3.1

Translation: Just as the lord of snakes is the foundation of the regions of the earth along with their mountains and forests, so $kundalin\bar{\iota}$ is the foundation of all systems of yoga.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.124

saśailavanadhātryās tu yathādhāro 'hināyakaḥ | aśeṣayogatantrāṇāṃ tathādhāro hi kuṇḍalī ||

Yogacintāmani f. 71v

haṭhapradīpikāyām saśailavanadhātrīṇāṃ yathādhāro 'hināyakaḥ | sarveṣāṃ yogatantrāṇāṃ tathādhāro hi kuṇḍalī ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.170

tatra haṭhapradīpikāyām saśailavanadhātrīṇāṃ yathādhāro 'hināyakaḥ | sarvesām yogatantrānām tathādhāro hi kundalī ||

Commentary: The plural °dhātrīṇām is hard to construe. Only this world has mountains and forests, but we want a plural for the comparison with °tantrāṇām. Brahmānanda (Jyotsnā 3.1) understands °dhātrīṇām to refer to the different regions of the earth, even though the world (dhātrī) is a single entity (dhātryā ekatve 'pi deśabhedād bhedam ādāya bahuvacanam). A similar comment occurs in the Yogaprakāśikā 5.1 (yathā samastadvīpādisahitapṛthvī ādhāraḥ phaṇīndras tathā samastayogādhāraḥ kuṇḍalīty āha saśaileti). The author of the Haṭharatnāvalī circumvented this issue by adopting the reading °dhātryāḥ.

3.2

Translation: When the sleeping $kundalin\bar{\iota}$ awakens through the favour of the guru, then all the lotuses are pierced, and the knots too, [...]

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 4.21

suptā guruprasādena yadā jāgarti kuṇḍalī | tadā sarvāṇi padmāni bhidyante granthayo 'pi ca ||

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 71v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā) suptā guruprasādena bodhitā sukhadā bhavet |
```

```
tathā sarvāṇi padmāni bhidyante granthayo 'pi ca\parallel
```

Yuktabhavadeva 171 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
suptā guruprasādena yadā jāgarti kuṇḍalī | tadā sarvāni padmāni bhidyante granthayo 'pi ca ||
```

Commentary: The usual meaning of *jāgarti* would be "is wakeful" rather than "awakens", which explains the variant *bodhitā*.

3.3

Translation: [...] the empty pathway becomes the royal highway for *prāṇa*, the mind becomes free of support, and death is cheated.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 72r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
prāṇasya śūnyapadavī tathā rājapathāyate |
tathā cittaṃ nirālambaṃ tathā kālasya vañcanam ||

Metre: Anustubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.4

What is "the empty pathway"?

Translation: *Suṣumṇā*, the empty pathway, the great path to the aperture of Brahmā, the cremation ground, Śāmbhavī, and the middle path are synonyms.

Sources:

Cf. Amrtasiddhi 2.6

avadhūtīpadam ke cic chmaśānam ca mahāpatham | ke cid vadanti ādhārām susumnām ca sarasvatīm ||

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 109c-110b

mahāpathaṃ śmaśānaṃ ca suṣumnāpy ekam eva hi || nāmnām matāntare bhedah phale bhedo na vidyate |

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi f. 59r

haṭhapradīpikāyām suṣumṇā śūnyapadavī brahmarandhraṃ mahāpatham | śmaśānī śāṃbhavī madhyamārgaś cety ekavācakā iti ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.172 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

prāṇasya śūnyapadavī mahārandhram mahāpatham | śmaśānam śāmbhavī madhyamārgaś cety ekavācakam ||

Metre: Anustubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.5

Translation: Therefore, in order to do his utmost to awaken the goddess sleeping in front of the doorway of Brahman, [the yogi] should carry out the practice of *mudrā*.

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 4.22

tasmāt sarvaprayatnena prabodhayitum īśvarīm | brahmadvāramukhe suptām mudrābhyāsam samācaret ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 59r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

tasmāt sarvaprayatnena prabodhayitum īśvarīm | brahmadvāramukhe suptām mudrābhyāsaparo bhavet ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.173 (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

tasmāt sarvaprayatnena prabodhayitum īśvarīm | brahmadvāramukhe suptāṃ mudrābhyāsaṃ samācaret ||

3.6

Translation: The great seal, the great lock, the great piercing, the sky-roving [seal], the *uḍḍiyāna* [lock], the root lock and the lock called *jālandhara*, [...]

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 4.23

mahāmudrā mahābandho mahāvedhaś ca khecarī | jālandharo mūlabandho viparītakṛtis tathā ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.32

mahāmudrā mahābandho mahāvedhas tṛtīyakaḥ | uddiyānam mūlabandho bandho jālandharābhidhah ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 72r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

```
mahāmudrā mahābandho mahāvedhaś ca khecarī |
uḍḍiyānaṃ mūlabandho bandho jālandharābhidhaḥ ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.174 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
mahāmudrā mahābandho mahāvedhaś ca khecarī |
udyānam mūlabandhaś ca bandho jālandharas tathā ||
```

Metre: Anustubh (c: ra-vipulā)

3.7

Translation: [...] the bodily position called inverted, $vajrol\bar{\iota}$ [and] the stimulation of the goddess: this group of ten $mudr\bar{a}s$ and other [practices] destroys old age and death.

Sources:

Śivasaṃhitā 4.24

udyānaṃ caiva vajrolī daśamaṃ śakticālanam | idaṃ hi mudrādaśakaṃ mudrāṇām uttamottamam ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.33

karaṇī viparītākhyā vajrolī śakticālanam | sampradāyā khecarī sā daśa mudrāḥ prakīrtitāḥ ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 72r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

karaṇī viparītākhyā tathā vai śakticālanam | etad dhi mudrānavakam jarāmaranavarjitam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.175 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

viparītakṛtiś caiva vajrolī śakticālanam | idam hi mudrādaśakam mudrānām uttamomam ||

Metre: Anustubh (c: na-vipulā)

3.8

Translation: It has been taught by Śiva, is divine, bestows the eight supramundane powers, is beloved of all the Siddhas, is difficult for even the gods to obtain, [...]

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 72r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

ādināthoditaṃ divyam aṣṭaiśvaryapradāyakam |
vallabhaṃ sarvasiddhānāṃ durlabhaṃ marutām api ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.176 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

ādināthoditaṃ samyagaṣṭaiśvaryapradāyakam |
vallabhaṃ sarvasiddhendradurlabhaṃ marutām api ||
```

3.9

Translation: [...] should be carefully kept secret like a casket of gems [and] must not be spoken of to anyone, like sex with a respectable woman.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 72r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
gopanīyaṃ prayatnena yathā ratnakaraṇḍakam |
kasyacin naiva vaktavyaṃ kulastrīsurataṃ yathā ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.177 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
gopanīyaṃ prayatnena jarāmaraṇanāśanam |
kasyacinnaiva vaktavyaṃ kulastrīsurataṃ yathā ||
```

3.9*1

Translation: [With] *Amarolī* and *Sahajolī*, Vajrolī is considered to be threefold. I shall teach their characteristics and the details of how they should be performed.

Sources:

```
Dattātreyayogaśāstra 31c–32b

vajrolī cāmarolī ca sahajolī tridhā matā |
eteṣāṃ lakṣaṇaṃ vakṣye kartavyaṃ ca viśeṣataḥ ||
vajrolī ] vajrolir v.l.• cāmarolī ] amaroliś v.l.• sahajolī ] sahajolis v.l.
```

3.10

Translation: Now the great seal (*mahāmudrā*):

[The yogi] should press the perineum with the heel of the left foot, hold [the foot of] the extended leg with the hands and breathe in through the mouth.

Sources:

Amaraugha 19

pādamūlena vāmena yonim sampīdya dakṣiṇam | pādam prasāritam dhṛtvā karābhyām pūrayen mukhe || dhṛtvā | krtvā vl.

Cf. Amrtasiddhi 11.3

yoniṃ saṃpīḍya vāmena pādamūlena yatnataḥ | savyam prasāritam pādam karābhyām dhārayed drdham ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.37

pādamūlena vāmena yoniṃ sampīḍya dakṣiṇam | pādaṃ prasāritaṃ kṛtvā karābhyāṃ pūrayen mukham || mukham] mukhe v.l.

Yogacintāmaņi ff. 72v–73r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

pādamūlena vāmena yoniṃ saṃpīḍya dakṣiṇam | pādaṃ prasāritaṃ dhṛtvā karābhyāṃ pūrayen mukham ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.178 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

pādamūlena vāmena yonim sampīḍya dakṣiṇam | pādam prasāritam kṛtvā karābhyām dhārayed dṛḍham ||

3.11

Translation: [The yogi] should apply a lock to the throat and hold the breath in the upper [part of the body]. Just as a snake hit with a staff assumes the form of a staff, [...]

Sources:

Amaraugha 20

kaṇṭhe bandhaṃ samāropya dhārayed vāyum ūrdhvataḥ | yathā daṇḍāhataḥ sarpo daṇḍākāraḥ prajāyate ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.37cd-38ab

kaṇṭhe bandhaṃ samāropya pūrayed vāyum ūrdhvataḥ || yathā daṇḍāhataḥ sarpo daṇḍākāraḥ prajāyate |

Yogacintāmaņi ff. 73r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

kaṇṭhe bandhaṃ samāropya dhārayed vāyum ūrdhvataḥ | yathā dandāhatah sarpo dandākārah prajāyate ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.179 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

kaṇṭhe bandhaṃ samāropya dhārayed vāyum ūrdhvataḥ | yathā daṇḍāhataḥ sarpo daṇḍākāraḥ prajāyate ||

Commentary: The instruction to hold the breath upwards ($\bar{u}rdhvatah$) is somewhat vague. In a commentarial passage on this verse in *Yuktabhavadeva* 7.187, Bhavadevamiśra clarifies this by saying, 'one should hold it higher than the heart' ($hrdayad \bar{u}rdhvato dh\bar{u}ravet$).

3.12

Translation: [...] so the goddess $kundalin\bar{\imath}$ suddenly becomes straight. Then she becomes still in the vessel with two halves.

Sources:

Amaraugha 21

rjvībhūtā tathā śaktiḥ kuṇḍalī sahasā bhavet | tadāsau maraṇāvasthā jāyate dvipuṭāśritā ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.38cd-39ab

rjvībhūtā tathā śaktiḥ kuṇḍalī sahajā bhavet || tathā sā maranāvasthā jāyate dviputīsthitā |

Yogacintāmaṇi ff. 72v–73r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

rjvībhūtā tathā śaktiḥ kuṇḍalī sahajā bhavet | tathāsau maraṇāvasthāṃ harate dvipuṭāśrayām || dvipuṭā] em., dvipaṭā° L, dvipadā° N.

Yuktabhavadeva 7.180 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

rjvībhūtā tathā śaktiḥ kuṇḍalī sahasā bhavet | tadāsau maranāvasthā jāyate dviputāśritā ||

Commentary: This verse is taken from the *Amaraugha*, which uses the alchemical imagery of the *Amṛtasiddhi* to describe the stilling of *kuṇḍalinī* in the central channel. Drawing on Hellwig 2009:238–240, Mallinson and Szanto (2021:21) note that "In alchemical texts *māraṇa* ("killing") involves heating a substance and thereby changing its state, usually through calcination or oxidation, so that it becomes inert. In the *Amṛtasiddhi māraṇa* and other derivatives of the root *mṛ*, "die", are used to denote the stilling or stopping of either the breath or Bindu." Thus when *kuṇḍalinī* is said to be in the state of *maraṇa* the meaning is that she is stilled. The *dvipuṭa* or "vessel with two halves" in which this occurs is the same as the *Amṛtasiddhi*'s *sampuṭa*, which, drawing on Hellwig 2009:342, Mallinson and

Szanto (2021:22) say "consists of two *puṭas* joined together to form a sealed crucible for heating reagents without evaporation". In the yoga of the *Amṛṭasiddhi*, the bodily *saṃpuṭa* is formed by applying locks at the top and bottom of the central channel, i.e. constricting the perineal region and the throat. In the *Haṭhapradīpikā* it is formed by pressing the perineum with the heel and constricting the throat.

As Birch (2019: 971) notes, it is unlikely that later non-Buddhist authors understood maraṇāvasthā and dvipuṭa according to the alchemical metaphors of the Amrtasiddhi. Later commentators take dviputa as the two nostrils (e.g., Yuktabhavadeva 7.187, dvināsāputa); the idā and pingalā channels (e.g., Jyotsnā 3.27, putayor dvayam idāpingalayor yugmam); or the in and out flows of the breath (e.g., Yogaprakāśikā 5.16-17, vāyor bahirnirgamanam antahpraveśa iti yat putadvayam tam). How these commentators understood asau māranāvasthā is less clear. Brahmānanda seems to take it as the death of prāna, or in other words, the absence of the breath, in the two nostrils (maranāvasthā jāyate kundalībodhe sati susumnāyām praviste prāne dvayoh putayoh prānaviyogāt). Bhavadeva thought that kundalini, along with prāna and apāna, remains in the two nostrils while the breath is being held (evam vāyudhāraṇāyām kriyamāṇāyām vyākulā bhūtā kundalinī apānaprānābhyām saha nāsāpuṭadvayāśritā bhavati). Others, such as Śivānanda and Bālakrsna, favour the reading *tadā sā maraṇāvasthāṃ harate dvipuṭāśritām* (or dvipuţāśrayām), which is present in group 2 and 3 manuscripts of the Haţhapradīpikā. Bālakrsna understands this to mean that the great seal destroys death (maraṇāvasthām harate mahāmudreti bhāvaḥ) but it could also mean that the awakened kundalinī destroys death, which is usually dependent on the in and out breaths.

3.13

Translation: [The yogi] should then exhale very slowly, not quickly. This is the great seal revealed by the great Siddhas.

Testimonia:

Yuktabhavadeva 181 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

tataḥ śanaiḥ śanair eva recayen na tu vegataḥ | iyam khalu mahāmudrā mahāsiddhaih pradršyate ||

3.14

Translation: Problems such as the great afflictions [and] death and so forth are removed [by it], and that is why the most wise call it the 'great seal.'

Sources:

```
Amaraugha 22
```

```
mahākleśādayo doṣā bhidyante maraṇādayaḥ | mahāmudrāṃ tu tenaiva vadanti vibudhottamāḥ || mahākleśādayo doṣā ] mahārogā mahākleśā v.l. bhidyante ] jīryante v.l.
```

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 72v (attr. to the Skandapurāṇa)
mahākleśā yato doṣā jīryante maraṇādayaḥ |
mahāmudrām ca tenaiva vadanti vibudhottamā iti ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.182 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

```
mahākleśā yato doṣā jīryante maraṇādayaḥ | mahāmudrā ca tām eva vadanti vibudhottamāh ||
```

Commentary: This verse might be explaining the name of *mahāmudrā* through assonance with *mahākleśādayo*, *doṣā* and *maraṇādayaḥ* in the first line.

3.15

Translation: After practising on the lunar side of the body, the yogi should then practise on the solar side. [The yogi] should finish practising the seal when the count is even.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 60

```
candrāṅge tu samabhyasya sūryāṅge punar abhyaset | yāvat tulyā bhavet saṅkhyā tato mudrām visarjayet ||
```

Testimonia:

Yuktabhavadeva 7.183 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
candrāṅgena samabhyasya sūryāṅgenābhyaset tataḥ | yāvat tulyā bhavet samkhyā tato mudrām visarjayet ||
```

Commentary: The terms *candrāṅga* and *sūryāṅga* are unusual and not used in other yoga texts outside the context of *mahāmudrā*. In *Jyotsnā* 3.15, Brahmānanda glosses *candrāṅga* as *vāmāṅga* ('the left side of the body') and *sūryāṅga* as *dakṣāṅga* ('the right side of the body') and goes on to explain the sequence of practice as follows:

This is the sequence in the [practice]. Joining the heel of the bent left leg with the region of the perineum and holding the big toe of

the extended right leg with bent index fingers bent is the practice, that is, the practice on the left side of the body ($v\bar{a}m\bar{a}nga$). In this practice, the inhaled breath remains on the left side of the body. Joining the heel of the bent right leg with the region of the perineum and holding the big toe of the extended left leg with bent index fingers is the practice, that is, the practice on the right side of the body. In this practice, the inhaled breath remains on the right side of the body. In this practice, the inhaled breath remains on the right side of the body. $atr\bar{a}yam\ kramah\ |\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}citav\bar{a}map\bar{a}dap\bar{a}rsnim\ yonisth\bar{a}ne\ samyojya\ prasaritadaksinap\bar{a}dangustham\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}citatarjanibhyam\ grhitvabhyaso\ v\bar{a}mange\ tisthati\ |\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}citatarjanibhyam\ grhitvabhyaso\ daksange\ bhyasah\ |\ asminn\ abhyase\ purito\ vayur\ daksange\ tisthati\ |\ asminn\ abhyase\ purito\ vayur\ daksange\ tisthatil |\ asminn\ abhyase\ purito\ vayur\ daksange\ tisthatil |\ a$

3.16

Translation: [For the yogi who practises thus] there is no wholesome or unwholesome [food], and all flavours without exception become flavourless. Even terrible poison, when consumed, is digested like nectar.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņda 61

na hi pathyam apathyam vā rasāḥ sārve 'pi nīrasāḥ | api bhuktam viṣam ghoram pīyūṣam iva jīryati || iīryati v.l., iāyate v.l.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.40

na hi pathyam apathyam vā rasāḥ sarve 'pi nīrasāḥ | api bhuktam visam ghoram pīyūsam iva jīryate ||

Yogacintāmani f. 73r (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

na hi pathyam apathyam vā rasāḥ sarve 'pi nīrasāḥ | api bhuktam visam ghoram pīyūsam iva jīryati ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.184 (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

iha pathyam apathyam vā sarasam nirasam ca vā | api bhuktam visam ghoram pīyūsam iva jīryati ||

3.17

Translation: Diseases such as consumption, skin afflictions, constipation, swelling

and indigestion disappear for [the vogi] who practises the great seal.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 62

```
kṣayakuṣṭagudāvarttagulmājīrṇajvaravyathāḥ | tasya doṣāḥ kṣayaṃ yānti mahāmudrāṃ tu yo 'bhyaset || tasya dosāh ] sarvarogāh v.l., rogās tasya v.l.
```

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.41

```
kṣayakuṣṭhagudāvartagulmājīrṇapurogamāḥ | doṣāḥ sarve kṣayaṃ yānti mahāmudrāṃ tu yo 'bhyaset ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
kṣayakuṣṭhagudāvartagulmaplīhapurogamāḥ | tasya doṣāḥ kṣayaṃ yānti mahāmudrāṃ ca yo 'bhyaset ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.185 (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

```
kṣayakuṣṭhagudāvarttagulmājīrṇapurogamāḥ | tasya rogāḥ kṣayaṃ yānti mahāmudrāṃ tu yo'bhyaset ||
```

3.18

Translation: This great seal has been taught. It brings about the great *siddhi* for men: it should be carefully kept secret and should not be given to all and sundry.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 63

kathiteyam mahāmudrā mahāsiddhikarī nṛṇām | gopanīyā prayatnena na deyā yasya kasya cit ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.42

```
kathiteyam mahāmudrā jarāmṛtyuvināśinī | gopanīyā prayatnena na deyā yasya kasya cit ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.186 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
kathiteyam mahāmudrā mahāsiddhikarī nṛṇām |
gopanīyā prayatnena na deyā yasya kasya cit ||
```

Commentary: Manuscripts of three groups (α , β and γ), which are important stemmatically, have the reading $jar\bar{a}mrtyuvin\bar{a}sin\bar{\imath}$ (and J_5 has $nrn\bar{a}m$ $mrtyuvin\bar{a}sin\bar{\imath}$) for the second verse quarter. While this reading is possible, the play on $mah\bar{a}sid-dhi$ and $mah\bar{a}mudr\bar{a}$ seems more likely original, as seen in the source text, the

Vivekamārtaṇḍa (without significant variants), and the η and ε groups.

In *Jyotsnā* 3.18, Brahmānanda understands *mahāsiddhi* as referring to 'great *siddhis*,' but in other works it can mean liberation (Mallinson 2012).

3.19

Translation: Now, the great lock (*mahābandha*):

[The yogi] should place the heel of the left foot on the perineal region. And he should put the right foot on the left thigh, [...]

