭhasaṃkocalakṣaṇe | na pīyūṣaṃ pataty agnau na ca vāyuḥ prakupyati ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.231 (attr. to the Śivayoga)

jālandhare kṛte bandhe kaṇṭhasaṃkocalakṣaṇe | na pīyūṣaṃ pataty agnau na ca vāyuḥ prakupyati ||

3.70

Translation: By contracting the throat, the yogi firmly blocks the two channels. This should be known as the middle cakra, which binds [the mind to] the sixteen supports [in the body].

Testimonia:

Yogavisaya 19ab

kaṇṭhasaṃkocanaṃ kṛtvā dve nāḍyau stambhayed dṛḍham | rasanāpīdyamānās tu sodaśaś cordhvagāminī ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 77v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

madhyacakram idam jñeyam sodaśādhārabandhanam |

Yogakarnikā 85

kaṇṭhasankocanenaiva dve nāḍye kumbhayed dṛḍham |

Yogasarasangraha p.58

kaṇṭhasaṃkocanekaṇṭhasaṃkocane nanaiva dvināḍyo stambhayed dṛḍham | ayam bandho mayā proktah sodaśādhārabandhanam ||

Hathayogasamhitā p. 23

kaṇṭhasankocanaṃ kṛtvā cibukaṃ hṛdaye nyaset | jālandhare kṛte bandhe sodaśādhārabandhanam ||

Commentary: The import of the second line of this verse is obscure to us. In *Jyotsnā* 3.73, Brahmānanda thinks that the middle cakra (*madhyacakra*) is *viśuddha* cakra. The main reason for this appears to be that this cakra is located in the throat and the salient feature of the *jālandhara* lock is contracting the throat. However, he also seems to connect the *viśuddha* cakra to the sixteen supports (*ṣoḍaśādhāra*) at the end of this verse, perhaps because this cakra has sixteen petals (as mentioned in 3.47). On the meaning of *ādhāra* in yogic contexts, see entry no. 3 in the *Tāntrikābhidhānakośa* vol. 1 2000: 191.

3.71

Translation: This triad of locks is the best [and] has been practised by the great Siddhas. Yogis know it to be a method of all systems of Haṭha.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.68

bandhatrayam idam śreṣṭham mahāsiddhaiś ca sevitam | sarvesām yogatantrānām sādhanam yogino viduh ||

Yogacintāmani f. 77v (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

bandhatrayam idaṃ śreṣṭhaṃ mahāsiddhaniṣevitam | sarvesām hathatantrānām sādhane yoginām iti ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 15.24

idaṃ bandhatrayaṃ śreṣṭḥaṃ marujjayasusiddhadam | sarveṣāṃ yogatantrāṇāṃ sādhanaṃ yogino viduḥ ||

3.71*1

Translation: By immediately contracting the lower [part of the body] (i.e. by the root lock) when the neck has been contracted (i.e. by the *jālandhara* lock) and by stretching the abdomen backwards in the middle [of the body] (i.e. by the *uḍḍiyāna* lock), the breath enters the channel of Brahman.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 63 (see 2.46)

Testimonia:

Haṭharatnāvalī 2.8, Yogacintāmaṇī f.80r, Yuktabhavadeva 7.95 and Haṭhatattvakaumudī 15.25–27 (see 2.46).

3.72

Translation: [The yogi] should contract the place of the root and do the *uḍḍiyāna* [lock]. He should [then] block the Iḍā and Piṅgalā [channels] and make [the breath] flow in the rear pathway.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.70

mūlasthānam samākuñcya uḍḍiyānam tu kārayet | idām ca pingalām baddhvā vāhayet paścimam patham ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 79v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

mūlasthānam samākṛṣya uḍḍiyānam tu kārayet | idām ca piṅgalām baddhvā vāhayet paścime pathi ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 15.25-27

mūlasthānaṃ samākuñcya uḍyānaṃ tu kārayet |
iḍāṃ ca piṃgalāṃ baddhvā vāhayet paścimāpathaṃ ||
mūlasthānaṃ mārgasaṃkocanaṃ vidhāya uḍyānam udarasaṃkocanaṃ
tataḥ | iḍāṃ piṃgalāṃ baddhvā kaṇṭhasaṃkocanena paścimapathaṃ
pṛṣṭhavaṃśamārge pavanaṃ vāhayet kuryāt ||

3.73

Translation: By this method alone, the breath attains dissolution. Then death does not arise nor old age, disease and the like.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.71

anenaiva vidhānena prayāti pavano layam∣ tato na jāyate mṛtyur jarārogādikaṃ tathā∥

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

anenaiva vidhānena sevayet pavanālayam | tato na jāyate mrtyur jarārogādikam tathā ||

3.74 heading

Translation: Now, the inverted bodily position:

3.73*1

Translation: The sun devours whatever nectar flows from the divine moon. As a result, the body is afflicted by old age.

Commentary: See 4.10, where this verse is also found.

The α group do not have 3.73*1 and 3.73*2 in the third chapter (but rather in the fourth) and other manuscripts omit them as well (notably the η group). It appears that they have been inserted at the beginning of the section on *viparītakaraṇī* as a kind of preamble, which is unusual as the other techniques in this chapter do not have such introductions.

3.73*2

Translation: There is a divine bodily position for this, which blocks the mouth of the sun. It is to be known from the teaching of a guru and not through the countless interpretations of scriptures.

Commentary: See 4.11, where this verse is also found.

3.74

Translation: The navel is up, the palate down; the sun up, the moon down: the bodily position called "inverted" is obtained through the teaching of a guru.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 115

ūrdhvam nābhir adhas tālur ūrdhvam bhānur adhaḥ śaśī | karaṇī viparītākhyā guruvākyena labhyate ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.74

ūrdhvam nābhir adhas tālur ūrdhvam bhānur adhaḥ śaśī | karaṇī viparītākhyā guruvākyena labhyate ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 73r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

ūrdhvanābhir adhastālur ūrdhvabhānur adhaḥśaśī | karaṇī viparītākhyā sarvavyādhivināśinī ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.236 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

ūrdhvaṃ nābhir adhas tālur ūrdhvaṃ bhānur adhaḥ śaśī | karanī viparītākhyā guruvaktrena gamyate ∥

3.75

Translation: The bodily position called "inverted" destroys all diseases. For [the yogi] who regularly engages in [its] practice, it increases the digestive fire.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 146

karaṇaṃ viparītākhyaṃ sarvavyādhivināśanam | nityam abhyāsayuktasya jatharāgnir vivardhate ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.75

karaṇī viparītākhyā sarvavyādhivināśinī | nityam abhyāsayuktasya jaṭharāgnivivardinī ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 78r (attr. to Dattātreya)

nityam abhyāsayuktasya jatharāgnivivardhanam ||

3.76

Translation: A lot of food should be provided for the practitioner. If the practitioner eats little, the fire will quickly consume his body.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 147

āhāro bahulas tasya saṃpādyaḥ sāṃkṛte dhruvam | alpāhāro yadi bhaved agnir dehaṃ dahet kṣaṇāt ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.76

āhāro bahulas tasya sampādyaḥ sādhakena vai | alpāhāro yadi bhaved deham agnir dahet kramāt ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 78r (attr. to Dattātreya)

āhāro bahulas tasya saṃpādyaḥ sāṃkṛte dhruvam | alpāhāro yadi bhaved agnir dāhaṃ karoti vai ||

Cf. Yuktabhayadeya 7.238

asyāṃ kriyamāṇāyāṃ sādhakasya bhakṣyaṃ bahulaṃ sampādyam anyathā pravrddho jātharānalo dhātum dahatīti ||

Commentary: Svātmārāma has removed the vocative from the *Dattātreyayoga-śāstra*, changing *sāmkrte dhruvam* to *sādhakasya tu*.

Metre: Anustubh (c: na-vipulā)

3.77

Translation: On the first day [the yogi] should keep his head down and his feet up for a short while, and he should [then] practise for a little longer every day.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 148c-149b

adhaḥśirāś cordhvapādaḥ kṣaṇaṃ syāt prathame dine || ksanāc ca kim cid adhikam abhyasec ca dine dine |

Testimonia:

Hathratnāvalī 2.77

adhaḥ śiraś cordhvapādau kṣaṇaṃ syāt prathame dine | kṣaṇāc ca kiñ cid adhikam abhyasec ca dine dine || cordhvapādau] cordhvapādaḥ v.l.

