Translation & philological commentary

1.1

Transl.: Homage to the glorious Ādinātha by whom the system of Haṭhayoga was taught. It shines forth like a ladder for one desirous of climbing to the lofty terrace of the royal palace.

Testimonia:

Cf. Yogasārasamgraha, p. 54.

sadādināthāya namo'stu tubhyaṃ yenopadiṣṭā haṭhayogavidyā | virājate pronnatarājayogam āroḍhum icchoradhirohinīva ||

Gherandasamhitā 1.1

ādīśvarāya praṇamāmi tasmai yenopadisṭā haṭhayogavidyā | virājate pronnatarājayogam āroḍhum icchor adhirohinīva ||

Comm.: The reading $r\bar{a}jasaudha$ is preferable for poetical reasons, as explained in Hanneder 2020, p. 128–130. Also, the $Jyotsn\bar{a}$ explains that the $alamk\bar{a}ra$ here is a comparison ($up-am\bar{a}$), which consists of four elements: (1) a particle expressing the comparison (iva), (2) the object compared (upameya), i.e., the $hathayogavidy\bar{a}$ leading to Rājayoga ($r\bar{a}jayo-gapr\bar{a}pik\bar{a}$), (3) the property compared, that is, effortlessness ($an\bar{a}y\bar{a}sena$) and, most importantly, (4) an image to compare with, which in this case is $r\bar{a}jasaudha$. This idea is confirmed by Brahmānanda, when he sums up and completes the poetical details as: $yath\bar{a}$ pronnatasaudham $\bar{a}rodhum$ icchor adhirohiny $an\bar{a}y\bar{a}sena$ $saudhapr\bar{a}pik\bar{a}$ bhavati evam $hathad\bar{a}pik\bar{a}pi$ evam pronnatarajayogam evam e

The Sanskrit poeticians explain that when some elements of a comparison remains unexpressed we get an incomplete (*lupta*) comparison. Often words like *iva* or *yathā* are missing or the common property, but if we read *rājayoga* we lose the *upamāna* (i.e., *rājasaudha*). One part of the comparison should not be missing. But from the perspective of *alaṃkāraśāstra* the verse has a problem that has surely caused the dilemma: it either leaves the *upamēya* or the *upamāna* incomplete. Brahmānanda has mentioned both *yathā adhirohinī saudhaprāpikā bhavati evam hathadīpikā rājayogaprāpikā bhavati*, but had to supply

 $r\bar{a}jasaudha$, while his text reads $r\bar{a}jayoga$. But to have the upameya in the text is odd. Even Brahmānanda could only know of the image ($r\bar{a}jasaudha$) from the alternative reading he did not accept. However, it seems likely that the author would have included the $upam\bar{a}na$ in the text, as in our critical text, so that the reader would know that the upper terrace of the palace is an image for $r\bar{a}jayoga$. It is further likely that the substitution of $r\bar{a}jayoga$ for $r\bar{a}jasaudha$ was the result of the tendency to insert the word yoga in the opening verses of the text wherever possible, even where it does not fit, as can be seen in 1.2d (hathayogopadisyate) and 1.3b ($r\bar{a}jayogam\ aj\bar{a}nat\bar{a}m$). In the latter case the poetical image has also been lost.

The metre of 1.1 is Indravajrā

1.2

Transl.: Having bowed to the glorious guru, the Lord, the yogi Svātmārāma has taught the system of Haṭhayoga solely for [attaining] Rājayoga.

Comm.: The reading *yogopadiśyate* (J7,J10,N17,W4, etc.) is only possible if one accepts that double *sandhi* is a feature of the style of composition, which it is not. Moreover, this reading appears to have resulted from an attempt to replace the word $vidy\bar{a}$ with yoga in the opening verses of the text.

1.3

Transl.: The compassionate Svātmārāma holds the Lamp on Haṭha for those who are ignorant of the royal path because of wandering in the darkness of many opinions.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.4

bhrāntyā bahumatadhvānte rājayogam ajānatām | kevalaṃ rājayogāya haṭhavidyopadiśyate ||

Comm.: Most witnesses have *rājayogam ajānatām* ('for those ignorant of Rājayoga') in 1.3b. While this reading is well attested by the manuscripts transmission of the *Haṭhapradīpikā* and some manuscripts of the *Haṭharatnāvalī* (note that P,T,t1 of the critical edition [Gharote 2009: 5 n. 2] have *rājamārgam ajānataḥ*), the reading of a royal path (*rājamārgam*) is consistent with the metaphor of people wandering from a path in the darkness and, therefore, most probably authorial.

In 1.1d both *kṛpākaraḥ* and *kṣamākaraḥ* are attested. The former is preserved by the V1 and group 2 whereas the latter by some manuscripts of the delta group. Since the context is the author helping yogis who have strayed from the royal path, *kṛpākara* makes better sense. As Brahmānanda notes, this compound can be understood as one who is compassionate

 $(krp\bar{a} + kara)$ or one who is a mine (i.e., a rich source) of compassion $(krp\bar{a} + \bar{a}kara)$. In the Devanagari transmission, the ksa of $ksam\bar{a}karah$ may have arisen as a mistake for kr.

1.4

Transl.: In fact, Matsyendra, Gorakṣa and other [perfected yogis] knew the system of Haṭha, and the yogi Svātmārāma knows it owing to their favour.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvali 1.3 (Post-15th Century)

haṭhavidyāṃ hi gorakṣamatsyendrādyā vijānate | ātmārāmo 'pi jānīte śrīnivāsas tathā svayam ||

Comm.: The word *athavā* ('or') is difficult to construe here. Brahmānanda understands it as conjunction (*athavāśabdaḥ samuccaye*), and this is how we have interpreted it. The variant *mahāyogī* in group 4c (G5, J4, J11, Ko, P15) avoids this difficulty, but is probably an attempt to remove the difficulty of understanding *athavā*. One could emend to *tathā* but this would be a bold intervention given the weight of evidence supporting '*thavā*.

1.5

Transl.: The glorious Ādinātha, Matysendra, Śābara, Ānandabhairava, Cauraṅgī, Mīna, Gorakṣa, Virūpākṣa, Bileśaya,

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.80

śrīādināthamatsyendraśābarānandabhairavāḥ | śāraṅgīmīnagorakṣavirūpākṣabileśayāḥ ||

Comm.: In Śaiva texts which predate the haṭha corpus, Mīnanātha and Matsyendra are one and the same, but they are differentiated in later Tibetan and Indian lists of siddhas (Mallinson 2019:273 n.35).

1.6

Transl.: Manthānabhairava, Siddhabuddha, and Kanthaḍi, Koraṇṭaka, Surānanda, Siddhapāda, Carpaṭi.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.81

manthānabhairavo yogī siddhabuddhaś ca kandalī | korandakaḥ surānandaḥ siddhipādaś ca carpatī ||

Caturbhuja Misra's Mugdhāvabodhinī (1.7.8) on the Rasahrdayatantra

manthānabhairavo yogī siddhabuddhaś ca kanthaḍī | korantakah surānandah siddhapādaś ca carpatī ||

Comm.: Many manuscripts preserve the reading *pauraṇṭaka*. We have accepted *koraṇṭaka* because this name is associated with the *Haṭhābhyāsapaddhati*. This reading is well attested by various manuscripts of the *Haṭhapradīpikā*, including those of group 2, as well as those of the *Haṭharatnāvalī* (Gharote 2009: 35 n. 3), which also attest *gonandaka*. Also, *Goraṇṭakuḍu* is the name of a disciple of Gorakṣanātha in the *Navanāthacaritramu* (Jones 2017:194 n.3). The compound *siddhapāda* could be a respectful affix. However, it seems unlikely here because it would cross the *pāda* break.

1.7

Transl.: Kānerī, Pūjyapāda, Nityanātha, Nirañjana, Kapālī, Bindunātha, and the one named Kākacandīśvara.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.82

karoṭiḥ pūjyapādaś ca nityanātho nirañjanaḥ | kapālī bindunāthaś ca kākacandīśvarāhvayah ||

Caturbhuja Misra's Mugdhāvabodhinī on the Rasahrdayatantra

kaṇerī pūjyapādaśca nityanātho nirañjanaḥ | kapālī bindunāthaśca kākacaṇḍīśvaro gajaḥ |

Comm.: It is possible that *pūjyapāda* could be a respectful affix to the name Kāṇerī. The variant *dhvaninātha* may have resulted from a transposition of the first two syllables of *nityanātha*.

1.8

Transl.: Allamaprabhudeva, Ghoḍācolī, Ṭiṇṭiṇī, Bhālukī and Nāgabodha and Khaṇḍakāpālika.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.83

allamaḥ prabhudevaś ca naiṭacūṭiś ca ṭiṇṭiṇiḥ | bhālukir nāgabodhaś ca khaṇḍakāpālikas tathā ||

Caturbhuja Misra's Mugdhāvabodhinī on the Rasahṛdayatantra

āllamaḥ prabhudevaś ca ghoḍācolī ca ṭhiṇṭhinī | bhālukir nāgadevaś ca khaṇḍī kāpālikas tathā ||

Comm.: The name Allamaprabhudeva (sometimes Allama Prabhu Deva or Allama Prabhudeva in secondary literaure) is largely transmitted as allamaḥ prabhudevaḥ, as though it were two names, although some manuscripts of the *Haṭhapradīpikā* have *allamaprabhudevaś ca* (i.e., Tue,V3,V8,V13,V16,V22,Vu,N24,N26) and so do some of the *Haṭharatnā-valī* (i.e., P,T,t1 in Gharote 2009: 35 n. 8).

The names Nāgabodha, Nāgabodhi, Naradeva, Nāgadeva all seem possible in 1.8c.

Many witnesses have khaṇḍa and kāpālika as separate names. However, khaṇḍakāpālika is well attested. Examples include *Kathāsaritsāgara* 121.5 ff. (check), *Bṛhatkathāmañjarī* 10.45 (check) and Vajrapāṇi's *Laghutantraṭīkā*, p.45 (*vīrāḥ khaṇḍakāpālikādayaś caturviṃśatiḥ*). It may be a derogatory name for a Kāpālika, coined perhaps by an outsider and connoting something like a defective Kāpālika in the sense of a 'part-time' Kāpālika. Alternatively, it could simply refer to one who used a broken skull as a bowl.

1.9

Transl.: Having destroyed the rod of death through the power of Hathayoga, these great perfected yogis and others wander in the world.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.84

```
ityādayo mahāsiddhāḥ haṭhayogaprasādataḥ |
khaṇḍayitvā kāladaṇḍaṃ brahmāṇḍe vicaranti te ||
```

Caturbhuja Misra's Mugdhāvabodhinī on the Rasahrdayatantra

```
ityādayo mahāsiddhā rasabhogaprasādataḥ |
khaṇḍayitvā kāladaṇḍaṃ trilokyāṃ vicaranti te |
```

Hathatattvakaumudī 17.24

```
ūrdhvaṃretaḥprabhāvena sanakādyā maharṣayaḥ | khaṇḍayitvā kāladaṇḍaṃ yathecchaṃ viharanti te || 24 ||
```

Comm.: The reference to *brahmāṇḍa* ('the world') implies liberation-in-life (*jīvanmukti*) and physical immortality.

1.10

Transl.: Hatha is a hut of refuge for those who are burnt by the scorching torment of transmigration. Hatha is the tortoise that supports the worlds of all yogas.

Testimonia:

```
Yogasārasamgraha (YSS), p.53.
```

samsāratāpataptānām samāśrayahatho hathah

aśesayogajagatām ādhārakamatho hathah ||

Comm.: The compound <code>saṃsāratāpa</code>° is well attested and found elsewhere (e.g., <code>Viṣṇupurāṇa</code> 6.7.62, <code>Agnipurāṇa</code> 371.1, <code>Haṭhatattvakaumudī</code> 38.92, emphHaṭhābhyāsapaddhati ms. 46/440, f. 1v). The reading of <code>samāśrayo</code> in V1 is metrically faulty. The word <code>°jagatāṃ</code> is probably authorial because it makes good sense with <code>ādhārakamaṭha</code> in light of the cosmological notion that the tortoise supports all the worlds. However, this reading may not have been understood by some and was changed in the vulgate and other witnesses to <code>°yuktānāṃ</code> instead.

1.11

Transl.: The doctrine of Haṭha should be kept completely secret by those yogis who are desiring success. When it is secret it becomes potent. However, when it has been revealed, it becomes impotent.

Sources:

Śivasaṃhitā

haṭhavidyā paraṃ gopyā yoginā siddhim icchatā | bhaved vīryavatī guptā nirvīryā ca prakāśitā || 5.254 (ab missing in some ŚS witnesses)

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

tathā haṭhapradīpikāyām haṭhavidyā paraṃ gopyā yoginā siddhim icchatā | bhaved vīryavatī guptā nirvīryā tu prakāśiteti ||

BKhP 10v4

Comm.: Either the singular or plural of yogin could be read here. The singular is well attested among the testimonia. However, the weight of the manuscript evidence favours the plural.