Sources:

19ab = *Dattātreyayogaśāstra* 132cd (in the section on *mahāmudrā*)

pārsnim vāmasya pādasya yonisthāne niyojayet ||

19cd = Cf. Vivekamārtanda 8a (not anustubh)

vāmorūpari daksinañ ca caranam samsthāpya

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.43

pārṣṇiṃ vāmasya pādasya yonisthāne niyojayet | vāmorūpari samsthāpya daksinam caranam tathā ||

Yogalaksanāvalī f. 31v

haṭhapradīpikāyām vāmāṅghripārṣṇibhāgena yonisthānaṃ nipīḍayet | vāmorupari samsthāpya daksinam caranam tathā ||

Yogacintāmani f. 73r

hathapradīpikāyām-

pārṣṇiṃ vāmasya pādasya yonisthāne niyojayet | vāmorūpari samsthāpya daksinam caranam tathā ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.190 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

pārṣṇivāmasya pādasya yonisthāne niyojayet | vāmorupari samsthāpya daksinam caranam tathā ||

Commentary: The seated position for *mahābandha* described in this verse is not in the *Amṛtasiddhi* (chapter 12) or *Amaraugha* (25cd–27). The *Amṛtasiddhi* instructs the same position for *mahāmudrā* and *mahābandha*, and the *Amaraugha* does not comment on the posture of *mahābandha*, implying that its posture is the same as *mahāmudrā*.

3.20

Translation: [...] inhale through the mouth, firmly put the chin on the chest, contract the perineum and fix the mind in the centre.

Sources:

Amaraugha 24

pūrayitvā mukhe vāyuṃ cibukaṃ hṛdaye dṛḍham | nibhṛtya yonim ākuñcya mano madhye niyojayet || nibhṛtya | nibhṛtam v.l.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.44

pūrayen mukhato vāyuṃ hṛdaye cibukaṃ dṛḍham | nibhṛtya yonim ākuñcya mano madhye niyojayet || nibhṛtya] niṣpīḍya v.l.

Yogalakṣanāvalī f. 31v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

pūrayitvā tato vāyum hṛdaye cibukam dṛḍham | niḥpīḍya yonim ākuṃcya mano madhye niyojayet ||

Yogacintāmani f. 73v (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

pūrayitvā mukhe vāyum hṛdaye cibukam tathā | nihpīdya yonim ākuñcya mano madhye niyojayet ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.190 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

pūrayitvā tato vāyum cibukam dṛḍham | niḥkṣipya yonim āku
ncya mano madhye niyojayet ||

Commentary: The referent of *madhye* is uncertain. The verse is derived from the *Amaraugha*, and the *Amṛtasiddhi* makes no mention of a place to focus the mind in its treatment of *mahābandha* (it does however instruct the yogi to place the mind at the *catuṣpatha* in its teachings on *mahāmudrā*). Bhavadevamiśra (7.196), Brahmānanda (3.20) and Bālakṛṣṇa (5.24) take it to mean the central channel. It could also plausibly mean the region between the chest and perineum, or perhaps the place between the eyebrows. At 3.24 this practice is said to make the mind reach Kedāra, which is sometimes located between the eyebrows (see Mallinson 2007:214 n.285, Birch 2019: 967 n.57).

3.21

Translation: [The yogi] should hold the breath as long as possible and exhale slowly. And having practised it on the left side, he should practise it on the right

side

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 62cd (padmāsana), 134cd (mahāmudrā)

```
dhārayitvā yathāśakti recayed iḍayā śanaiḥ ||
```

. . .

vāmāngena samabhyasya daksināngena cābhyaset ||

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.44cd-2.45

recayec ca śanair evaṃ mahābandho 'yam ucyate || ayaṃ yogo mahābandhas sarvasiddhipradāyakaḥ | savyāṅge ca samabhyasya daksināṅge samabhyaset ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.192ab (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

dhārayitvā yathāśakti recayed anilam sudhīh |

Commentary: This section in the description of $mah\bar{a}bandha$ has been subject to various revisions in the manuscript groups. The shortest version occurs in α_1 and α_2 , as well as the β group and the $Hatharatn\bar{a}val\bar{\iota}$, which omit verses 3.21 and 3.22. This omission results in the somewhat odd juxtaposition of two lines stating that this is $mah\bar{a}bandha$.

Verse 3.21 is in the γ group and some manuscripts of the β and η groups. It is a composite of hemistichs from the $Datt\bar{a}treyayogaś\bar{a}stra$ (62cd, 134cd). The first is taken from a description of $padm\bar{a}sana$, and may have been included by Svātmārāma in his description of $mah\bar{a}bandha$ to make it clear that the breath is held for as long as possible after the inhalation. The second hemistich is from a passage on $mah\bar{a}mudr\bar{a}$, and it echoes a similar statement on $mah\bar{a}mudr\bar{a}$ in $Hathaprad\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$ 3.15. It also occurs in the α group after 3.22.

3.22

Translation: With regard to this [practice] some are of the opinion that [the yogi] should leave out the throat lock, saying that he should lift up the hollow at the uvula with the tongue instead.

Sources:

Cf. Vivekamārtanda 126ab

sampīdya rasanāgrena rājadantabilam mahat |

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 36

nāsāgre vinyased rājadantamūlaṃ ca jihvayā | uttabhya cibukam vaksasy āsthāpya pavanam śanaih ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73r (attr. to Īśvara)

matam atra tu keṣāṃ cit kaṇṭhabandhaṃ vivarjayet | rājadantadvayaṃ tatra jihvayottambhayed iti ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.192cd (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

rājadantadvayam tatra jihvayonnamayed iti ||

Commentary: This verse expresses an alternative to the application of the chin lock in $mah\bar{a}bandha$ (mentioned in 3.20). It is found in all groups but is notably absent in α_1 and α_2 , and the β group. At present we cannot be certain if this verse was written by Svātmārāma because it does not reflect textual teachings on $mah\bar{a}bandha$ in so far as no other text known to us advocates the use of the tongue rather than the chin lock in $mah\bar{a}bandha$. If the verse was composed by Svātmārāma, it was omitted early in the transmission by someone who did not agree with the alternative teaching.

This verse occurs in the *Yogacintāmaṇi* in the middle of a quotation attributed to Īśvara. The other verses of the quoted passage are found in the *Śivasaṃhitā* (4.37–42), but the verse in question is not reported in the critical edition of the *Śivasaṃhitā* (2018). The verse is absent in another passage on *mahābandha* that the author of the *Yogacintāmaṇi* cites and attributes to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*.

We do not find the idea of lifting up the $r\bar{a}jadantabila$ with the tongue in other works, but $Vivekam\bar{a}rtanda$ 126ab instructs the yogi to press it with the tip of the tongue and $Datt\bar{a}treyayogaś\bar{a}stra$ 36 (found at $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a}$ 1.46) instructs the yogi in $padm\bar{a}sana$ to lift up the 'root of the uvula' $(r\bar{a}jadantam\bar{\iota}ula)$ with the tongue.

3.23

Translation: This is truly the great lock: it bestows the great siddhi [and] is adept at loosening the great bond (${}^{\circ}mah\bar{a}bandha^{\circ}$) that is the noose of time.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani f. 73v (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

ayam khalu mahābandho mahāsiddhipradāyakaḥ | kālapāśamahābandhavimocanavicaksanah ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.193 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

ayam khalu mahābandho mahāsiddhipradāyakaḥ | kālapāśamahābandhavimocanavicaksanah ||

3.24

Translation: And this [lock] initiates an upward flow in all the channels. It brings about a confluence at the Trivenī [and] causes the mind to reach Kedāra.

Sources:

Amaraugha 25

ayam ca sarvanāḍīnām ūrdhvaṃgativibodhakaḥ | trivenīsaṅgame dhatte kedāram prāpayen manah ||

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.46

ayam ca sarvanāḍīnām ūrdhvagativibodhakaḥ | triveṇīsaṅgamam dhatte kedāram prāpayen manaḥ ||

Yogacintāmani f. 73v (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

ayam tu sarvanāḍīnām ūrdhvamgamanarodhakaḥ | trivenīsamgamam dhatte kedāram prāpayen manah ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.94 (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

ayam hi sarvanāḍīnām ūrdhvam gativibodhakaḥ | trivenīsamgamam dhatte kedāram prāpayen manah ||

Commentary: The reading $\bar{u}rdhvamgatibodhakah$ ('initiates an upward flow') is found in the source (Amaraugha 25) and all $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a}$ witnesses except the $Jyotsn\bar{a}$ (where the line is found earlier). While the Amaraughaprabodha has the reading $\bar{u}rdhvamgativiśodhanah$ ('purification of the upward flow'), the Amrtasiddhi (12.14) states that the chin-lock prevents the upward flow ($\bar{u}rdhvamgatinirodhakah$) in all the channels. The Amaraugha is referring to the idea (likely accepted by Svātmārāma) that the root lock creates an upward flow in all the channels that prevents the elements and essences of the body from escaping (cf. Amrtasiddhi 12.8–10).

Triveṇī and Kedāra are pilgrimage sites, the former at Prayāga where the Gaṅgā and Yamunā meet, the latter in the Himālaya, near the source of the Gaṅgā. The bodily *triveṇī* is located in the navel or heart by earlier Śaiva works (Birch 2019: 967). Here it may be the same as the *trikūṭa* and located between the eyebrows (Mallinson 2007: 209 n.259). Brahmānanda, who does not identify a location for Triveṇī, understands Kedāra to be between the eyebrows. In the *Khecarīvidyā* it is located on the back of the head above the nape of the neck (Mallinson 2007:214 n.285). For other references on the location of Kedāra, see Birch 2019:967 n.57.

3.25

Translation: Now the great piercing (*mahāvedha*):

Like a beautiful and charming woman without a man, the great seal and the great lock are barren without the great piercing.

Sources:

Amaraugha 26

rūpalāvaṇyasampannā yathā strī puruṣaṃ vinā | mahāmudrāmahābandhau niṣphalau vedhavarjitau || °bandhau niṣphalau vedhavarjitah v.l.

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.47

mahāmudrāmahābandhau niṣphalau vedhavarjitau | tasmād yogī prayatnena karoti tritayam kramāt ||

Cf. Amrtasiddhi 13.3

guṇarūpavatī nārī niṣphalā puruṣaṃ vinā | mahāmudrāmahābandhau vinā vedhena niṣphalau ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.47

rūpalāvaṇyasampannā yathā strī puruṣaṃ vinā | mahāmudrāmahābandhau niṣphalau vedhavarjitau || °mahābandhau l mahābandho v.l.

Yogacintāmani f. 73v (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

atha mahāvedhaḥ—

rūpalāvaṇyasaṃpannā yathā strī puruṣaṃ vinā | mahāmudrāmahābandhau nisphalau vedhavarjitau ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.197 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

atha mahāvedhaḥ -

mahāmudrāmahābandhau niṣphalau vedavarjitau | rūpalāvanyasampannā yathā strī purusam vinā ||

Commentary: This verse, which is from the *Amaraugha* and similar to verses in the *Amṛtasiddhi* and *Śivasaṃhitā*, is stating that the great seal, lock and piercing should be practised together. This can be done as a sequence as shown in this video.

3.26

Translation: While in the great lock, the yogi should inhale, focus his mind and firmly block the flow of the bodily winds by means of the throat seal.

Sources:

Amaraugha 27

```
punar āsphālayet kaṭyāṃ susthiraṃ kaṇṭhamudrayā | vāyūnāṃ gatim ārudhya kṛtvā pūrakakumbhakau || ārudhya | āvṛṭya, āśriṭya v.l.
```

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.43

```
mahābandhasthito yogī kukṣim āpurya vāyunā | sphicau samtāpayed dhīmān vedho 'yam kīrtito mayā ||
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.48

```
mahābandhasthito yogī kṛtvā pūrakam ekadhīḥ | vāyūnāṃ gatim ākṛṣya nibhṛtaṃ kaṇṭhamudrayā || ekadhīh ] ekadhā v.l.
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
mahābandhe sthito yogī kṛtvā pūrakam ekadhā | vāyūnāṃ gatim āvṛtya nibhṛtaṃ kaṇṭhamudrayā ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.198 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

```
mahābandhasthito yogī kṛtvā pūrakam ekadhā |
vāyunāṃ gatim āvṛtya nibhṛtaṃ kaṇṭhamudrayā ||
```

Commentary: We are not certain of the meaning of *nibhṛṭam*, which is found in all of the collated witnesses and important testimonia. We have understood it as an adverb meaning 'firmly.'

3.27

Translation: With hands even on the ground, he should gently tap the buttocks [on the ground]. The breath enters the vessel of two halves and quickly flashes forth.

Sources:

Amaraugha 28ab, 29cd

```
samahastayugo bhūmau samapādayugas tathā |
[vedhayet kramayogena catuṣpīṭhaṃ tu vāyunā ||
āsphālayen mahāmeruṃ vāyuvajrāgnikoṭibhiḥ | ]
```

```
puṭadvayaṃ samākṛṣya vāyuḥ sphurati satvaram || samākṛṣya ] samākramya v.l.
```

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 136ab

mahābandhasthito bhūmau sphicau santāḍayec chanaiḥ |

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.51

samahastayugo bhūmau sphicau saṃtāḍayec chanaiḥ | ayam eva mahāvedhaḥ siddhido 'bhyāsato bhavet

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

samahastayugo bhūmau sphijau saṃtāḍayec chanaiḥ | putadvayam samākramya vāyuh sphurati madhyagah ||

Yuktabhavedeva 7.199 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

nyastahastayugo bhūmau sphicau santāḍayec chanaiḥ | jaṃghādvayaṃ samākṛṣya vāyuḥ spharati satvaram ||

Commentary: The term *puṭadvaya* is referring back to the *dvipuṭa* mentioned in verse 3.12. On the alchemical meaning and other interpretations by later commentators, see the note to 3.12.

The greyscale hemistich added to this verse in the γ group is taken from the Śiva-saṃhitā (4.42ab) in the section on $mah\bar{a}bandha$.

3.28

Translation: And the union of the moon, sun and fire arises, which leads to immortality. The state of a dead person has arisen, so where is the fear of death?

Sources:

Amaraugha 30

```
somasūryāgnisaṃbandhaṃ jānīyād amṛtāya vai | mṛtāvasthā samutpannā tato mṛtyubhayaṃ kutaḥ || °saṃbandhaṃ ] °saṃbandhā v.l.
```

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā) somasūryāgnisandhānaṃ jāyate cāmṛtāyate | mṛtāvasthāsamutpannaṃ tato mṛtyubhayaṃ kutaḥ || °samutpannaṃ ] °samutpannā v.l.
```

```
Yuktabhavadeva 7.200 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā) somasūryāgnisambandho jāyate cāmrtāya ca |
```

samutpannā mṛtāvasthā tato vāyum virecayet ||

Commentary: The α and γ groups have "sambandhāj, which is possible but somewhat awkward (i.e., 'because of the union of the moon, sun and fire, the state of a dead person, which has arisen, leads to immortality'). The adopted reading "sambandho, which is supported by ϵ_2 , Yuktabhavadeva 7.200 and Jyotsna 3.28, makes better sense but its meaning is not as clear as the formulation in the source text (i.e., Amaraugha 30).

The compound $mrt\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}$ ('the state of death') likely refers to a dead person in the sense that the yogi appears as if dead when the moon, sun and fire have united. In the version found in $\Im yotsn\bar{a}$ 3.28 and η_1 , η_2 and ε_3 , the final verse quarter has been rewritten to say that the yogi then exhales the breath ($tato\ v\bar{a}yum\ virecayet$). This implies that $mrt\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}$ is a breath retention (kumhaka), which is apparent in Brahmānanda's explanation:

The state of death that has arisen is the state of one who has died, [that is,] of one who is devoid of the breath because of the absence of movement of $pr\bar{a}na$ in the $id\bar{a}$ and $pingal\bar{a}$ channels. Then, immediately after that [state], [the yogi] exhales the breath, [that is,] he gradually releases it through the nostrils.

mṛṭasya prāṇaviyuktasyāvasthā mṛṭāvasthā samutpannā bhavati, iḍāpingalayoḥ prāṇasañcārābhāvāt | tatas tadanantaraṃ vāyuṃ virecayen nāsikāputābhyām śanais tyajet |

3.29

Translation: Through practice, this great piercing bestows the great *siddhi* [and] cures wrinkles, grey hair and trembling. It is used by the best practitioners.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.51cd

ayam eva mahāvedhaḥ siddhido 'bhyāsato bhavet ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

mahāvedho 'yam abhyāsān mahāsiddhipradāyakaḥ | valīpalitavepaghnah sevyate sādhakottamaih ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.201 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

mahāvedho 'yam abhyasto mahāsiddhipradāyakaḥ | valīpalitavepaghnah sevyate sādhakottamaih ||

Commentary: In the third verse quarter, the reading "*vedhaghnaḥ* ('cures wounds'?) in α and the other groups, the exception being γ ("*vegaghnaḥ*), is strange as it

does not seem related to the other two symptoms of old age (i.e., wrinkles and grey hair) that this $\textit{mudr}\bar{a}$ can cure. It is perhaps a misreading of "vepaghnah, which occurs in some manuscripts in the β (J_1 , N_{12}), ϵ (J_{14}) and δ (J_3 , N_{16} , N_{18}) groups, as well as the $\textit{Yogacint}\bar{a}\textit{man}$ and $\textit{Jyotsn}\bar{a}$. The meaning of vepa, 'trembling,' is consistent with wrinkles and grey hair.

3.30

Translation: This triad is a great secret that destroys old and death, increases [the body's] fire and bestows the powers beginning with minimisation.

Sources:

Amaraugha 31

```
etat trayam mahāguhyam jarāmṛtyuvināśanam | vahnivṛddhikarañ caiva aṇimādiguṇapradam || °guhyam v.l.
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.52

```
etat trayam mahāguhyam jarāmṛtyuvināśanam | vahnivṛddhikaram caiva hy aṇimādiguṇapradam ||
```

Yogacintāmani f. 73v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

etat trayam mahāguptam jalāmṛtyuvināśanam | vahnivṛddhikaram caiva aṇimādiguṇapradam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.204 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

bandhatrayam mahāguhyam jarāmṛtyuvināśanam | vahnivrddhikaram caiva animādigunapradam ||

3.31

Translation: It is practised eight times a day, every three hours. It always produces a wealth of merit and destroys an ocean of demerit.

Sources:

Amaraugha 32

```
aṣṭadhā kriyate caiva yāme yāme dine dine | puṇyasañcayasambhāvi pāpaughabhiduraṃ sadā || caiva ] caitad v.l.
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.49

```
aṣṭadhā kriyate caitat yāme yāme dine dine |
puṇyasaṅghātasandhāyī pāpaughabhiduraḥ sadā ||
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
aṣṭadhā kriyate caiva yāme yāme dine dine |
puṇyasaṃbhārasandhāyi pāpaughabhiduraṃ sadā ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.205 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
aṣṭadhā kriyate caiva yāme yāme dine dine |
punyasambhārasandhāyi pāpaughabhiduram sadā ||
```

3.32

Translation: It is only for those who have received proper instruction. It is taught that they should [do it] a little in the first stage of the practice [and] that at the beginning [the yogi] should avoid frequenting fire, women and roads.

Sources:

Amaraugha 33

samyakśikṣāvatām eva svalpaṃ prathamasādhane | vahnistrīpathasevānām ādau varjanam ācaret ||

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
samyakśikṣāvatām eva svalpaṃ prathamasādhane ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.205 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
samyak śikṣāvatām evaṃ svalpaṃ prathamasādhanam |
vahnistrīpathisevānām ādau varjanam ācaret ||
```

3.33

Translation: Now, the sky-roving [seal] (*khecarī*):

By cutting, moving and milking, the yogi should gradually lengthen the tongue until it touches the middle of the brows. Then there is success in *khecarī*.

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.141

```
haṭhapradīpikāyām ||
chedanacālanadohaiḥ kalāṃ krameṇa vardhayet tāvat |
yāvad iyaṃ bhrūmadhye spṛśati tadānīṃ khecarīsiddhiḥ ||
yāvad iyam bhrūmadhye spṛśati | sā yāti yāvad bhrūmadhyam spṛśati hi v.l.
```

Yogacintāmaņi f. 74r

```
haṭhapradīpikāyām—
chedanacālanadohair jihvāṃ saṃvardhayet tāvat |
yāvad iyaṃ bhrūmadhyaṃ spṛśati tadā khecarī siddhiḥ ||
```

Hathatattvakaumudī 14.18

haṭhapradīpikāmate tu – chedanacālanadohaiḥ krameṇa jihvāṃ pravardhayet tāvat | sā yāvad bhrūmadhyaṃ spṛśati tadā khecarīsiddhiḥ ||

Commentary:

Various versions of this verse have been transmitted in $\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$, $g\bar{\imath}ti$ and $anu\underline{\imath}tubh$ metres. We have adopted a version close to α_2 , which has a slight metrical fault:

```
chedanacālanadohaiḥ kalāṃ krameṇa pravardhayet tāvat |
sā yāvad bhrūmadhyaṃ viśati tadānīṃ khecarīsiddhiḥ ||
```

The emendation of $tad\bar{a}n\bar{\imath}m$ to $tad\bar{a}$ renders the verse an $\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$. The word kramena is well attested by manuscripts of the α , β , η and ϵ groups, which all have unmetrical or corrupted versions.