Yogacintāmani f. 78r (attr. to Dattātreva)

adhaḥśirāś cordhvapādaḥ kṣaṇaṃ syāt prathame dine | ksanāc ca kiñ cid adhikam abhyasec ca dine dine ||

Cf. Yuktabhavadeva 7.237

sa ca prathamadine kṣaṇamātraṃ vidheyā dvitīyadine | kiñcidadhikaṃ kālam evam yāmaparyantam vidheyā |

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā; c: na-vipulā)

3.78

Translation: After six months grey hair and wrinkles disappear. [The yogi] who regularly practises for three hours conquers death.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 149c–150b

valiś ca palitaṃ caiva ṣaṇmāsordhvaṃ na dṛśyate || yāmamātraṃ hi yo nityam abhyaset sa tu kālajit | °māsordhvaṃ na] °māsāṃ hi na M1, °māsāc ca na AM2, °māsārdhān na YTU, °māsān na tu HR, °māsārddhena *Yogacintāmaṇi* 150b kālajit] yogavit πDYŚPT

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.78

valitam palitam caiva ṣaṇmāsān na tu dṛśyate | yāmamātram tu yo nityam abhyaset sa tu kālajit ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 78r (attr. to Dattātreya)

valiś ca palitam caiva ṣaṇmāsārdhe na dṛśyate | yāmamātram tu yo nityam abhyaset sa tu kālajit ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.238 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

valitam palitam caiva ṣaṇmāsārdhān na dṛśyate | yāmamātram tu yo nityam abhyaset sa tu kālajit ||

Cf. Hathatattvakaumudī 14.3

ūrdhvapādo hy adhomastakaḥ syāt kṣaṇaṃ vāsare 'thādime 'bhyāsaṃ vṛddhyā dhayet | evam abhyāsato yāmamātraṃ sadā mrtyujit syāj jarājic ca sanmāsatah ||

Commentary: We have adopted the reading $sanm\bar{a}sordhvam$ in the second verse quarter. It is attested by manuscripts of the $Datt\bar{a}treyayogas\bar{a}stra$ (the source text) and the $Jyotsn\bar{a}$ (3.82). It makes good sense and explains the rather odd readings in α and other manuscripts, $sanm\bar{a}s\bar{a}rdh\bar{a}n$, $sanm\bar{a}s\bar{a}rdham$ and $sanm\bar{a}s\bar{a}rdhe$. The γ and delta groups have a different verb as well, $sanm\bar{a}s\bar{a}rdhena$ nasyati. The original reading was likely $sanm\bar{a}sordhvam$ na drsyate because the compound $sanm\bar{a}s\bar{a}rdha$ ('half of six months') is very strange and drsyate is better attested.

3.79 heading

Translation: Now *vajrolī*:

3.79

Translation: Even if he behaves as he wishes without [following] the observances (*niyama*) taught in yoga, the [yogi] who knows *vajrolī* is worthy of success.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 152

svecchayā varttamāno 'pi yogoktaniyamair vinā | vajroliṃ yo vijānāti sa yogī siddhibhājanaḥ || 152d °bhājanaḥ] °mān bhavet M1AM2, °bhājanam YTU

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.79

svecchayā vartamāno 'pi yogoktaniyamair vinā | mukto bhaved grhastho'pi vajrolyabhyāsayogataḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.79 (on viparītakaraņī)

svastham yo vartamāno 'pi yogoktair niyamair vinā | karanī viparītākhyā śrīnivāsena laksitā ||

Yogalakşanāvalī f. 31r

svecchayā vartamāno 'pi yogoktaniyamair vinā | vajrolyabhyāsayogena yogī siddhim avāpnuyāt ||

Hathayogasamhitā p. 38

svecchayā varttamāno 'pi yogoktair niyamair vinā | vajrolīm yo vijānāti sa yogī siddhibhājanam ||

Cf. Yuktabhavadeva 7.240 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

vajrolīm kathayiṣyāmi gopitām sarvayogibhiḥ| tyaktayogoktaniyamā yayā sidhyanti yoginah ||

Cf. Hathatattvakaumudī 16.3

svecchayā varttamāno 'pi yogoditaiḥ sadvidhānair vinā sādhakaḥ sābalaḥ | mucyate 'sau suvajrolikābhyāsataḥ sarvasiddhyāspadam yāti bhūmandale ||

Commentary: In manuscripts of the delta group, the *vajrolī* section is placed at the end of the work and the following comment is inserted at this place in the third chapter:

Vajrolī, which is [usually] here, has been copied at the end of the text. Even though it is found in the sequence here, it has been left out because it is be practised by special individuals. atratyā vajrolī granthānte likhitā | kramaprāptāpy atra tyaktā | asādhāranaprānyanustheyatvāt tasyāh |

3.80

Translation: I shall teach you two substances [needed] for it which are hard for just anyone to obtain. One is milk and the second is an obedient woman.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 153ab-154ab

tatra vastudvayam vakṣye durlabham yena kena cit | ksīram caikam dvitīyam ca nārī ca vaśavartinī |

Testimonia:

Yuktabhavadeva 7.241 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

atra vastudvayam manye durlabham yasya kasyacit | ksīram ekam dvitīyam tu nārī svavaśavarttinī ||

Hathayogasamhitā p. 39

tatra vastudvayam vakṣye durlabham yasya kasya cit | ksīram caikam dvitīyam tu nārī ca vaśavarttinī ||

Commentary: On the possible referents of $k\bar{s}\bar{i}ra$, see Mallinson 2024 on $Datt\bar{a}$ -treyayogaśāstra 154. According to Brahmānanda ($\bar{\jmath}yotsn\bar{a}$ 3.84), the compound $vaśavartin\bar{\imath}$, which we have translated as 'an obedient woman,' could be a wife ($vaśavartin\bar{\imath}$ $sv\bar{a}dh\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}$ $n\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ $vanit\bar{a}$). In 3.83, the reading $bh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}bhage$ in η_1 , η_2 and β_ω supports Brahmānanda's view that the woman is the yogi's wife.

3.81

Translation: [The yogi] should gently practise a full upward contraction through the urethra. Either a man or a woman may obtain success in *vajrolī*.

Testimonia:

Hathatattvakaumudī 16.4

apānamārgataḥ samyag ūrdhvakuñcanam abhyaset | puruṣo vāpi nārī vā vajrolīsiddhibhājanam || apānamārgato gudadeśena ūrdhvam upari kuñcanaṃ saṃkocanam ūrdhvam ākarsanam vā abhyaset || iti ||

Hathayogasamhitā 53 (p. 39)

mehanena śanaiḥ samyag ūrdhvākuñcanam abhyaset | puruso 'py athavā nārī vajrolīsiddhim āpnuyāt ||

Yogaprakāśikā 118ab

mehanena śanaiḥ samyag ūrdhvaṃ kuñcanam abhyaset | ūrdhvaṃ yathā syāt tathā bindor ākarṣaṇaṃ meḍhreṇābhyased ity arthah \parallel

Commentary: The *Haṭhatattvakaumudī* says that this upward contraction of the urethra, which is the method by which fluids are drawn up it, is done in the region of *apānavāyu* and the anus (*gudadeśa*). Brahmānanda states that this practice is done immediately after sex (*strīsaṅgānantaram*).

3.82

Translation: Carefully using a hollow stalk of bamboo grass, [the yogi] should very gently blow into the opening of the penis in order to make air move [into the urethra].

Sources:

```
Dattātreyayogaśāstra 165
```

```
tatas tu śaranālena phūtkāram vajrakandare | śanaih śanaih prakurvīta vāyusamcārakāranāt ||
```

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 2.86-2.87
```

```
haṭhapradīpikākāras tu
yatnataḥ śaranālena phūtkāraṃ vajrakandare |
śanaih śanaih prakurvīta vāyusamcārakāranāt ||
```

Hathasanketacandrikā (f. 39r)

```
taduktam haṭhapradīpikāyām yantritaḥ śaranālena phūtkāram vajrakandare | śanaiḥ śanaiḥ prakurv[ī]ta vāyusaṃcārakāraṇād iti || asyārthaḥ || ṣoḍaśāṃgulamānāṃ 16 tu prakuryād vaṃśanālikāṃ sūkṣmāʾg[r]amūlāntāṃ li[m]gachi[dra]mukhe datvā svāsyeʾnu tanmukhaṃ dhṛtvā phūtkāram ante syāḥ k[u]ryād bāḍhaṃ muhur muhuḥ pratyahaṃ tena vivṛtaṃ liṅgadvāraṃ kramād bhavet [|] tato †nālyānayāto† yam alpaṃ phūtkāratoʾntare [|] liṅgara[n]dhreṇa gṛhṇīyāt kramavṛddhyā susādhakaḥ [|] liṃgachidreʾtha vivṛte kṣīrākṛṣṭiṃ tato bhajed iti [|] vajrakandare liṅgachidre [||]
```

Yogaprakāśikā 118cd-ef