1.12

Transl.: In a well-ruled, righteous region, with plenty of food and free of disturbances, the Hathayogi should live in an isolated hut.

Sources:

References to mathikā here.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.66

```
surāṣṭre dhārmike deśe subhikṣe nirupadrave |
ekāntamaṭhikāmadhye sthātavyaṃ haṭhayoginā ||
```

Yogacintāmani

haṭhapradīpikāyām surājye dhārmike deśe subhikṣe nirupadrave | ekānte mathikāmadhye sthātavyaṃ hathayoginā ||

BKhP 107v1

Comm.: In the *Jyotsnā* and printed editions of the *Haṭhapradīpikā*, including one by Digambara and Kokaje (1970: 6), this verse has the additional hemistich, *dhanuḥpramāṇaparyantaṃ śilāgnijalavarjite*. This hemistich derives from the *Gorakṣaśataka* (32cd), which has *°paryante* instead of *°paryantaṃ*. It stipulates that the hut should be built in a place measuring up to a bow length and free from rocks, fire and water. None of the early manuscripts have this hemistich which suggests that it was added at a later time. Nonetheless, it appears in over a dozen manuscripts that were consulted for this edition. These manuscripts are not close to an early hyparchetype of the text.

1.13

Transl.: It has a small door and is without cracks, holes and potsherds. It extends not too high or low, and is thickly smeared with cow dung in the proper way. It is clean, free from everything that annoys, pleasing on the outside with a verandah, altar and well, surrounded by a wall: these are the characteristics of the yoga hut as taught by the adept practitioners of hatha.

Sources:

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra

```
suśobhanam maṭham kuryāt sūkṣmadvāram tu nirvraṇam || 54 || suṣṭhu liptam gomayena sudhayā vā prayatnataḥ | matkuṇair maśakair bhūtair varjitam ca prayatnataḥ || 55 || dine dine susammṛṣṭam sammārjanyā hy atandritaḥ | vāsitam ca sugandhena dhūpitam guggulādibhiḥ || 56 || malamūtrādibhir vargair aṣṭādaśabhir eva ca | varjitam dvārasampannam vastrāvaranam eva vā || 57 ||
```

Testimonia:

Suśruta 6.17.67:

grhe nirābādhe

Yogacintāmaņi

alpadvāram arandhragartaghaṭitaṃ nāpy uccanīcāyitam |

samyaggomayasāndraliptavimalaṃ niḥśeṣajantūjjhitam | bāhye maṇḍapakūpavediracitaṃ prākārasaṃveṣṭitam | proktaṃ yogamaṭhasya lakṣaṇam idaṃ siddhair haṭhābhyāsibhiḥ ||

Hatharatnāvalī 1.67

alpadvāram arandhragartapiṭharaṃ nātyuccanīcāyataṃ samyaggomayasāndraliptavimalaṃ niḥśeṣabādhojjhitaṃ | bāhye maṇḍapavedikūparuciraṃ prākārasaṃveṣṭitam proktaṃ yogamaṭhasya lakṣaṇam idaṃ siddhair haṭhābhyāsibhiḥ ||

BKhP 107v3

Comm.: The syntax of this verse is rather problematic. One would expect the features of the hut, which are listed in the first three pādas of the verse, to be in the nominative case, with the words *idam lakṣaṇaṃ* in the fourth pāda referring back to them. However, the compounds in the first three pādas appear to qualify *lakṣaṇa* as though they were adjectives, and this appears to have been the way the verse was composed.

The manuscripts preserve many different readings at the end of the compound beginning with <code>arandhragarta*</code>. The reading <code>pitharam</code> has been suggested by Dominic Goodall, who understands it as potsherds (ask ref from Dominic?). The sense is that the hut should be free of rubbish, such as potsherds. One would expect a word for a defect in a hut that is similar to, but not the same as, <code>cracks</code> (<code>randhra</code>) and holes (<code>garta</code>). For this reason, the reading <code>vivaram</code> looks like a patch, as its meaning does not add anything to <code>randhragarta*</code>. The reading <code>vitapam</code> is well attested among the witnesses of the <code>Haṭhapradīpikā</code> and <code>Haṭharatnāvalī</code>. However, it is difficult to construe in this context unless it was intended to refer to creepers or branches that might invade or encroach upon the hut.

Nearly all the early mss. have °bādhojjitaṃ, whereas the Yogacintāmaṇi and V19 have the more easily understood reading of °jantūjjhitaṃ ('free from creatures'). However, °bādhojjitaṃ may have been original because it is so well attested and a hut is described similarly in Suśrutasaṃhitā 6.17.67 (grhe nirābādhe).

1.14

Transl.: Locating oneself in a hut of such a kind, free from all worry, [the yogi] should practise only yoga, in the way taught by his guru.

Sources:

Cf. Amanaska 2.15

evaṃvidhaṃ guruṃ labdhvā sarvacintāvivarjitaḥ sthitvā manohare deśe yogam eva samabhyaset

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

evaṃvidhe maṭhe sthitvā sarvacintāvivarjitaḥ | gurūpadiṣṭamārgeṇa yogam eva sadābhyased iti ||

Hatharatnāvalī 1.68

evaṃvidhe maṭhe sthitvā sarvacintāvivarjitaḥ | gurūpadistamārgena yogam eva sadābhyaset ||

1.15

Transl.: Overeating, exertion, idle chatter, not sticking to rules, socialising, sensuality: through [these] six, yoga is lost.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

atyāhāraḥ prayāsaś ca prajalpo niyamagrahaḥ | janasangaś ca laulyaṃ ca ṣaḍbhir yogaḥ praṇaśyati ||

Hatharatnāvalī 1.77

atyāhāraḥ prayāsaś ca prajalpo niyamagrahaḥ | janasaṅgaṃ ca laulyaṃ ca ṣaḍbhir yogo vinaśyati ||

Yuktabhavadeva 4.25 (attributed to the śivayoga)

atyāhāraḥ prayāsaśca prajalpo niyamāgrahaḥ | janasaṃgaś ca laulyaṃ ca ṣaḍbhir yogo vinaśyati ||

Ivotsnā

šītodakena prātaḥsnānanaktabhojanaphalāhārādirūpaniya
masya grahaṇaṃ niyamagrahaḥ \mid

Yogaprakāśikā

niyamāgrahaḥ vakṣyamāṇaniyamāparipālanaṃ

Comm.: It is impossible to be certain about the meaning of *niyamagraha*, as the manuscripts do not indicate whether an *avagraha* (i.e., *prajalpo 'niyamagraha*) was intended. Although *yama* and *niyama* are not included in the *Hathapradīpikā* as auxiliaries of Haṭhayoga, verse 2.14 implies that *niyama* is necessary at least in the early stages of establishing a practice. Furthermore, verse 3.82 suggests that a yogi who does not practice *niyama* might obtain success in yoga through the practice of *vajroli*. Ambiguity over the role of *yama* and *niyama* in Haṭhayoga appears to have prompted some to insert verses on ten *yamas* and ten *niyamas* after the next verse (1.16). The additional verses may derive from the Śāradātilakatantra (25.7–8) or the *Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā* (1.38, 1.53). In the *Jyotsnā*, Brahmānanda reads *niyamāgraha* and takes it as though āgraha was implied, which yields the meaning of 'over-insistence

on rules', and he relates it to extreme ascetic practice.

1.16

Transl.: Yoga is successful as a result of six [qualities]: zeal, daring, resolve, gnosis of the truth, conviction, and avoiding contact with people.

Sources:

```
Dharmaputrikā 137cd-38ab
```

utsāho niścayo dhairyaṃ santoṣas tattvadarśanam | kratūnām copasamhārah satsādhanam iti smrtam |

Śivadharmottara 10 (W 122r):

utsāhān niścayād dhairyāt santoṣāt tattvadarśanāt | muner janapadatyāgād ṣaḍbhir yogaḥ prasiddhyati |

Jñānārnava 20.1

utsāhān niścayād dhairyāt saṃtoṣāt tattvaniścayāt | muner janapadatyāgāt sadbhir yogah prasidhyati || 1 ||

Yogabindu by Haribhadra

utsāhān niścayād dhairyāt saṃtopāt tattvadarśanāt | muner janapadatyāgāt sadbhir yogah prasidhyati || 411 ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
utsāhāt sāhasād dhairyāt tatvajñānād viniścayāt | janasaṅgaparityāgāt ṣaḍbhir yogah prasidhyati ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī 1.78:

```
utsāhān niścayād dhairyāt tattvajñānārthadarśanāt [utsāhān niścalād- P,T] bindusthairyān mitāhārāj janasaṅgavivarjanāt | nidrātyāgāj jitaśvāsāt pīṭhasthairyād anālasāt gurvācāryaprasādāc ca ebhir yogas tu sidhyati ||
```

Comm.: For the second pāda, the north-Indian manuscripts have something along the lines of tattvajñānāc ca darśanāt. The problem with this reading is the meaning of darśana by itself (i.e., discernment of what?). The early sources of this verse, in particular the Śivadharmottara, indicate that the second pāda read as santoṣāt tattvadarśanāt, which makes much better sense of the word darśana (i.e., 'seeing the truth'). It seems that this reading may have been adopted by Svātmārāma because the word santoṣāt is present in the south-Indian manuscripts. At some point in the transmission, tattvadarśanāt became tattvajñānāt and santoṣāt was dropped. The word darśanāt was changed to viniścayāt in V19 and the Yogac-

intāmaṇi, which indicates that we are not alone in questioning its meaning. The problem with *viniścayāt* in *pāda* two is that *niścayāt* is widely attested in *pāda* one. It should also be noted that the word *tattva* could have a more specific meaning in the *Haṭhapradīpikā* (4.3) as Svātmārāma states that it is a synonym of *samādhi*. In other yoga texts, it can sometimes refer to the practices of yoga (e.g., *tritattva* in *Amṛtasiddhi* 13.12, 14.2–3).

1.17

Transl.: Because it is the first auxiliary of haṭha, *āsana* is taught first. This type of (*tad*) *āsana* brings about steadiness, good health and physical fitness.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

hathasya prathamāṅgatvād āsanaṃ pūrvam ucyate | tat kuryād āsanasthairyam ārogyam cāṅgalāghavam ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.5

haṭhasya prathamāṅgatvād āsanaṃ dārśyate mayā | tat kuryād āsanaṃ sthairyam ārogyaṃ cāṅgapāṭavam ||

Comm.: The reading of aṅgapāṭavam is attested among most of the early manuscripts. Although this compound rarely appears in other yoga texts, a similar term śarīrapāṭava occurs in the Śivasaṃhitā (2.35) as one of the benefits bestowed by digestive fire (vaiśvā-narāgni), which indicates that the word pāṭava was used in relation to the body and the benefits of yoga. The compound aṅgapāṭava seems to imply the optimal functioning of the body. However, the alternative reading, aṅgalāghava ('lightness of the limbs' or 'dexterity') is more common in yoga texts, even in works known to Svātmārāma, such as the Dattātreyayogaśāstra (śarīralaghutā) and the Amanaska ([...] laghutvaṃ ca śarīrasyopajāy-ate). Therefore, it is likely that the less common term aṅgapāṭavam was changed to the more widely used notion of aṅgalāghava, perhaps early on in the transmission, as the latter is attested by group two (i.e., N23, V19, etc.)

1.18

Transl.: I shall now teach some of the postures which have been accepted by both sages (*muni*), such as Vasistha, and yogis, such as Matsyendra.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

haṭhapradīpikāyām vasiṣṭhādyaiś ca munibhir matsyendrādyaiś ca yogibhiḥ | aṅgīkṛtāny āsanāni vaksyante kānicin mayā ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.6

```
vasiṣṭhādyaiś ca munibhir matsyendrādyaiś ca yogibhiḥ || amgīkṛtāny āsanāni laksyante kāni cin mayā ||
```

Comm.: On the historical implications of these two traditions of postural practice in early Hathavoga, see Mallinson 2016 (119–122) and Birch 2018 (45–46).

1.19

Transl.: Correctly placing the soles of both feet between the knees and thighs [and] sitting up with the body straight: they call that *svastikāsana*.