The meaning of $kal\bar{a}$ as 'tongue' is not attested by any Sanskrit dictionary but $kal\bar{a}$ occurs in the sense of the tongue in a subsequent verse of this chapter (Cf. 3.35a) and it is glossed by Brahmānanda with $jihv\bar{a}$ in $Jyotsn\bar{a}$ 3.33 and 3.37.

Metre: Upagīti

3.34

Translation: When the tongue is turned back and inserted into the cavity of the skull and the gaze is between the brows, *khecarīmudrā* arises.

Sources:

```
Vivekamārtanda 47
```

kapālakuhare jihvā praviṣṭā viparītagā | bhruvor antargatā dṛṣṭir mudrā bhavati khecarī ||

Testimonia:

```
Haṭharatnāvalī 2.138
```

dattātreyas tu || kapālakuhare jihvā praviṣṭā viparītagā | bhruvor antargatā dṛṣṭir mudrā bhavati khecarī ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 75r

skandapurāne—

```
kapālakuhare jihvā praviṣṭā viparītagā |
bhruvor antargatā dṛṣṭir mudrā bhavati khecarī ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.207 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
atha khecarī -
kapālakuhare jihvā praviṣṭā viparītagā |
bhruvor antargatā dṛṣṭir mudrā bhavati khecarī ||
```

3.35

Translation: If the yogi turns back the tongue and remains [like that] for half an instant, he is instantly freed from disease, death, old age and the like.

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 3.91

rasanām ūrdhvagām kṛtvā kṣaṇārdham yadi tiṣṭhati | ksanena mucyate yogī vyādhimrtyujarādibhih ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi f. 74r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

kalāṃ parāṅmukhīṃ kṛtvā tripathe parivartayet | sā bhavet khecarī mudrā vyomacakraṃ tad ucyate || rasanām ūrdhvagāṃ kṛtvā kṣaṇārdhaṃ yadi tiṣṭhati | viṣayair mucyate yogī vyādhimṛtyujarādibhiḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.209 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

jihvāṃ parāṅmukhīṃ kṛtvā kṣaṇārddhaṃ yadi tiṣṭhati | kṣaṇena mucyate yogī vyādhimṛtyujarādibhiḥ ||

Commentary: We have adopted the version of 2.35 in α_2 and α_3 (α_1 omits 2.35 and the greyscaled verses). This version is also attested by the γ and η groups. The additional hemistich gives vyomacakra as an alternative name for $khecar\bar{l}mudr\bar{a}$. This alternative name does not occur in any of the source texts known to be have been used by Svātmārāma, but it may have been inspired by the name $nabhomudr\bar{a}$ for $khecar\bar{l}mudr\bar{a}$ in the $Vivekam\bar{a}rtanda$ (40).

3.36

Translation: For the yogi who knows *khecarīmudrā* there is no disease, death, sleep, hunger, thirst or fainting.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 48

```
na rogo maraṇaṃ tandrā na nidrā na kṣudhā tṛṣā | na ca mūrchā bhavet tasya yo mudrāṃ vetti khecarīm || tandrā ] tasya v.l.
```

Testimonia:

Haṭharatnāvalī 2.139 (attr. to Dattātreya)

na rogo maraṇaṃ tasya na nidrā na kṣudhā tṛṣā | na ca mūrcchā bhavet tasya yo mudrāṃ vetti khecarīm ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 75v (attr. to Dattātreya)

na rogo maraṇaṃ tasya na nidrā na kṣudhā tṛṣā | na ca mūrcchā bhavet tasya yo mudrām vetti khecarīm ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.210 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

na rogo maraṇaṃ tasya na nidrā na tṛṣā kṣudhā | na ca mūrcchā bhavet tasya yo mudrām vetti khecarīm ||

3.37

Translation: [The yogi] who knows *khecarīmudrā* is neither afflicted by disease, nor tainted by action, nor tormented by death.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 49

pīḍyate na sa rogeṇa lipyate na ca karmaṇā | bādhyate na sa kālena yo mudrām vetti khecarīm ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.140 (attr. to Dattātreya)

pīdyate na sa rogeņa lipyate na ca karmaṇā| bādhyate na ca kālena yo mudrāṃ vetti khecarīm||

Yogacintāmani f. 75v (attr. to the *Skandapurāna*)

piḍyate na sa rogeṇa na ca lipyeta karmaṇā | bādhyate na sa kālena yo mudrāṃ vetti khecarīm ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.211 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

pīḍyate na sa rogeṇa lipyate na sa karmaṇā | bādhyate na sa kālena yasya mudrāsti khecarī ||

3.38

Translation: Because the mind moves (*carati*) in the ether (*khe*) and the tongue

moves (*carati*) in the cavity (*khe*), this mudrā is called *khecarī* [and] is worshipped by the Siddhas.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 50

```
cittaṃ carati khe yasmāj jihvā carati khe gatā |
tenaiṣā khecarī nāma mudrā siddhair namaskṛtā ||
37c tenaiṣā ] tenaiva, tenevam v.l.37cd nāma mudrā ] mudrā sarva° v.l.
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani (attributed to the Skandapurāna)

```
cittam carati khe yasmāj jihvā carati khe gatā |
tenaisā khecarī nāma mudrā siddhair nisevitā ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.212 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
cittaṃ carati khe yasmāj jihvā carati khe yataḥ |
teneyaṃ khecarī mudrā sarvasiddhair namaskṛtā ||
```

3.39

Translation: The yogi who has sealed the cavity above the uvula with *khecarī* does not lose his semen [even if] embraced by an amorous woman.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 51

```
khecaryā mudritaṃ yena vivaraṃ lambikordhvataḥ | na tasya kṣarate binduḥ kāminyāliṅgitasya ca || na tasya kṣarate binduḥ ] binduḥ kṣarati no tasya, tasya na kṣarate binduḥ v.l. 'ālimgitasya ] 'āślesitasya v.l.
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 74v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

```
khecaryā mudritam yena vivaram lambikordhvataḥ | na tasya ksarate binduh kāminyāliṅgitasya ca ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.213 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

khecaryā mudritaṃ yena vivaraṃ lambikordhvataḥ | na tasya kṣarate binduḥ kāminyāliṃgitasya ca ||

3.40

Translation: Even when semen has moved [down] and reached the region of the perineum, it moves upwards having been blocked by *yonimudrā* and struck by the

```
goddess [kuṇḍalinī].
```

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 53

calito 'pi yadā binduḥ saṃprāptaś ca hutāśanam | gacchaty ūrdhvaṃ hataḥ śaktyā nibaddho yonimudrayā || 53c gacchaty] vrajaty v.l.53d hataḥ] tanaṃ, hṛtas, kṛte, kṛtaḥ, tadā, gatā v.l.• nibaddho l niruddho v.l.

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.82

svakaṃ binduṃ ca saṃbodhya liṅgacālanam ācaret | daivāc calati ced ūrdhvaṃ nibaddho yonimudrayā ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 74v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

calito 'pi yadā binduḥ saṃprāptaś ca hutāśanam | vrajaty ūrdhvam hi tacchaktyā niruddho yonimudrayā ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.278 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

calito'pi mahābinduḥ samprāpte'pi hutāśanam | vrajaty ūrdhvam hathah śaktyā nibaddho yonimudrayā ||

Commentary:

The third quarter of this verse has been subjected to much rewriting. Most of the collated manuscripts, including α_2 (α_1 is illegible here and α_3 is missing this verse quarter), have the reading $hath\bar{a}t$ $\acute{s}akty\bar{a}$. This reading only makes sense if one infers that $\acute{s}akty\bar{a}$ is referring to $khecar\bar{\imath}mudr\bar{a}$, so that the second line means 'blocked by $yonimudr\bar{a}$, semen goes up forcefully by the power [of $khecar\bar{\imath}mudr\bar{a}$].' The reading hi $tacchakty\bar{a}$ of two delta manuscripts (K_3 and C_7) and the $Yogacint\bar{a}man$, appears to be an attempt to render more clearly the meaning 'by the power of $khecar\bar{\imath}$.' Such an interpretation suggests that $yonimudr\bar{a}$ blocks bindu's downward course and $khecar\bar{\imath}$ causes it to go upwards forcefully.

A manuscript of an early recension of the *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* (ms. no. 4110) has *hataḥ* $\acute{s}akty\bar{a}$, which is attested by three $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ manuscripts on lower branches of the stemma (i.e. <??> of δ group and C_2 and P_4 of group 7a ??). The participle hatah makes sense of the instrumental $\acute{s}akty\bar{a}$, rendering the meaning 'struck by $kundalin\bar{\imath}$.'

Alternatively, the word haṭah (as well as the other variants krtah, krte, and even $haṭh\bar{a}t$) may derive from hrtah, which is attested by $Haṭhaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ manuscripts from group 4a (i.e., <??>, <??> and N_{13}). The reading hrtah śakty \bar{a} renders the verse as saying that semen goes up, carried by $kundalin\bar{\imath}$.

In Jyotsnā 3.43, Brahmānanda explains 'yonimudrā' as 'taking the form of contracting the penis' (yonimudrayā meḍhrākuñcanarūpayā). He may have had in mind the practice of contracting and drawing the urethra upwards, which is described below in the section on *vajrolimudrā* (*Haṭhapradīpikā* 3.82). The author of the Yogaprakāśikā (5.66) says that yonimudrā is well-known in treatises on mantra (yonimudrayeti mantraśāstraprasiddhayety arthah | °prasidhyayety ed.). This is consistent with the Śivasamhitā's teaching on yonimudrā, where it is described as activating the perineum (yoni) by contracting it (4.2) to bring about success in mantra repetition (5.12). Later compendiums on yoga reiterate the role of yonimudrā in mantra practice (e.g., the Yogacintāmani f. 65r, citing the Pārameśvaratantra, and Haṭhatattvakaumudī 33.12). The Haṭhayogasamhitā (43-48) teaches a different version of *yonimudrā* in its reportoire of twenty-five *mudrās*. In this work, *yonimudrā* is supposed to awaken *kundalinī* and consists of sitting in *siddhasana*, blocking the ears, eyes, nose and mouth with the thumbs, index, middle and ring fingers, uniting prana and apāna, meditating on the six cakras and repeating the mantra hum hamsa.

Manuscripts of the main groups, including α, β and γ , have an additional hemistich after 3.40, which seems to say that the tongue is in the cavity of the skull by means of a $mudr\bar{a}$ for uniting the $kal\bar{a}s$ ($kap\bar{a}lakuhare\,jihv\bar{a}\,kal\bar{a}sandh\bar{a}namudray\bar{a}$). This hemistich likely derives from a marginal note that was explaining $\bar{u}rdhvajihvah$ in the next verse. The compound $kal\bar{a}sandh\bar{a}namudray\bar{a}$ may have been added as some form of dittography or as a gloss on $yonimudr\bar{a}$, which is not described elsewhere in the text. In a slightly modified form, this line appears in a verse in the six-chapter version of the $Hathaprad\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$ (f. 112r–112v):

kapālakuhare jihvā kalāsaṃdhānavarjitā | brahmarandhragatā nityāṃ tasya siddhi na dūrataḥ ||

3.41

Translation: The knower of yoga who remains with the tongue upwards and drinks nectar certainly conquers death in half a month.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņda 125

ūrdhvajihvaḥ sthito bhūtvā somapānaṃ karoti yaḥ | māsārdhena na sandeho mṛtyuṃ jayati yogavit || ūrdhvajihvaḥ sthito bhūtvā] ūrdhvajihvas tato bhūtvā, ūrdhvaṃ jihvāṃ sthiraṃ kṛtvā, ūrdhvām jihvā sthirām kṛtvā v.l.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 75v (attr. to the Skandapurāṇa)
```

ūrdhvajihvaḥ sthiro bhutvā somapānaṃ karoti yaḥ | māsārdheṇa na saṃdeho mṛtyuṃ jayati yogavid ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.215 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

ūrdhvajihvaḥ sa medhāvī somapānaṃ karoti yaḥ | māsārddhena na sandeho mrtvum jayati yogayit ||

3.42

Translation: Poison does not enter the yogi whose body is always filled by [nectar from] the digits of the moon, even if he is bitten by Takṣaka.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 130

nityaṃ somakalāpūrṇaṃ śarīraṃ yasya yoginaḥ | takṣakenāpi daṣṭasya viṣaṃ tasya na pīḍayet || pīḍayet] pīḍyate, sarpati, bādhyate v.l.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi f. 75v (attr. to the Skandapurāņa)

nityam somakalāpūrņam śarīram yasya yoginaḥ | takṣakenāpi daṣṭasya viṣam tam na ca sarpati ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.216 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

nityam somakalāpūrņam śarīram yasya yoginaḥ | taksakenāpi dastasya visam tasya na sarpati ||

Commentary: In the context of poison, takṣaka refers to one of the three kings of the snakes $(n\bar{a}ga)$, the other two being Śeṣa and Vāsuki (Mani 1975: 782–783).

The verb *sarpati* is well attested by the manuscripts of the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ and testimonia. It can take an object, which in this case is the yogi's body.

3.43

Translation: Just as fire does not leave its fuel nor light a wick in oil, so the embodied person does not leave a body filled by the digits of the moon.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 131

indhanāni yathā vahnis tailavartim ca dīpakaḥ | tathā somakalāpūrṇam dehī deham na muñcati || °vartim ca] °vartīva, °vartti ca v.l.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 74v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
indhanāni yathā vahnis tailavartī ca dīpakaḥ |
nityam somakalāpūrnam dehī deham na muñcati ||
```

3.44

Translation: I consider he who regularly eats cow flesh and drinks God's liquor to be of good family. Others are destroyers of the family.

Testimonia:

```
Hațharatnāvalī 2.158
```

```
gomāṃsaṃ bhakṣayen nityaṃ pibed amaravāruṇīṃ | kulīnam tam aham manye anye tu kulaghātakāh ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 74v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
gomāṃsaṃ bhakṣayen nityaṃ pibed amaravāruṇīm | kulīnam tam aham manye netarān kulaghātakān ||
```

3.45

Translation: By the word 'cow' is meant the tongue, for its insertion into the palate is the eating of cow's flesh. That [eating] is the destroyer of great sin.

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 2.157
```

```
gośabdenoditā jihvā tatpraveśo hi tāluni |
gomāṃsabhakṣaṇaṃ tat tu mahāpātakanāśanaṃ ||
```

Yogacintāmani f. 74v-75r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
gośabdenoditā jihvā tatpraveśo hi tāluni |
gomāṃsabhakṣaṇaṃ tat tu mahāpātakanāśanam ||
```

3.46

Translation: The essence produced by the fire caused by the insertion of the tongue which flows from the moon is the liquor of the gods.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.159

```
jihvāpraveśasaṃbhūtavahninotthāpitā khalu | candrāt sravati yah sārah sā syād amaravārunī ||
```

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 75r (attr. to the Haṭḥapradīpikā)
jihvāpraveśasaṃbhūtavahninotpāditaḥ khalu |
candrāt sravati yah sārah sā syād amaravārunī ||
```

3.47

Translation: With his face upwards and his tongue fixed in the aperture [of the skull], the yogi should visualise as the supreme *śakti* [the nectar] that is forcibly obtained from the breath as it drips from the head into the sixteen petals of the lotus. And he who drinks the gushing nectar, the pure fluid [surging] from the [moon's] digits in waves, is free of disease, has a body as soft as lotus fibre, and lives a long time.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 118

mūrdhnaḥ ṣoḍaśapatrapadmagalitaṃ prāṇād avāptaṃ haṭhād ūrdhvāsyo rasanāṃ niyamya vivare śaktiṃ parāṃ cintayet | utkallolakalājalaṃ ca vimalaṃ dhārāmayaṃ yaḥ piben nirdoṣaḥ sa mṛṇālakomalatanur yogī ciraṃ jīvati || 118b niyamya] nidhāya, vidhāya v.l.• cintayet] cālayet v.l.118c utkallolakalājalaṃ] ca vimalaṃ dhārāmayaṃ] (from HP); utkallolakalākalaṃ, utkallolajalākulaṃ, utkallolajalāmṛtaṃ, tat kallolakalājalaṃ, tat kallolajalākulaṃ v.l.• ca vimalaṃ] suvimalaṃ v.l.• dhārāmayaṃ] (from HP); jīvākulaṃ, jihvākulaṃ, dhārājalaṃ vl 118d tanur] vapur v.l.•

Testimonia:

Cf. Hatharatnāvalī 2.150

utkallolakalāmṛtaṃ ca vimalaṃ dhārāmṛtaṃ yaḥ pibet | nirdoṣaḥ sa mṛnālakomalatanur yogī ciraṃ jīvati || utkallola°] tatkallola° v.l., °tanur] °vapur v.l.

Yogacintāmaņi f. 75r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

mūrdhnaḥ ṣoḍaśapadmapatragalitaṃ prāṇād avāptaṃ haṭhād ūrdhvāsyo rasanāṃ niyamya vivare śaktiṃ parāṃ cintayan | tatkallolakalājalaṃ ca vimalaṃ jihvākulaṃ yaḥ piben nirdosah sa mrnālakomalatanur yogī ciram jīvati ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.217 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

mūrdhnaḥ ṣoḍaśapatrapadmagalitaṃ prāṇād avāptaṃ haṭhāt ūrdhvāsyo rasanāṃ niyamya vivare śaktiṃ parāṃ cintayet | tatkallolakalājalaṃ suvimalaṃ dhārāmṛtaṃ yaḥ pibet nirddosah sa mrnālakomalatanur yogī param jīvati ||

cintayet] cintayan v.l.

Haṭhatattvakaumudī 14.24 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

ūrdhvam ṣoḍaśapatrapadmagalitam prāṇād avāptam haṭhād ūrdhvāsyo rasanām niyamya kuhare śaktim parām cintayan | utkallolakalājalam suvimalam dhārāmṛtam yaḥ piben nirddoṣaḥ sa mṛṇālakomalavapur yogī ciram jīvati || ūrdhvam | mūrdhvam v.l.

Commentary: The meaning of prāṇāt ('from the breath') in the first verse quarter is not easy to understand without the context of this verse in the source text, the Vivekamārtaṇḍa. In the verse preceding this one in the Vivekamārtaṇḍa (117), the breath, on reaching the "great lotus", is said to turn into nectar (amṛṭa). In Jyotsnā 3.51, Brahmānanda says that there is a variant prāṇaiḥ ('by means of the breaths'), which is easier to understand than prāṇāt. He nonetheless accepts prāṇat and understands it as being a means (prāṇāt sādhanabhūtād avāptam). He also understands the sixteen-petalled lotus to be the lotus in the throat, into which the nectar drips.

Metre: Śārdūlavikrīdita

3.48

Translation: If the tongue, while oozing nectar and constantly kissing the tip of the uvula, is salty, pungent, like milk or the same as honey and ghee, diseases are eliminated for [the yogi], old age is stopped, he can recite treatises and scriptures, attains immortality together with the eightfold powers, and attracts Siddha women.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 128

cumbantī yadi lambikāgram aniśaṃ jihvā rasasyandinī sakṣārā kaṭukātha dugdhasadṛśā madhvājyatulyāthavā | vyādhīnāṃ haraṇaṃ jaropaśamanaṃ śāstrāgamodīraṇaṃ tasya syād amaratvaṃ aṣṭaguṇitaṃ siddhāṅgānākarṣaṇam || 128a rasasyandanī] rasaspandanī VTG, rasāsvādinī A 128c jaropaśamanaṃ] AGBGL; jarāpaharaṇaṃ V, jarāntakaranaṃ TGP • °odīraṇaṃ] VA; °odgīraṇaṃ TGBGL, °occāranam GP

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 75r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

cumbantī yadi lambikāgram aniśaṃ jihvā rasasyandinī saksārā katukāmladugdhasadrśam madhvājyatulyam yadā |

vyādhīnāṃ haraṇaṃ jarāntakaraṇaṃ śāstrāgamoddhāraṇaṃ tasya syād iha siddhir aṣṭaguṇitā siddhāṅgaṇākarṣaṇam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.218 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

cumbantī yadi lambikāgram aniśaṃ jihvā rasasyandinī sakṣārā kaṭukāmladugdhasadṛśī madhvājyatulyāthavā | vyādhīnāṃ haraṇaṃ jarāmbutaraṇaṃ śāstrāgamodgīraṇaṃ tasya syād amaratvam aṣṭaguṇitaṃ siddhāṅganākarṣaṇam ||

Haṭhatattvakaumudī 14.25 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

cumbantī yadi lambikāgram anilaṃ jihvā rasasyandinī sakṣārā kaṭukāmladugdhasadṛśāṃ madhvājyatulyā tathā | vyādhīnāṃ haraṇaṃ jarāntakaraṇaṃ śāstrāgamodgīraṇaṃ tasya syād amaratvam astagunavat siddhāṅganākarsanam ||

Metre: Śārdūlavikrīdita

3.49

Translation: There is one seed [syllable], which contains creation, one *mudrā*, *khecarī*, one god, the unsupported, and one state, beyond mind.