```
yatnataḥ śaranālena phūtkāraṃ vajrakandare |
śanaiḥ śanaiḥ prakurvīta vāyusañcārakāraṇāt ||
śareti meḍhranālenety arthaḥ || vāyusañcārakāraṇam iti bindor ākarṣaṇaṃ
kāranam ity arthah
```

Cf. Yuktabhavadeva 7.248cd-249ab

```
rasanālena phūtkāraṃ vāyoḥ sañcārakāraṇāt ||
kuryāt śanaih śanair yogī yāvac chaktih prajāyate |
```

3.83

Translation: With practice, [the yogi] may draw up semen which is falling into a woman's vagina. And [even] if his own semen has moved [down], he may draw it upwards and retain it.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 166

tadbhage patitam bindum abhyāsenordhvam āharet | calitam ca tathā bindum ūrdhvam ākrsya raksayet ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.96cd-2.97ab

nāryā bhagāt patadbindum abhyāsenordhvam āharet || calitaṃ ca nijaṃ bindum ūrdhvam ākṛṣya rakṣayet |

Hathayogasamhitā p. 39

nārībhage pated bindum abhyāsenordhvam āharet | calitam ca nijam bindum ūrdhvam ākrsya raksayet ||

Yogaprakāśikā 5.120

nāryā bhage patadbindum abhyāsenordhvam āharet | calitaṃ ca svayaṃ bindum ūrdhvam ākṛṣya rakṣayet || nārīsaṃyoge bindupatanaṃ syād ity āśaṅkya nirasyati nāryā iti || patato bindor ūrdhvam āhared āhīyamāṇaṃ svayaṃ calitaṃ bindum ākṛṣyety anvayah ||

Cf. Hathasanketacandrikā f. 39r

apānam ākuñcya tato 'balenordhvaṃ dugdham ākṛṣṭividhikrameṇa | samabhyasen niścalam alpam alpaṃ bhage patadbindum athārdhvam āharet ||

Cf. Yuktabhavadeva 7.249cd, 259

tato maithunakāle tu patadbinduṃ samunnayet || [...] patadbindum apānena huṃ huṃkārasahitena balād ūrdhvam ākṛṣya kiñcit kālaṃ vilambya ramet punaḥ || yadā tu na dhārayituṃ śakyate tadā bahiḥskhalitena bindunā saha prasvedenāṅgaṃ marddayet ||

3.84

Translation: [If] the knower of yoga preserves his semen thus, he conquers death. Death arises through the loss of semen and life from retaining semen.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 167

evam ca rakṣito bindur mṛtyum jayati tattvataḥ | maranam bindupātena jīvanam bindudhāranāt ||

Cf. Amrtasiddhi 3.87cd

maraṇaṃ bindupātena jīvanaṃ bindudhāraṇāt ||

Testimonia:

Hathratnāvalī 2.97cd-2.98ab

evam samrakṣayed bindum mṛtyum jayati yogavit || maranam bindupātena jīvitam bindudhāranāt |

Yuktabhayadeya 252cd-253ab

evam bindau sthire jāte mṛtyum jayati sarvathā || maraṇam bindupātena jīvanam bindudhāraṇāt |

Hathayogasamhitā p. 39

evam samrakṣayed bindum mṛtyum jayati yogavit | maranam bindupātena jīvanam bindudhāranāt ||

3.85

Translation: As a result of the retention of semen, the yogi's body becomes fragrant. As long as semen is steady in the body then why fear death?

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 86cd:

yogino 'nge sugandhah syāt satatam bindudhāranāt ||

Vivekamārtanda 52ad

yāvad binduh sthito dehe tāvad mrtyubhayam kutah |

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.112ab

sugandhir yogino dehe jāyate bindudhāranāt ||

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 39

sugandho yogino dehe jāyate bindudhāraṇāt | yāvad binduh sthiro dehe tāvat kālabhayam kutah ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 16.10

tathā coktaṃ granthāntare – calitaṃ tu svakaṃ bindum ūrdhvam ākuñcya rakṣayet | sugandho yogināṃ dehe jāyate bindudhāraṇād || iti || **Commentary:** The omission of 3.85ab in the η group and δ_3 is likely to be the result of haplography (*bindudhāraṇāt* is repeated).

The readings mrtyubhayam (α_3 , β , ϵ , η) and $k\bar{a}labhayam$ (α_2 , γ) are well attested by the main manuscript groups, but mrtyubhayam is in the important witnesses of the source text, the $Vivekam\bar{a}rtanda$.

3.86

Translation: In men semen is dependent on the mind and life is dependent on semen, so semen and the mind should be carefully guarded.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.98

cittāyattam nṛṇām śukram śukrāyattam ca jīvitam | tasmāc chukram manaś caiva raksanīyam prayatnatah ||

Yogacintāmani f. 74v (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

cittāyattam nṛṇām śukram śukrāyattam ca jīvitam | tasmāc cittam ca śukram ca raksanīyam prayatnatah ||

Commentary: Both α_2 and α_3 indicate that *manas* instead of *citta* was the reading of the initial compound. Therefore, we have conjectured *manāyattaṃ*, assuming double *sandhi* from *manas-āyattam*.

3.87

Translation: In this way a [the yogi] may also hold on to [both] the menses of a menstruating woman and his own semen. He who has mastered yoga through correct practice may draw up [both] through the urethra.

Testimonia:

Hathratnāvalī 2.100cd

rtumatyā rajo 'py evam rajo bindum ca raksayet ||

Hathayogasamhitā p.39

ṛtumatyā rajo 'py evaṃ bijaṃ binduṃ ca rakṣayet | medhrenākarsayed ūrdhvam samyagabhyāsayogavit || 59 ||

Commentary:

3.87*1

Translation: This yoga succeeds for those who have merit, are fortunate, abide

in truth, and are without jealousy, not for those who are jealous.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 176

ayam yogaḥ puṇyavatām dhanyānām tattvaśalinām | nirmatsarānām sidhyeta na tu mātsaryaśālinām ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.110

ayam yogaḥ puṇyavatām dhanyānām tattvaśālinām | nirmatsarāṇām sidhyeta na tu matsaraśālinām ||

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā pp. 40-41

ayam yāgaḥ puṇyavatām dhīrāṇām tattvadarśinām | nirmatsarānām sidhyeta na tu mātsaryaśālinām ||

Commentary: This verse is omitted in α_2 and α_3 (and the folio on which it would be found is missing in α_1).

Metre: Anustubh (a: bha-vipulā; c: ma-vipulā)

3.88 heading

Translation: Now sahajolī:

3.88

Translation: Sahajolī and amarolī are varieties of vajrolī.

Sources:

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 31cd

vajrolir amaroliś ca sahajolis tridhā matā |

Śivasamhitā 4.95ab

sahajolyamarolī ca vajrolyā bhedato bhavet |

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.113cd

atha sahajoliḥ sahajolī cāmarolī vajrolyā eva bhedatah ||

Haṭhayogasamhitā p.40

sahajoliś cāmarolir vajrolyā bheda eva te |

Commentary: These two $p\bar{a}das$ introduce the practices of $sahajol\bar{\imath}$ and $amarol\bar{\imath}$, which are described in the verses that follow it. The α and β groups omit the head-

ings for $sahajol\bar{\iota}$ and $amarol\bar{\iota}$. Since 3.88 introduces these practices, the headings are probably not original.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: ra-vipulā)

3.89

Translation: After intercourse using *vajrolī*, the woman and man should put ash made from burnt cow dung in water [and] smear their bodies [with it...]

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 182

```
tajjale bhasma saṃkṣipya dagdhagomayasaṃbhavam | vajrolīmaithunād ūrdhvaṃ strīpuṃsor aṅgalepanam || 182a tajjale bhasma saṃkṣipya ] M2; tajjale bhasmasāt kṣipya M1, tajjale bhasma saddravyam A
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.114

```
jale subhasma nikṣipya dagdhagomayasaṃbhavam | vajrolīmaithunād ūrdhvam strīpumsoś cāṅgalepanam ||
```

Haṭhayogasamhitā p.40

```
jale subhasma nikṣipya dagdhagomayasambhavam || vajrolī maithunād ūrdhvaṃ strīpuṃsoḥ svāṅgalepanam |
```

Commentary: Some manuscripts, including α_2 and α_3 (missing in α_1), omit 3.89ab. We have included it because in the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra*, the source of this verse, 3.89ab specifies the substance mentioned in 3.89cd that the man and woman are supposed to rub into their bodies after sexual intercourse.

In the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra*'s teaching on *sahajolī* (163 and 181–183) a rag is used to wipe up the residue of a mixture of semen and sweat that has been rubbed into the body, and then soaked in a paste of water and ash before being rubbed over the body.