Sources:

Śāradātilaka 25.12

jānūrvor antare samyak kṛtvā pādatale ubhe | rjukāyo viśed yogī svastikam tat pracaksate ||

Vasisthasamhitā 1.68

jānūrvor antaram samyak kṛtvā pādatale ubhe | rjukāyas tathāsīnaḥ svastikam tat pracakṣate ||

Yogayājñavalkya 3.3

jānūrvor antare samyak kṛtvā pādatale ubhe rjukāyaḥ sukhāsīnaḥ svastikaṃ tat pracakṣate

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
Yājñavalkyaḥ—
jānūrvor antare samyak kṛtvā pādatale ubhe |
rjukāyah samāsīnah svastikam tat pracaksate ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī 3.52

```
atha svastikāsanam—
jānūrvor antaraṃ samyak kṛtvā padatale ubhe ||
ṛjukāyasamāsīnaḥ svastikaṃ tat pracakṣate ||
```

Comm.: One might wonder how the soles of the feet could be placed between the knees and thighs. Brahmānanda explains that the region of the shank near the knee should be understood by the word 'knee' in this verse (atra jānuśabdena jānusaṃnihito jaṅghāpradeśo grāhyaḥ jānusaṃnihito jaṅghāpradeśaḥ). This is consistent with the earliest known description of svastikāsana in the Pātañjalayogaśāstravivaraṇa (2.46), which states that the big toes of one foot are tucked in between the shank and thigh of the other so it is not seen (dakṣiṇaṃ pādāṅguṣṭhaṃ savyenorujaṅghena parigrhyādṛśyaṃ kṛtvā tathā savyaṃ pādāṅguṣṭhuṃ dakṣiṇenoru-

jankgenādṛśyaṃ parihṛhya yathā ca pāṛṣṇibhyāṃ vṛṣaṇayor apīḍanaṃ tathā yenāste tat svastikam āsanam). For a discussion of svastikāsana in the Pātañjalayoga tradition, see Maas 2018: 68–69. The descriptions of svastikāsana in early Śaiva Tantras do not mention the inserting of the toes between the knees and thighs (see Goodall 2004: 348–350, fn. 371).

1.20

Transl.: [The yogi] should place his right heel on the left side of the [lower] back, and the left [heel] on the right [side], in the same way. This is *gomukhāsana*, which [looks] like a cow's face.

Sources:

Cf. Ahirbudhnyasamhitā 31.45cd-46

ubhayor gulphayoḥ kṛtvā pṛṣṭhapārśvāv ubhāv api || vyutkrameṇātha pāṇibhyāṃ vinyastābhyāṃ vigṛhya ca | pṛṣṭhagābhyāṃ padāṅguṣṭhāv etad gomukham ucyate ||

Vasisthasamhitā 1.70

savye dakṣiṇagulphaṃ tu pṛṣṭhapārśve niveśayet | dakṣiṇe 'pi tathā savyaṃ gomukhaṃ tat pracakṣate ||

Yogayājñavalkya 3.5cd-3.6ab

savye dakṣiṇagulphaṃ tu pṛṣṭhapārśve niveśayet dakṣiṇe 'pi tathā savyaṃ gomukhaṃ gomukhaṃ yathā

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

savye dakṣiṇagulphaṃ tu pṛṣthapārśve niveśayet | dakṣineʾpi tathā ṣavyam gomukham gomukham yathā ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.53

atha gomukhāsanam savye dakṣiṇagulphaṃ tu pṛṣṭhapārśve niyojayet || dakṣiṇe 'pi tathā savyaṃ gomukhaṃ gomukhāsanam ||

Comm.: This posture first appears in some Vaiṣṇava Saṃhitās that predate the Haṭhapradīpikā, namely, the Ahirbudhnyasaṃhitā and the Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā. The position of the ankles is the same in all the source texts. However, an interesting feature of the description in the Ahirbudhnyasaṃhitā is the position of the hands, which are crossed behind the back and hold the big toes. The Haṭhapradīpikā's description, which derives from the Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā, does not mention the position of the hands. For illustrations of six possible positions of the arms and hands, see Gharote, Jha, Devnath, Sakhalkar 2006: 111–113.

1.21

Transl.: By fixing one foot on one thigh and placing the [other] thigh on the other foot, vīrāsana is taught [to arise].

Sources:

Vasisthasamhitā 1.72

ekaṃ pādam athaikasmin vinyasyorau ca saṃsthitam | itarasmiṃs tathaivoruṃ vīrāsanam itīritam ||

Cf. Śāradātilakatantra 25.15cd-16ab

ekam pādam adhaḥ kṛtvā vinyasyorau tathetaram || rjukāyo viśed yogī vīrāsanam itīritam |

Yogayājñavalkya 3.8

ekaṃ pādam athaikasmin vinyasyoruṇi saṃsthitam | itarasmiṃs tathā coruṃ vīrāsanam udāhṛtam ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

ekam pādam athaikasmin vinyasyoruņi saṃsthitaḥ | itarasmiṃs tathā coruṃ vīrāsanam udāḥṛtam ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.54

atha vīrāsanam ekaṃ pādam athaikasmin vinyased ūruṇi sthiram || itarasmims tathā corum vīrāsanam īritam ||

Comm.: Although most witnesses have $tath\bar{a}$ in 1.21a, the word atha has been accepted because it is attested by V1, the sources and the testimonia. It appears to be verse filler here rather than indicating a temporal sequence of actions. Svātmārāma borrowed the verse on $v\bar{i}r\bar{a}sana$ from the $Vasisthasamhit\bar{a}$, the redactor of which appears to have adapted its first hemistich from a description of this posture in the $S\bar{a}rad\bar{a}tilakatantra$. This would explain the rather strange syntax of the $Vasisthasamhit\bar{a}$'s version, in which $adhah krtv\bar{a}$ was changed to athaikasmin, and tathetaram became cas amsthitam. It seems that samsthitam must be understood with $\bar{u}rum$ in the third $p\bar{a}da$ in the sense of $samsth\bar{a}pya$ (i.e., 'having placed').

Different versions of *vīrāsana* are found in earlier Tantras, such as the Kiraṇatantra (58.9), Hemacandra's *Yogaśāstra* and commentaries on the *Pātañjalayogaśāstra*. For a discussion of some of these sources, see Maas 2018: 66–68.

1.22

Transl.: Knowers of yoga know that $k\bar{u}rm\bar{a}sana$ arises by attentively blocking the anus with turned-out ankles.

Sources:

Vasisthasamhitā 1.80

gudaṃ nirudhya gulphābhyāṃ vyutkrameṇa samāhitaḥ | kūrmāsanaṃ bhaved etad iti yogavido viduḥ ||

Cf. Ahirbudhnyasamhitā 31.35

gudaṃ nipīḍya gulphābhyāṃ vyutkrameṇa samāhitaḥ | etat kūrmāsanam proktam yogasiddhikaram param ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

gudaṃ niyamya gulphābhyaṃ vyutkrameṇa samāhitaḥ | kūrmāsanam bhaved etad iti yogavido viduh ||

Yuktabhayadeya 6.15

haṭhapradīpikāyām gudaṃ niyamya gulphābhyāṃ vyutkrameṇa samāhitaḥ | kūrmāsanam bhavedetaditi yogavido viduḥ ||

Comm.: In the first pāda, the witnesses are split between nirudhya ('having blocked'), nibadhya ('having bound'), niyamya ('having restrained') and niṣpādya ('having pressed'). The source, the Vasiṣṭhasamhitā, supports nirudhya whereas the testimonia supports niyamya. In terms of closing the anus by sitting on the ankles, nirudhya makes better sense and has been adopted because it is supported by N23 (an important witness of group 2) and the manuscripts reported in the critical edition of the Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā. The word vyutkrameṇa appears to describe the position of the ankles. Its basic meaning is 'against the normal direction', which would suggest that the ankles are turned out or crossed rather than placed together naturally. If the yogi is in a kneeling-type position, turning the feet out would bring the ankles together, blocking the perineal area. See Yoga Mīmāṃsā, vol 8, no. 2, pp. 29–30 for a discussion of vyutkramena and the position of the ankles in kūrmāsana, and vol 8, no.2, Figures 3–6 for photographs of a practitioner performing this āsana.

1.23

Transl.: [The yogi] adeptly assumes *padmāsana*, inserts the hands between the knees and thighs, places [the hands] on the ground, and remains in the air. This is *kurkutāsana*.

Sources:

Vasisthasamhitā 1.78

```
padmāsanaṃ samāsthāya jānūrvor antare karau |
bhūmau niveśya saṃsthāpya vyomasthaṃ kukkuṭāsanam ||
[niveśya bhūmau – mss. la, va, śa]
```

Cf. Ahirbudhnyasamhitā 31.38

```
kukkuṭāsanam
padmāsanam adhiṣṭhāya jānvantaraviniḥṣṛtau |
karau bhūmau niveśyaitad vyomasthaṃ kukkuṭāsanam ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

padmāsanam tu saṃyojya jānūrvor antare karau | niveśya bhūmau saṃsthāpya vyomasthaṃ kukkuṭāsanam ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.73

```
atha kukkuṭāsanam—
padmāsanaṃ susaṃsthāpya jānūrvor antare karau ||
niveśya bhūmau saṃsthāpya vyomasthaḥ kukkuṭāsanam ||
```

Comm.: *Kurkuṭa* and *kurkkuṭa* in V1, J10ac, V3 are variant spellings attested in the *Pañ-catantra* (M-W).

1.24

Transl.: While maintaining kurkuṭāsana, [the yogi] binds the neck with the hands and lies like a tortoise on his back. This is uttānakūrmāsana.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

kukkuṭāsanabandhastho dorbhyāṃ saṃbadhya kandharam | bhavet kūrmavad uttānam etad uttānakūrmakam ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.74

```
kukkuṭāsanabandhastho dorbhyāṃ sambadhya kandharām || śete kūrmavad uttānam etad uttānakūrmakam || 74 ||
```

Comm.: °bandhasthaḥ or °vat kṛtvā? Only V1 has the latter, which is simpler. Are the others trying to improve it? Stemmatically ambiguous as °bandha° is on one branch (V3/J8, V19) and °madhya° the other (J10, J17, N17). V1 looks like an outlier.

Adopt *bandhastho* with note. °*bandhastha* not found in any other texts. While °*vat kṛtvā* is possible, it is a singular reading that appears to be unrelated to the other variants.

1.25

Transl.: Clasping the big toes with hands and performing the action of drawing a bow as far as the ear is called dhanurāsana.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaņi
```

```
pādānguṣthau ca pāṇibhyāṃ gṛhītvā śravaṇāvadhi |
dhanurākarṣaṇaṃ kṛtvā dhanurāsanam īritam |
```

Hatharatnāvalī 3.51

```
atha dhanurāsanam—
pādāṅguṣṭhau tu pāṇibhyāṃ gṛhītvā śravaṇāvadhi ||
dhanurākarṣanam kṛtvā dhanurāsanam ucyate ||
```

Cf. Hathayogasamhitā

```
dhanurāsanam |
prasārya pādau bhuvi daṇḍarūpau
karau ca pṛṣṭhe dhṛtapādayugmau |
kṛtvā dhanustulyavivarttitāngaṃ
nigadyate vai dhanurāsanam tat || 25 ||
```

Comm.: Comment on kṛtvā, which is the reading of V19, Yogacintāmaṇi and Haṭharatnāvalī. Godāvaramiśra has dhanurākarṣavat kṛṣṭaṃ dhanurāsanam ucyate, which is likely to be the original reading.

1.26

Transl.: Having grasped the right foot, which is placed at the base of the left thigh, [the yogi's] left foot is wrapped around the outside of the knee and he remains with his body twisted. This āsana was taught by Matsyendranātha.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
vāmorumūlārpitadakṣapādaṃ jānvor bahir veṣṭitadakṣadoṣṇā | pragṛhya tiṣṭhet parivartitāṅgaḥ śrīmatsyanāthoditam āsanaṃ syāt ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
atha matsyendrāsanam—
vāmorumūlārpitā dakṣapādo jānvor bahir veṣṭitadakṣadoṣṇā ||
pragṛhya tiṣṭhet partivartitāṅgaḥ śrīmatsyanāthoditam āsanaṃ syāt || 3.57 ||
```

Comm.: Comment on vāmapadam vs dakṣadoṣṇā

1.27

Transl.: Matsyendra's seat, is a destructive weapon for the many terrible diseases that develop in the stomach; through practice it brings about in people the awakening of Kuṇḍalinī and steadiness of the spine.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

matsyendrapīṭhaṃ jaṭharapravṛddhapracaṇḍarunmaṇḍalakhaṇḍanāstram | abhyāsatah kuṇḍalinīprabodhaṃ daṇḍe sthiratvaṃ pradadāti puṃsām || °pravṛddha] N: °pravṛddhiṃ L

Hatharatnāvalī 3.58

matsyendrapīṭhaṃ jaṭharapradīptaṃ pracaṇḍarugmaṇḍalakhaṇḍanāstram || abhyāsataḥ kuṇḍalinīprabodhaṃ daṇḍasthiratvaṃ ca dadāti puṃsām ||

HTK

matsyendrapīṭhaṃ jaṭharapracaṇḍarunmaṇḍalakhaṇḍanakhaṇḍanāstram | abhyāsataḥ kuṇḍalinīprabodhaṃ daṇḍasthiratvaṃ ca dadāti puṃsām || 8 ||

YBD

matsyendrapīṭhaṃ jaṭharaprabuddhaṃ pracaṇḍaruṅmaṇḍalakhaṇḍanāstram | abhyasataṃ kuṇḍalinīprabodhaṃ daṇḍasthiratvaṃ ca dadāti puṃsām ||

Comm.: Change note: we are adopting jaṭharapravṛddha (translation has been changed). Cf. Yogacintāmaṇi ms. N. and HP mss. J10, J17, V2, N8, N11.

Pāda d
, J10ac and J17 have candra for danda; However, also in Yogac
intāmaṇi and 6-chapter HP.

1.28

Transl.: [The yogi] should stretch out both feet on the ground like staffs, hold the ends of both feet with the hands, place the forehead upon the knees and remain thus. They call this the back-stretch (*paścimatānam*).

Sources:

Cf. Śivasamhitā