Sources:

Timirodghāṭana 5.14c-15b (NGMPP A35/3)

eka[m] sṛṣṭimayam bījam ek[ā] mudrā tu khecarī | dvāv etau jñāyate yena so pi śāntapade sthitam ||

Quotation by Jayaratha ad Tantrāloka 32.63, introduced with yad āgamah

ekam sṛṣṭimayam bījam ekā mudrā ca khecarī | dvāv ekam yo vijānāti sa vai pūjyaḥ kulāgame ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 4.28

ekaṃ sṛṣṭimayaṃ bījam ekā mudrā ca khecarī | eko devo nirālambaḥ ekāvasthā manonmanī ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 75r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

ekaṃ sṛṣṭimayaṃ bījam ekā mudrā ca khecarī | eko devo nirālamba ekāvasthā manonmanī ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.219 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

ekaṃ sṛṣṭimayaṃ bījaṃ ekā mudrā ca khecarī | eko devo nirālamba ekāvasthā manonmanī ||

3.49*1

3.49*2

Translation: That which enters the aperture into the underworld exists at the base of Meru. The wise [yogi] says that is the truth, the source of [all] rivers. The essence of the body flows from the moon. Because of that people die. [The yogi] should block it with the clay of the excellent [khecarī] technique. Bodily perfection [arises] no other way.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 4.30

pātāle yad viśati suṣiraṃ merūmūlaṃ tad asti tattvaṃ caitad vadati sudhā tanmukhaṃ nimnagānām | candrāt sāraṃ sravati vapuṣas tena mṛtyur narāṇāṃ tad badhnīyāt sukharatimṛdur nānyathā kāryasiddhiḥ ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 75r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

tat pātālād viyati śikhare merumūle tad asti tattvaṃ caitat pravadati sudhīḥ saṃmukhe nimnagānām | candrāt sāraḥ sravati vapuṣas tena mṛtyur narāṇām tad badhnīyāt svakaraṇamṛdā nānyathā kāyasiddhiḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.220 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

pātāle yad viśati suṣiraṃ merumūle yad asti tadvac caitat pravadati sudhīs tanmukhaṃ nimnagānām | candrāt sāraḥ sravati vapuṣas tena mṛtyur narāṇām badhnīyāt tat sukaraṇam atho nānyathā kāyasiddhiḥ ||

Haṭhatattvakaumudī 14.26 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

pātāle yad vitatasuṣiraṃ merumūle tad asmin tadvac caitat pravadati sudhīs tanmukhaṃ nimnagānām | candrāt sāraḥ sravati vapuṣas tena mṛtyur narāṇāṃ tam badhnīyāt sukaranamrdā nānyathā kāyasiddhih ||

Commentary:

This verse occurs in various places in the different recensions of the text. It is here in the α_2 and α_3 manuscripts, in chapter four (4.8^*3) in α_1 and the delta group, after 3.39 in β_1 and β_2 , and in both the third and fourth chapters in the γ manuscripts. It must have entered the transmission of the $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a}$ at an early stage, and has moved around, perhaps because the name of the technique alluded to is not stated and the meaning of the first half of the verse is somewhat vague without its original context (we are yet to identify a source).

The first verse quarter has many variants among the witnesses. α_2 has:

yat prāleyam pihitasukhire merumūle yad astī tasmims tattvam pravadati sudhīs tan mukham nimnagānām

This version of the verse is close to that of other important manuscripts in the β , γ and η groups. With the help of these manuscripts (in particular K_1 of the γ group), the above can be emended and understood as follows:

yat prāleyaṃ pihitasuṣiraṃ merumūrdhny asti tathyaṃ tasmiṃs tattvaṃ pravadati sudhīs tan mukhaṃ nimnagānām °suṣiraṃ] K_1 , °sukhire α_2 . °mūrdhny] P_8 . °mūle α_2 . asti tathyaṃ] K_1 , yad astī α_2 .

"That cool liquid by which the aperture is filled at the top of Meru and exists as the truth, the wise [yogi] says that is the source of [all] rivers."

Metre: Mandākrāntā

3.50

Translation: The root lock (*mūlabandha*)—

When [the yogi] presses the perineum with the side of the heel, clenches the anus and draws up $ap\bar{a}na$, it is called the root lock.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 42

pārṣṇibhāgena saṃpīḍya yonim ākuñcayed gudam | apānam ūrdhvam ākṛṣya mūlabandho 'yam ucyate ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.58

pārṣṇibhāgena sampīḍya yonim ākuñcayed gudaṃ | apānam ūrdhvam ākuñcya mūlabandho 'yam ucyate ||

Yogacintāmani f. 76r (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

pārṣṇibhāgena saṃpīḍya yonim ākuñcayed gudam | apānam ūrdhvam ākrsya mūlabandho 'yam ucyate ||

3.51

Translation: [The yogi] forces the downward-moving *apāna* breath to move upwards by contracting [the anus]. Yogis call that *mūlabandha*.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 53

adhogatim apānaṃ vai ūrdhvagaṃ kurute balāt | ākuñcanena taṃ prāhur mūlabandhaṃ tu yoginaḥ || 53a °gatiṃ] TU; °gataṃ G 53c ākuñcanena taṃ] GU ākuñcane ca tat T 53d mūlabandhaṃ tu yoginaḥ] T; mūlabandho yam ucyate GU

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.59

adhogatim apānaṃ vai ūrdhvagaṃ kurute balāt | ākuñcanena taṃ prāhur mūlabandhaṃ hi yoginaḥ ||

Yogacintāmani f. 76r (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

adhogatam apānam ca tad ūrdhvam kurute haṭhāt | ākuñcanena tam prāhur mūlabandham tu yoginah ||

3.52

Translation: [The yogi] should press his anus with his heel and forcefully contract the $[ap\bar{a}na]$ wind over and over again so that the breath goes upwards.

Sources:

Dattātrevayogaśāstra 144

gudaṃ pārṣṇyā tu saṃpīḍya yonim ākuñcayed balāt | vāraṃ vāraṃ yathā cordhvaṃ samāyāti samīraṇaḥ || yonim] from Jyotsnā, vāyum codd.

Cf. Śārṅgadharapaddhati 4416

gudaṃ pārṣṇyā tu sampīḍya vāyum ākuñcayed balāt | bāraṃ bāraṃ yathā cordhvaṃ samāyāti samīraṇaḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.60

gudaṃ pārṣṇyā ca saṃpīḍya vāyum ākuñcayed balāt | vāraṃ vāraṃ yathā cordhvaṃ samāyāti samīraṇaḥ ||

Yogacintāmaņi f.76r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

gudaṃ pārṣṇyā tu saṃpīḍya vāyum ākuñcayed balāt | vāraṃ vāraṃ tathā cordhvaṃ samāyāti samīraṇaḥ ||

Yogabīja 103 (south-Indian recension)

gudaṃ pārṣṇyā tu saṃpīḍya vāyum ākuñcayed balāt | vāram vāram yathā cordhvam samāyāti samīranah ||

Commentary: The instruction to 'contract the wind' ($v\bar{a}yum\ \bar{a}ku\tilde{n}cayet$) is odd (especially with $sam\bar{i}ranah$ in the fourth $p\bar{a}da$) and not found in other texts. Mallinson has adopted yonim for $v\bar{a}yum$ (cf. $Hathaprad\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$ 3.50d) in his edition of this verse in its source text, the $Datt\bar{a}treyayogaś\bar{a}stra$, which is not found in the manuscripts of that text but is in the $Hathaprad\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$'s δ manuscripts.

In the context of the root lock, $v\bar{a}yum\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}cayet$ can be understood as an instruction to contract $ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yu$, which is mentioned in the previous verse (3.51a). Instructions to contract $ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yu$ are found in other yoga texts, such as $Yogat\bar{a}r\bar{a}val\bar{\imath}$ 7b ($\bar{a}ku\bar{n}canaih$ śaśvad $ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yoh$), Śivasamhitā 4.84cd ($ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yum\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}cya\ bal\bar{a}d...$), Śivayogaprad $\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$ 2.53ab ($athordhvamadhyasthirabandhan\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}can\bar{a}d\ \bar{u}rdhvam\ ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yoh$) and Yuktabhavadeva 7.297 ($\bar{a}dh\bar{a}rakamale\ supta\bar{m}\ c\bar{a}layet\ kundal<math>\bar{i}m\ drdh\bar{a}m\ |\ ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yum\ \bar{a}krsya\ bal\bar{a}d\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}cya\ buddhim\bar{a}n$). In $fyotsn\bar{a}$ 3.63, Brahmānanda understands $v\bar{a}yu$ in this verse as $ap\bar{a}na\ when\ he\ says\ that$ 'one should contract the wind, $ap\bar{a}na'\ (v\bar{a}yum\ ap\bar{a}nam\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}cayed)$, which he explains as, 'one should pull it by contractions of the anus' ($gudasy\bar{a}-ku\bar{n}canam$) as 'contracting the anus' ($gudaku\bar{n}canam$), when commenting on 'having pulled $ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yu$ and forcefully contracted it ...' ($ap\bar{a}nav\bar{a}yum\ \bar{a}krsya\ bal\bar{a}d\ \bar{a}ku\bar{n}cya...$) in Yuktabhavadeva 297 and 301.

3.53

Translation: When *prāṇa* and *apāna* [and] *nāda* and *bindu* become united by means of the root lock they are sure to bestow complete success in yoga.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 145

prāṇāpānau nādabindū mūlabandhena caikatām \mid gatvā yogasya saṃsiddhiṃ yacchato nātra saṃśayaḥ \mid 145c gatvā yogasya saṃ $^\circ$] gacchato yogasaṃ $^\circ$ M1A 145d yacchato] gacchato M1, kurute AM2, gachate π

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.61

prāṇāpānau nādabindū mūlabandhena caikatām | gatau tadā yogasiddhim prāpnoty eva na saṃśayaḥ ||

Yogacintāmaņi f.76r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

prāṇāpānau nādabindū mūlabandhena caikatām | gatvā yogasya saṃsiddhiṃ gacchato nātra saṃśayaḥ ||

Commentary: Since the term *nāda* usually means 'internal sound' in Haṭha and

Rājayoga texts, it is possible that *bindu* here was understood by some to have the tantric connotations of sonic and visual foci (Mallinson 2007:219 n.325) or two levels of sonic emanation in *mantroccāra*, where *nāda* is an unvoiced sound and *bindu* is the slightly coarser sound of inner murmuring (see *Tāntrikābhidhānakośa* vol. 3, 2013: 278–279). However there is a passage in the *Amaraugha* (10–12) where *nāda* and *bindu* are paired and it is clear that *bindu* means generative fluid.

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā)

3.54

Translation: *Prāṇa* and *apāna* unite, urine and faeces diminish, [and] even an old man becomes young as a result of the continuous application of the root lock.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 41

apānaprāṇayor aikyaṃ kṣayo mūtrapurīṣayoḥ | yuvā bhavati vṛddho 'pi satataṃ mūlabandhanāt ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.62

```
apānaprāṇayor aikyaṃ kṣayo mūtrapurīṣayoḥ | yuvā bhavati vṛddho 'pi satataṃ mūlabandhanāt ||
```

Commentary: The diminishing of urine and faeces as a result of success in yoga is mentioned in the *Amanaska* (1.50c) and *Dattātreyayogaśāstra* (80a).

3.55

Translation: When *apāna* has turned upwards and reached the orb of fire, then the flame of the fire, fanned by the wind, grows tall.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 54

```
apāne cordhvage jāte saṃprāpte vahnimaṇḍalam |
tato 'nalaśikhā dīrghā vardhate vāyunāhatā ||
54a °maṇdalam ] from Haṭhapradīpikā witnesses, maṇdale codd.
```

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.63

```
apāne cordhvage jāte prayāte vahnimaṇḍale | tathānalaśikhādīptir vāyunā preritā yathā ||
```

Yogacintāmaņi f. 76r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

```
apāne cordhvage jāte saṃprāpte vahnimaṇḍale | tathānalaśikhā dīrghā vardhate vāyunāhatā ||
```

3.56

Translation: As a result, fire and $ap\bar{a}na$ reach $pr\bar{a}na$, which is hot by nature, and the $pr\bar{a}na$ makes the fire in the body extremely hot.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 55

```
tato yātau vahnyapānau prāṇam uṣṇasvarūpakam |
tenātyantapradīptena jvalano dehajas tathā ||
dehajas ] T; dehagas GU
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.64

```
yātāyātau vahnyapānau mūlarūpasvarūpakau | tenābhyantaḥ pradīptas tu jvalano dehajas tathā || 2.64 ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76r-76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

tato yātau vahnyapānau prāṇam uktasvarūpakau | tenātyantapradīptas tu jvalano dehajas tathā ||

Commentary: The second verse quarter has been rewritten in the α manuscripts as $pr\bar{a}nam\bar{u}lasvar\bar{u}pakam$, which is similar to η_1 ($pr\bar{a}nam\bar{u}lasvar\bar{u}pakau$) and the $Hatharatn\bar{a}val\bar{\iota}$ ($m\bar{u}lar\bar{u}pasvar\bar{u}pakau$). Manuscripts from the delta group, as well as the $Yogacint\bar{a}man\bar{\iota}$, have $pr\bar{a}nam$ $uktasvar\bar{u}pakam$ or $pr\bar{a}nam$ $uktasvar\bar{u}pakau$. It appears that the intention behind these rewrites was to avoid the reading in the source text, ' $pr\bar{a}na$ is hot by nature' ($pr\bar{a}nam$ $usnasvar\bar{u}pakam$), which was likely accepted by Svātmārāma because it is in some witnesses of the β , γ and ε groups. References to $pr\bar{a}na$ being hot by nature (and $ap\bar{a}na$ being cold) occur in other works, such as $Moksop\bar{a}ya$ 6.85.111-112 and $Hathatattvakaumud\bar{\iota}$ 4.14, 41.2. The commentators, $B\bar{a}lakrsna$ ($Yogaprak\bar{a}sik\bar{a}$ 5.85) and Brahmānanda ($Jyotsn\bar{a}$ 3.67) accept the idea that $pr\bar{a}na$ is hot by nature.

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā)

3.57

Translation: Heated by that [blaze], the sleeping $kundalin\bar{\iota}$ wakes up. Like a snake struck by a stick, she hisses and becomes straight.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 56

tena kuṇḍalinī suptā saṃtaptā saṃprabudhyate | dandāhatā bhujamgīva niśvasya rjutām vrajet ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.65ab

daṇḍāhatā bhujaṅgīva niścitaṃ ṛjutām iyāt | niścitam | niśvasya T.P.t1

Yogacintāmaņi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

tena kuṇḍalinī suptā satataṃ saṃprabodhyate | dandāhatabhujaṅgīva niścitam rjutām vrajet ||

3.58

Translation: Then, like [a snake] that has entered a hole, she goes into the channel of Brahman. Therefore, yogis should regularly practise the root lock.

Sources:

Gorakṣaśataka 57

bile pravișțe ca tato brahmanāḍyantaraṃ vrajet | tasmān nityaṃ mūlabandhaḥ kartavyo yogibhiḥ sadā || 57a bile] bil*e*T, bila° G2U, bilaṃ G1 • °pravișțe ca tato] T; °praveśato yatra GU

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.65c-f

bilam pravisteva tato brahmanādyantaram vrajet | tasmān nityam mūlabandhaḥ kartavyo yogibhiḥ sadā ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

bilam praviṣṭeva tathā brahmanāḍyantaram vrajet | tasmān nityam mūlabandhaḥ kartavyo yogipuṅgavaiḥ || praviṣṭeva] praviṣṭaiva v.l.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: bha-vipulā; c: ra-vipulā)

3.59

Translation: Now the Uḍḍīyaṇa lock:

Because the breath flies up $(udd\bar{i}yate)$ into the $susumn\bar{a}$ when it is bound by it, yogis say that this [practice] is called Uddīyana.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 58c-59b

baddho yena susumnāyām prānas tūddīyate yatah |

tasmād uḍḍīyaṇākhyo 'yaṃ yogibhiḥ samudāhṛtaḥ || 58c baddho] *em. from HP*; vairo G, bandho TU 58d yatah] TU; tatah G

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.53

baddho yena susumnāyām prāṇas tūḍḍiyate yataḥ | tasmād uḍḍiyānākhyo 'yam yogibhiḥ samudāhṛtaḥ ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

baddho yena suṣumṇāyāṃ prāṇas tūḍḍīyate yataḥ | tasmād uḍḍiyānākhyo 'yaṃ yogibhiḥ samudāhṛtaḥ ||

Yogabīja 104cd-105ab (South-Indian recension)

baddho yena suṣumṇāyāṃ prāṇas tūḍḍīyate tataḥ| tasmād uḍḍīyānākhyo 'yaṃ yogibhiḥ samudāhṛtaḥ||

3.60

Translation: Because the great bird tirelessly flies up (uḍḍīnaṃ kurute), it is [called] 'flying up'. In it, the [root] lock is applied.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 43

uḍḍīṇaṃ kurute yasmād aviśrāntaṃ mahākhagaḥ | uḍḍiyānaṃ tad eva syāt tatra bandho vidhīyate || 43b aviśrāntaṃ] *em. from HP*; aviśrānta VTU, aviśrānto G

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.54

uḍḍīnaṃ kurute yasmād aviśrāntaṃ mahākhagaḥ | uddiyānam tad eva syāt tatra bandho 'bhidhīyate ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

uḍḍīnaṃ kurute yasmād aviśrāntaṃ mahākhagaḥ | uddiyānan tad eva syān mūlabandho 'bhidhīyate ||

Commentary: In the *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* this verse is preceded by a passage on $m\bar{u}labandha$, so the likely meaning of the fourth verse quarter is that $(m\bar{u}la)bandha$ is to be performed in this practice. The β group and many other manuscripts of the $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pika$ have 'the root [lock] is applied' $(m\bar{u}lam\ vidh\bar{\iota}yate)$, which appears to be an attempt to clarify the meaning of the original verse.

3.61

Translation: [The yogi] should perform a rearward and upward stretching of the navel into the abdomen. That is the Uḍḍiyāna lock, a lion to the elephant of death.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 44

udare paścime tāṇaṃ nābher ūrdhvaṃ ca kārayet | uddīyāno hy asau bandho mṛṭyumātaṅgakesarī ||

Śivasamhitā 4.73

udare paṣcimaṃ tānaṃ nābher ūrdhvaṃ tu kārayet | udyānākhyo'tra bandho'yaṃ mṛtyumātaṅgakesarī ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.55

udare paścimam tānam nābher ūrdhvam ca dhārayet | uḍḍiyāno hy asau bandho mṛtyumātaṅgakesarī ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

udare paścimam tānam nābher ūrdhvam samācaret | uddiyāno hy asau bandho mṛtyumātaṅgakesarī ||

Commentary: The variant spelling $t\bar{a}na$ (for $t\bar{a}na$) reflects vernacular pronunciation (see e.g. Molesworth 1857 s.v. $t\bar{a}na$).