Although the plural in 3.89a is awkward, *jaleṣu* was probably the result of Svātmārāma removing the pronoun from the compound *tajjale* in the *Dattātreyayoga-śāstra*'s verse because it has no referent in the *Haṭhapradīpikā*'s compilation.

3.90

Translation: [...] while sitting at complete ease, having just finished intercourse. This is called *sahajolī*. It is always to be trusted by yogis.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 183

āsīnayoḥ sukhenaiva muktavyāparayoḥ kṣaṇam | sahajolī ca saṃproktā śraddheyā yogibhiḥ sadā ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.115

āsīnayoḥ sukhenaiva muktavyāpārayoḥ kṣaṇam | sahajolir iyaṃ proktā kartavyā yogibhiḥ sadā ||

Hathasamhitā p. 40

āsīnayoḥ sukhenaiva muktavyāpārayoḥ kṣaṇāt || sahajolir iyam proktā śraddheyā yogibhih sadā

Commentary: We have understood the *repha* in *sahajolīr iyam* as a hiatus bridge. Elsewhere the nominative of this name is found only as *sahajolī* or *sahajolī*.

3.90*1

Translation: This auspicious yoga bestows liberation even when pleasure has been enjoyed.

Testimonia:

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 40

ayam śubhakaro yogī bhogayukto'pi muktidaḥ ||

Commentary: This line is absent in α_2 , α_3 and γ_1 (missing in α_1). It may have been adapted from *Dattātreyayogaśāstra* 179cd (*tasmād ayaṃ vakṣyamāṇo bhoge bhukte 'pi muktidaḥ*).

3.91 heading

Translation: Now amaroli:

3.91

Translation: Leaving out the first flow because of its excessive heat and the last flow because it is worthless, the cool middle flow of urine is used by Kāpālikas of the Khaṇḍa school.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Haṭharatnāvalī 2.116
athāmarolī
```

atnamaron
vihāya nityām prathamām ca dhārām
vihāya niḥsāratayāntyadhārām |
niṣevyate śītalamadhyadhārām
kāpālikaiḥ khaṇḍamatair anarghyām ||
vihāya nityām] pittolbaṇatvāt v.l.
anarghyām] anarghyā

Hathatattvakaumudī 16.17

athāmarolī – pittolbaṇatvāt prathamāṃ ca dhārāṃ vihāya niḥsāratayāntyadhārām | niṣevyate śītamadhyadhārā kāpālikaih khandamate 'marolī ||

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 41

pittolvaṇatvāt prathamāmbudhārāṃ niṣevyate śītalamadhyadhārā | vihāya niḥsāratayāntyadhārāṃ kāpālike khaṇḍamate 'marolī ||

Commentary: We understand 'Kāpālikas of the Khaṇḍa school' (*kāpālikair khaṇḍa-mataiḥ*) to be referring to followers of the Khaṇḍakāpālika who is mentioned in the list of siddhas given at 1.5–9, *pace* Marcinkowska-Rosół and Sellmer (2021: 105–108) who understand *khaṇḍamataiḥ* to mean 'whose doctrine is defective'.

Metre: Upajāti

3.92

Translation: [The yogi] who regularly imbibes urine, taking it by the nose every day, practises *vajrolī* thus. This is called *amarolī*.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 180c–181b

amarīṃ yaḥ piben nityaṃ nasyaṃ kurvan dine dine || vajrolīm abhyasec ceyam amarolīti kathyate | 181a abhyaset ceyam] *em.*; abhyasec chrayam M1, abhyaset yeyam A, abhyasec caivam M2

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.117

amarīm yaḥ piben nityam nasyam kuryād dine dine | vajrolīm abhyasen nityam amarolīti kathyate ||

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā 65 (p.41)

amarīṃ yaḥ piben nityaṃ nasyaṃ kurvan dine dine | vajrolīm abhyaset samyag amarolīti kathyate ||

3.92*1

Translation: If a woman draws up the semen of a man through skillfulness in the correct practice and retains her menses by means of *vajrolī*, it is she who is a [true] yoginī.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 169cd

yadi nārī rajo raksed vajrolyā sā hi yoginī ||

Testimonia:

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 41

puṃso binduṃ samākuñcya samyagabhyāsapāṭavāt | yadi nārī rajo raksed vajrolyā sā'pi yoginī ||

Commentary: In the first verse quarter, the gerund $sam\bar{a}kr\bar{s}ya$ (γ) has been adopted, instead of $sam\bar{a}ku\tilde{n}cya$, as it yields a better meaning and is used similarly to $\bar{a}kr\bar{s}ya$ in 3.83.

Verses 3.92^*1-3 have been greyscaled because they are absent in α_3 (and missing in α_1), and appear to have been added to the text from the $Datt\bar{a}treyayogaś\bar{a}stra$'s section on $vajrol\bar{\iota}$ to provide further details of how a woman practises $vajrol\bar{\iota}$ to those found in 3.93-94. The verses are present in α_2 after verse 3.87ab where the verse quarter $vajroly\bar{a}$ saha $yogin\bar{\iota}$ occurs twice (also at 3.93b), which suggests that the version of $vajrol\bar{\iota}$ in α_2 has been subject to further revision. The fact that 3.92^*1-3 are in groups β , γ and η indicates that they were added early in the transmission of the $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a}$.

3.92*2

Translation: Assuredly none of her menses is lost. The $n\bar{a}da$ in her body turns into bindu.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 174

tasyās tadā rajo nāśaṃ na gacchati na saṃśayaḥ | tasyāh śarīre nādas tu bindutām eva gacchati || 174 ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.108ab

tasyāh śarīre nādas tu bindutām eva gacchati |

Hathayogasamhitā pp. 41–42

tasyāḥ kiñ cid rajo nāśaṃ na gacchati na saṃśayaḥ | tasyāh śarīre nādaś ca bindutām eva gacchati ||

Commentary: On why this verse is in greyscale, see the note to 3.92*1.

On *nāda* and *bindu* see the note to 3.53.

Metre: Anustubh (c: ma-vipulā)

3.92*3

Translation: The *bindu* and *rajas*, which are produced in her own body, become one through *vajrolī* and bring about complete perfection by means of practice.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 175

sa bindus tad rajaś caiva ekībhūya svadehagau | vajrolyābhyāsayogena sarvasiddhih prajāyate || 175 ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.108cd-109ab

sa bindus tad rajaś caiva ekīkṛtya svadehajau || vajrolyabhyāsayogena yogasiddhiḥ kare sthitā |

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 42

sa bindus tad rajaś caiva ekībhūya svadehagau | vajrolyabhyāsayogena sarvasiddhim prayacchataḥ ||

Commentary: On why this verse is in greyscale, see the note to 3.92*1.

3.93

Translation: It is she who preserves her menses by means of the upward contraction who is the [true] yogin \bar{i} . She knows the past and the future, and is sure to become a sky-rover (*khecar* \bar{i}).

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 170ab

atītānāgatam vetti khecarī vā bhaved dhruvam |

Testimonia:

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 42

rakṣed ākuñcanād ūrdhvaṃ yā rajaḥ sā hi yoginī | atītānāgatajñānaṃ khecarī ca bhaved dhruvam ||

Metre: Anustubh (c: na-vipulā)

3.94

Translation: And she attains perfection of the body as a result of the practice of *vajrolī*. This auspicious yoga bestows liberation even when pleasure has been enjoyed.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 179

dehasiddhim ca labhate vajrolyabhyāsayogataḥ | tasmād ayam vakṣyamāṇo bhoge bhukte 'pi muktidaḥ 179d bhoge bhukte 'pi muktidaḥ] conj.; bhoge bhukte tv abhuktidaḥ M1, bhogo yogaś ca muktidah AM2

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.111

sarveṣām eva yogānām ayaṃ yogaḥ śubhaṅkaraḥ | tasmād ayam varistho 'sau bhuktimuktiphalapradah ||

Hathayogasamhitā p. 42

dehasiddhim ca labhate vajrolyabhyāsayogataḥ | ayam punyakaro yogo bhoge bhukte 'pi muktidah ||

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā)

3.94*1

Commentary: This verse is not in α and γ , and seems like an unnecessary repetition of 3.101.

3.94*2 heading

Translation: Now the Stimulation of the Goddess (*śakticālanam*):

3.94*2

Translation: She whose body is bent $(kuṭil\bar{a}ng\bar{\imath})$, she who is coiled $(kuṇḍalin\bar{\imath})$, the female snake $(bhujang\bar{\imath})$, the power (śakti), the goddess $(\bar{\imath}śvar\bar{\imath})$, she who is coiled $(kuṇḍal\bar{\imath})$ and Arundhatī: these words are synonyms.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.125-127

phaṇī kuṇḍalinī nāgī cakrī vakrī sarasvatī | lalanā rasanā kṣatrī lalāṭī śaktiḥ śaṃkhinī || rajvī bhujaṅgī śeṣā ca kuṇḍalī sarpiṇī maṇiḥ | ādhāraśaktiḥ kuṭilā karālī prāṇavāhinī || aṣṭavakrā ṣaḍādhārā vyāpinī kalanādharā || kurīty evam ca vikhyātāh śabdāh paryāyavācakāh ||

Yogacintāmani f. 78v (attr. to the *Hathayoga*)

kuṇḍalāṅgī kuṇḍalinī bhujaṅgī śaktir īśvarī | kutilārundhatī devī śabdāh paryāyavācakāh ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.300 (attr. to the Śivayoga)

kuṭilāṃgī kuṇḍalinī bhujaṅgī śaktir īśvarī | kuṇḍaly arundhatī devī śabdāḥ paryāyavācakāḥ ||

Commentary: The α_3 manuscript has a significantly shorter and more coherent version of śakticālana. It omits six introductory verses, of which three are from the *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* or one of its longer recensions and three have no known source, including one that contains a list of synonyms for *kuṇḍalinī*. This section is missing in α_1 (3.84–3.97) and α_2 adds these verses (except 3.94*6) after 3.97, which suggests that they have been inserted from elsewhere. Generally speaking, it appears that some redactors have taken the section on śakticālana in the *Haṭhapradīpikā* as an opportunity to add material on *kuṇḍalinī*, in particular her location, shape, and soteriological importance.

Metre: Anustubh (a: bha-vipulā)

3.94*3

Translation: Just as one might use a key to force open a double door, so the yogi breaks open the door to liberation with Kuṇḍalinī.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 35

udghāṭayet kapāṭaṃ tu yathā kuñcikayā haṭhāt | kundalinyā tathā yogī moksadvāram vibhedayet ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

haṭhayoge

udghāṭayet kapāṭaṃ tu yathā kuñcikayā haṭhāt | kundalinyā tathā yogī moksadvāram vibhedayet ||

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 110r

tathā coktaṃ haṭhapradīpikāyāṃ | udghāṭayet kapāṭaṃ tu yathā kuñcikayā haṭhāt | kuṇḍalinyā tathā yogī mokṣadvāraṃ vibhedayet ||

3.94*4

Translation: The supreme goddess sleeps with her mouth covering the opening of the pathway by which the wholesome place of Brahman is reached.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņḍa 33

yena mārgeṇa gantavyaṃ brahmasthānaṃ nirāmayam | mukhenācchādya taddvāraṃ prasuptā parameśvarī ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi f. 78v (attr. to the *Haṭhayoga*)

yena dvāreņa gantavyaṃ brahmasthānaṃ nirāmayam | mukhenācchādya taddvāraṃ prasuptā parameśvarī ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 110r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

yena mārgeņa gaṃtavyaṃ brahmasthānaṃ nirāmayaṃ | mukhenācchādya taddvāraṃ prasuptā parameśvarī ||

3.94*5

Translation: The coiled goddess, who sleeps above the bulb [in the abdomen], leads to liberation for yogis and bondage for the deluded. He who knows her knows yoga.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 39

kandordhvam kuṇḍalī śaktir suptā mokṣāya yoginām | bandhanāya ca mūdhānām yas tām vetti sa yogavit || suptā mokṣāya yoginām] VTvlH; aṣṭadhā kuṃḍalīkṛtā A, aṣṭadhā kuṇḍalākṛtiḥ GLGPk, śubhamoksāpradāyinī GB, śubhā moksapradāyinī GP, astadhā kutilīkrtā T

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 78v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

kandordhve kuṇḍalī śaktir buddhā mokṣāya yoginām | bandhanāya ca mūdhānām yas tām vetti sa yogavit ||

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 110r (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

kandordhvam kuḍalī śakti suptā mokṣāya yoginām | bandhanāya ca mūḍhānām yas tam vetti sa yogavit ||

3.94*6

Translation: [Just as] the coiled serpent Ananta (*śeṣakuṇḍalī*) is the foundation of the oceans, mountains and islands, so Kuṇḍalinī is the foundation of all systems of yoga.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 78v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)
ambhodhiśailadvīpānām ādhāraḥ śeṣakuṇḍalī |
aśesayogatantrānām ādhārah kundalī tathā ||

Commentary: This verse is similar to 3.1.

Metre: Anustubh (a: ma-vipulā)

3.94*7

Translation: Kuṇḍalinī is said to have a curved shape like a snake. The person who makes that goddess move is sure to be liberated.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 78v–79r (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)
kuṇḍalī kuṭilākārā sarpavat parikīrtitā |
sā śaktiś cālitā yena sa mukto nātra saṃśayaḥ ||
```