```
prasārya caraṇadvandvam parasparasusaṃyutam |
svapāṇibhyāṃ dṛḍhaṃ dhṛtvā jānūpari śiro nyaset || 3.108 ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
prasārya pādau bhuvi daṇḍarūpau
dvābhyāṃ ca pādadvitayaṃ grhītvā |
jānūpari nyastalalāṭadeśo
'bhyased idaṃ paścimatānam āhuḥ ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
atha paścimatānāsanam—
prasārya pādau bhuvi daṇḍarūpau
dorbhyāṃ padāgradvitayaṃ gṛhītvā ||
jānūpari nyastalalāṭadeśo
vased idaṃ paścimatānam āhuh || 3.66 ||
```

Comm.: Only V1 has *dorbhyāṃ padāgra*, others have variations on the much inferior *dvāb-hyāṃ karābhyāṃ*. The karābhyaṃ reading was probably introduced to remove dorbhyāṃ, which usually means arms.The reading *tāṇabandhaḥ* in V1 doesn't work with *idaṃ*.

1.29

Transl.: This back-stretch is the foremost among āsanas. It makes the breath flow in the back [i.e. Central channel], increases the digestive fire, makes the belly thin and prevents diseases in men.

Sources:

Cf. Śivasamhitā

```
Āsanāgryam idam proktam jaṭharānaladīpanam | dehāvasādaharaṇam paścimottānasamjñakam || 3.109 ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
iti paścimatānam āsanāgryaṃ pavanaṃ paścimavāhinaṃ karoti |
udayaṃ jaṭharānalasya kuryād udare kārśyam arogitāṃ ca puṃsām ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
iti paścimatānam āsanāgryaṃ pavanaṃ paścimavāhinaṃ karoti ||
udayam jatharānalasya kuryād udare kārśyam arogatām ca puṃsām || 3.67 ||
```

Comm.: Adopt *arogitāṃ* (which is well attested), despite the fact that *arogatāṃ* is much more common. The use of the word *paścima* to mean the central channel is found in *Yogabīja* 121. The *Yogabīja* (95) also refers to the path of the central channel (*paścimamārga*), and this understanding of *paścima* is found in the *Jyotsnā* 1.29: *paścimavāhinaṃ paścimena paścimamārgeṇa suṣumnāmārgeṇa vahatīti paścimavāhī*.

1.30

Transl.: Supporting oneself on the ground with both hands, their elbows placed on either side of the navel, with a raised position (? uccāsanaḥ) one is placed up into the air [as straight] as a stick. They call this posture the peacock.

Sources:

Vimānārcanākalpa 96

karatale bhūmau saṃsthāpya kūrparau nābhipārśvayor nyasya nataśirāḥ (unnataśirāḥ) pādau ḍaṇḍavad vyomni saṃsthito mayūrāsanam iti |

Pādmasamhitā yogapāda 1.21c-22d:

avaṣṭabhya dharāṃ samyak talābhyāṃ hastayor dvayoḥ || kūrparau nābhipārśve ca sthāpayitvā mayūravat | samunnamya śiraḥpādau mayūrāsanam isyate ||

Ahirbudhnyasamhitā 31.36-37

mayūrāsanam niveśya kūrparau samyan nābhimaṇḍalapārśvayoḥ | avasṭabhya bhuvam pāṇiṭalābhyāṃ vyomni daṇḍavat || 31–36 ||

Vasisthasamhitā 1.76-77

avaṣṭabhya dharāṃ samyak talābhyāṃ ca karadvayam | hastayoḥ kūrparau cāpi sthāpayan nābhipārśvayoḥ || samunnataśiraḥpādo daṇḍavad vyomni saṃsthitaḥ | mayūrāsanam etad dhi sarvapāpavināśanam ||

Yogayājñavalkya 3.15-16

avaṣṭabhya dharāṃ samyak talābhyāṃ tu karadvayoḥ hastayoḥ kūrparau cāpi sthāpayan nābhipārśvayoḥ samunnataśiraḥpādo daṇḍavad vyomni saṃsthitaḥ | mayūrāsanam etat tu sarvapāpapraṇāśanam ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

dharām avasṭabhya punaḥ karābhyāṃ tat kūrpare sthāpitanābhipārśvaḥ | tadāsane danḍavad utthitaḥ khe mayūram etat pravadanti santaḥ ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.42

atha mayūram dharām avaṣṭabhya karadvayena tatkūrpare sthāpitanābhipārśvaḥ || uccāsano daṇḍavad utthitaḥ khe mayūram etat pravadanti pītham ||

Comm.: The source of this verse is unknown, but it has the same elements as the two verses in the *Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā* (1.76–77). The compound *uccāsano* seems to approximate in a somewhat vague way the *Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā*'s reading *samunnataśiraḥpādaḥ*, which may be derived from earlier Vaiṣṇava sources, such as the *Pādmasaṃhitā*.

1.30b tat refers to *karadvaya* (cf. Vasisthasamhitā).

1.31

Transl.: The glorious mayūra posture gets rid of all diseases of the abdomen such as bloating and overcomes humoral imbalances. It completely incinerates food which is bad or has been eaten to excess, it generates digestive fire and it digests strong poison.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

harati sakalarogān āśu gulmodarādīn abhibhavati ca doṣān āsanaṃ śrīmayūram | bahukadaśanabhuktaṃ bhasma kuryād aśeṣam janayati jaṭharāgniṃ jārayet kālakūṭam ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.43

harati sakalarogān āśu gulmodarādīn abhibhavati ca doṣān āsanaṃ śrīmayūram || bahukadaśanabhuktaṃ bhasma kuryād vicitram janayati jatharāgnim jīryate kālakūtam ||

Comm.: aśesam better

1.32

Transl.: Lying on one's back on the ground like a corpse is the corpse posture. It removes the fatigue [caused by practising] any āsana and relaxes the mind.

Sources:

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 24cd

uttānaśavavad bhūmau śayanam coktam uttamam ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
uttānam śavavad bhūmau śavāsanam idam smrtam
      śavāsanam śrāntiharam cittaviśrāntisādhanam ||
Hatharatnāvalī 3.76
      athāntimam śavāsanam
      prasārya hastapādau ca viśrāntyā śayanam tathā ||
      sarvāsanaśramaharam śayitam tu śavāsanam || 3.76 ||
Cf. HTK
      śavāsanam hrtkupitavātagranthivibhedakam |
      sarvāsanaśrāntijit hrtśramaghnam yogi saukhyadam || 12 ||
YBD
      uttānam śavavad bhūmau śayanam tu śavāsanam ||
      śavāsanam śrāntiharam cittaviśrāntikārakam ||
      iti śavāsanam || 21 ||
1.33
Transl.: Śiva taught eighty-four āsanas. I shall take the four best and teach them.
Sources:
Śivasamhitā 3.96
      caturaśīty āsanāni santi nānāvidhāni ca
      tebhyaś catuskam ādāya mayoktāni bravīmy aham ||
Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 5
      caturāśītilaksānām ekaikam samudāhrtam |
      ataḥ śivena pīṭhānāṃ ṣoḍaśonaṃ śataṃ kṛtaṃ ||
Cf. Vivekamārtanda 5
      caturāśītilaksānām ekaikam samudāhrtam |
      ataḥ śivena pīṭhānāṃ ṣoḍaśonaṃ śataṃ kṛtaṃ ||
Testimonia:
Yogacintāmani
      hathapradīpikāyām—
      caturaśīty āsanāni śivena kathitāni vai
      tebhyaś catuskam ādāya sārabhūtam bravīmy aham ||
Hatharatnāvalī
      caturaśīty āsanāni śivena kathitāni tu
      tebhyaś catuskam ādāya sārabhūtam bravīmy aham | 3.23 ||
```

Comm.: In Pādas ac Svātmārāma may have rewritten Śivasaṃhitā 3.96 to include the information that it was Śiva (*śivena*) who taught the 84 āsanas, whereas in the source Śiva is himself speaking. With this Svātmārāma confuses the verse, since one would have to understand Pādas cd to be direct speech by Śiva in order to understand *bravīmi* correctly (but cf. 4.2 which is a verse from the Gorakṣaśataka which includes pravakṣyāmi).

The Śivasaṃhitā follows 3.96 with teachings on siddha, padma, paścimottāna and svastika āsanas, but the HP teaches siddha, padma, siṃha and bhadra.

The word tu is often used to introduce a new posture, but in this verse seems to be a verse filler.

1.34

Transl.: The adept, lotus, lion and auspicious pose are the best tetrad and, among those, always sit in the adept's pose, my dear.

Sources:

_

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

siddham padmam tathā bhadram simham ceti catuṣṭayam | śreṣṭham tatrāpi vai padmam tiṣṭhet siddhāsane sadā ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.24

siddham padmam tathā simham bhadram ceti catuṣṭayam | śrestham tatrāpi ca tathā tiṣṭhet siddhāsane sadā ||

Comm.: It is likely that the original version of this verse contained the vocative with the imperative form of the verb. There is another instance where Svātmārāma included a verse with the vocative (4.58?) or the subject in the first person (4.2), as though the text were a dialogue. In this case, it seems that efforts have been made to write out the vocative and imperative verb. However, the *sukhe* and *sukham* is difficult to construe in this context, because the context suggests that the intended meaning was that one should always sit in Siddhāsana (as opposed to the other three), rather than the prescription to always sit in a comfortable Siddhāsana.

1.35

Transl.: Having joined the place of the perineum with the heel of the foot, the yogi should firmly fix the [other] foot on the penis. Having held the face and chest together and the body erect, [the yogi] remains still, his senses restrained, gazing between the brows with his eyes unmoving. This breaks open the door to liberation and is called the adept's pose.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņda 7

yonisthānakam aṅghrimūlaghaṭitaṃ kṛtvā dṛḍhaṃ vinyase[n] meḍhre pādam athaikam āsyahṛdaye dhṛtvā samaṃ vigraham | sthāṇuḥ saṃyamitendriyo 'caladṛśā paśyan bhruvor antaraṃ etan moksakapātabhedajanakaṃ siddhāsanam procyate ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

pavanayogasaṃgrahe yonisthānakam aṅghrimūlaghaṭitaṃ kṛtvā dṛḍhaṃ vinyasen meḍhre pādam athaikam ekahṛdaye kṛtvā samaṃ vigraham | sthāṇuḥ saṃyamitendriyo 'caladṛśā paśyed bhruvor antaraṃ tv etan mokṣakapāṭabhedanakaraṃ siddhāsanaṃ procyate ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.25

tatra siddhāsanam

yonisthānakam anghrimūlaghaṭitaṃ kṛtvā dṛḍhaṃ vinyasen meḍhre pādam athaikam eva niyataṃ kṛtvā samaṃ vigraham | sthāṇuḥ saṃyamitendriyo 'caladṛśā paśyan bhruvor antaraṃ caitan mokṣakapātabhedajanakam siddhāsanaṃ procyate ||

Comm.: KDh ed has *āsya*, variant in mss kh and gha, both BORI. Jürgen suggests we might take *āsyahṛdaye* and *vigraham* as the objects of *dhṛtvā* and samam as an adverb (i.e., holding straight the face, chest and body). However, in a different doctrine.

1.36

Transl.: Having fixed the left heel on the penis, and put the other heel on that, this is sid-dhāsana.

Sources:

Vasisthasamhitā 1.81

medhrād upari nikṣipya gulphaṃ tathopari | gulphāntaraṃ vinikṣipya muktāsanam idaṃ smṛtam ||

Yogayājñavalkya 3.15

medhrād upari nikṣipya savyaṃ gulphaṃ tathopari | gulphāntaraṃ ca nikṣipya muktāsanam idaṃ tu vā ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

tathā |

```
medhrād upari vinyasya savyam gulpham tathopari | gulphāntaram tu vinyasya siddhāsanam idam bhavet ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī 3.26

matāntare tu meḍhrād upari niḥkṣipya savyaṃ gulphaṃ tathopari | gulphāntaraṃ ca nihksipya siddhāḥ siddhāsanaṃ viduh ||

1.37

Transl.: Some proclaim this is siddhāsana, others know it as vajrāsana, a few say it is muktāsana and some guptāsana.

Sources:

_

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

siddham padmam tathā bhadram simham ceti catuṣṭayam | śreṣṭham tatrāpi vai padmam tiṣṭhet siddhāsane sadā ||

Hatharatnāvalī

siddham padmam tathā simham bhadram ceti catuṣṭayam | śreṣṭham tatrāpi ca tathā tiṣṭhet siddhāsane sadā || 3.24 ||

Cf. Śivayogasāram by Kolani Ganapatideva (date 14th c.)

siddāsanambunu, gondaru vajrāsanambaniyu | gondaru muktāsanambaniyu, gondadu gulbāsanam ||

Cf. A verse by the poet Pingali Surana (active 16th c.)

kondaru siddāsanamani kondaru vajrāsanamani koniyādudurī pondaga dīnini mariyoka kondaru guptāsamanu kondru mahātmā

1.38

Transl.: The Siddhas know Siddhasana as the single most important amongst all postures, in the same way as measured diet amongst rules and non-violence amongst observances.

Sources:

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 33

laghvāhāras tu teṣv eko mukhyo bhavati nāpare | ahiṃsā niyamesv eko mukhyo bhavati nāpare || 33 ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
niyameşu mitāharo yathāhimsā yameşv iva |
mukhyam sarvāsaneşv evam siddhāsanam idam viduḥ |
```

Comm.: *iva* or *eva*? *iva* does work — like *siddhāsana*, *mitāhāra* and *ahiṃsā* are the best, but for it to work properly *mitāhāra* and *ahiṃsā* should be accusative. V19 has acc + *iva*, which seems best, especially with *siddhāḥ viduḥ*, but this might be a correction as V19 often corrects. However, one old KDham BORI (?) ms (pha, 1695 CE) has it, as does Jyotsnā, so adopt.