3.62

Translation: *Uḍḍiyāna* is easy, but it is always taught by a guru. If he practises it tirelessly, even an old man becomes young.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 141c-142b

uḍḍiyāṇaṃ tu sahajaṃ guruṇā kathitaṃ sadā | abhyased astatandras tu vṛddho 'pi taruṇo bhavet || 141d gurunā | gunaughāt π

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.56

guruṇā sahajaṃ proktaṃ vṛddho 'pi taruṇo bhavet | bāhyoḍyāṇaṃ ca kurute bāhyālaṅkāravardhanam ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 76v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

uddiyānam tu sahajam gurunā kathitam yathā |

```
abhyaset tad atandras tu vṛddho 'pi taruṇāyate ||
Yogabīja 106cd–107ab (South Indian recension)
uḍḍiyāṇaṃ tu sahajaṃ guruṇā kathitaṃ sadā |
abhyased asvatantras tu vṛddho 'pi taruṇo bhavet ||
```

Commentary: At the end of the second $p\bar{a}da$ $sad\bar{a}$ is attested by witnesses of α , η , ε and δ groups, as well as the $Datt\bar{a}treyayogaś\bar{a}stra$. We have understood the first line to mean that even though the basics of the practice of $uddiy\bar{a}na$ are easy, it still needs to be taught by the guru. Some witnesses read $yath\bar{a}$ for $sad\bar{a}$, perhaps as a deliberate substitution of the more difficult $sad\bar{a}$, making the verse mean that $uddiy\bar{a}na$ is easy in the way that is taught by the guru.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.63

Translation: [The yogi] should carefully stretch [the region of the abdomen] above and below the navel. If he practises [like this] for six months, he is sure to conquer death.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 142c-143b

nābher ūrdhvam ataḥ paścāt tānaṃ kuryāt prayatnataḥ || 142 || ṣaṇmāsam abhyasen mṛtyuṃ jayaty eva na saṃśayaḥ |
142c atah paścāt] PT, adhaś cāpi *cett.*

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.72

nābher ūrdhvam adhaś cāpi tānam paścimam ācaret | uḍyānabandha eṣaḥ syāt sarvaduḥkhaughanāśanaḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.57

nābher ūrdhvam adho vāpi tānaṃ kuryāt prayatnataḥ | sanmāsam abhyasen mrtyum jayaty eva na samśayah ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 76v (attr. to the *Yogabīja*)

nābher ūrdhvam adho vāpi tānaṃ kuryāt prayatnataḥ | ṣaṇmāsam abhyasen mṛtyuṃ jayaty eva na saṃśayaḥ ||

Cf. Yuktabhavadeva f. 76v (attr. to the Śivayoga)

nābher ūrdhvam adhaś cāpi tānaṃ nirbharam ācaret | uḍḍiyāno hy ayaṃ bandhaḥ sarvaduḥkhaughanāśanaḥ ||

3.64

Translation: Sitting in *vajrāsana*, [the yogi] should hold his feet firmly with his hands near the region of the ankles and press the bulb (*kanda*) there.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 59c-60b

```
sati vajrāsane jānū karābhyāṃ dhārayed dṛḍham || gulphadeśasamīpe ca kandaṃ tatra prapīḍayet | 59c jānū ] em.; pādau GU, jānu T, prādau V
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi f. 76v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

sati vajrāsane pādau karābhyām dhārayed dṛḍham | gulphadeśasamīpe ca udaram tat prapīḍayet ||

Cf. Yuktabhavadeva 7.224 (commenting on uddiyānabandha)

dṛḍham āsanaṃ baddhvā gulphadeśasamīpe karābhyāṃ pādau datvā nābhisamīpasthaṃ kandaṃ pīḍayann udare paścimatāṇaṃ tathā kuryād yathā vāyuḥ kukṣisandhiṃ na gacchaty evam uḍḍiyānabandho jarāmṛtyuvināśanah sampadyate ||

Haṭhasanketacandrikā (ms. no. 2244) f. 36r

tathā coktaṃ haṭhapradīpikāyām | sati vajrāsane pādau karābhyāṃ dharayed dṛḍhaṃ | gulphadeśasamīpe ca kandaṃ tatra nipīḍayet ||... siddhāsane sthitvā hastābhyāṃ pādau gulphapradeśasamīpe dṛḍhaṃ dhṛtvā tunde nālotthāna[ṃ] sādhu vidhāya samāhitamanasā sudṛḍhamūlabandhajālandharabandhavatābhyāsinā sādhakena recakādau kuṃbhakānte udare paścimatāne kriyamāṇe nitarāṃ tadā tatra nābhikandanipīḍane paścimatānena sati nābhikandotthānāḍaya urdhvamukhā vikasitā viralā vimalā asaṃhatā vāyugrahasamarthā bhavanti tadā sakuṃbhitah prānavāyuh śanaih [||]

Commentary: In $Haṭhaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ this verse seems to instruct the yogi to press the bulb (kanda) with the feet while holding them with the hands. The adopted reading of the source text, the Gorakṣaśataka, which is only found in witness T (and there in the singular $j\bar{a}nu$, which has been emended to the dual $j\bar{a}n\bar{u}$ in Mallinson's edition) says that it is the knees that are to be held, which would still allow for the feet to press the bulb. In the Yuktabhavadeva (7.224), Bhavadevamiśra says that the bulb is near the navel and the legs are held near the ankles, suggesting that the bulb is pressed by using the hands to pull the feet into the lower abdomen. Holding the ankles with the hands and pressing the kanda with the feet is

also the view of Brahmānanda (*Jyotsnā* 3.114), who follows the *Yogayājñavalkya* (4.14, 4.16) in thinking that the place of the *kanda* is nine fingerbreadths above the middle of the body, which is two fingerbreaths above the anus (*Jyotsnā* 3.113). In the *Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā* (f. 36r), Sundaradeva explains that the yogi presses the *kanda* in the navel by performing *uḍḍiyānabandha*, along with the root and chin locks, at the end of *kumbhaka* and the beginning of exhalation. It is thus the backward stretch in the abdomen (*udare paścimatāna*) that presses the *kanda* in the navel.

3.65

Translation: [The yogi] should very gently stretch back his stomach, chest and †neck† in such a way that the breath does not come into contact with the stomach.

Sources:

Gorakṣaśataka 60c–61b

```
paścimaṃ tānam udare dhārayed dhṛdaye gate | śanaiḥ śanair yathā prāṇas tundasaṃdhiṃ na gacchati || 60d dhārayedd°] GU; kārye*raṃ*T, kuryāñ ca V dhṛdaye gate] em. Sathyanarayanan; dhṛdaye gale GUT, civukam hṛdi V
```

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76v (attr. to the <code>Haṭhapradīpikā</code>)
paścimaṃ tānam udare kārayec cibukaṃ hṛdi |
śanaiḥ śanair yathā prāṇas tundasiddhiṃ na gacchati ||
```

 $Hathsanketacandrik\bar{a}$, f. 36r–36v (attr. to the $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a}$)

```
paścime tānam udare ku[r]yac c[a] cibukaṃ hṛdi |
śanaiḥ śanair yathā prāṇaḥ kandasaṃdhi[m] nigacchati ||
yathābhyāsānurūpaṃ kandasaṃdhiṃ kandagatanāḍisaṃghavimala-
vivaraprānteṣu nigachati nitarāṃ gacchati tathā tathā kuṃbhakavṛd-
dhiḥ sukharūpodbhavati sādhakasya yenoḍḍīyānena bandhena vāyuḥ
proḍḍīyāste brahmanāḍyāṃ yato 'sau uḍḍīyānākhyaḥ smṛto bandha
ārthaḥ sevyas tasmād yogibhiḥ siddhasevyaḥ ||
```

Yogaprakāśikā 5.96

```
paścimam tānam udare kārayec cibukam hṛdi |
śanaiḥ śanairyathā prāṇaḥ skandhasaṅge na gacchati ||
uktalakṣaṇe vajrāsane baddhe satī gulphadeśasamīpena meḍhraṃ vā
prapīḍayet cibukaṃ hṛdi kṛtvā prāṇasyordhvasañcalanaṃ kārayet tena
prān[a]h skandhasandhim gacchatīty arthah ||
```

Commentary: In 3.65b, the reading gale ('in the neck') is very well attested by manuscripts of the source text, the Goraksaśataka, and the Hathapradīpikā (including all three α witnesses). Its meaning is not entirely clear to us as the 'backward stretch' (paścimam tānam) usually occurs above and below the navel when the uddiyāna lock is applied, as stated above in verse 3.61. In 3.65, the mention of the stretch in the chest (hrdaya) may also be consistent with 3.61 in so far as 'above the navel' might include the lower region of the chest. Drawing on x-ray experiments on uddiyānabandha conducted at the Kaivalyadhama Yoga Institute and published in the Yoga Mīmāmsā Journal (e.g. vol.1, issues 1-2), Dr M. M. Gore (2005:144) mentions a sub-atmospheric (negative) pressure in visceral cavities, such as the oesophagus and stomach, as a physiological effect of applying *uddiyāna*. So, it may be possible that a 'backward stretch' in the throat was intended in 3.65b. However, we have not seen the neck mentioned in this regard in any other premodern work and the absence of *ca* suggests that *gale* may be a corruption. The alternative reading cibukam hrdi in manuscripts of the Hathapradīpikā on lower branches of the stemma and in the testimonia is a reference to jālandharabandha and appears to be a patch. In his edition of the Gorakşaśataka, Mallinson has adopted the emendation gate suggested by Dr Sathyanaryanan, which he understands to mean that the rearward stretch reaches as far as the heart.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.66

Translation: $Uddiy\bar{a}na$ is the best of all the locks. When the $uddiy\bar{a}na$ lock is firm, liberation becomes easy.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 76v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

sarveṣām eva bandhānāṃ hy uttamo hy uḍḍiyānakaḥ | uddiyāne drdhe bandhe mūlah svābhāviko bhavet ||

Yogaprakāśikā 5.97

sarveṣām eva bandhānām uttamo hy uḍḍiyāṇakaḥ | uḍḍiyāṇe dṛḍhe bandhe mūlaṃ svābhāvikaṃ bhavet || uḍḍīyānabandham upasamharati sarveṣām iti || mūlam iti mūlabandho 'nāyāsena sidhyatīty arthah ||

3.67

Translation: Now the *jālandhara* lock–

[The yogi] should contract the throat and firmly place the chin on the chest. This

is the lock called *jālandhara*. It prevents loss of the nectar of immortality.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 138

kaṇṭham ākuñcya hṛdaye sthāpayec cibukaṃ dṛḍham | jālandharo bandha eṣa amṛtāvyayakārakaḥ || 138b sthāpayec cibukaṃ dṛḍham] HRPTPT; sthāpayed dṛḍhayā dhiyā YTU, sthāpayed dṛḍham icchayā cett.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.66

kaṇṭham ākuñcya hṛdaye sthāpayec cibukaṃ dṛḍham | bandho jālandharākhyo 'yam jarāmrtyuvināśakah ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 77r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

kaṇṭham ākuñcya hṛdaye sthāpayed dṛḍham icchayā | bandho jālandharākhyo 'yam amrtāvyayakārakah ||

Yogabīja 109 (south Indian recension)

kaṇṭham ākuñcya hṛdaye sthāpayed dṛḍham icchayā | bandho jālandharākhyo 'yam amṛtāvyayakārakaḥ || amṛtāvyaya°] amṛtavyaya° v.l.

Commentary: Manuscripts of the α , β , η and delta groups have $sth\bar{a}payed\ drdham\ icchay\bar{a}$ ('one should place it firmly as desired') in the second verse quarter, which is also well-attested in the transmission of the source text, the $Datt\bar{a}treyayoga-\dot{s}\bar{a}stra$. This reading seems secondary because, in a subsequent verse (3.69), contracting the throat is the main feature of $j\bar{a}landharabandha$, so it seems contradictory to say that it may be done 'as one likes' in 3.67b. The word $icchay\bar{a}$ may have crept in to this verse because someone wanted to make this practice optional in light of 3.22, or it might be a corruption of $hrdaye\ sth\bar{a}payed\ drdham\ ni\acute{s}cayam$ which is found in some other manuscripts.

Metre: Anustubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.68

Translation: Because it binds all the channels in which the liquid from the void flows down it is [called] the *jālandhara* lock. It gets rid of all problems in the throat.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 45

badhnāti hi śirājālam adhogāminabhojalam |

tato jālandharo bandhaḥ kanthaduḥkhaughanāśanaḥ ||

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 2.66ef-2.67ab
```

```
badhnāti hi śirājālam nādho yāti nabhojalam | tato jālandharo bandhah kanthasankocane krte ||
```

Yogacintāmani f. 77r (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

```
badhnātīha śirājālam adhogāminabhojalam |
tato jālandharah proktah kanthe duhkhaughanāśanah ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.230 (attr. to the Śivayoga)

```
badhnāti hi śirājālaṃ nādho yāti nabhojalam |
tato jālandharo bandhah kanthaduhkhaughanāśanah ||
```

3.69

Translation: When the *jālandhara* lock is performed, its defining feature being the contraction of the throat, nectar does not fall in the fire and the breath does not escape.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 46

```
jālandhare kṛte bandhe kaṇṭhasaṃkocalakṣaṇe |
na pīyūṣaṃ pataty agnau na ca vāyuḥ pradhāvati ||
pradhāvati ] ; prakupyati AGT
```

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmani f. 77v (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)
```

```
jālandhare kṛte bandhe kaṇṭhasaṃkocalakṣaṇe |
na pīyūṣaṃ pataty agnau na ca vāyuḥ prakupyati ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.231 (attr. to the Śivayoga)

```
jālandhare kṛte bandhe kaṇṭhasaṃkocalakṣaṇe |
na pīyūṣaṃ pataty agnau na ca vāyuḥ prakupyati ||
```

3.70

Translation: By contracting the throat, the yogi firmly blocks the two channels. This should be known as the middle cakra, which binds [the mind to] the sixteen supports [in the body].

Testimonia:

Yogavişaya 19ab

kaṇṭhasaṃkocanaṃ kṛtvā dve nāḍyau stambhayed dṛḍham | rasanāpīḍyamānās tu ṣoḍaśaś cordhvagāminī ||

Yogacintāmani f. 77v (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

madhyacakram idam jñeyam sodasadharabandhanam |

Yogakarnikā 85

kanthasankocanenaiva dve nādye kumbhayed drdham |

Yogasarasangraha p.58

kaṇṭhasaṃkocanekaṇṭhasaṃkocane nanaiva dvināḍyo stambhayed dṛḍham | ayaṃ bandho mayā proktaḥ ṣoḍaśādhārabandhanam ||

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 23

kaṇṭhasankocanaṃ kṛtvā cibukaṃ hṛdaye nyaset | jālandhare kṛte bandhe soḍaśādhārabandhanam ||

Commentary: The import of the second line of this verse is obscure to us. In $\Im y$ -otsnā 3.73, Brahmānanda thinks that the middle cakra (madhyacakra) is viśuddha cakra. The main reason for this appears to be that this cakra is located in the throat and the salient feature of the $j\bar{a}landhara$ lock is contracting the throat. However, he also seems to connect the viśuddha cakra to the sixteen supports ($\S odaśadhāra$) at the end of this verse, perhaps because this cakra has sixteen petals (as mentioned in 3.47). On the meaning of $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ra$ in yogic contexts, see entry no. 3 in the $T\bar{a}ntrik\bar{a}bhidh\bar{a}nakośa$ vol. 1 2000: 191.

3.71

Translation: This triad of locks is the best [and] has been practised by the great Siddhas. Yogis know it to be a method of all systems of Haṭha.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.68

bandhatrayam idaṃ śreṣṭhaṃ mahāsiddhaiś ca sevitam | sarveṣāṃ yogatantrāṇāṃ sādhanaṃ yogino viduḥ ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 77v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

bandhatrayam idaṃ śreṣṭhaṃ mahāsiddhaniṣevitam | sarveṣāṃ haṭhatantrāṇāṃ sādhane yoginām iti ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 15.24

idam bandhatrayam śrestham marujjayasusiddhadam | sarvesām yogatantrānām sādhanam yogino viduh ||

3.71*1

Translation: By immediately contracting the lower [part of the body] (i.e. by the root lock) when the neck has been contracted (i.e. by the *jālandhara* lock) and by stretching the abdomen backwards in the middle [of the body] (i.e. by the *uḍḍiyāna* lock), the breath enters the channel of Brahman.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 63 (see 2.46)

Testimonia:

Haṭharatnāvalī 2.8, Yogacintāmaṇī f.80r, Yuktabhavadeva 7.95 and Haṭhatattvakaumudī 15.25–27 (see 2.46).

3.72

Translation: [The yogi] should contract the place of the root and do the $u\dot{q}\dot{q}iy\bar{a}na$ [lock]. He should [then] block the $i\dot{q}\bar{a}$ and $pi\dot{n}gal\bar{a}$ [channels] and make [the breath] flow in the rear pathway.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.70

mūlasthānam samākuñcya uddiyānam tu kārayet | idām ca pingalām baddhvā vāhayet paścimam patham ||

Yogacintāmani f. 79v (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

mūlasthānaṃ samākṛṣya uḍḍiyānaṃ tu kārayet | idām ca piṅgalām baddhvā vāhayet paścime pathi ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 15.25-27

mūlasthānaṃ samākuñcya uḍyānaṃ tu kārayet |
iḍāṃ ca piṃgalāṃ baddhvā vāhayet paścimāpathaṃ ||
mūlasthānaṃ mārgasaṃkocanaṃ vidhāya uḍyānam udarasaṃkocanaṃ
tataḥ | iḍāṃ piṃgalāṃ baddhvā kaṇṭhasaṃkocanena paścimapathaṃ
prsthavamśamārge payanam vāhayet kuryāt ||

3.73

Translation: By this method alone, the breath attains dissolution. Then death does not arise nor old age, disease and the like.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Haṭharatnāvalī 2.71

anenaiva vidhānena prayāti pavano layam |
tato na jāyate mṛtyur jarārogādikaṃ tathā ||
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
anenaiva vidhānena sevayet pavanālayam |
tato na jāyate mṛtyur jarārogādikam tathā ||
```

3.73*1

Translation: Now the inverted bodily position-

The sun devours whatever divine nectar flows from the moon. As a result, the body perishes.

Testimonia:

```
Haṭharatnāvalī 2.72

atha viparītakaraṇī—
yat kiñ cit sravate candrād amṛtaṃ divyarūpi ca |
tatsarvaṃ grasate sūryas tena piṇḍaṃ vināśi ca ||
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 77v
haṭhapradīpikāyām—
yat kiṃ cin sravate candrād amṛtaṃ divyarūpi ca |
tat sarvaṃ grasate sūryas tena piṇḍaṃ vināśi ca ||
Cf. Haṭhayogasaṃhitā 38 (p. 26)
nābhimūle vaset sūryas tālumūle ca candramāḥ |
amrtam grasate sūryas tato mrtyuvaśo narah ||
```

Commentary: The α group do not have 3.73*1 and 3.73*2 in the third chapter (but rather in the fourth) and other manuscripts omit them as well (notably the η group). It appears that they have been inserted at the beginning of the section on $vipar\bar{\imath}takaran\bar{\imath}$ as a kind of preamble, which is unusual as the other techniques in this chapter do not have such introductions.

3.73*2

Translation: There is a divine bodily position for this, which blocks the mouth of the sun. It is to be known from the teaching of a guru and not through the countless interpretations of scriptures.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvali 2.73 (on viparītakaraņī)

tatrāsti divyaṃ karaṇaṃ sūryasya mukhabandhanam | gurūpadeśato jñeyam na tu śāstrārthakotibhih ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 77v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

tatrāsti karaṇaṃ divyaṃ sūryasya mukhabandhanam | gurūpadeśato jñeyam na tu śāstrārthakotibhih ||

3.74

Translation: The navel is up, the palate down; the sun up, the moon down: the bodily position called "inverted" is obtained through the teaching of a guru.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 115

ūrdhvam nābhir adhas tālur ūrdhvam bhānur adhaḥ śaśī | karanī viparītākhyā guruvākyena labhyate ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.74

ūrdhvam nābhir adhas tālur ūrdhvam bhānur adhaḥ śaśī | karaṇī viparītākhyā guruvākyena labhyate ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 73r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

ūrdhvanābhir adhastālur ūrdhvabhānur adhaḥśaśī | karanī viparītākhyā sarvavyādhivināśinī ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.236 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

ūrdhvam nābhir adhas tālur ūrdhvam bhānur adhaḥ śaśī | karanī viparītākhyā guruvaktrena gamyate ||

3.75

Translation: The bodily position called "inverted" destroys all diseases. For [the yogi] who regularly engages in [its] practice, it increases the digestive fire.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 146

karaṇam viparītākhyam sarvavyādhivināśanam |

nityam abhyāsayuktasya jatharāgnir vivardhate ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.75

karaṇī viparītākhyā sarvavyādhivināśinī | nityam abhyāsayuktasya jatharāgnivivardinī ||

Yogacintāmani f. 78r (attr. to Dattātreva)

nityam abhyāsayuktasya jatharāgnivivardhanam ||

3.76

Translation: A lot of food should be provided for the practitioner. If the practitioner eats little, the fire will quickly consume his body.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 147

āhāro bahulas tasya saṃpādyaḥ sāṃkṛte dhruvam | alpāhāro yadi bhaved agnir deham dahet ksanāt ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.76

āhāro bahulas tasya sampādyaḥ sādhakena vai | alpāhāro yadi bhaved deham agnir dahet kramāt ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 78r (attr. to Dattātreya)

āhāro bahulas tasya saṃpādyaḥ sāṃkṛte dhruvam | alpāhāro yadi bhaved agnir dāham karoti vai ||

Cf. Yuktabhayadeya 7.238

asyāṃ kriyamāṇāyāṃ sādhakasya bhakṣyaṃ bahulaṃ sampādyam anyathā pravrddho jātharānalo dhātum dahatīti ||

Commentary: Svātmārāma has removed the vocative from the *Dattātreyayoga- śāstra*, changing *sāmkrte dhruvam* to *sādhakasya tu*.

Metre: Anustubh (c: na-vipulā)

3.77

Translation: On the first day [the yogi] should keep his head down and his feet up for a short while, and he should [then] practise for a little longer every day.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 148c-149b

adhaḥśirāś cordhvapādaḥ kṣaṇaṃ syāt prathame dine || kṣaṇāc ca kiṃ cid adhikam abhyasec ca dine dine |

Testimonia:

Hathratnāvalī 2.77

adhaḥ śiraś cordhvapādau kṣaṇaṃ syāt prathame dine | kṣaṇāc ca kiñ cid adhikam abhyasec ca dine dine || cordhvapādau | cordhvapādah v.l.

Yogacintāmaņi f. 78r (attr. to Dattātreya)

adhaḥśirāś cordhvapādaḥ kṣaṇaṃ syāt prathame dine | kṣaṇāc ca kiñ cid adhikam abhyasec ca dine dine ||

Cf. Yuktabhayadeya 7.237

sa ca prathamadine kṣaṇamātraṃ vidheyā dvitīyadine | kiñcidadhikaṃ kālam evam yāmaparyantam vidheyā |

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā; c: na-vipulā)

3.78

Translation: After six months grey hair and wrinkles disappear. [The yogi] who regularly practises for three hours conquers death.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 149c-150b

valiś ca palitaṃ caiva ṣaṇmāsordhvaṃ na dṛśyate || yāmamātraṃ hi yo nityam abhyaset sa tu kālajit | °māsordhvaṃ na] °māsāṃ hi na M1, °māsāc ca na AM2, °māsārdhān na YTU, °māsān na tu HR, °māsārddhena *Yogacintāmaṇi* 150b kālajit] yogavit πDYŚPT

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.78

valitaṃ palitaṃ caiva ṣaṇmāsān na tu dṛśyate | yāmamātraṃ tu yo nityam abhyaset sa tu kālajit ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 78r (attr. to Dattātreya)

valiś ca palitaṃ caiva ṣaṇmāsārdhe na dṛśyate | yāmamātraṃ tu yo nityam abhyaset sa tu kālajit ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.238 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

valitam palitam caiva şanmāsārdhān na drśyate |

```
yāmamātram tu yo nityam abhyaset sa tu kālajit ||
```

Cf. Hathatattvakaumudī 14.3

ūrdhvapādo hy adhomastakaḥ syāt kṣaṇaṃ vāsare 'thādime 'bhyāsaṃ vṛddhyā dhayet | evam abhyāsato yāmamātraṃ sadā mrtyujit syāj jarājic ca sanmāsatah ||

Commentary: We have adopted the reading $sanm\bar{a}sordhvam$ in the second verse quarter. It is attested by manuscripts of the $Datt\bar{a}treyayogas\bar{a}stra$ (the source text) and the $Jyotsn\bar{a}$ (3.82). It makes good sense and explains the rather odd readings in α and other manuscripts, $sanm\bar{a}s\bar{a}rdh\bar{a}n$, $sanm\bar{a}s\bar{a}rdham$ and $sanm\bar{a}s\bar{a}rdhe$. The γ and delta groups have a different verb as well, $sanm\bar{a}s\bar{a}rdhena$ nasyati. The original reading was likely $sanm\bar{a}sordhvam$ na drsyate because the compound $sanm\bar{a}s\bar{a}rdha$ ('half of six months') is very strange and drsyate is better attested.

3.79

Translation: Now *vajrolī*:

Even if he behaves as he wishes without [following] the observances (*niyama*) taught in yoga, the [yogi] who knows *vajrolī* is worthy of success.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 152

svecchayā varttamāno 'pi yogoktaniyamair vinā | vajroliṃ yo vijānāti sa yogī siddhibhājanaḥ || 152d 'bhājanaḥ | 'mān bhavet M1AM2, 'bhājanam YTU

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.79

svecchayā vartamāno 'pi yogoktaniyamair vinā | mukto bhaved gṛhastho'pi vajrolyabhyāsayogataḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.79 (on viparītakaranī)

svasthaṃ yo vartamāno 'pi yogoktair niyamair vinā | karanī viparītākhyā śrīnivāsena laksitā ||

Yogalakşanāvalī f. 31r

svecchayā vartamāno 'pi yogoktaniyamair vinā | vajrolyabhyāsayogena yogī siddhim avāpnuyāt ||

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 38

svecchayā varttamāno 'pi yogoktair niyamair vinā |

```
vajrolīm yo vijānāti sa yogī siddhibhājanam ||
```

Cf. Yuktabhavadeva 7.240 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

vajrolīm kathayiṣyāmi gopitām sarvayogibhiḥ| tyaktayogoktaniyamā yayā sidhyanti yoginah ||

Cf. Hathatattvakaumudī 16.3

svecchayā varttamāno 'pi yogoditaiḥ sadvidhānair vinā sādhakaḥ sābalaḥ | mucyate 'sau suvajrolikābhyāsataḥ sarvasiddhyāspadam yāti bhūmandale ||

Commentary: In manuscripts of the delta group, the *vajrolī* section is placed at the end of the work and the following comment is inserted at this place in the third chapter:

 $Vajrolar{\imath}$, which is [usually] here, has been copied at the end of the text. Even though it is found in the sequence here, it has been left out because it is be practised by special individuals. $atratyar{a}\ vajrolar{\imath}\ granthar{a}nte\ likhitar{a}\ |\ kramaprar{a}ptar{a}py\ atra\ tyaktar{a}\ |\ asar{a}dhar{a}ranaprar{a}nyanusthevatvar{a}t\ tasvar{a}h\ |$

3.80

Translation: I shall teach you two substances [needed] for it which are hard for just anyone to obtain. One is milk and the second is an obedient woman.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 153ab-154ab

tatra vastudvayam vakṣye durlabham yena kena cit | kṣīram caikam dvitīyam ca nārī ca vaśavartinī |

Testimonia:

Yuktabhavadeva 7.241 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

atra vastudvayam manye durlabham yasya kasyacit | ksīram ekam dvitīyam tu nārī svavaśavarttinī ||

Hathayogasamhitā p. 39

tatra vastudvayam vakşye durlabham yasya kasya cit | ksīram caikam dvitīyam tu nārī ca vaśavarttinī ||

Commentary: On the possible referents of *kṣīra*, see Mallinson 2024 on *Dattā-treyayogaśāstra* 154. According to Brahmānanda (*Jyotsnā* 3.84), the compound *vaśavartinī*, which we have translated as 'an obedient woman,' could be a wife

($va\acute{s}avartin\bar{\imath}\ sv\bar{a}dh\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}\ n\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}\ vanit\bar{a}$). In 3.83, the reading $bh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}bhage$ in V_1,V_3 and J_{10} supports Brahmānanda's view that the woman is the yogi's wife.

3.81

Translation: [The yogi] should gently practise a full upward contraction through the urethra. Either a man or a woman may obtain success in *vajrolī*.

Testimonia:

Hathatattvakaumudī 16.4

```
apānamārgataḥ samyag ūrdhvakuñcanam abhyaset | puruṣo vāpi nārī vā vajrolīsiddhibhājanam || apānamārgato gudadeśena ūrdhvam upari kuñcanaṃ saṃkocanam ūrdhvam ākarsanam vā abhyaset || iti ||
```

Hathayogasamhitā 53 (p. 39)

```
mehanena śanaiḥ samyag ūrdhvākuñcanam abhyaset | puruṣo 'py athavā nārī vajrolīsiddhim āpnuyāt ||
```

Yogaprakāśikā 118ab

```
mehanena śanaiḥ samyag ūrdhvaṃ kuñcanam abhyaset |
ūrdhvaṃ yathā syāt tathā bindor ākarṣaṇaṃ meḍhreṇābhyased ity
arthah ||
```

Commentary: The *Haṭhatattvakaumudī* says that this upward contraction of the urethra, which is the method by which fluids are drawn up it, is done in the region of *apānavāyu* and the anus (*gudadeśa*). Brahmānanda states that this practice is done immediately after sex (*strīsaṅgānantaram*).

3.82

Translation: Carefully using a hollow stalk of bamboo grass, [the yogi] should very gently blow into the opening of the penis in order to make air move [into the urethra].

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 165

```
tatas tu śaranālena phūtkāram vajrakandare | śanaiḥ śanaiḥ prakurvīta vāyusaṃcārakāraṇāt ||
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.86-2.87

hathapradīpikākāras tu

```
yatnatah śaranālena phūtkāram vajrakandare
     śanaih śanaih prakurvīta vāyusamcārakāranāt ||
Hathasanketacandrikā (f. 39r)
     taduktam hathapradīpikāyām
     vantritah śaranālena phūtkāram vajrakandare
     śanaih śanaih prakurv[ī]ta vāyusamcārakāranād iti ||
     asvārthah ||
     sodaśāmgulamānām 16 tu prakurvād vamšanālikām sūksmā'g[r]amūlān-
     tām li[m]gachi[dra]mukhe datvā svāsye 'nu tanmukham dhṛtvā phūtkāram
     ante syāh k[u]ryād bādham muhur muhuh pratyaham tena vivrtam
     lingadvāram kramād bhavet []]
     tato †nālyānayāto† yam alpam phūtkārato 'ntare []]
     lingara[n]dhrena grhnīyāt kramavrddhyā susādhakah []]
     limgachidre 'tha vivrte ksīrākrstim tato bhajed iti []]
     vajrakandare lingachidre [||]
Yogaprakāśikā 118cd-ef
     yatnatah śaranālena phūtkāram vajrakandare
     śanaih śanaih prakurvīta vāyusañcārakāranāt ||
     śareti medhranālenety arthah || vāyusañcārakāranam iti bindor ākarsanam
     kāranam ity arthah
Cf. Yuktabhavadeva 7.248cd-249ab
```

rasanālena phūtkāram vāyoh sañcārakāranāt || kuryāt śanaih śanair yogī yāvac chaktih prajāyate

3.83

Translation: With practice, [the yogi] may draw up semen which is falling into a woman's vagina. And [even] if his own semen has moved [down], he may draw it upwards and retain it.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 166

tadbhage patitam bindum abhyāsenordhvam āharet | calitam ca tathā bindum ūrdhvam ākrsya raksayet ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.96cd-2.97ab

nāryā bhagāt patadbindum abhyāsenordhvam āharet || calitam ca nijam bindum ūrdhvam ākrsya raksayet

Hathayogasamhitā p. 39

nārībhage pated bindum abhyāsenordhvam āharet | calitam ca nijam bindum ūrdhvam ākrsya raksayet ||

Yogaprakāśikā 5.120

nāryā bhage patadbindum abhyāsenordhvam āharet | calitaṃ ca svayaṃ bindum ūrdhvam ākṛṣya rakṣayet || nārīsaṃyoge bindupatanaṃ syād ity āśaṅkya nirasyati nāryā iti || patato bindor ūrdhvam āhared āhīyamāṇaṃ svayaṃ calitaṃ bindum ākṛṣyety anvayah ||

Cf. Hathasanketacandrikā f. 39r

apānam ākuñcya tato 'balenordhvaṃ dugdham ākṛṣṭividhikrameṇa | samabhyasen niścalam alpam alpaṃ bhage patadbindum athārdhvam āharet ||

Cf. Yuktabhavadeva 7.249cd, 259

tato maithunakāle tu patadbinduṃ samunnayet || [...] patadbindum apānena huṃ huṃkārasahitena balād ūrdhvam ākṛṣya kiñcit kālaṃ vilambya ramet punaḥ || yadā tu na dhārayituṃ śakyate tadā bahihskhalitena bindunā saha prasvedenāṅgam marddayet ||

3.84

Translation: [If] the knower of yoga preserves his semen thus, he conquers death. Death arises through the loss of semen and life from retaining semen.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 167

evam ca rakṣito bindur mṛtyum jayati tattvataḥ | maraṇam bindupātena jīvanam bindudhāraṇāt ||

Cf. Amrtasiddhi 3.87cd

maranam bindupātena jīvanam bindudhāranāt ||

Testimonia:

Hathratnāvalī 2.97cd-2.98ab

evaṃ saṃrakṣayed binduṃ mṛtyuṃ jayati yogavit || maraṇaṃ bindupātena jīvitaṃ bindudhāraṇāt |

Yuktabhavadeva 252cd-253ab

evaṃ bindau sthire jāte mṛtyuṃ jayati sarvathā || maranam bindupātena jīvanam bindudhāranāt |

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 39

evaṃ saṃrakṣayed binduṃ mṛtyuṃ jayati yogavit | maranam bindupātena jīvanam bindudhāranāt ||

3.85

Translation: As a result of the retention of semen, the yogi's body becomes fragrant. As long as semen is steady in the body then why fear death?

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 86cd:

yogino 'nge sugandhah syāt satatam bindudhāranāt ||

Vivekamārtaņda 52ad

yāvad binduḥ sthito dehe tāvad mṛtyubhayam kutaḥ |

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.112ab

sugandhir yogino dehe jāyate bindudhāranāt ||

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 39

sugandho yogino dehe jāyate bindudhāraṇāt | yāvad binduh sthiro dehe tāvat kālabhayam kutah ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 16.10

tathā coktaṃ granthāntare – calitaṃ tu svakaṃ bindum ūrdhvam ākuñcya rakṣayet | sugandho yoginām dehe jāyate bindudhāranād || iti ||

Commentary: The omission of 3.85ab in the η group and δ_3 is likely to be the result of haplography (*bindudhāraṇāt* is repeated).

The readings mrtyubhayam (α_3 , β , η) and $k\bar{a}labhayam$ (α_2 , γ) are well attested by the main manuscript groups, but mrtyubhayam is in the important witnesses of the source text, the $Vivekam\bar{a}rtanda$.

3.86

Translation: In men semen is dependent on the mind and life is dependent on semen, so semen and the mind should be carefully guarded.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.98

cittāyattam nrnām śukram śukrāyattam ca jīvitam |

```
tasmāc chukraṃ manaś caiva rakṣanīyaṃ prayatnataḥ ||
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 74v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
cittāyattaṃ nṛṇāṃ śukraṃ śukrāyattaṃ ca jīvitam |
tasmāc cittaṃ ca śukraṃ ca rakṣaṇīyaṃ prayatnataḥ ||
```

3.87

Translation: In this way a [the yogi] may also hold on to [both] the menses of a menstruating woman and his semen. By practising correctly he may draw up [both] through the urethra by the proper practice.

Testimonia:

Hathratnāvalī 2.100cd

rtumatyā rajo 'py evam rajo bindum ca rakṣayet ||

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p.39

```
rtumatyā rajo 'py evam bijam bindum ca rakṣayet | meḍhreṇākarṣayed ūrdhvam samyagabhyāsayogavit || 59 ||
```

Commentary: We have understood the reading of *r̄tumatyā* as qualifying *striyāḥ* (i.e., 'a menstruating woman'). Alternatively, the term *r̄tumati* could mean a post-pubescent woman.

3.87*1

Translation: This yoga succeeds for those who have merit, are fortunate, abide in truth, and are without jealousy, not for those who are jealous.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 176

ayaṃ yogaḥ puṇyavatāṃ dhanyānāṃ tattvaśalinām | nirmatsarāṇāṃ sidhyeta na tu mātsaryaśālinām ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.110

ayaṃ yogaḥ puṇyavatāṃ dhanyānāṃ tattvaśālinām | nirmatsarāṇāṃ sidhyeta na tu matsaraśālinām ||

Hathayogasamhitā pp. 40-41

ayaṃ yāgaḥ puṇyavatāṃ dhīrāṇāṃ tattvadarśinām | nirmatsarānām sidhyeta na tu mātsaryaśālinām ||

Commentary: This verse is omitted in α_2 and α_3 (and the folio on which it would be found is missing in α_1).

```
Metre: Anustubh (a: bha-vipulā; c: ma-vipulā)
```

3.88

Translation: Sahajolī and amarolī are varieties of vajrolī.

Sources:

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 31cd

vajrolir amaroliś ca sahajolis tridhā matā |

Śivasamhitā 4.95ab

sahajolyamarolī ca vajrolyā bhedato bhavet |

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.113cd

atha sahajoliḥ sahajolī cāmarolī vajrolyā eva bhedatah ||

Haṭhayogasamhitā p.40

sahajoliś cāmarolir vajrolyā bheda eva te

Commentary: These two $p\bar{a}das$ introduce the practices of $sahajol\bar{\imath}$ and $amarol\bar{\imath}$, which are described in the verses that follow it. The α and β groups omit the headings for $sahajol\bar{\imath}$ and $amarol\bar{\imath}$. Since 3.88 introduces these practices, the headings are probably not original.

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā)

3.89

Translation: After intercourse using *vajrolī*, the woman and man should put ash made from burnt cow dung in water [and] smear their bodies [with it...]

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 182

```
tajjale bhasma saṃkṣipya dagdhagomayasaṃbhavam | vajrolīmaithunād ūrdhvaṃ strīpuṃsor aṅgalepanam || 182a tajjale bhasma saṃkṣipya ] M2; tajjale bhasmasāt kṣipya M1, tajjale bhasma saddravyam A
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.114

```
jale subhasma nikṣipya dagdhagomayasaṃbhavam | vajrolīmaithunād ūrdhvam strīpumsoś cāṅgalepanam ||
```

Hathayogasamhitā p.40

```
jale subhasma nikṣipya dagdhagomayasambhavam ||
vajrolī maithunād ūrdhvam strīpumsoh svāṅgalepanam |
```

Commentary: Some manuscripts, including α_2 and α_3 (missing in α_1), omit 3.89ab. We have included it because in the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra*, the source of this verse, 3.89ab specifies the substance mentioned in 3.89cd that the man and woman are supposed to rub into their bodies after sexual intercourse.

In the $Datt\bar{a}treyayogaś\bar{a}stra$'s teaching on $sahajol\bar{\iota}$ (163 and 181–183) a rag is used to wipe up the residue of a mixture of semen and sweat that has been rubbed into the body, and then soaked in a paste of water and ash before being rubbed over the body.

Although the plural in 3.89a is awkward, *jaleṣu* was probably the result of Svātmārāma removing the pronoun from the compound *tajjale* in the *Dattātreyayoga-śāstra*'s verse because it has no referent in the *Haṭhapradīpikā*'s compilation.

3.90

Translation: [...] while sitting at complete ease, having just finished intercourse. This is called *sahajolī*. It is always to be trusted by yogis.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 183

```
āsīnayoḥ sukhenaiva muktavyāparayoḥ kṣaṇam | sahajolī ca samproktā śraddheyā yogibhih sadā ||
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.115

```
āsīnayoḥ sukhenaiva muktavyāpārayoḥ kṣaṇam |
sahajolir iyaṃ proktā kartavyā yogibhiḥ sadā ||
```

Hathasamhitā p. 40

```
āsīnayoḥ sukhenaiva muktavyāpārayoḥ kṣaṇāt ||
sahajolir iyam proktā śraddheyā yogibhih sadā
```

Commentary: We have understood the *repha* in *sahajolīr iyam* as a hiatus bridge. Elsewhere the nominative of this name is found only as *sahajolī* or *sahajolī*.

3.90*1

Translation: This auspicious yoga bestows liberation even when pleasure has been enjoyed.

Testimonia:

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 40

ayam śubhakaro yogī bhogayukto'pi muktidah ||

Commentary: This line is absent in α_2 , α_3 and γ_1 (missing in α_1). It may have been adapted from *Dattātreyayogaśāstra* 179cd (*tasmād ayaṃ vakṣyamāṇo bhoge bhukte 'pi muktidaḥ*).