3.95

Translation: Between the Gangā and Yamunā is the wretched young widow. [The yogi] should forcefully take [her]. That is the supreme state of Viṣṇu.

Sources:

Cf. Śivasamhitā 5.169

gaṅgāyamunayor madhye vahaty eṣā sarasvatī | tāsāṃ tu saṃgame snātvā dhanyo yāti parāṃ gatim

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Hathayoga)

gangāyamunayor madhye bālarandā tapasvinī | balātkārena grhnīyāt tad visnoh paramam padam ||

Prāṇatoṣiṇī Part 6 (attr. to the Dattātreyasaṃhitā)

gaṅgāyamunayor madhye bālāraṇḍā tapasvinī | balād ākṛṣya gṛhnīyāt tad viṣṇoḥ paramaṃ padam ||

Commentary: The referent of $b\bar{a}larand\bar{a}$ $tapasvin\bar{\imath}$ here is unclear. In some manuscripts this verse is followed by one in which $b\bar{a}larand\bar{a}$ is identified as $sarasvat\bar{\imath}$, which in the context of $\acute{s}aktic\bar{a}lana$ could refer to the tongue. She could also be Kuṇḍalinī, who in 3.94^*5 is located at the navel, which is said to be the location of Viṣṇu (e.g. $Dhy\bar{a}nabind\bar{u}paniṣat$ 28-30). In his commentary on this verse in the $Bodhas\bar{a}ra$ (1906: 137), Divākara says that the seizing of Kuṇḍalinī itself is the highest state of Viṣṇu (... $b\bar{a}larand\bar{a}m$... $grhn\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}d$ $vas\bar{\imath}kury\bar{a}t$ tat $tasy\bar{a}$ $vas\bar{\imath}karanam$ eva viṣṇor $vy\bar{a}panalakṣanasya$ paramamtanah paramam tasya t

3.95*1

Commentary: Verse 3.95*1, which has no known source, simply identifies the technical terms in 3.95, namely, $gang\bar{a}$, $yamun\bar{a}$ and $b\bar{a}larand\bar{a}$ as $id\bar{a}$, $pingal\bar{a}$ and $sarasvat\bar{\iota}$. It is absent in the α manuscripts and probably crept into the text as a marginal note early in the transmission.

3.96

Translation: Seizing her tail, the fearless [yogi] wakes the sleeping serpent. She shakes off sleep and is forced to stand up straight.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.118

pucche pragṛhya bhujagīṃ suptām udbodhayed abhīḥ | nidrāṃ vihāya sā rjvī ūrdhvam uttisthate haṭhāt ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the *Haṭhayoga*)

puccham pragrhya bhujagīm suptām udbodhayed abhi | nidrām vihāya sā rjvī ūrdhvam uttisthate hathāt ||

Metre: Anustubh (a: na-vipulā)

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the *Haṭhayoga*)

3.96*1

Translation: The yogi should breathe in through the solar channel, take hold of the open-mouthed hooded [serpent] by wrapping a cloth around [her] and move her sideways for an hour and a half in the morning and evening.