In pāda d V19 has *siddhāsanam idaṃ viduḥ*, but the reading of all other mss is preferable. Clearly based on DYŚ 33, which includes *ekam* and *mukhya*.

1.39

Transl.: Among the eighty-four postures, one should regularly practise just Siddha; in the same way one should practise Suṣumnā among the 72,000 channels.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
caturaśītipīṭheṣu siddhāsanaṃ samabhyaset | dvāsaptatisahasreṣu suṣumṇām iva nāḍiṣu ||
```

YSS

caturāśītapīṭheṣu siddham eva samabhyaset | dvisaptatisahasreṣu suṣumnām iva nāḍiṣu ||

Yogacintāmaņi (in a different passage)

```
maṇḍalā dṛśyate siddhiḥ kuṇḍalyabhyāsayoginaḥ | dvisaptatisahasrāṇāṃ nāḍīnām malaśodhanam ||
```

Cf. Kumbhakapaddhati (effects of practising kumbhaka)

```
dvāsaptati sahāsrāṇāṃ nāḍīnāṃ malaśodhanam | yathestam dhāraṇam vāyor vikārābhāva eva ca || 120 ||
```

Comm.: Odd to have *suṣumnām* as object of *abhyaset*. Yogacintāmaṇi has this reading though. Perhaps cd were added somewhat indiscriminately by Svātmārāma (with nominative *suṣumnā*) and then others tried to make sense of it.

Some witnesses, including Jyotsnā, have *nāḍīnāṃ malaśodhanam/e* for pāda d, which is probably a patch (no other texts say siddhāsana clears the channels), but cf. Amṛtasiddhi

in which the practices are said to bring about calana of the nadas (e.g. 11.6).

Good example of early contamination.

[*nādisu* is better supported (J10ac,V19,J17).]

1.40

Transl.: By meditating upon the self, restricting the diet and regularly practising Siddhāsana for twelve years, the yogi attains the Niṣpatti stage. What's the point of lots of exhausting postures when there is Siddhāsana?

Sources:

-

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
ātmadhyāyī mitāhārī yāvad dvādaśavatsaram | sadā siddhāsanābhyāsād yogī niṣpattim āpnuyāt | śramadair bahubhih pīthaiḥ kim syāt siddhāsane sati ||
```

YSS

```
ātmadhyāyo mitāhārī yāvad dvādaśavatsaram | sadā siddhāsanābhyāsād yoganiṣpattim āpnuyāt || śramadair bahubhiḥ pīṭhair alaṃ siddhāsane sati |
```

Comm.: Only possible variant is *mitāhāro* in V19. J8 might be correction of J10's unmetrical reading.

[sadāsiddhāsanābhyāsād? Or maybe read sadā with avāpnuyāt]

V1 has

```
śramādau bahubhiḥ pīṭhais sadā siddhāsane sati |
prānānile sāvadhānam baddhe kevalakumbhake || 1.41 ||
```

Mixing up both versions of the verse — contamination already?

V19 is found in Yogacintāmaṇi: śramadair bahubhih pīṭhaiḥ kim syāt siddhāsane sati; JM this seems best to me.

Is this notion of āsanas causing *śrama* already current in HY texts? [Yes, it is mentioned above in the verse on śavāsana]

1.41

Transl.: Just as the Unmanī [state] arises automatically, without effort, when the prāṇa breath has been carefully stopped in kevala kumbhaka, [...]

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

prāṇānile sāvadhāne baddhe kevalakumbhake | utpatsyate nirāyāsāt svayam evonmanī yathā ||

Comm.: -

1.42

Transl.: So too the three bandhas arise automatically, without effort, when Siddhāsana alone is always firmly adopted,

Sources:

_

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

athaikasminn eva dṛḍhaṃ baddhe siddhāsane sadā | bandhatrayam anāyāsāt svayam evopajāyate |

YSS

tathaikasminn eva baddhe siddhāsane sadā | granthitrayam anāyāsāt svayamevopabhidyate |

Comm.: *dṛḍhe* goes across stemma. It is likely that the adverb (*dṛḍhaṃ*) was intended because *dṛḍhataraṃ*, which is not ambiguous, is used in 1.48a to qualify how *padmāsana* should be adopted.

1.43

Transl.: There is no posture like siddhāsana, no breath like the restrained breath, no mudrā like khecarī, no dissolution like nāda.

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 5.47

nāsanam siddhasadrśam na kumbhasadrśam balam | na khecarīsamā mudrā na nādasadrśo layaḥ || 5.47 ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

nāsanam siddhasadṛśam na kumbhaḥ kevalopamaḥ | na khecarīsamā mudrā na nādasadṛśo layaḥ ||

Hatharatnāvalī

nāsanaṃ siddhasadṛśaṃ na kumbhaḥ kevalopamaḥ || na khecarīsamā mudrā na nādasadṛśo layaḥ || 3.29 ||

Comm.: *na kumbhasadṛśo nilaḥ* is difficilior lectio and attested by all early witnesses except V19: (almost?) all testimonia have *kumbhaḥ kevalopamaḥ*; Śivasaṃhitā has *kumbhasadṛśam balam*.

1.44

Transl.: Now lotus pose. One should place the right foot on the left thigh, and the left on the right though, hold firmly the big toes with the hands behind the back, place the chin on the chest and gaze at the tip of the nose. This is called lotus pose, which destroys diseases for those who undertake the yamas.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņḍa 8

vāmorūpari dakṣiṇañ ca caraṇaṃ saṃsthāpya vāmaṃ tathā yāmyorūpari paścimena vidhinā dhṛtvā karābhyāṃ dṛḍham | aṅguṣṭhau hṛdaye nidhāya cibukaṃ nāsāgram ālokayed etad vyādhivikārahāri yamināṃ padmāsanaṃ procyate || 8 ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

haṭhayoge—
vāmorūpari dakṣiṇaṃ hi caraṇaṃ saṃsthāpya vāmaṃ tathā
dakṣorūpari paścimena vidhinā dhṛtvā karābhyāṃ dṛḍham |
aṅguṣṭhau hṛdaye nidhāya civukaṃ nāsāgram ālokayet
ekad vyādhivikāranāśanakaram padmāsanaṃ procyate ||

Hatharatnāvalī

vāmorūpari dakṣiṇaṃ ca caraṇaṃ saṃsthāpya vāmaṃ tathā yāmyorūpari paścimena vidhinā dhṛtvā karābhyāṃ dṛḍham | aṅguṣṭhau hṛdaye nidhāya cibukaṃ nāsāgram ālokayed etad vyādhivināśakāri yamināṃ padmāsanaṃ procyate || 3.34 ||

1.45-46

Transl.: However, in another view,

Having put the upturned feet carefully on the thighs and the upturned hands in the middle of the thighs, one should fix the eyes on the tip of the nose. Having raised the root of the

uvula with the tongue, one should place the chin on the chest and gradually [draw in 1] the breath [...].

Sources:

```
Dattātreyayogaśāstra 35-37
```

```
uttānau caraṇau kṛtvā ūrusaṃsthau prayatnataḥ |
ūrumadhye tathottānau pāṇī kṛtvā tato dṛśau || 35 ||
nāsāgre vinyased rājadantamūlaṃ ca jihvayā |
uttabhya cibukaṃ vakṣasy āsthāpya pavanaṃ śanaiḥ || 36 ||
yathāśaktyā samākṛṣya pūrayed udaraṃ śanaiḥ |
yathāśaktyaiva paścāt tu recayet pavanaṃ śanaiḥ || 37 ||
```

Śivasamhitā 3.102-104

```
uttānau caraṇau kṛtvā ūrusaṃsthau prayatnataḥ
ūrumadhye tathottānau pāṇī kṛtvā tu tādṛśau 3.102
nāsāgre vinyased dṛṣṭiṃ rājadantaṃ ca jihvayā
uttambhya cibukaṃ vakṣe saṃsthāpya pavanaṃ śanaiḥ 3.103
yathāśaktyā samākṛṣya pūrayed udaraṃ śanaiḥ
yathāśaktyaiva paścāt tu recayed anirodhataḥ 3.104
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
dattātreyah—
uttānau caraṇau kṛtvā ūrusaṃsthau prayatnataḥ |
ūrumadhye tathottānau pāṇī kṛtvā tato dṛśau ||
nāsāgre vinyased rājadantamūlaṃ tu jihvayā |
uttabhya civukaṃ vakṣasy utthāpya pavanaṃ śanaiḥ ||
yathāśaktyā samākṛṣya pūrayed udaraṃ śanaiḥ ||
yathāśaktyaiva paścāt tu recayet pavanaṃ śanaiḥ ||
```

Hațharatnāvalī

```
dattātreyo 'pi
uttānau caraṇau kṛtvā ūrvoḥ saṃsthāpya yatnataḥ |
ūrumadhye tathottānau pāṇī kṛtvā tato dṛśau || 3.36 ||
nāsāgre vinyased rājadantamūlaṃ ca jihvayā |
uttabhya cibukaṃ vakṣaḥ saṃsthāpya pavanaṃ śanaiḥ || 3.37 ||
```

Comm.: *uttabhya* vs *uttambhya*. The witnesses split predictably along the two main branches of the stemma. The evidence of the DYŚ is important here.

The statement ending with *pavanaṃ śanaiḥ* is left hanging, perhaps, because of an eyeskip that happened early in the transmission. The subsequent verse in the DYŚ ends with *pa*-

 $^{^1}$ The verb $\bar{a}kr\dot{s}ya$ follows in the next verse in the $Datt\bar{a}treyayoga\dot{s}\bar{a}stra$.

vanam śanaih.

```
nāsāgre vinyased rājadantamūlam ca jihvayā |
uttabhya cibukam vakṣasy āsthāpya pavanam śanaiḥ || 36 ||
yathāśaktyā samākṛṣya pūrayed udaram śanaiḥ ||
yathāśaktyaiva paścāt tu recayet pavanam śanaiḥ || 37 ||
idam padmāsanam proktam sarvavyādhivināśanam |
```

The manuscript readings with *vakṣa sthāpayet* (J7, V3, J8, J10, J17, N17) or something similar (V1, W4) do not offer a solution nor indicate how Svātmārāma may have redacted this to make the syntax complete. Instead, it seems that he quoted these two verses (1.45–46) from the Dattātreyayogaśāstra and simply omitted the next verse that made sense of *pavanaṃ śanaiḥ* because it was not relevant to the posture itself.

[JH] The background of the passage $r\bar{a}jadantam\bar{u}la\bar{m}$ ca $jihvay\bar{a}$ uttambhya in 1.46 is more complex than it may appear. Here it is a literal quotation from the DYŚ, but many other Haṭhayogic texts teach a particular position of the tongue, in which it is in one or the other way turned back in the direction of the uvula, as we read explicitly in the $Vivekam\bar{a}rt\bar{a}nda$ (REF): $kap\bar{a}lakuhare jihv\bar{a}$ praviṣṭā viparītagā. Brief references to this practice can become ambiguous for various reasons, and this has possibly confused Brahmānanda.

One reason is that there is a, probably older, rule for meditation postures according to which the tongue rests near the teeth. One instance would be *Svacchandatantra*, which teaches a meditation pose called *divyaṃ karaṇam* (4.365f.), in which the tongue is to rest at the tip of the teeth (*dantāgre jihvām ādāya*). Other Tantric texts have this or similar rules, in which the tongue is supposed to rest either on the teeth or the palate,² the earliest instance being perhaps *Mrgendrāgama* ?.18. Placing the tongue where it does not disturb the meditation seems quite appropriate for a "normal" meditative practice.

[Jason: There's also a clear reference to the tip of the tongue being placed in the middle of the palate in 2.27 of the Yogapāda of the Mataṅgapārameśvaratantra (tālumadhyagatenaiva jihvāgreṇa mahāmune). In fact, in works that predate Haṭhayoga (i.e., 11th c.), the most common instruction is to put the tip of the tongue on the palate (tālu).]