3.91

Translation: Leaving out the first flow of urine because of its excessive heat and the last flow because it is worthless, the cool middle flow of urine is used by Kāpālikas of the Khaṇḍa school.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.116

athāmarolī
vihāya nityām prathamām ca dhārām
vihāya niḥsāratayāntyadhārām |
niṣevyate śītalamadhyadhārām
kāpālikaiḥ khaṇḍamatair anarghyām ||
vihāya nityām] pittolbaṇatvāt v.l.
anarghyām] anarghyā

Hathatattvakaumudī 16.17

athāmarolī –
pittolbaṇatvāt prathamāṃ ca dhārāṃ
vihāya niḥsāratayāntyadhārām |
niṣevyate śītamadhyadhārā
kāpālikaih khandamate 'marolī ||

Hathayogasamhitā p. 41

pittolvaṇatvāt prathamāmbudhārāṃ niṣevyate śītalamadhyadhārā | vihāya niḥsāratayāntyadhārāṃ kāpālike khandamate 'marolī ||

Commentary: We understand 'Kāpālikas of the Khaṇḍa school' (*kāpālikair khaṇḍa-mataiḥ*) to be referring to followers of the Khaṇḍakāpālika who is mentioned in the list of siddhas given at 1.5–9, *pace* Marcinkowska-Rosół and Sellmer (2021: 105–108) who understand *khaṇḍamataiḥ* to mean 'whose doctrine is defective'.

Metre: Upajāti

3.92

Translation: [The yogi] who regularly imbibes urine, taking it by the nose every day, practises *vajrolī* thus. This is called *amarolī*.

Sources:

```
Dattātreyayogaśāstra 180c-181b
```

```
amarīṃ yaḥ piben nityaṃ nasyaṃ kurvan dine dine || vajrolīm abhyasec ceyam amarolīti kathyate |
181a abhyaset ceyam] em.; abhyasec chrayam M1, abhyaset yeyam A, abhyasec caivam
M2
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.117

```
amarīm yaḥ piben nityam nasyam kuryād dine dine | vajrolīm abhyasen nityam amarolīti kathyate ||
```

Hathayogasamhitā 65 (p.41)

amarīṃ yaḥ piben nityaṃ nasyaṃ kurvan dine dine | vajrolīm abhyaset samyag amarolīti kathyate ||

3.92*1

Translation: If a woman draws up the semen of a man through skillfulness in the correct practice and retains her menses by means of *vajrolī*, it is she who is a [true] yoginī.

Sources:

```
Dattātrevayogaśāstra 169cd
```

yadi nārī rajo rakṣed vajrolyā sā hi yoginī ||

Testimonia:

Hathayogasamhitā p. 41

```
puṃso binduṃ samākuñcya samyagabhyāsapāṭavāt | yadi nārī rajo raksed vajrolyā sā'pi yoginī ||
```

Commentary: In the first verse quarter, the gerund $sam\bar{a}kr\bar{s}ya$ (γ) has been adopted, instead of $sam\bar{a}ku\tilde{n}cya$, as it yields a better meaning and is used similarly to $\bar{a}kr\bar{s}ya$ in 3.83.

Verses 3.92^*1-3 have been greyscaled because they are absent in α_3 (and missing in α_1), and appear to have been added to the text from the $Datt\bar{a}treyayogaś\bar{a}stra$'s section on $vajrol\bar{\iota}$ to provide further details of how a woman practises $vajrol\bar{\iota}$ to those found in 3.93-94. The verses are present in α_2 after verse 3.87ab where the verse quarter $vajroly\bar{a}$ saha $yogin\bar{\iota}$ occurs twice (also at 3.93b), which suggests that the version of $vajrol\bar{\iota}$ in α_2 has been subject to further revision. The fact that 3.92^*1-3 are in groups β , γ and η indicates that they were added early in the transmission of the $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a}$.

3.92*2

Translation: Assuredly none of her menses is lost. The $n\bar{a}da$ in her body turns into bindu.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 174

tasyās tadā rajo nāśam na gacchati na samśayaḥ | tasyāḥ śarīre nādas tu bindutām eva gacchati || 174 ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.108ab

tasyāh śarīre nādas tu bindutām eva gacchati |

Hathayogasamhitā pp. 41-42

tasyāḥ kiñ cid rajo nāśaṃ na gacchati na saṃśayaḥ | tasyāḥ śarīre nādaś ca bindutām eva gacchati ||

Commentary: On why this verse is in greyscale, see the note to 3.92*1.

On nāda and bindu see the note to 3.53.

Metre: Anustubh (c: ma-vipulā)

3.92*3

Translation: The *bindu* and *rajas*, which are produced in her own body, become one through *vajrolī* and bring about complete perfection by means of practice.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 175

sa bindus tad rajaś caiva ekībhūya svadehagau |

vajrolyābhyāsayogena sarvasiddhih prajāyate || 175 ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.108cd-109ab

sa bindus tad rajaś caiva ekīkṛtya svadehajau || vajrolyabhyāsayogena yogasiddhih kare sthitā |

Hathayogasamhitā p. 42

sa bindus tad rajaś caiva ekībhūya svadehagau | vajrolyabhyāsayogena sarvasiddhim prayacchatah ||

Commentary: On why this verse is in greyscale, see the note to 3.92*1.

3.93

Translation: It is she who preserves her menses by means of the upward contraction who is the [true] yoginī. She knows the past and the future, and is sure to become a sky-rover (*khecar*ī).

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 170ab

atītānāgatam vetti khecarī vā bhaved dhruvam

Testimonia:

Haṭhayogasaṃhitā p. 42

rakṣed ākuñcanād ūrdhvaṃ yā rajaḥ sā hi yoginī | atītānāgatajñānam khecarī ca bhaved dhruvam ||

Metre: Anustubh (c: na-vipulā)

3.94

Translation: And she attains perfection of the body as a result of the practice of *vajrolī*. This auspicious yoga bestows liberation even when pleasure has been enjoyed.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 179

dehasiddhim ca labhate vajrolyabhyāsayogataḥ | tasmād ayam vakṣyamāṇo bhoge bhukte 'pi muktidaḥ 179d bhoge bhukte 'pi muktidaḥ] conj.; bhoge bhukte tv abhuktidaḥ M1, bhogo yogaś ca muktidah AM2

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.111

sarveṣām eva yogānām ayaṃ yogaḥ śubhaṅkaraḥ | tasmād ayaṃ variṣṭho 'sau bhuktimuktiphalapradaḥ ||

Hathayogasamhitā p. 42

dehasiddhim ca labhate vajrolyabhyāsayogataḥ | ayam puṇyakaro yogo bhoge bhukte 'pi muktidaḥ ||

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā)

3.94*1

Commentary: This verse is not in α and γ , and seems like an unnecessary repetition of 3.101.

3.94*2

Translation: Now the Stimulation of the Goddess ($\acute{s}aktic\bar{a}lanam$): She whose body is bent ($kutil\bar{a}ng\bar{\imath}$), she who is coiled ($kundalin\bar{\imath}$), the female snake ($bhujang\bar{\imath}$), the power ($\acute{s}akti$), the goddess ($\~{\imath}\acute{s}var\bar{\imath}$), she who is coiled ($kundal\bar{\imath}$) and Arundhat $\bar{\imath}$: these words are synonyms.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.125-127

phaṇī kuṇḍalinī nāgī cakrī vakrī sarasvatī | lalanā rasanā kṣatrī lalāṭī śaktiḥ śaṃkhinī || rajvī bhujaṅgī śeṣā ca kuṇḍalī sarpiṇī maṇiḥ | ādhāraśaktiḥ kuṭilā karālī prāṇavāhinī || aṣṭavakrā ṣaḍādhārā vyāpinī kalanādharā || kurīty evam ca vikhyātāh śabdāh paryāyavācakāh ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 78v (attr. to the Hathayoga)

kuṇḍalāṅgī kuṇḍalinī bhujaṅgī śaktir īśvarī | kutilārundhatī devī śabdāh paryāyavācakāh ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.300 (attr. to the Śivayoga)

kuṭilāṃgī kuṇḍalinī bhujaṅgī śaktir īśvarī | kuṇḍaly arundhatī devī śabdāḥ paryāyavācakāḥ ||

Commentary: The α_3 manuscript has a significantly shorter and more coherent version of śakticālana. It omits five introductory verses, of which three are from the *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* or one of its longer recensions and three have no known source, including one that contains a list of synonyms for *kuṇḍalinī*. This sec-

tion is missing in α_1 (3.83–3.98) and α_2 adds these verses (except 3.94*6) after 3.97, which suggests that they have been inserted from elsewhere. Generally speaking, it appears that some redactors have taken the section on *śakticālana* in the *Haṭhapradīpikā* as an opportunity to add material on *kuṇḍalinī*, in particular her location, shape, and soteriological importance.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: bha-vipulā)

3.94*3

Translation: Just as one might use a key to force open a double door, so the yogi breaks open the door to liberation with *kuṇḍalinī*.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 35

udghāṭayet kapāṭaṃ tu yathā kuñcikayā haṭhāt | kundalinyā tathā yogī moksadvāram vibhedayet ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

haṭhayoge

udghāṭayet kapāṭaṃ tu yathā kuñcikayā haṭhāt | kundalinyā tathā yogī moksadvāram vibhedayet ||

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 110r

tathā coktaṃ haṭhapradīpikāyāṃ | udghāṭayet kapāṭaṃ tu yathā kuñcikayā haṭhāt | kundalinyā tathā yogī moksadvāram vibhedayet ||

3.94*4

Translation: The supreme goddess sleeps with her mouth covering the opening of the pathway by which the wholesome place of Brahman is reached.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņļa 33

yena mārgeṇa gantavyaṃ brahmasthānaṃ nirāmayam | mukhenācchādya taddvāraṃ prasuptā parameśvarī ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 78v (attr. to the *Haṭhayoga*)

yena dvāreņa gantavyam brahmasthānam nirāmayam | mukhenācchādya taddvāram prasuptā parameśvarī ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 110r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

yena mārgeņa gamtavyam brahmasthānam nirāmayam | mukhenācchādya taddvāram prasuptā parameśvarī ||

3.94*5

Translation: The coiled goddess, who sleeps above the bulb [in the abdomen], leads to liberation for yogis and bondage for the deluded. He who knows her knows yoga.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 39

kandordhvam kuṇḍalī śaktir suptā mokṣāya yoginām |
bandhanāya ca mūḍhānām yas tām vetti sa yogavit ||
suptā mokṣāya yoginām] VTvlH; aṣṭadhā kuṃḍalīkṛtā A, aṣṭadhā kuṇḍalākṛtiḥ GLGPk,
śubhamoksāpradāyinī GB, śubhā moksapradāyinī GP, astadhā kutilīkrtā T

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 78v (attr. to the *Haṭhayoga*)

kandordhve kuṇḍalī śaktir buddhā mokṣāya yoginām | bandhanāya ca mūdhānām yas tām vetti sa yogavit ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 110r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

kandordhvam kuḍalī śakti suptā mokṣāya yoginām | bandhanāya ca mūdhānām yas tam vetti sa yogavit ||

3.94*6

Translation: [Just as] the coiled serpent Ananta (*śeṣakuṇḍalī*) is the foundation of the oceans, mountains and islands, so kuṇḍalinī is the foundation of all systems of yoga.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 78v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)
ambhodhiśailadvīpānām ādhāraḥ śeṣakuṇḍalī |
aśesayogatantrānām ādhārah kundalī tathā ||

Commentary: This verse is similar to 3.1.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: ma-vipulā)

3.94*7

Translation: *Kuṇḍalinī* is said to have a curved shape like a snake. The person who makes that goddess move is sure to be liberated.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 78v-79r (attr. to the *Haṭhayoga*)

kuṇḍalī kuṭilākārā sarpavat parikīrtitā | sā śaktiś cālitā yena sa mukto nātra samśayah ||

3.95

Translation: Between the Gaṅgā and Yamuna is the wretched young widow. [The yogi] should forcefully take [her]. That is the supreme state of Viṣṇu.

Sources:

Cf. Śivasamhitā 5.169

gaṅgāyamunayor madhye vahaty eṣā sarasvatī | tāsāṃ tu saṃgame snātvā dhanyo yāti parāṃ gatim

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

gaṅgāyamunayor madhye bālaraṇḍā tapasvinī | balātkāreṇa gṛḥṇīyāt tad viṣṇoḥ paramaṃ padam ||

Prānatosinī Part 6 (attr. to the *Dattātreyasaṃhitā*)

gaṅgāyamunayor madhye bālāraṇḍā tapasvinī | balād ākṛṣya gṛhnīyāt tad viṣṇoḥ paramaṃ padam ||

Commentary: The referent of bālaraṇḍā tapasvinī, here is unclear. In some manuscripts this verse is followed by one in which bālaraṇḍā is identified as sarasvatī, which in the context of śakticālana could refer to the tongue. She could also bekuṇḍalinī, who in 3.94*5 is located at the navel, which is said to be the location of Viṣṇu (e.g. Dhyānabindūpaniṣat 28-30). In his commentary on this verse in the Bodhasāra (1906: 137), Divākara says that the seizing of kuṇḍalinī itself is the highest state of Viṣṇu (... bālaraṇḍāṃ ... gṛḥṇīyād vaśīkuryāt tat tasyā vaśīkaraṇam eva viṣṇor vyāpanalakṣanasya paramātmanaḥ paramaṃ kevalaṃ ... padaṃ svarūpaṃ jñeyam).

Verse 3.95*1, which has no known source, simply identifies the technical terms in 3.95, namely, $gang\bar{a}$, $yamun\bar{a}$ and $b\bar{a}larand\bar{a}$ as $id\bar{a}$, $pingal\bar{a}$ and $sarasvat\bar{\iota}$. It is absent in the α manuscripts and probably crept into the text as a marginal note early in the transmission.

3.95*1

3.96

Translation: Seizing her tail, the fearless [yogi] wakes the sleeping serpent. She shakes off sleep and is forced to stand up straight.

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 2.118
```

```
pucche pragṛhya bhujagīṃ suptām udbodhayed abhīḥ | nidrāṃ vihāya sā rjvī ūrdhvam uttiṣṭhate haṭhāt ||
```

Yogacintāmani f. 79r (attr. to the Hathayoga)

puccham pragrhya bhujagīm suptām udbodhayed abhi | nidrām vihāya sā rjvī ūrdhvam uttisthate hathāt ||

Metre: Anustubh (a: na-vipulā)

3.96*1

Translation: The yogi should regularly move the coiled ($paristhit\bar{a}$), hooded [serpent] by breathing in through the sun channel and holding her using a cloth for an hour and a half in the morning and evening.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaņi f. 79r (attr. to the Hathayoga)
```

```
paristhitā caiva phaṇāvatī sā
prātaś ca sāyaṃ praharārdhamātram |
prapūrya sauryā paridhānamuktā
pragṛhya niryāti vicālitā sā ||
```

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 110v-111r (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

```
tadvidhim āha |
paristhitā caiva phaṇāvatī sā
prātaś ca sāyaṃ praharārdhamātraṃ |
prapūrya sūryāt paridhānayuktā
pragṛhya tīrthāt paricālanīyā ||
paridhān[a]yukteti dvādaśāṅgulapramitasitasūkṣmacaturaṅgulavisṛtaśuddhavastrakhaṇḍena dṛḍhaṃ veṣṭatā sā prasiddhā [ph]aṇāvatī suṣumṇātmakā arundhatī jihvaiva kuṇḍalinī || uktaṃ ca ||
arundhatī bhavej jihvā dhruvo nāsāgramaṇḍalam iti ||
tāṃ jihvāṃ laṃbikāyogenordhvaṃ tālvantarbhrūmadhyadeśe vihitāṃ
tatas tīrthād bhrūmadhyāt pragṛhya adhah krtvā tasyā gurūpadistavart-
```

```
manā cālanam vidheyam iti saṃketaḥ [|] cālanam tu khecarī mudrā sādhanavad vidheyam [|] tīrtham bhrūmadhyah [|]
```

Hathatattvakaumudī 44.5

```
paristhitasyeha phaṇāvatī sā
prātastu sāyaṃ praharārdhamātram |
prapūrya sūryāt paridhānayuktā
pragṛhya niryāt paricālanīyā ||
```

Commentary: Verses 3.96^*1-2 are absent in α_1 and α_2 . They introduce the idea of awakening $kundalin\bar{\imath}$ by moving the tongue with a cloth, which is a practice called $sarasvat\bar{\imath}c\bar{a}lana$ in the Goraksasataka (16–25). These verses do not have a known source and are somewhat obscure unless one is one aware of the more coherent explanation of this practice in the Goraksasataka. In his $Hathasanketacandrik\bar{a}$ (see testimonia), Sundaradeva makes sense of this verse by equating the tongue with $kundalin\bar{\imath}$. This enables him to understand the reference to the cloth ($paridh\bar{a}na$) as the technique of wrapping the tongue in a cloth and milking it, which is a practice called $sarasvat\bar{\imath}c\bar{a}lana$ in the Goraksasataka (16–25). This interpretation also makes sense of the next verse in the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ (3.11), which describes the cloth.

Metre: Upajāti

3.96*2

Translation: It is said that the characteristics of the cloth for wrapping around [the tongue] are that it measures a handspan in length and four fingerbreadths in width, and is soft and white.

Sources:

Cf. Goraksaśataka 20cd

dvādaśānguladairghyam cāmbaram caturangulam

Testimonia:

Yogabīja 81 (South Indian recension)

```
vitastipramitam dairghyam vistāre caturangulam | mṛdulam dhavalam proktam veṣṭanāmbaralakṣaṇam ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 74r (attr. to the Yogabīja in the context of khecarīmudrā)

```
yogabīje—
vitastipramitaṃ dīrgha[ṃ] vistāraṃ caturaṅgulam |
mṛdulaṃ dhavalaṃ proktaṃ veṣṭanādhāralakṣaṇam ||
```

Hathayogasamhitā p. 44

```
vitastipramitam dīrgham vistāre caturangulam | mrdulam dhavalam sūksmam vestanāmbaralaksanam ||
```

Commentary: This verse was likely added to explain 'by the method of the cloth' (*paridhānayuktyā*) in the previous verse. One would expect to read *caturaṅgulavistāram* in the second verse quarter, and the current reading is probably a result of the metre.

3.97

Translation: Sitting in *vajrāsana*, the yogī should make *kuṇḍalinī* move and immediately afterwards perform *bhastrī*. He quickly awakens *kuṇḍalinī*.

Testimonia:

```
Yogabīja 111 (South Indian recension)
```

```
vajrāsanasthito yogī cālayitvā tu kuṇḍalīm |
kuryād anantaram bhastrām kundalīm āśu bodhayet ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

```
vajrāsanasthito yogī cālayitvā tu kuṇḍalīm |
sūryād anantaram bhastrā kundalīm āśu bodhayet ||
```

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 111r

```
vajrāsanasthito yogī cālayitvā tu kuṃḍalīṃ |
sūryād anantaram bhastrī kumdalīm āśu bodhayet ||
```

Commentary: *Bhastrī* or *bhastrikā kumbhaka* is taught at 2.60–68. The reference to *vajrāsana* may be pointing to the practice of *uddiyāna*, which was described earlier in the chapter and is supposed to awaken *kuṇḍalinī*. The contraction of the sun mentioned in the next verse supports this.

3.98

Translation: [The yogi] should contract the sun and then make kuṇḍalinī move. Even if he is in the jaws of death, why would he fear death?

Testimonia:

Yogabīja 83 (South Indian recension)

```
bhānor ākuñcanaṃ kuryāt kuṇḍalīṃ cālayet tataḥ | mṛtyuvartmagatasyāpi tasya mṛtyubhayaṃ kutaḥ || mṛtyuvartma° ] mṛtyuvaktra°, mṛtyuvajra°, mṛtyupadma° v.l.
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

bhānor ākuñcanam kuryāt kuṇḍalīm cālayet tataḥ |

mṛtyuvaktragatasyāpi tasya mṛtyubhayam kutaḥ ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā (of Sundaradeva) f. 111r

bhānor ākuñcanaṃ kuryāt kuṃḍalīṃ cālayet svataḥ | mṛtyuvakragatasyāpi tasya mṛtyu[bha]yaṃ kutaḥ || asyārthaḥ sūryanāḍyākarṣaṇena vahneḥ prācuryaṃ tasmāj jvalanatejasā apānavāyvākarṣaṇena vā kuṇḍalyābodho bhavati [|] tasya śakticālanakrtābhyāsasya mṛtyubhayam kuta iti [||]

Commentary: In *Jyotsnā* 3.116, Brahmānanda understands *bhānor ākuñcanaṃ* as a contraction of the navel, whereas Sundaradeva in his *Haṭhasaṃketacandrikā* (see testimonia) interprets it as drawing *prāṇa* through the sun channel (*sūryanāḍyākarṣaṇa*), thereby intensifiying the bodily fire.