Testimonia:

```
paristhitā caiva phanāvatī sā
     prātaś ca sāyam praharārdhamātram |
     prapūrya sauryā paridhānamuktā
     pragrhya niryāti vicālitā sā ||
Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 110v-111r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
     tadvidhim āha |
     paristhitā caiva phanāvatī sā
     prātaś ca sāyam praharārdhamātram |
     prapūrya sūryāt paridhānayuktā
     pragrhya tīrthāt paricālanīyā ||
     paridhān[a]yukteti dvādaśāngulapramitasitasūksmacaturangulavisr-
     taśuddhavastrakhandena drdham vestatā sā prasiddhā [ph]anāvatī susumnāt-
     makā arundhatī jihvaiva kundalinī || uktam ca ||
     arundhatī bhavej jihvā dhruvo nāsāgramandalam iti ||
     tām jihvām lambikāyogenordhvam tālvantarbhrūmadhyadeśe vihitām
     tatas tīrthād bhrūmadhyāt pragrhya adhah krtvā tasyā gurūpadistavart-
     manā cālanam vidheyam iti samketah []] cālanam tu khecarī mudrā
     sādhanavad vidheyam [|] tīrtham bhrūmadhyah [|]
```

Hathatattvakaumudī 44.5

```
paristhitasyeha phaṇāvatī sā
prātastu sāyaṃ praharārdhamātram |
prapūrya sūryāt paridhānayuktā
pragṛhya niryāt paricālanīyā ||
```

ent explanation of this practice in the Gorakṣaśataka. In his $Hathasanketacandrik\bar{a}$ (see testimonia), Sundaradeva makes sense of this verse by equating the tongue with Kuṇḍalinī. This enables him to understand the reference to the cloth ($parid-h\bar{a}na$) as the technique of wrapping the tongue in a cloth and milking it (i.e., $saras-vat\bar{c}c\bar{a}lana$ in the Gorakṣaśataka). This interpretation also makes sense of the next verse in the $Hathaprad\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$ (3.11), which describes the cloth.

Metre: Upajāti

3.96*2

Translation: It is said that the characteristics of the cloth for wrapping around [the tongue] are a length measuring a handspan and a width of four fingerbreadths, and it is soft and white.

Sources.

Cf. Goraksaśataka 20cd

dvādaśānguladairghyam cāmbaram caturangulam

Testimonia:

Yogabīja 81 (South Indian recension)

vitastipramitam dairghyam vistāre caturangulam | mṛdulam dhavalam proktam veṣṭanāmbaralakṣaṇam ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 74r (attr. to the *Yogabīja* in the context of *khecarīmudrā*)

yogabīje vitastipramitaṃ dīrgha[ṃ] vistāraṃ caturaṅgulam | mrdulam dhavalam proktam vestanādhāralaksanam ||

Hathayogasamhitā p. 44

vitastipramitam dīrgham vistāre caturaṅgulam | mrdulam dhavalam sūksmam vestanāmbaralaksanam ||

Commentary: This verse was likely added to explain 'by the method of the cloth' (*paridhānayuktyā*) in the previous verse. One would expect to read *caturaṅgulavistāram* in the second verse quarter, and the current reading is probably a result of the metre.

3.97

Translation: Sitting in *vajrāsana*, the yogī should make Kuṇḍalinī move and immediately afterwards perform *bhastrī*. He quickly awakens Kundalinī.

Testimonia:

```
Yogabīja 111 (South Indian recension)
```

vajrāsanasthito yogī cālayitvā tu kuṇḍalīm | kuryād anantaram bhastrām kundalīm āśu bodhayet ||

Yogacintāmani f. 79r (attr. to the *Hathayoga*)

vajrāsanasthito yogī cālayitvā tu kuṇḍalīm | sūryād anantaram bhastrā kundalīm āśu bodhayet ||

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 111r

vajrāsanasthito yogī cālayitvā tu kumḍalīm | sūryād anantaram bhastrī kumḍalīm āśu bodhayet ||

Commentary: Bhastrī or bhastrikā kumbhaka is taught at 2.60–68. The reference to vajrāsana may be pointing to the practice of uddiyāna, which was described earlier in the chapter and is supposed to awaken Kuṇḍalinī. The contraction of the sun mentioned in the next verse supports this.

3.98

Translation: [The yogi] should contract the sun and then make Kuṇḍalinī move. Even if he were in the jaws of death, why would he fear death?

Testimonia:

Yogabīja 83 (South Indian recension)

bhānor ākuñcanaṃ kuryāt kuṇḍalīṃ cālayet tataḥ | mṛtyuvartmagatasyāpi tasya mṛtyubhayaṃ kutaḥ || mṛtyuvartma°] mṛtyuvaktra°, mṛtyuvajra°, mṛtyupadma° v.l.

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Hathayoga)

bhānor ākuñcanaṃ kuryāt kuṇḍalīṃ cālayet tataḥ | mrtyuvaktragatasyāpi tasya mrtyubhayam kutah ||

Hathasanketacandrikā (of Sundaradeva) f. 111r

bhānor ākuñcanaṃ kuryāt kuṃḍalīṃ cālayet svataḥ | mṛtyuvakragatasyāpi tasya mṛtyu[bha]yaṃ kutaḥ || asyārthaḥ sūryanāḍyākarṣaṇena vahneḥ prācuryaṃ tasmāj jvalanatejasā apānavāyvākarṣaṇena vā kuṇḍalyābodho bhavati [|] tasya śakticālanakrtābhyāsasya mrtyubhayam kuta iti [||]

Commentary: In *Jyotsnā* 3.116, Brahmānanda understands *bhānor ākuñcanaṃ* as a contraction of the navel. In contrast, Sundaradeva, in his *Haṭhasaṃketacandrikā* (see testimonia), interprets it as drawing *prāṇa* through the sun channel

(sūryanādyākarṣaṇa), thereby intensifying the bodily fire.

3.98*1

Translation: When *prāṇa* has been greatly extended through the breath that is flowing through the path of the right nostril, with [his] body already immortal, filled with the nectar of the moon through the path from the uvula, [the yogi], sprinkling [with nectar] the network of channels at the aperture at [the centre of the] brow that have been subjugated by the mighty fire of time, he then makes his body completely new again like the trunk of a withered tree [when it is regenerated].

Sources:

Amaraughaśāsana 6.1-2 (sic; a single śārdūlavikrīḍita verse is numbered thus)
nāsāpaścimamārgavāhapavanāt prāṇe 'tidīrghīkṛte
candrāmbupratisāraṇāṃ sukṛtinaḥ prāg ghaṇṭikāyāḥ pathaḥ |
siñcan kālaviśālavahnivaśagaṃ bhūtvā sa nāḍīśataṃ
tat kāryaṃ kurute punar navatanuṃ jīrṇadrumaskandhavat ||
pratisāraṇānantaraṃ śaṅkhasāraṇā kathyate
nāḍīśataṃ] nāḍīgataṃ, nāḍīgaṇaṃ v.l.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Hathayoga)

nāsādakṣiṇamārgavāhipavano ghrāṇe 'tidīrghīkṛtaḥ candrābhaḥparipūritāmṛtatanuḥ prāg ghaṇṭikāyās tataḥ | bhindan kālaviśālavahnivaśagān bhrūrandhranāḍīgaṇān taṃ kāyaṃ kurute punar navataraṃ jīrṇadrumaskandhavat ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā (f. 111r-111v)

nāsādakṣiṇamārgavāhipavano ghrāṇe tidī[r]ghīkṛtaś caṃdrāṃ'bhaḥparipūritā'mṛtatanuḥ prāgh ghaṃṭikāyās tataḥ [|] bhindan kālaviśālavahnivaśagān bh[r]ū[ran]dhranāḍīgaṇāṃs taṃ kāyaṃ kurute punar navataraṃ jīrṇadrumaskandhavat [||] dakṣiṇe ghrāṇe nāsikāyām atidī[r]ghīkṛtaś ciraṃ kuṃbhakīkṛtaḥ prāk prathamaṃ caṃdrāṃ'bhaḥparipūritā'mṛtatanuḥ sādhakaḥ kartā pūrvam iḍayā dhṛtakuṃbhakenātisukhakarasudhopamena saṃtṛptiṃ samupagataḥ satatas tadanantaraṃ sūryanāḍyā cirāyā kalitaṃ kuṃbhauṣṇyātīkṣṇatarakuṃ tenaivauṣṇasvabhāvād ghaṇṭikāyāṃ jatruṇaḥ pañcamy arthaḥ tatsaṃbadhikān ity arthaḥ [|] evaṃvidhān kālabījān bh[r]ūrandhragataśirāpuñjān bhindan saṃchedayan svaṃ nijaśarīraṃ punar navataraṃ kuruta iti

asyārthah |

Commentary: Verse 3.98*1 is absent in the α group. It is very close to a verse in the *Amaraughaśāsana*, which is likely to be its source, although the date of the *Amaraughaśāsana* is yet to be firmly established. This verse's import of rejuvenating the body by flooding it with nectar is not directly connected with those proceeding it. Its meaning is not clear in the published edition of the *Amaraughaśāsana* and we are not confident of the readings adopted in our edition nor the meaning of the verse.

Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita

3.98*2

Translation: After making Kuṇḍalinī move, the yogi should perform $bhastr\bar{\imath}$ in particular. The god of death is afraid of the ascetic who regularly practises in this way.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the *Haṭhayoga*)

kuṇḍalīṃ cālayitvā tu kuryād bhastrāṃ viśeṣataḥ | evamabhyāsato nityam yaminah śaṅkate yamah ||

Hathasanketacandrikā (f. 111v–112r)

kumdalīm cālayitvā tu kuryād bhastrīm višeṣataḥ | evamabhyāsato nityam yaminah śamphate manah ||

Commentary: Verses 3.98*2–3 are absent in the α group and have no known source. They elaborate further on the instruction to practise *bhastrī kumbhaka* in 3.97. The practice of other *kumbhaka*s for moving Kuṇḍalinī is mentioned in 3.98*3.

The reading *abhyāsato* in 3.98*2c, which is attested by manuscripts of the γ group and the testimonia, is possible but seems to be a later corruption of the participle, *abhyasyato*.

3.98*3

Translation: Then [the yogi] should practise $s\bar{u}ryabheda$, $ujj\bar{a}y\bar{\iota}$ and also $s\bar{\iota}tal\bar{\iota}$. Where is the god of death for the ascetic engaged in the practice in this way?

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the *Haṭhayoga*) tadābhyaset sūryabhedam ujjāyīm cāpi śītalīm | evam abhyāsayuktasya yamas tu yaminaḥ kutaḥ ||

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 112r

tadābhyaset sūryabhedam ujjāyīm vāpi śītalīm | evamabhyāsayuktasya yamas tu yaminah kutah ||

Commentary: On why this verse is in greyscale, see the note to 3.98*2.

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā)

3.99

Translation: As a result of fearlessly moving [Kuṇḍalinī] for one hour 36 minutes (two *muhūrtas*), Suṣumṇā at Kuṇḍalinī is drawn up slightly.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 22c-23b

muhūrtadvayaparyantam nirbhayas cālayed imām | ūrdhvam ākṛṣyate kimcit suṣumnā kuṇḍalīgatā ||

22c nirbhayaś] YL ; nirbharaś T, nirbhayāc GU

23a ākrsyate] YL; ākarsayet TGU

23b susumnā kundalīgatā] TG; susumnām kundalīgatām U, susumnā kundalīyutā YL

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.109

gurūpadeśavidhinā tasya mṛtyubhayaṃ kutaḥ | muhūrtadvayaparyantam vidhinā śakticālanam ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.121

muhūrtadvayaparyantaṃ nirbhītaś cālayed asau | ūrdhvam ākṛṣyate kiṃ cit suṣumṇāṃ kuṇḍalīgatām | ṣaṇmāsāc cālanenaiva śaktis tasyordhvagā bhavet || ākṛṣyate] ākṛṣya tau P, T, t1. kuṇḍalīgatām] kuṇḍalī gatā P,T,t1

Yogalaksanāvalī (f. 31r) (attr. to the Goraksaśata)

muhūrtadvayaparyantam nirbhayaś cālayed imām | ūrdhvam ākrsyate kimcit susumnā kundalīyutā ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

muhūrtadvayaparyantam nirbharam cālanād dhi vai

Hathasanketacandrikā (f. 112r)

muhūrtadvayaparyantaṃ nirbharaṃ cālanād asau | ūrdhvam ākrsyate kimcit susumnākundalīgatam || ku[n]dalyās tadānīm gatam upari yātam kim cit svalpamātra abhyāsasadṛśam ity arthah [|] akrsyate uccaih karoti [|]

Commentary: As noted in our introduction, Svātmārāma appears not to have understood the practice of śakticālana in the same way as his primary source text for its description, the *Gorakṣaśataka*, in which a cloth is wrapped around the tongue so that it can be repeatedly pulled, thereby lifting up the base of the central channel. He does not include the *Gorakṣaśataka* verses which mention the tongue or the cloth (but some later recensions of the *Haṭhapradīpikā* do introduce them). Verses 98 and 99 suggest that he understood the practice to involve repeated contraction of the region of the sun at the lower end of the central channel. The result is the same, namely that Kuṇḍalinī is awakened and uncoils herself, thereby allowing Prāṇa to enter the central channel.

3.100

Translation: Extracted from Suṣumṇā by this [practice], Kuṇḍalinī leaves it. As a result of this, *prāṇa* automatically enters Suṣumṇā.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 23c-24b

tena kuṇḍalinī tasyāḥ suṣumnāyā mukhaṃ dhruvam | jahāti tasmāt prāno'yam susumnām vrajati svatah ||

Testimonia:

Hathatattvakaumudī 44.25

tadā kuṇḍalinī tasyāḥ suṣumṇāyā mukhaṃ dhruvam | jahāti tasmāt prāno 'yam susumnām vrajati svatah ||

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 112r

tena proktaśakticālanena vidhinā kuṇḍalinī tasyāḥ suṣumṇāyāḥ samud-dhṛtā jahāti tasmāt prāṇo [']yaṃ suṣumṇāṃ vrajati svataḥ [|] suṣumṇāyā antaḥ kiṃ cit tatka[r]tṛkordhvākarṣaṇena samyag ūrdhvavihitā yadā kuṇḍalī bhūry antaḥ praviṣṭety arthaḥ [|] tadāyaṃ va[hny]āpānamanob-hiḥ sārdhaṃ vijitaḥ kuṃḍalīpadaṃ prāptaḥ prāṇavāyuḥ svataḥ svas-māt pārthivarājasavikāraśoṣam iti śeṣaḥ [|] jahāti kuṃḍalībodhe suṣumṇāṃ-taḥ pātaprabhāvād vigatāśeṣabāhyavāhaprasaṃ[ga] iti bhāvaḥ || tas-mād dhetoḥ suṣumṇaṃ gacchatīti kevalakuṃbhako bhavatīty arthaḥ

Commentary:

Metre: Anustubh (c: ma-vipulā)

3.101

Translation: Therefore [the yogi] should regularly make *arundhatī* move, she who contains speech. By making her move the yogi is freed from diseases.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 26cd-27ab

tasmāt saṃcālayen nityaṃ śabdagarbhāṃ sarasvatīm | yasyāḥ saṃcālanenaiva yogī rogaiḥ pramucyate ||

Testimonia:

Cf. Hatharatnāvalī 2.122

sūryeṇa pūrayed vāyuṃ sarasvatyās tu cālayet | śabdagarbhācālanena yogī rogaiḥ pramucyate ||

Yogalaksanāvalī f. 31r (attr. to the Goraksaśata)

tasmāt saṃcālayen nityaṃ śabdagarbhāṃ sarasvatī | asyāḥ saṃcālanenaivaṃ rogā naśyaṃti niścitaṃ ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 112r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

tasmāt saṃcālayen nityaṃ śabdagarbhām arundhatīm || yasyāḥ saṃcālanenāśu yogī rogaiḥ pramucyate ||

Commentary: In the Gorakṣaśataka (26cd–27ab), the source text, this verse occurs in a passage on sarasvatīcālana, which is the practice of moving the tongue (i.e. sarasvatī) by wrapping a cloth around it and tugging it in order to raise Kuṇḍalinī. In the Gorakṣaśataka, sarasvatī is also called arundhatī and, since the tongue is instrumental for speech and sarasvatī is the name of a Goddess identified with speech (vāc), the Gorakṣaśataka's reading of śabdagarbhām sarasvatīm makes good sense. However, it seems that Svātmārāma has changed 3.101b to read śabdagarbhām arundhatīm and has understood arundhatī as Kuṇḍalinī. This is affirmed in longer versions of the Haṭhapradīpikā (3.94*2) that contain a verse on synonyms of Kuṇḍalinī, which includes arundhatī. We are yet to find arundhatī equated with Kuṇḍalinī in a text composed before the Haṭhapradīpikā but this identification is found in subsequent compendiums and commentaries (e.g. Yogacintāmaṇi f. 78v, Yuktabhavadeva 7.300, Jyotsnā 104, 119, Yogaprakāśikā 5.166).

3.102

Translation: The yogi who has made Kuṇḍalinī move is worthy of success. There is no point in speaking at length about this. He easily conquers death.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.123

```
yena saṃcālitā śaktiḥ sa yogī siddhibhājanaḥ | kim atra bahunoktena mṛtyuṃ jayati līlayā || 2.123 || Yogalakṣaṇāvalī (f. 31r) (attrib. Gorakṣaśata) yena saṃcālitā śaktiḥ śabdagarbhā tv aruṃdhatī | kim atra bahunoktena tasya kālabhayaṃ na hi || Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 112r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā) yena saṃcālitā śaktiḥ sa yogī siddhibhājanaṃ | kim atra bahunoktena kālaṃ jayati līlayā ||
```

3.102*1

Translation: For the yogi whose practice is with Kuṇḍalinī, who has a vow of celibacy and is always eating a healthy and measured diet, success appears after forty days (*mandalāt*).