We might try to interpret the passage in this manner, however, once Haṭhayogic physiology is at the background, we must assume that the aim is to reach back to the uvula, to the source of the "nectar". For the background and for the crucial references see Mallinson's note on *Khecarīvidyā* 1.65ab. Confusingly Yogic terminology has used and possibly invented new names for uvula, and among these especially the term $r\bar{a}jadanta$ may give rise to confusion, since, as we have seen, the tongue might also in some Yoga systems be placed at the (front) teeth.

² This rule is found in Iśānaśivapaddhati: tāluke jihvām samyojya kiñcidvivṛtavaktro dantair dantān asamspṛśan rjukāyaḥ. REF

³ p. 209.

Furthermore the details in these descriptions of the *khecarīmudrā* are manifold. The 10th century *Mokṣopāya* says that the tongue rests at the "source of the palate" and the commentary *Samsārataraṇi* on the parallel passage in *Laghuyogavāsiṣṭha* V.6.155, which reads *tālumūlāntarālagnajihva*-, explains that this means that the tongue is to be placed in the middle of the two regions of the palate, and that this is the *nabhomudrā*, alias "*khecarī*". ⁵

A little later in the $Mok sop \bar{a}ya$ it is made clear that one should reach the uvula, "placed a the root of the palate".

In view of this background we must conclude that the author of the *Jyotsnā* was probably not aware of the Yogic meaning of *rājadanta* and has tried his best to make sense of the passage, echoing the idea of the two roots of the palate (although his text is not talking about the palate), but then wisely refers to the instruction of the teacher for practical details, probably noticing that his literal interpretation is somewhat opaque (I omit the synonyms for clarity):

Pressing both roots of the front teeth on the left and right with the tongue [...] — this fixation of the tongue has to be understood from the mouth of the teacher.

rājadantānām daṃṣṭrāṇām savyadakṣiṇabhāge sthitānām mūle ubhe mūlasthāne jihvayā uttambhya ūrdhvaṃ stambhayitvā | guru-mukhād avagantavyo 'yaṃ jihvā-bandhaḥ |

[Jason: also, Brahmānanda's comments on 3.22 indicate that he thought rājadanta refers to the front teeth. When commenting on rājadantasthajihvāyām, he says kutaḥ? yato dantānām rājadantā rājadanteṣu tiṣṭhatīti rājadantasthāḥ, rājadantasthā cāsau jihvā ca tasyām rājadantasthajihvāyām bandhaḥ, taduparibhāgasya sambandhaḥ śastaḥ].

1.46

Transl.: See 1.45.

Sources:

See 1.45.

Testimonia:

See 1.45.

Comm.: See 1.45.

⁴ tālumūlatalālagnajihvā MU V.55.14c.

⁵ tālumūlāntarālagnajihvamūlah. tālumūlayoh. kākudamūladešayoh. āntare lagnam ālagnam jihvāmūlam yasyety anena nabhomudrā daršitā | yā hi khecarīty ucyate.

⁶ tālumūlagatāṃ yatnāj jihvayākramya ghaṇṭikām (V.78.24ab)

1.47

Transl.: This is taught as lotus pose, which cures all diseases. It is difficult for just anyone to accomplish; it is accomplished by a wise person [here] on earth.

Sources:

DYŚ

idaṃ padmāsanaṃ proktaṃ sarvavyādhivināśanam | durlabhaṃ yena kenāpi dhīmatā labhyate bhuvi || 38 ||

Śivasamhitā

idam padmāsanam proktam sarvavyādhivināśanam | durlabham yena kenāpi dhīmatā labhyate param || 3.105 ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

idam padmāsanam proktam sarvavyādhivināśanam | durlabham yena kenāpi dhīmatā labhyate bhuvi ||

Hatharatnāvalī

idam padmāsanam proktam sarvavyādhivināśanam | durlabham yena kenāpi dhīmatā labhyate bhuvi || 3.38 ||

Comm.: In this context, the word *durlabham* is ambiguous as to whether the posture is hard to perform or hard to acquire. The latter is the usual reading.

1.48

Transl.:

[The yogi] should put the hands together in a bowl shape, very firmly assume padmāsana, firmly place the chin on the chest and meditation in the mind, [and] raising the Apāna breath over and over again, releasing the held Prāṇa, a man attains unequalled knowledge through the power of the goddess [Kundalinī].

Sources:

VM 36

kṛtvā saṃpuṭitau karau dṛḍhataraṃ baddhvātha padmāsanaṃ gāḍhaṃ vakṣasi sannidhāya cibukaṃ dhyānaṃś ca tac cetasi | vāraṃ vāram apānam ūrdhvam anilaṃ proccālayan pūritaṃ muncan prānam upaiti bodham atulaṃ śaktiprabhāvān narah ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

tathāca granthāntare—

kṛtvā saṃpuṭitau karau dṛḍhataraṃ badhvā ca padmāsanam gāḍhaṃ vakṣasi saṃnidhāya civukaṃ dhyānaṃ ca tac cetasi | vāraṃ vāram apānam ūrdhvam anilaṃ protsārayet pūrayet prāṇaṃ muñcati bodham eti niyataṃ śaktiprabodhodayāt ||

Hațharatnāvalī

kṛtvā saṃpuṭitau karau dṛḍhataraṃ baddhvā tu padmāsanam gāḍhaṃ vakṣasi sannidhāya cibukaṃ dhyānaṃ ca tac cetasi | vāraṃ vāram apānam ūrdhvam anilaṃ proccārayet pūritam muñcat prāṇam upaiti bodham atulaṃ śakteḥ prabhāvān naraḥ || 3.39 ||

Comm.:

The end of pāda b is uncertain. Later witnesses including Brahmānanda have *dhyāyaṃś ca* but none of the early ones has this reading. So, we have to take *dhyānaṃ* with *sannidhāya*. However, *tat* is problematic because here it has no clear referent. In the *Vivekamārtaṇḍa tat* appears to refer to the *mokṣadvāra* broken by Kuṇḍalinī, which is mentioned in the previous verse.

The two participles *proccālayan* and *muñcan* imply that the two things are happening at the same time, which is surprising but perhaps possible. Or perhaps one can understand them as sequential.

In the VM this verse comes in the passage on raising Kuṇḍalinī, so tat could refer to visualising K opening the mokṣadvāra.

1.49

Transl.: The yogi in padmāsana who fills [himself] up through the openings of the channels and holds the breath is sure to be liberated.

Sources:

_

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

padmāsanasthito yogī nāḍīdvāreṣu pūrayan | mārutaṃ dhārayed yas tu sa mukto nātra saṃśayaḥ ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.40

padmāsane sthito yogī nāḍīdvāreṣu pūrayet | pūritaṃ dhrīyate yas tu sa mukto nātra saṃśayaḥ || 3.40 ||

Dhyānabindu Up

padmāsanasthito yogī nādīdvāresu pūrayan |

mārutam kumbhayan yas tu sa mukto nātra saṃśayaḥ || 70 ||

Comm.: pūrayan can take the locative (e.g., Amaraugha 21d pūrayen mukhe). The reading of N23 niyatam would explain the passive verbs in other witnesses. The passive verbs do not make sense with yas tu. This yields the idea of filling up the central channel (as opposed to other channels) seen in the Yogabīja. Note that a breath retention is not mentioned in the previous verse describing padmāsana (only inhalation and exhalation). Also, māruto mriyate yasya is possible (if one accepts pūrayet in the second pāda). J5 also has a plausible reading (mārutaṃ mārayet yas tu) but J5 is contaminated (so the Gr3 reading dhārayet is more reliable).

1.50 - 52

Transl.: Now the lion's pose. —

[The yogi] should put both ankles at the sides of the perineal seam below the scrotum. He should place the left ankle on right, the right ankle on the left [...]

Transl.: [...] and both hands on the knees, spread his fingers, open his mouth and gaze in deep concentration at the tip of the nose.

Transl.: This is the lion's pose, which is always worshipped by yogis. It causes the three locks to arise together and is the best of [all] postures.

Sources:

Vasisthasamhitā 1.73

gulphau ca vṛṣaṇasyādhaḥ sīvanyāḥ pārśvayoḥ kṣipet | dakṣiṇaṃ savyagulphena dakṣiṇenetaretaram || hastau jānau ca saṃsthāpya svāṅgulīś ca prasārya ca | vyāttavaktro nirīkṣeta nāsāgraṃ susamāhitaḥ || siṃhāsanaṃ bhaved etat pūjitaṃ yogibhiḥ sadā ||

Yogayājñavalkya 3.9

gulpau ca vṛṣaṇasyādhaḥ sīvanyāḥ pārśvayoḥ kṣipet dakṣiṇaṃ savyagulphena dakṣiṇena tathetaram || hastau ca jānvoḥ saṃsthāpya svāṅgulīś ca prasārya ca vyāttavaktro nirīkṣet nāsagraṃ susamāhitaḥ || siṃhāsanaṃ bhaved etat pūjitaṃ yogibhiḥ sadā

Sūtasamhitā 15.7

gulphau ca vṛṣaṇasyādhaḥ sīvanyāḥ pārśvayoḥ kṣipet | dakṣiṇaṃ savyagulphena vāmaṃ dakṣiṇagulphataḥ || 7 || hastau ca jānvoḥ saṃsthāpya svāṅgulīś ca prasārya ca | nāsāgraṃ ca nirīkṣeta bhavet siṃhāsanaṃ hi tat || 8 ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi (citing Yogayājñavalkya)

```
gulphau ca vṛṣaṇasyādhaḥ sīvanyāḥ pārśvayoḥ kṣipet | dakṣiṇaṃ savyagulphena dakṣiṇena tathetaram || hastau jānūpari sthāpya svāṅgulīḥ saṃprasārya ca | vyāttavaktro nirīkṣeta nāsāgraṃ susamāhitaḥ | simhāsanaṃ bhaved etat pūjitaṃ yogibhih sadā |
```

[Note: The Yogacintāmaṇi attributes its citation of verses on siṃhāsana to the Yogayājñavalkya and does not include HP 1.52cd, which affirms that 1.52cd is not from the Yogayājñavalkya (or Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā)].

Haṭharatnāvalī

```
atha siṃhāsanam gulphau ca vṛṣaṇasyādhaḥ sīvanyāḥ pārśvayoḥ kṣipet | dakṣiṇe savyagulpham ca dakṣiṇe tu tathetaram || 3.31 || hastau tu jānvoḥ saṃsthāpya svāṅgulīḥ samprasārya ca || vyāttavaktro nirīkṣeta nāsāgraṃ tu samāhitaḥ || 3.32 || siṃhāsanaṃ bhaved etat sevitaṃ yogibhiḥ sadā || bandhatritayasaṃsthānam kurute cāsanottamam || 3.33 ||
```

Comm.:

Spreading the fingers and keeping the mouth open seem to be imitating the lion, and this is depicted in some iconography of yoganarasimha (for example, Yoga Narasimha, Vishnu's Man-Lion Incarnation, Samuel Eilenberg Collection, Bequest of Samuel Eilenberg, 1998, Accession Number: 2000.284.4. https://www.metmuseum.org/art/collection/search/39251).

1.51

Sources:

See 1.50.

Testimonia:

See 1.50.

Comm.: See 1.50.

1.52

Sources:

See 1.50.

Testimonia:

See 1.50.

Comm.: See 1.50.

1.53 - 54

Transl.: Now, the friendly pose.

[The yogi] should put both ankles at the sides of the perineal seam below the scrotum. Having firmly and motionlessly held the sides of the feet with the hands,[...]

Transl.: [...] this is bhadrāsana, which cures all diseases and poisons. Yogis of the siddha tradition call it Gorakṣāsana.

Sources:

Vasisthasamhitā 1.79

gulphau ca vṛṣaṇasyādhaḥ sīvanyāḥ pārśvayoḥ kṣipan | pārśvapādau ca pāṇibhyāṃ dṛḍhaṃ baddhvā suniścalam | bhadrāsanaṃ bhaved etat sarvavyādhivisāpaham ||

Yogayājñavalkya 3.11cd-3.12ab

gulphau ca vṛṣaṇasyādhaḥ sīvanyāḥ pārśvayoḥ kṣipet pārśvapādau ca pāṇibhyāṃ dṛḍhaṃ baddhvā suniścalam bhadrāsanaṃ bhaved etat sarvavyādhivisāpaham

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi (citing Yājñavalkya)

gulphau ca vṛṣaṇasyādhaḥ sīvanyāḥ pārśvayoḥ kṣipet | pārśvapādau ca pāṇibhyāṃ dṛḍhaṃ badhvā suniścalaḥ | bhadrāsanam bhaved etat sarvavyādhivisāpaham |

Hatharatnāvalī

atha bhadrāsanam gulphau ca vṛṣaṇasyādhaḥ sīvanyāḥ pārśvayoḥ kṣipet || pārśvapādau ca pāṇibhyāṃ dṛḍhaṃ baddhvā suniścalam || bhadrāsanam bhaved etat sarvavyādhivisāpaham || 3.30 ||

YSS

gulphau ca vṛṣaṇasyāyasvīvinyāḥ pārśvayoḥ kṣipet | pārśvapāde ca pāṇibhyāṃ dṛḍhaṃ badhvāsu niścalaḥ || bhadrāsanaṃ bhaved etat sarvavyādhivināśanam | gorakṣāsanam ity āhur idaṃ vai siddhayoginaḥ ||

Comm.: We have understood *pārśvapāda* (i.e., side of the foot) like the compound *agrapāda*

(i.e., front of the foot), which can be classified as a *ekadeśitatpuruṣa*. See Sanskrit Vademecum, p.84 (ed. Maximilian Mehner and Jürgen Hanneder, Marburg 2019).