3.98*1

Translation: When *prāṇa* has been greatly extended, [the yogi's] breath flows through the path of the right nostril and [his] body is immortal, filled with the nectar of the moon from the uvula for the first time. Sprinkling [with nectar] the network of channels at the aperture at [the centre of the] brow that is subjugated by the mighty fire of time, [the yogi] then makes his body completely new again like [the regeneration of] the trunk of an ancient tree.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Amaraughaśāsana 6.1-2 (sic; a single śārdūlavikrīḍita verse is numbered thus)

nāsāpaścimamārgavāhapavanāt prāṇe 'tidīrghīkṛte candrāmbupratisāraṇāṃ sukṛtinaḥ prāg ghaṇṭikāyāḥ pathaḥ | siñcan kālaviśālavahnivaśagaṃ bhūtvā sa nādīśataṃ tat kāryaṃ kurute punar navatanuṃ jīrṇadrumaskandhavat || pratisāraṇānantaraṃ śaṅkhasāraṇā kathyate nādīśataṃ] nādīgataṃ, nādīgaṇaṃ v.l.

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

nāsādakṣiṇamārgavāhipavano ghrāṇe 'tidīrghīkṛtaḥ candrābhaḥparipūritāmṛtatanuḥ prāg ghaṇṭikāyās tataḥ | bhindan kālaviśālavahnivaśagān bhrūrandhranāḍīgaṇān taṃ kāyaṃ kurute punar navataraṃ jīrṇadrumaskandhavat ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā (f. 111r-111v)

nāsādakṣiṇamārgavāhipavano ghrāṇe tidī[r]ghīkṛtaś

caṃdrāṃ'bhaḥparipūritā'mṛtatanuḥ prāgh ghaṃṭikāyās tataḥ []] bhindan kālaviśālavahnivaśagān bh[r]ū[ran]dhranāḍīgaṇāṃs taṃ kāyaṃ kurute punar navataraṃ jīrṇadrumaskandhavat [||] dakṣiṇe ghrāṇe nāsikāyām atidī[r]ghīkṛtaś ciraṃ kuṃbhakīkṛtaḥ prāk prathamaṃ caṃdrāṃ'bhaḥparipūritā'mṛtatanuḥ sādhakaḥ kartā pūrvam iḍayā dhṛtakuṃbhakenātisukhakarasudhopamena saṃtṛptiṃ samupagataḥ satatas tadanantaraṃ sūryanāḍyā cirāyā kalitaṃ kuṃbhauṣṇyātīkṣṇatarakuṃ tenaivauṣṇasvabhāvād ghaṇṭikāyāṃ jatruṇaḥ pañcamy arthaḥ tatsaṃbadhikān ity arthaḥ [|] evaṃvidhān kālabījān bh[r]ūrandhragataśirāpuñjān bhindan saṃchedayan svaṃ nijaśarīraṃ punar navataraṃ kuruta iti asyārthah |

Commentary: Verse 3.98*1 is absent in the α group. It is very close to a verse in the *Amaraughaśāsana*, which is likely to be its source. Its import of rejuvenating the body by flooding it with nectar is not directly connected with the verses proceeding it.

Metre: Śārdūlavikrīdita

3.98*2

Translation: After making kuṇḍalini move, the yogi should perform $bhastr\bar{\iota}$ in particular. The god of death is afraid of the ascetic who regularly practises in this way.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

kuṇḍalīṃ cālayitvā tu kuryād bhastrāṃ viśeṣataḥ | evamabhyāsato nityam yaminah śaṅkate yamah ||

Hathasanketacandrikā (f. 111v-112r)

kuṃḍalīṃ cālayitvā tu kuryād bhastrīṃ viśeṣataḥ | evamabhyāsato nityam yaminah śamphate manah ||

Commentary: Verses 3.98*2–3 are absent in the α group and have no known source. They elaborate further on the instruction to practise *bhastrī kumbhaka* in 3.97. The practice of other *kumbhaka*s for moving *kuṇḍalinī* is mentioned in 3.98*3.

The reading *abhyāsato* in 3.98*2c, which is attested by manuscripts of the γ group and the testimonia, is possible but seems to be a later corruption of the participle, *abhyasyato*.

3.98*3

Translation: Then [the yogi] should practise $s\bar{u}ryabheda$, $ujj\bar{a}y\bar{\iota}$ and also $s\bar{\iota}tal\bar{\iota}$. Where is the god of death for the ascetic engaged in the practice in this way?

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani f. 79r (attr. to the *Hathayoga*)

tadābhyaset sūryabhedam ujjāyīm cāpi śītalīm | evam abhyāsayuktasya yamas tu yaminaḥ kutaḥ ||

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 112r

tadābhyaset sūryabhedam ujjāyīm vāpi śītalīm | evamabhyāsayuktasya yamas tu yaminaḥ kutaḥ ||

Commentary: On why this verse is in greyscale, see the note to 3.98*2.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: ra-vipulā)

3.99

Translation: As a result of fearlessly moving $[kundalin\bar{\iota}]$ for a total of two $muh\bar{u}rtas$ (one hour 46 minutes), $kundalin\bar{\iota}$, which is in $susumn\bar{a}$, is drawn up slightly.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 22c-23b

muhūrtadvayaparyantam nirbhayaś cālayed imām | ūrdhvam ākṛṣyate kimcit suṣumnā kuṇḍalīgatā || 22c nirbhayaś] YL ; nirbharaś T, nirbhayāc GU 23a ākṛṣyate YL ; ākarṣayet TGU 23b suṣumnā kundalīgatā] TG; suṣumnām kundalīgatām U, susumnā kundalīyutā YL

Cf. Śivasamhitā 4.109

gurūpadeśavidhinā tasya mṛtyubhayaṃ kutaḥ | muhūrtadvayaparyantaṃ vidhinā śakticālanam ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.121

muhūrtadvayaparyantam nirbhītaś cālayed asau | ūrdhvam ākṛṣyate kim cit suṣumṇām kuṇḍalīgatām | ṣaṇmāsāc cālanenaiva śaktis tasyordhvagā bhavet || kuṇḍalīgatām] kuṇḍalī gatā v.l.

Yogalakṣaṇāvalī (f. 31r) (attr. to the Gorakṣaśata)

muhūrtadvayaparyantaṃ nirbhayaś cālayed imām | ūrdhvam ākṛṣyate kiṃcit suṣumnā kuṇḍalīyutā ||

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)
muhūrtadvayaparyantaṃ nirbharaṃ cālanād dhi vai |
Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā (f. 112r)
muhūrtadvayaparyantaṃ nirbharaṃ cālanād asau |
ūrdhvam ākṛṣyate kiṃcit suṣumnākuṇḍalīgatam ||
ku[n]ḍalyās tadānīṃ gatam upari yātaṃ kiṃ cit svalpamātra abhyāsasadṛśam
ity arthah [|] akrsyate uccaih karoti [|]
```

Commentary:

3.100

Translation: Extracted from *suṣumnā* by this [practice], *kuṇḍalinī* leaves it. As a result of this, *prāṇa* automatically enters *suṣumṇā*.

Sources:

Gorakșaśataka 23c-24b

tena kuṇḍalinī tasyāḥ suṣumnāyā mukhaṃ dhruvam | jahāti tasmāt prāno'yaṃ suṣumnāṃ vrajati svataḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hathatattvakaumudī 44.25

tadā kuṇḍalinī tasyāḥ suṣumṇāyā mukhaṃ dhruvam | jahāti tasmāt prāno 'yam susumnām vrajati svatah ||

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 112r

tena proktaśakticālanena vidhinā kuṇḍalinī tasyāḥ suṣumṇāyāḥ samud-dhṛtā jahāti tasmāt prāṇo [']yaṃ suṣumṇāṃ vrajati svataḥ [|] suṣumṇāyā antaḥ kiṃ cit tatka[r]tṛkordhvākarṣaṇena samyag ūrdhvavihitā yadā kuṇḍalī bhūry antaḥ praviṣṭety arthaḥ [|] tadāyaṃ va[hny]āpānamanob-hiḥ sārdhaṃ vijitaḥ kuṇḍalīpadaṃ prāptaḥ prāṇavāyuḥ svataḥ svas-māt pārthivarājasavikāraśoṣam iti śeṣaḥ [|] jahāti kuṇḍalībodhe suṣumṇāṃ-taḥ pātaprabhāvād vigatāśeṣabāhyavāhaprasaṃ[ga] iti bhāvaḥ || tas-mād dhetoḥ suṣumṇaṃ gacchatīti kevalakuṃbhako bhavatīty arthaḥ

Commentary: We have supplied 'mouth' (*mukha*) in our translation on the basis of the reading found in the source text (the *Gorakṣaśataka*).

Metre: Anustubh (c: ma-vipulā)

3.101

Translation: Therefore [the yogi] should regularly make *arundhatī* move, she who contains speech. By making her move the yogi is freed from diseases.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 26cd-27ab

tasmāt saṃcālayen nityaṃ śabdagarbhāṃ sarasvatīm | yasyāḥ saṃcālanenaiva yogī rogaiḥ pramucyate ||

Testimonia:

Cf. Hatharatnāvalī 2.122

sūryeṇa pūrayed vāyuṃ sarasvatyās tu cālayet | śabdagarbhācālanena yogī rogaiḥ pramucyate ||

Yogalaksanāvalī f. 31r (attr. to the Goraksaśata)

tasmāt saṃcālayen nityaṃ śabdagarbhāṃ sarasvatī | asyāḥ saṃcālanenaivaṃ rogā naśyaṃti niścitaṃ ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 112r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

tasmāt saṃcālayen nityaṃ śabdagarbhām arundhatīm || yasyāḥ saṃcālanenāśu yogī rogaiḥ pramucyate ||

Commentary: In the Gorakṣaśataka (26cd–27ab), the source text, this verse occurs in a passage on sarasvatīcālana, which is the practice of moving the tongue (i.e. sarasvatī) by wrapping a cloth around it and tugging it in order to raise kuṇḍalinī. In the Gorakṣaśataka, sarasvatī is also called arundhatī and, since the tongue is instrumental for speech and sarasvatī is the name of a Goddess identified with speech (vāc), the Gorakṣaśataka's reading of śabdagarbhāṃ sarasvatīm makes good sense. However, it seems that Svātmārāma has changed 3.101b to read śabdagarbhām arundhatīm and has understood arundhatī as kuṇḍalinī. This is affirmed in longer versions of the Haṭhapradīpikā (3.102??) that contain a verse on synonyms of kuṇḍalinī, which includes arundhatī. We are yet to find arundhatī equated with kuṇḍalinī in a text composed before the Haṭhapradīpikā but this identification is found in subsequent compendiums and commentaries (e.g. Yogacintāmaṇi f. 78v, Yuktabhavadeva 7.300, Jyotsnā 104, 119, Yogaprakāśikā 5.166).

3.102

Translation: The yogi who has made kuṇḍalinī move is worthy of success. There is no point in speaking at length about this. He easily conquers death.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.123

```
yena saṃcālitā śaktiḥ sa yogī siddhibhājanaḥ | kim atra bahunoktena mṛtyuṃ jayati līlayā || 2.123 || Yogalakṣaṇāvalī (f. 31r) (attrib. Gorakṣaśata) yena saṃcālitā śaktiḥ śabdagarbhā tv aruṃdhatī | kim atra bahunoktena tasya kālabhayaṃ na hi || Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 112r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā) yena saṃcālitā śaktiḥ sa yogī siddhibhājanaṃ | kim atra bahunoktena kālaṃ jayati līlayā ||
```

3.102*1

Translation: For [the yogi] devoted to celibacy and always eating a healthy and measured diet, success appears after forty days (*maṇḍalāt*) as a result of engaging in the practice of *kundalinī*.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79r (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)
```

brahmacaryaratasyaiva nityam hitamitāśinaḥ | maṇḍalād dṛśyate siddhiḥ kuṇḍalyabhyāsayoginaḥ ||

Yogalakṣaṇāvalī (f. 31r) (attrib. to Gorakṣaśataka)

brahmacaryavratasyaiva kuṃḍalyabhyāsayoginaḥ || mandalād drśyate siddhir iti yogavido viduh ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 112r–112v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

brahmacaryajatasyaiva nityam hitamitām śanaiḥ | maṇḍalād dṛśyate siddhiḥ kuṇḍalyabhyāsayoginaḥ ||

Commentary: Verse 3.102*1 has been omitted by α_1 and α_3 . It is in α_2 at the end of a block of verses (3.94*2–5, 94*7) that is excluded by α_3 . This block appears after 3.97 and appears to have been inserted from elsewhere. 3.102*1 has no known source and appears to have been added as a general laudatory statement on the benefits of practising with $kundalin\bar{l}$.

The meaning of *mandalād* in 3.102*1c is not clear. Brahmānanda understands it as a period of time (i.e., forty days) but we are yet to find this attested elsewhere.

3.103

Translation: The yogi should mix with ash the fluid of the moon emitted as a result of the practice. Wearing that [mixture] on the head bestows divine sight.

Testimonia:

```
Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 31r (attr. to Gorakṣaśataka)
abhyāsaniḥṣṛtāṃ cāndrīṃ vibhūtyā saha miśrayet |
taddhāraṇaṃ cottamāṃge divyadṛṣṭipradāyakaṃ ||
Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 112v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
abhyāsaniḥṣṛtāṃ cāndrīṃ vibhūtyā saha miśrayet [|]
taddhāraṇaṃ tattamāṃge divyadṛṣṭipradāyakaṃ [||] 19
cāndrīṃ lalāṭacandrān niḥṣṛtāṃ abhyāse śramajātāṃ gharmadhārāṃ
tāṃ vibhūtyā vimiśrayet | tām uttamāṃge śirasi dhārayed asau sād-
hakasya divyadṛṣṭipradā bhravatīty arthaḥ [|]
```

Hathayogasamhitā p. 41 (on amarolī)

abhyāsān niḥsṛtāṃ cāndrīṃ vibhūtyā saha miśrayet | dhārayed uttamāṅgeṣu divyadṛṣṭiḥ prajāyate ||

Commentary: In the important manuscripts of the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$, including those of α , this verse occurs in the section on $\acute{s}aktic\bar{a}lana$. This is also the case in the $Yogalak \dot{\imath}an\bar{a}val\bar{\imath}$, $Hathasanketacandrik\bar{a}$ and the longer recensions of the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ with six and ten chapters. However, in the context of $\acute{s}aktic\bar{a}lana$, the referent of $c\bar{a}ndr\bar{\imath}$ is unclear. It appears to be understood as some sort of lunar fluid. Sundaradeva (see the $Hathasanketacandrik\bar{a}$ in the testimonia) defines it as a flow of perspiration ($gharmadh\bar{a}r\bar{a}$) that arises from exertion in the practice and is emitted from moon in forehead ($lal\bar{a}tacandra$). In $Yogaprak\bar{a}\acute{s}ik\bar{a}$, Bālakṛṣṇa glosses it simply as nectar ($sudh\bar{a}$) (5.182). In the $Hathayogasamhit\bar{a}$ (p. 41) and $Jyotsn\bar{a}$ (3.98), this verse is in the section on $amarol\bar{i}$, which provides a clear referent of $c\bar{a}ndr\bar{i}$ as the cool middle flow of urine (see 3.95).

Metre: Anustubh (c: ra-vipulā)

3.103*1

Translation: For purifying the seventy-two thousand channels, there is no method of cleansing without the practice of $kundalin\bar{l}$.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 79v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)
dvisaptatisahasrāṇāṃ nāḍīnāṃ malaśodhanam |
kutaḥ prakṣālanopāyaḥ kuṇḍalyabhyāsato vinā ||
Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 31r (attrib. to Gorakṣaśataka)
dvisaptatisahasrāṇāṃ nāḍīnām api śodhanaṃ |
asatkalpam smrtam siddhaih kumdalobhyasānād rte ||
```

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 112v (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

```
dvisaptatisahastrāṇāṃ nāḍīnāṃ malaśodhanaṃ | kutah praksālanopāyah kumdalyābhyāsanād rte ||
```

Commentary: This verse is omitted by the α group, and was probably added to the original text as a further laudatory statement on the practice of *kunḍalinī*.

3.104

Translation: Thus have the ten *mudrā*s been taught by Śiva Ādinātha. Each one among them can bestow liberation for ascetics.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.35

iti mudrā daśa proktā ādināthena śambhunā | ekaikā tāsu mukhyā syān mahāsiddhipradāyinī ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 79v

iti mudrā nava proktā ādināthena śambhunā | ekaikā tāsu yaminām mahāsiddhipradāyinī ||

Commentary: Manuscripts of the β , η , and ϵ groups have a different reading for the second line; 'each mudrā is capable of being a cause of all powers' ($k\bar{a}ranam$ sarvasiddhīnām ekaikāpi kṣamaiva sā).

3.105

Translation: Without a king the earth is not resplendent ($r\bar{a}jate$), without the moon the night does not sparkle ($r\bar{a}jate$), without Rājayoga even the wonderful [practice of] $mudr\bar{a}$ does not shine($r\bar{a}jate$).

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.16

```
rājayogam vinā pṛthvī rājayogam vinā niśā | rājayogam vinā mudrā vicitrāpi na rājate ||
```

Commentary: The *Yogaprakāśikā* (5.186) interprets the similes in this verse as we have translated them, 'Just as without a king [and] moon, the earth and night do not shine...' (*yathā mahīpālaṃ candramasaṃ vinā pṛthvīniśe na rājete...*). However, in $\sqrt[3]{yotsnā}$ 3.126, Brahmānanda interprets the earth (*pṛthvī*) as $\sqrt[3]{asana}$ because

both are connected by the quality of steadiness ($sthairyaguṇayog\bar{a}t$), and the night ($nis\bar{a}$) as breath retention (kumbhaka) because both are characterised by the absence of movement of people and wind ($pr\bar{a}ṇasa\bar{n}c\bar{a}r\bar{a}bh\bar{a}valakṣaṇah$). Brahmānanda's interpretation seems somewhat far-fetched.

Metre: Anustubh (c: na-vipulā)

3.106

Translation: [The yogi] should carry out all breath practice with his mind engaged. The wise man must not let his attention wander.

Testimonia:

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 92v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
mārutābhyasanaṃ kiṃ cin manoyuktaṃ samācaret |
itaratra na kartavyā manovrttir manīsinā ||

3.107

Translation: By means of postures, breath retentions and *mudrā*s, the central channel, even though untraversed/blocked, becomes straight through yogis' firm practice.

Testimonia:

Upāsanāsārasangraha p. 36

iyam tu madhyamā nāḍī dṛḍhābhyāsena yoginām | āsanaprānasamyāmamudrābhih saralā bhavet ||

Commentary: The reading of $khil\bar{a}pi$ in the first verse quarter is unusual but well attested by the witnesses including the α group of manuscripts. In the $Ab-hidh\bar{a}nacint\bar{a}mani$ (940), khila is defined as something uncultivated such as field ($k\bar{s}etr\bar{a}dyaprahatam$ khilam) or, as the Amarapadavivrti (2.1.5) puts it, 'not marked by a plough' ($l\bar{a}ngalena$ na likhitam iti khilam). In the context of $Hathaprad\bar{\iota}pik\bar{a}$ 3.107, qualifying $susumn\bar{a}$ with khila implies that the central channel has yet to be cultivated by a yoga practice.

3.108

Translation: For those who are tireless in their dedication, Rājayoga has a *mudrā*. That is the supreme *rudrāṇi mudrā*, which bestows beneficial success.

Commentary: Rudrāṇī may be the mudrā usually called śāmbhavī.

3.108*1

Translation: May [the yogi] who offers the traditional teaching of the *mudrās* be the guru, the master. He is none but the Lord himself.

Testimonia:

Upāsanāsārasangraha p. 40

upadeśam hi mudrāṇām yo datte sāmpradāyikam | sa eva śrīguruḥ svāmī sākṣād īśvara eva saḥ ||

Commentary: Verses 3.108*1-2 have no known source and are absent in the α group. It is likely both were added to the original text as further praise of those practising the hathayogic *mudrās*.

3.108*2

Translation: The yogi who has become intent on that [guru's] teaching and practises with a focused mind obtains mastery of the powers beginning with minimisation and the cheating of death.

Commentary: No version of this verse is entirely satisfactory. See the note on 3.108*1 for why it is in greyscale.