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)
```

brahmacaryaratasyaiva nityam hitamitāśinah | mandalād drśyate siddhih kundalyabhyāsayoginah ||

Yogalakṣaṇāvalī (f. 31r) (attrib. to Gorakṣaśataka)

brahmacaryavratasyaiva kuṃḍalyabhyāsayoginaḥ || mandalād drśyate siddhir iti yogavido viduh ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 112r–112v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

brahmacaryajatasyaiva nityam hitamitām śanaiḥ | maṇḍalād dṛśyate siddhiḥ kuṇḍalyabhyāsayoginaḥ ||

Commentary: Verse 3.102*1 has been omitted by α_1 and α_3 . It is in α_2 at the end of a block of verses (3.94*2–5, 94*7) that is excluded by α_3 . This block appears after 3.97 and appears to have been inserted from elsewhere. 3.102*1 has no known source and appears to have been added as a general laudatory statement on the benefits of practising with Kuṇḍalinī.

The meaning of *mandalād* in 3.102*1c is not clear. Brahmānanda understands it as a period of time (i.e., forty days) but we are yet to find this attested elsewhere.

3.103

Translation: The yogi should mix with ash the fluid of the moon emitted as a result of the practice. Wearing that [mixture] on the head bestows divine sight.

Testimonia:

```
Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 31r (attr. to Gorakṣaśataka)
abhyāsaniḥṣṛtāṃ cāndrīṃ vibhūtyā saha miśrayet |
taddhāraṇaṃ cottamāṃge divyadṛṣṭipradāyakaṃ ||
Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 112v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
abhyāsaniḥṣṛtāṃ cāndrīṃ vibhūtyā saha miśrayet [|]
taddhāraṇaṃ tattamāṃge divyadṛṣṭipradāyakaṃ [||] 19
cāndrīṃ lalāṭacandrān niḥṣṛtāṃ abhyāse śramajātāṃ gharmadhārāṃ
tāṃ vibhūtyā vimiśrayet | tām uttamāṃge śirasi dhārayed asau sād-
hakasya divyadṛṣṭipradā bhravatīty arthaḥ [|]
```

Hathayogasamhitā p. 41 (on amarolī)

abhyāsān niḥsṛtāṃ cāndrīṃ vibhūtyā saha miśrayet | dhārayed uttamāṅgeṣu divyadṛṣṭiḥ prajāyate ||

Commentary: In the important manuscripts of the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$, including those of α , this verse occurs in the section on $\acute{s}aktic\bar{a}lana$. This is also the case in the $Yogalak \dot{\imath}an\bar{a}val\bar{\imath}$, $Hathasanketacandrik\bar{a}$ and the longer recensions of the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ with six and ten chapters. However, in the context of $\acute{s}aktic\bar{a}lana$, the referent of $c\bar{a}ndr\bar{\imath}$ is unclear. It appears to be understood as some sort of lunar fluid. Sundaradeva (see the $Hathasanketacandrik\bar{a}$ in the testimonia) defines it as a flow of perspiration ($gharmadh\bar{a}r\bar{a}$) that arises from exertion in the practice and is emitted from moon in forehead ($lal\bar{a}tacandra$). In $Yogaprak\bar{a}\acute{s}ik\bar{a}$, $B\bar{a}lakr\dot{\imath}na$ glosses it simply as nectar ($sudh\bar{a}$) (5.182). In the $Hathayogasamhit\bar{a}$ (p. 41) and $Jyotsn\bar{a}$ (3.98), this verse is in the section on $amarol\bar{\imath}na$, which provides a clear referent of $c\bar{a}ndr\bar{\imath}a$ as the cool middle flow of urine (see 3.96 [3.91 in our edition]).

Metre: Anuştubh (c: ra-vipulā)

3.103*1

Translation: For purifying the seventy-two thousand channels, there is no method of cleansing without the practice of Kuṇḍalinī.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)
dvisaptatisahasrāṇāṃ nāḍīnāṃ malaśodhanam |
kutaḥ prakṣālanopāyaḥ kuṇḍalyabhyāsato vinā ||
Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 31r (attrib. to Gorakṣaśataka)
dvisaptatisahasrāṇāṃ nāḍīnām api śodhanaṃ |
asatkalpam smrtam siddhaih kumdalobhyasānād rte ||
```

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 112v (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

dvisaptatisahastrāṇāṃ nāḍīnāṃ malaśodhanaṃ | kutaḥ prakṣālanopāyaḥ kuṃḍalyābhyāsanād ṛte ||

Commentary: This verse is omitted by the α group, and was probably added to the original text as a further laudatory statement on the practice of Kundalinī.

3.103*1 ending

Translation:

3.104

Translation: Thus have the ten *mudrā*s been taught by Śiva Ādinātha. Each one among them can bestow liberation for ascetics.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.35

iti mudrā daśa proktā ādināthena śambhunā | ekaikā tāsu mukhyā syān mahāsiddhipradāyinī ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 79v

iti mudrā nava proktā ādināthena śambhunā | ekaikā tāsu yaminām mahāsiddhipradāyinī ||

Commentary: Manuscripts of the β , ϵ , η , and ζ groups have a different reading for the second line; 'each mudrā is capable of being a cause of all powers' ($k\bar{a}ranam$ sarvasiddhīnām ekaikāpi kṣamaiva sā).

3.105

Translation: Without a king the earth is not resplendent ($r\bar{a}jate$), without the moon the night does not sparkle ($r\bar{a}jate$), without Rājayoga even the wonderful [practice of] $mudr\bar{a}$ does not shine($r\bar{a}jate$).

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.16

rājayogam vinā pṛthvī rājayogam vinā niśā | rājayogam vinā mudrā vicitrāpi na rājate || **Commentary:** The *Yogaprakāśikā* (5.186) interprets the similes in this verse as we have translated them, 'Just as without a king [and] moon, the earth and night do not shine…' (*yathā mahīpālaṃ candramasaṃ vinā pṛthvīniśe na rājete...*). However, in *Jyotsnā* 3.126, Brahmānanda interprets the earth (*pṛthvī*) as *āsana* because both are connected by the quality of steadiness (*sthairyaguṇayogāt*), and the night (*niśā*) as breath retention (*kumbhaka*) because both are characterised by the absence of movement of people and wind (*pṛāṇasañcārābhāvalakṣaṇaḥ*). Brahmānanda's interpretation seems somewhat far-fetched.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (c: na-vipulā)

3.106

Translation: [The yogi] should carry out all breath practice with his mind engaged. The wise man must not let his attention wander.

Testimonia:

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 92v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā) mārutābhyasanaṃ kiṃ cin manoyuktaṃ samācaret | itaratra na kartavyā manovṛttir manīṣiṇā ||

3.107

Translation: By means of postures, breath retentions and *mudrā*s, the central channel, even though untraversed, becomes straight through yogis' firm practice.

Testimonia:

Upāsanāsārasangraha p. 36

iyam tu madhyamā nāḍī dṛḍhābhyāsena yoginām | āsanaprāṇasaṃyāmamudrābhiḥ saralā bhavet ||

Commentary: The reading of *khilāpi* in the first verse quarter is unusual but well attested by the witnesses including the α group of manuscripts. In the *Abhidhāna-cintāmaṇi* (940), *khila* is defined as something uncultivated such as field (*kṣetrādyapra-hataṃ khilam*) or, as the *Amarapadavivṛti* (2.1.5) puts it, 'not marked by a plough' (*lāṅgalena na likhitam iti khilam*). In the context of *Haṭhapradīpikā* 3.107, qualifying *suṣumnā* with *khila* implies that the central channel has yet to be cultivated (i.e., traversed).

3.108

Translation: For those who are tireless in their dedication, Rājayoga has a $mudr\bar{a}$, and this other $mudr\bar{a}$, $Rudr\bar{a}n\bar{i}$, bestows great perfection.

Commentary: Rudrāṇī may be the mudrā usually called śāmbhavī.

3.108*1

Translation: May [the yogi] who offers the traditional teaching of the *mudrās* be the guru, the master. He is none but the Lord himself.

Testimonia:

Upāsanāsārasangraha p. 40

upadeśam hi mudrāṇām yo datte sāmpradāyikam | sa eva śrīguruḥ svāmī sākṣād īśvara eva saḥ ||

Commentary: Verses 3.108*1-2 have no known source and are absent in the α group. It is likely both were added to the original text as further praise of those practising the hathayogic *mudrās*.

3.108*2

Translation: The yogi who has become intent on that [guru's] teaching and practises a $mudr\bar{a}$ with a focused mind cheats death with the powers beginning with minimisation.

Commentary: No version of this verse is entirely satisfactory. See the note on 3.108*1 for why it is in greyscale.