1.54

Sources:

See 1.53.

Testimonia:

See 1.53.

Comm.: See 1.53.

1.55

Transl.: When the great yogi does not become tired from adopting the āsanas in this way, he should now practise the breath techniques with mudrās and so forth from which purification of the channels arise.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
evam āsanabandheṣu yogīndro vijitaśramaḥ |
abhyasen nādiśuddhim ca mudrayā pavanakriyām || iti ||
```

HSC

```
evam āsanabandhastho yogīndro vigataśramaḥ | athābhyasen nādiśuddhim mudrādipavanakriyām || 73 ||
```

Comm.: 55c is ra-vipulā with *nāḍi* The second hemistich can be interpreted in different ways. One possibility is to understand *nāḍiśuddhim* as a bahuvrīhi qualifying *mudrādipavanakriyām* in the sense that one should practise a breathing technique with mudrās from which purification of the channels arise. Alternatively, one could separate *mudrādi* from *pavanakriyām* and understand three different techniques here, namely, the practice of purifying the channels (perhaps by the alternative nostril method mentioned at the beginning of the second chapter), the *mudrās* and the breathing techniques of prāṇāyāma.

1.55*1-2

Transl.: Success arises for one engaged in practice. How can it arise for one who has no practice? Success in yoga does not arise by merely reading scriptures.

Transl.: Wearing a robe does not bring about success, nor does talking [about yoga]. Practice alone is the cause of success. This is true, there is no doubt. In this tradition, it should not be given to one who wears robes and is devoted to his genitals and stomach.

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 42cd-47

kriyāyuktasya siddhiḥ syād akriyasya katham bhavet |
na śāstrapāṭhamātreṇa kā cit siddhiḥ prajāyate |
na veṣadhāraṇaṃ siddheḥ kāraṇaṃ na ca tatkathā |
kriyaivakāraṇaṃ siddheḥ satyam eva tu sāṃkṛte || 46 ||
śiśnodarārthaṃ yogasya kathayā veṣadhāriṇaḥ |
anusthānavihīnās tu vañcayanti janān kila || 47 ||

Testimonia:

Comm.: 1.55*1-2 are omitted from V1 and V19, so may not have been included in the earliest version of the text. In fact, it is possible that both were added (perhaps initially as marginal notes) to elaborate on kriyā in 1.55d. Both verses are similar to verses from the Dattātreyayogaśāstra, and these verses (except 1.55.2ef) appear in the vulgate, but towards the end of chapter 1 (1.65-66).

And then just half of DYŚ 47 is given, resulting in a near-nonsensical hemistich.

The syntax of 1.55.2ef is corrupt. One has to emend to *deyā* to make sense of it.

1.55*2

Sources:

See 1.55*1.

Testimonia:

See 1.55*1.

Comm.: See 1.55*1.

1.55*3

Transl.: In me, the pure ocean of awakening, is this empty bubble we call the universe dissolved or does it arise? Where does this veil of doubt about this come from?

Testimonia:

Vārāhītantra

mayi bodhībudho svasthe tucho yam viśvabudbudaḥ | malīna udito vetti vikalpāvasaraḥ kutaḥ |

Siddhāntamuktāvalī

śiśnodararatāya hi na deyam veṣadhāriṇe || mayi bodhyam buddhau svacche tad dheyam viśvabudbudam || 7 ||

Yogaprakāśikā

"śiśnodararatāyaitan na deya" etat yogajñānam etena śiśnodararatas tyājyo nanv etanmate tyājyapadārtho 'prasiddha iti śaṃkāṃ nirasyati mayi iti svacche bodhasvarūpasamudre budbudatulyasya viśvasya heyatvād iti bhāvaḥ

Comm.: It is very difficult to find a reason why this verse should be inserted here. It is apparently a *muktaka* that would befit an accomplished spiritual poem more than our *Haṭhapradīpikā*, even here, in what appears as a sort of miscellaneous section at the end of a chapter. In this verse, the lyrical subject wonders about why the mind is still able to doubt, despite its insight into the nature of reality and the reader wonders, how the illusionist verse could be understood to fit our Yoga text, perhaps the scribe of the archetype of V19 and N17, was fond of it.

The source is, as far as we can say, the Śāntiśataka of the Kashmirian poet Sillana or Silhaṇa, ho cannot be dated with any certainty, but predates the HP by a few centuries. The edition of this text – where hundred original verses had to be identified – places it into an appendix of doubtful stanzas, but the editor saw no compelling reason not to regard it as original except through the fact that it is not transmitted in all manuscripts. What prevents further investigation of the matter is the lack of Kashmirian mss. for the Śāntiśataka and its compilatory character: one quarter of the material is identical with Bhartrhari's Vairāgyaśataka. A still superficial glance at Sillana's Svātmopalabdhiśataka gives the impression that our verse would fit there, but not so much in the Śāntiśataka. Perhaps its first citation is in Advayavajra's Tattvaratnāvalā (ref??), While these are only preliminary observations the verse is probably not original in any stage of development of the HYP, but limited to V19 (and N17).

1.55*4

Transl.: Realisation from scripture, one's own guru or oneself and the cessation of mind; all these methods have been combined and taught by the wise in this tradition.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

⁷ The mss. of his *Svātmopalabdhiśataka* give the name as Sillana, the mostly Bengali mss. of the Śāntiśataka read Śilhaṇa, as does Aufrecht in his CC. See Hanneder: forthcoming.

⁸ Karl Schönfeld: *Das Śāntiśataka*. Leipzig: Harrassowitz 1910, p. 90 (A9).

```
haṭḥapradīpikāyām—
śrutapratītiḥ svagurupratītiḥ, svātmapratītir manaso nirodhaḥ |
etāni sarvāni samuccitāni, matāni dhīrair iha sādhanāni ||
```

Comm.: last greyscale verse (*śrutipratītiḥ...*) is only in V19 of old mss (but some other later ones) and is quoted in Yogacintāmaṇi.

The reading in the Yogacintāmani (manaso nirodhah) is better in a yogic context.

1.56

Transl.: The various āsana, breath retention, bodily technique (karaṇa) called seals (mudrā), and then the fusion of the mind with the internal resonance are the sequence of practice in Haṭha.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
haṭḥapradīpikāyām–
āsanaṃ kumbhakaṃ citraṃ mudrākhyaṃ karaṇaṃ tathā |
atha nādānusandhānam abhyāsānukrameṇa ca ||
```

Comm.: Verse is omitted from V1, probably deliberately as it doesn't have chapter 4, which teaches nādānusandhāna. The numbering in V1 suggests that its exemplar had this verse.

Kumbhaka is almost always masculine.

Marmasthāna: not clear whether to adopt *citro* or *citraṃ*, or V19's *mudrākhyaṃ karaṇaṃ tathā* or the others' *mudrādikaraṇāṇi ca*.

See also 1.65, which has *mudrādikaraṇāni ca*, so perhaps it was through confusion with this that the same reading is found in some witnesses of 1.56.

Yes, V19 reading probably best.

```
āsanaṃ kumbhakaś citraṃ mudrākhyaṃ karaṇaṃ tathā |
atha nādānusandhānam abhyāsānukramo haṭhe ||
```

It seems that the four angas of Haṭhayoga are being referred to in the singular (hence $\bar{a}sanam$), whereas in 1.65 the plural is used (i.e., $p\bar{\iota}th\bar{a}ni$). Therefore, we should adopt citram [...] $karanam\ tath\bar{a}$.

1.57

Transl.: Celibate, restricted in diet and devoted to yoga, the renunciant succeeds in upwards of a year. No doubt about this should be entertained.

Sources:

```
Vivekamārtaņda 37
```

```
brahmacārī mitāhārī yogī yogaparāyaṇaḥ |
abdād ūrdhvaṃ bhavet siddho nātra kāryā vicāraṇā ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
brahmacārī mitāhārī tyāgī yogaparāyaṇaḥ |
abdād ūrdhvam bhavet siddho nātra kāryā vicāranā ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
brahmacārī mitāhārī tyāgī yogaparāyaṇah ||
abdād ūrdhvam bhavet siddho nātra kāryā vicāranā || 3.28 ||
```

Comm.: Comment on tyāgī and yogī, and the reading of this verse in the Vivekamārtaṇḍa. It seems likely that Svātmārāma was using a version of the VM with tyāgī (as it's unlikely that yogī would be emended to tyāgī), but whether this was the original reading in the VM is more complicated because there tyāgī may be a dittographical-type mistake.

1.58

Transl.: When very unctuous and sweet food that is without a quarter portion is eaten for love of śiva, it is called a restricted diet. (*mitāhāra*).

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka (original)

```
susnigdhamadhurāhāraś caturthāmśavivarjitaḥ |
bhujyate śivasaṃprītyai mitāhāraḥ sa ucyate ||
```

Testimonia:

Yuktabhavadeva

```
tad uktaṃ haṭhapradīpikāyām-
susnigdhamadhurāhārāś caturthāṃśavivarjitaḥ ||
bhujyate śivasamprītyai mitāhāraḥ sa ucyate || 16 ||
```

Yogacūdāmanyupanisat

```
susnigdhamadhurāhāraścaturthāṃśavivarjitaḥ |
bhuñjate śivasaṃprītyā mitāhārī sa ucyate || 43 ||
```

Comm.: This verse probably derives from the 'original' GŚ (12c–13b). It is also found, but reworked to be about the *mitāhārī*, in Nowotny's GŚ (extended recension of VM) at 55. The idea of not eating the fourth portion of one's food (*caturthāṃśavivarjitaḥ*) can be found in older sources, such as Dharmaputrikā 1.51-52 (ṣaḍrasopetasuṣnigdhasvādusāndrasugand-

hinā | udarasyārdhabhāgan tu bhojanena prapūrayet || pānīyena caturbhāgam taccheṣam śūnyam iṣyate | vāyos sañcāraṇānārtham āhāraniyamaḥ smṛtaḥ) and Aṣṭāṅgahṛdayasaṃhitā Sūtrasthāna 8.46c-47b (annena kukṣer dvāv aṃśau pānenaikaṃ prapūrayet ||āśrayaṃ pavanādīnāṃ caturtham avaśeṣayet). As noted in the Jy

1.59

Transl.: [Adepts] say the [following] is unwholesome: pungent, sour, bitter, salty and hot foods, horseradish, sour gruel, [sesame] oil, sesame and mustard seeds, fish and intoxicating drink. Flesh of goats and so forth, curds, diluted buttermilk, poor man's pulse, Jujube fruit, the leftover paste of oily seeds, asafoetida, garlic and the like.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
haṭhapradīpikāyām—
kaṭvamlatīkṣṇalavaṇoṣṇaharītaśāka-
sauvīratailatilasarṣapamatsyamadyam |
ajādimāṃsadadhitakrakulatthakola-
pinyākahiṅgulaśunādyam apathyam āhuh ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
kaṭvamlatīkṣṇalavaṇoṣṇaharītaśākaṃ
sauvīratailatilasarṣapamatsyamadyam |
ajādimāṃsadadhitakrakulatthakodra-
piṇyākahiṅgulaśunādyam apathyam āhuḥ || 1.72 ||
```

нтк

```
atha varjyāni –
kaṭvamlatīkṣṇalavaṇoṣṇa haritaśāka-
sauvīratailatilasarṣapamatsyamadyam ||
ajāvimāṃsadadhitakrakulatthakola-
piṇyākahiṃgulaśunādyam apathyam āhuḥ || 28 ||
```

Comm.: 59a *kaṭvamla*° is better than *kaṭvāmla*° and well attested elsewhere in lists of tastes and types of foods. On the meaning of *uṣṇa* (in relation to food) see Meulenbeld 1974: 254 fn. 13: 'Cakra mentions as a variant: *katvamlalavaṇakṣāra* (pungent. acid, saline and caustic). Cakra remarks that the term 'hot' (*uṣṇa*) denotes hot on touch when it occurs the first time, and hot with regard to potency when it occurs for the second time.'

°hari(ī here for metre?)taśāka° in some nighantus is horseradish, which makes better sense

here than Brahmānanda's gloss.

Rājanighaņţu 7.26

śigrur haritaśākaś ca śākapattrah supattrakah

Sauśrutanighantu 75ab

śigruko haritaśākaś ca mato vai mūlapatrakaḥ |

Brahmānanda's understanding of *harītaśāka* as *patraśāka* is probably wrong if *patraśāka* was intended as 'leafy vegetables'. But perhaps *patraśāka* can also mean *śigru* (note *śākapattrah* above).

Anusvāra at end of śāka?

°sauvīra° probably means sour gruel. Brahmānanda: *sauvīra* = *kāñjika* (fermented rice water). Meulenbeld, madhavanidāna pp. 516–517 *sauvīra* is sour gruel made from barley and wheat. On the process see, Suśruta 1.44.35–40ab. (PV Sharma's translation of this passage:)

'Roots of trivṛt etc., the first group (*vidārigandhādi*), *mahat pañcamūla*, *mūrvā* and *śārṅgaṣṭā*, and also of *snuhī*, *haimavatī*, *triphalā*, *ativiṣā* and *vacā* – these are taken and divided into two parts out of which one is decocted and the other is powdered; now, crushed barley grains are impregnated with the above decoction several times, dried and then slightly fried. Taking three parts of this and one part of the above powder are put in a jar and mixed with their (of *trivṛt*, etc.) cold decoction and fermented properly. This is known as *sauvīraka*.'

But according to some nighanțus, *sauvīra* can also mean stibnite (an ingredient in some añjana's and medicines):

Rājanighaņţu 13.86

añjanam yāmunam kṛṣṇam nādeyam mecakam tathā srotojam drkpradam nīlam sauvīram ca suvīrajam ||

Note also that the Yogaprakāśikā takes *sauvīra* with *taila*, perhaps to solve the problem of *taila* on its own (see below for more on this): *sauvīrataila* – oil produced in the place Suvīra (*suvīradeśodbhavatailam*).

Suvīra , a country the people of which, also called Suvira (V.79), Sauvira (XVI.21) and Sauvīraka (IV.23) ... S.M. Ali identifies it with the Rohri - Khairpur region of Sind (Geography of the Purānas, Delhi, 1966, p. 144).

taila could refer to tilataila: Śārṅgadharasaṃhitā: anuktāvasthāyāṃ paribhāṣāvidhiḥ [...] taile 'nukte tilodbhavam 48

Dominik Wujastyk supplied this reference and may be able to comment more on taila in this list.

On the translation of *madya*, see Mchugh (An Unholy Brew) 2021: 8.

ājādimāṃsa: āja° is required for the metre, so only ājādi makes sense, not ājāvi because there is no adjective āvi.

Diwakar Acharya: prohibition of goat flesh and fish is aimed at north/east India.

kulattha is a kind of pulse, translated by Dominik Wujastyk as 'poor man's pulse' (see Roots of Ayurveda).

kola: Zizyphus Jujuba (Nadkarni 1926: pp. 919–920). Also known as *badara*. This is how Brahmānanda takes it (*kolaṃ kolyāḥ phalaṃ badaram*). According to Nadkarni, the fruit of the wild variety is very acid and astringent. It is believed to purify the blood and assist digestion. The bark is astringent and a simple remedy for diarrhoea. Root is useful as a decoction in fever and delirium. kola can mean banana in some parts of India (Diwakar)

There are references to *kola* being pungent, though this does not seem to indicate sufficiently why *kola* is mentioned separately as an *apathya* food.

piṇyāka: Sharma (Ḥalhaṇa and his commentary on drugs: 1982: 69) says, 'The remnant paste of oily seeds after pressing out the oil content is called *piṇyāka*.' Diwakar says it is an oil cake that has a strong flavour.

hiṅgu: Asafoetida (Nadkarni 1926: pp 360–361): 'If long continued even in moderate doses, it gives rise to alliaceous eructations, acrid irritation in the throat, flatulence, diarrhoea and burning in the urine.'

laśuna = garlic (Nadkarni 1926: 45).

1.60

Transl.: One should know food that has been reheated, is dry, too salty or sour, contains an excess of leafy vegetables that are hard to chew, [or] is spoiled to be unwholesome.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

bhojanam ahitam vidyāt punar <apy> uṣṇīkṛtam tathā rukṣam | atilavaṇam sapalam vā prasitam śākotkaṭam varjyam ||

HSC

bhojanam ahitam vidyāt punar uṣṇīkṛtam rūkṣam | atilavaṇādikayuktam kadaśanaśākotkaṭam duṣtam ||

Comm.: We have understood the compound *kadaśanaśākotkaṭa* as a dvandva referring to spoiled food (*kadaśana*) and an excess of leafy vegetables (*śākotkaṭa*). We have not found

any conclusive evidence for the meaning of *tilapiṇḍa*. Brahmānanda glosses it as *piṇyāka* (on which see the notes for the previous verse).

Not sure how to take *kadaśanaśākotkaṭaṃ*. Brahmānanda understands it as a dvandva (i.e., *kadaśana*, *śāka*, *utkaṭa*), where *śāka* is prohibited vegetables and *utkaṭa* is pepper.

The meaning of *utkaṭa* is not clear. The word *utkaṭā* can mean pepper according to some nighaṇṭus (e.g., Rājanighaṇṭu 5.16 *pārvatī śailajā tāmrā lambabījā tathotkaṭā*). But *utkaṭa* can refer to Saccharum Sara and *utkaṭā* also to Laurus Cassia (cinnamon).

Also, *utkaṭa* can be an adjective that means 'abounding in' at the end of a compound. So could *kadaśanaśākotkaṭaṃ* have been intended as an adjectival tatpuruṣa along the lines of '[food] full of spoiled vegetables'?

1.61

Transl.: Similarly a saying by Goraksa: One should avoid places near bad people, frequenting fire, women and roads, and observances which harm the body such as early morning bathing and fasting.

Sources:

Amṛtasiddhi 19.7

```
agnisevābalāsevā pathasevā ca sarvadā |
prathamābhyāsakāle tu saṃtyājyā yoginā sadā || 19.7 ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
haṭhadīpikāyām—
varjayed durjanaprītim vahnistrīpathasevanam |
prātaḥsnānopavāsādi kāyakleśādikam tathā ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
tathā ca gorakṣavacanam—
varjayed durjanaprītivahnistrīpathasevanam |
prātahsnānopavāṣādi kāyakleṣādikam tathā || 1.73 ||
```

Comm.: The vulgate has a parallel from the Amaraugha added. Stemma requires prāmtam, which is difficilior lectio in relationship to prītim.

1.62

Transl.: Pure food with wheat, rice, śāli rice, barley, sixty-day śāli rice, milk, ghee, cream, unclarified fermented butter, ground sugar and honey. Dried ginger, fruit of the snake

gourd and so forth, the five vegetables, mung beans and so on, and rain water are wholesome for the best of sages.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
godhūmaśāliyavaṣāṣṭikaśobhanānnaṃ
kṣīrājyamaṇḍanavanītasitāmadhūni |
śuṇṭhīpaṭolakaphalādikapañcaśākaṃ
mudgādi cālpam udakam ca munīndrapathyam ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
godhūmaśāliyavaṣaṣṭikaśobhanānnam
kṣīrājyamaṇḍanavanītasitāmadhūni |
śuṇṭhīpaṭolaphalapatrajapañcaśākaṃ
mudgādidivyam udakaṃ ca yamīndrapathyam || 1.71 ||
```

YBD

```
tathā ca śivayoge-
godhūmaśāliyavaṣāṣṭikaśobhanānnaṃ
kṣīrājyakhaṇḍanavanītasitāmadhūni ||
śuṇṭhīpaṭolakaphalādi ca pañcaśāka-
mudgādidivyam udakaṃ ca munīndrapathyam || 21 ||
```

Comm.: khanda – candied sugar (Meul 507, different types of sugar).

navanīta (MW fresh butter), Mchugh (2021) unclarified fermented butter.

 $sit\bar{a}$ – ground sugar (Meul 507, different types of sugar) "sit \bar{a} is very white and looks like gravel"

```
madhu - honey.
```

paṭola can refer to at least two different gourds. See Meul. p. 569 for a long list of possibilities, including TRICHOSANTHES DIOICA ROXB. ('pointed gourd'), T. CUCUMERINA LINN (snake gourd).

Nadkarni has two entries on patola:

- 1. snake gourd (Nadkarni p. 863) is common in Bengal and cultivated in Northern India and Punjab. The unripe fruit of this climbing plant is generally used as a culinary vegetable and is very wholesome, specially suited for the convalescent.
- 2. smooth luffa (Nadkarni p. 518) is a hairy climbing herb extensively cultivated in several parts of India. The fruit is edible. Medicinally it is described as 'cool, costive,

demulcent, producive of loss of appetite and excitive of wind, bile and phlegm")

Wikipedia : smooth luffa = Luffa aegyptiaca (sponge gourd) Sharma (Syn. Kulaka. Well known (Trichosanthas dioica Roxb.)

Brahmānanda glosses it as *kośātakī* (Meul p. 586 LUFFA ACUTANGULA ROXB), which suggests he thought it was some sort of luffa.

Brahmānanda also mentions the vernacular term *paravara* for *paṭola*, which the Lonavla ed. states is a kind of cucumber. However, Paras remarked that *paravara* is more like a gourd (hard shell, etc.).

On pañcaśāka, see GhS

```
bālaśākaṃ kālaśākaṃ tathā paṭolapatrakam | pañcaśākaṃ praśaṃsīyād vāstūkaṃ hilamocikāṃ || 5.20 ||
```

HTK 4.26

```
pañcaśākastu –
kṣīraparṇī ca jīvantī matsyākṣī ca punarnavā
meghanādaś ceti budhaiḥ pañcaśākaḥ prakīrtitaḥ || iti || 26
```

Jyotsnā and Yuktabhavadeva 4.22

```
sarvaśākam acākṣuṣyaṃ cākṣuṣyaṃ śākapañcakam |
jīvantī-vāstu-matsyākṣī-meghanāda- punarnavāḥ || iti ||
```

It is not entirely clear how we should understand *divya*. Brahmānanda glosses it with *nir-doṣa* and takes it with *udaka*. But could it refer more specifically to *gaṅgāmbu* (as suggested by Paras) or rain water? MW has *divyodaka* n. 'divine water' i.e. rainwater L.

The term *divyodaka* appears in Āyurvedic works (but we're yet to find a gloss in a commentary). E.g., Aṣṭāṅgaḥṛdaya 8.42–43

```
śīlayec chāligodhūmayavaṣaṣṭikajāngalam |
suniṣaṇṇakajīvantībālamūlakavāstukam || 42 ||
pathyāmalakamṛdvīkāpaṭolīmudgaśarkarāḥ |
ghṛtadivyodakakṣīrakṣaudradāḍimasaindhavam || 43 ||
```

SriKanta Murty translates divyodaka as 'divyodaka (rain water or pure water)'.

The Rajanighantu says rainwater:

```
divyodakam kharāri syād ākāśasalilam tathā |
vyomodakam cāntarikṣajalam ceṣvabhidhāhvayam || Rajni 14.4
```

Kharāri? Maybe khavāri was intended.

Vācaspatyam: **divyodaka** na° karma°. 1 antarīkṣabhave jale divyaśabde bhāva° pra° vākye tadbhedādi dṛśyam. ambuśabde vivṛṭiḥ .

62*1 is quoted in the Jyotsna as from a medical work ("vaidyake").

1.63

Transl.: The yogi should eat food that is sweet, delicious, unctuous, contains cow products, nourishes the bodily constituents, is desired by the mind and is appropriate.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani

```
piṣṭaṃ sumadhuraṃ snigdhaṃ gavyaṃ dhātuprapoṣaṇam |
manobhilasitam yogyam yogī bhojanam ācared iti ||
```

YBhD

```
śrestham sumadhuram snigdham gavyam dhātuprapoṣaṇam || mano'bhilaṣitam yogyam yogī bhojanamācaret || 23 ||
```

Comm.: The variants of 1.63a all seem possible: *mṛṣṭaṃ*, *miṣṭaṃ* and *iṣṭaṃ*. Maybe the last is made redundant by *mano 'bhilaṣitaṃ*.

1.64

Transl.: Whether young, old, very old, sick or even weak, the diligent yogi succeeds in all yogas through practice.

Sources:

DYS 40

```
yuvāvastho 'pi vṛddho vā vyādhito vā śanaiḥ śanaiḥ | abhyāsāt siddhim āpnoti yoge sarvo 'py atandritaḥ || 40 ||
```

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi

```
haṭhapradīpikāyām—
yuvā bālo 'tivṛddho vā vyādhito durbalo 'pi vā |
abhyāsāt siddhim āpnoti sarvayogeṣv atandritaḥ ||
```

Hatharatnāvalī

```
yuvā bhavati vṛddho 'pi vyādhito durbalo 'pi vā | abhyāsāt siddhim āpnoti sarvayogesv atandritaḥ || 1.23 ||
```

Comm.: Note the different reading in 164d for V1: *sarvaṃ yogī yatendriyaḥ. sarvaṃ* is not easy to construe, and the testimony of the DYŚ suggests that *yoge sarvo 'py atandritaḥ* was original.

1.65

Transl.: The postures, various breath retentions, and heavenly techniques: the whole practice of Haṭha [is to be done] until Rājayoga results.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī

pīthāni kumbhakāś citrā divyāni karaṇāni ca | sāngo 'pi ca hathābhyāso rājayogaphalārthadaḥ || 1.17 ||

Comm.: