2.1

Translation: Now, when [his] posture is steady, the disciplined yogi whose diet is good and measured should practise breath control in the way taught by [his] teacher.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Haṭharatnāvalī 3.78

atha prāṇāyāmaḥ—
athāsane dṛḍhe yogī vaśī hitamitāśanaḥ |
gurūpadiṣṭamārgeṇa prānāyāmān samabhyaset ||

Haṭhatattvakaumudī 36.1
atha nāḍīśuddhiḥ—
tathā coktaṃ yogacandrikāyām—
athāsane dṛḍhībhūte vaśī hitamitāśanaḥ |
gurūpadiṣṭamārgeṇa prānāyāmān samabhyaset ||
```

Commentary: The $\mathcal{J}yotsn\bar{a}$ (2.1) has the plural $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$, which is supported by some manuscripts of the β , γ and ϵ groups. The plural is possible here as it could refer to the different techniques of retention (kumbhaka) taught later in the chapter. This is how Brahmānanda understands it ($pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$ vakṣyamānān). The variation between singular and plural recurs through this chapter, and we have followed the α readings, which make good sense. In this case, since the verse is introducing the topic of breath control, the more general sense of the singular is appropriate.

2.2

Translation: When the wind moves, everything moves and, when it is still, everything is still, so the yogi attains motionlessness through restraining the breath.

Sources:

```
Vivekamārtaņda 71
```

```
cale vāte calaṃ sarvaṃ niścale niścalaṃ tathā | yogī sthāṇutvam āpnoti tato vāyunibandhanāt || 71d vāyunibandhanāt ] VT; vāyuṃ nibaṃdhayet A, vāyuṃ nirundhayet G
```

Cf. Amanaska 2.92

```
citte calati saṃsāro 'cale mokṣaḥ prajāyate | tasmāc cittaṃ sthirīkuryād audāsīnyaparāyaṇaḥ ||
```

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 3.79
```

cale vāte calaṃ cittaṃ niścale niścalaṃ tathā | yogī sthāṇutvam āpnoti tato vāyuṃ nirundhayet ||

Yogacintāmani f. 17r

tathā ca skandapurāṇe cale vāte calaṃ cittaṃ niścalaṃ niścale tathā | yogī sthānutvam āpnoti tato vāyum nirodhayet ||

Commentary: In the second verse quarter, most of the α , β and γ manuscripts have drdhabandhanam instead of the reading we have adopted, $ni\acute{s}calam$ $tath\bar{a}$, which is supported by some β , δ and η manuscripts, and also the source text (i.e. the $Vivekam\bar{a}rtanda$) and testimonia. The reading drdhabandhanam appears to be a dittographical error and does not make sense when read with either sarvam (α) or cittam (γ).

As noted by Brahmānanda, in addition to its primary meaning of immobility, *sthāṇutvam* can also mean the state of being Śiva, for whom Sthāṇu is another name.

In the fourth verse quarter, most witnesses have something similar to either $v\bar{a}yum$ nibandhayet and $v\bar{a}yum$ nirodhayet, which are likely to have arisen through confusion with 2.3d. The reading we have adopted ($v\bar{a}yunibandhan\bar{a}t$) makes better sense with the finite verb in the second verse quarter.

2.3

Translation: As long as breath is found in the body, there is said to be life. Its leaving is death, so one should restrain the breath.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 72

```
yāvad vāyuḥ sthito dehe tāvaj jīvitam ucyate | maraṇaṃ tasya niḥkrāntau tato vāyuṃ nirodhayet || 72a sthito ] sthiro A 72b jīvitam ] VAGBGPT; jīvanam GLGPk 72c niṣkrāntau ] VTA; niḥkrāṃtaṃ GBGP, niṣkrāntis GLGPk 72d vāyuṃ nirodhayet ] T; vāyunibandhanāt V, vāyum nibandhayet ATvl, vāyum nirundhayet G
```

Cf. Mrgendratantra 1.11.20cd-22ab

```
vyāpārād yasya ceṣṭante śārīrāḥ pañca vāyavaḥ ||
prāṇāpānād ayas te tu bhinnā vṛtter na vastutaḥ |
vṛttiṃ leśān nigadato bharadvāja nibodha me ||
```

vṛttiḥ praṇayanam nāma yat taj jīvanam ucyate |

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 3.80
```

yāvad vāyuḥ sthito dehe tāvaj jīvitam ucyate | maranam tasva niskrāntis tato vāyum nirodhayet ||

Yuktabhayadeya 11.150

yāvad vāyuḥ sthito dehe tāvad dehaṃ na muñcati | maraṇaṃ tasya niṣkrāntis tato vāyuṃ nirundhayet ||

Commentary:

We believe that *nirundhayet* was probably the original reading and that it was changed to the more correct form of *nirodhayet* and, in some cases, confused with the final verse quarter of the previous verse and changed to *nibandhanāt* (α) or *nibandhayet* (β).

2.4

Translation: When the channels are full of impurities, the breath does not go into the middle. How would the state beyond mind occur? How would perfection of the body arise?

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 3.81

malākulāsu nāḍīṣu māruto naiva madhyagaḥ | katham syād unmanībhāvah kāyasiddhih katham bhavet ||

2.5

Translation: Only when the entire impure network of channels is cleansed is the yogi able to control the breath.

Sources:

Vivekamartānda 76

śuddhim eti yadā sarvam nāḍīcakram malākulam | tadaiva jāyate yogī prāṇasaṃgrahaṇe kṣamaḥ ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi f. 90r

skandapurāṇe-

śuddhim eti yadā sarvaṃ nāḍīcakraṃ malākulam |

tadaiva jāyate yogī kṣamaḥ prāṇanibandhane ||

Yuktabhavedeva 7.11

śuddhim eti yadā sarvam nāḍīcakram malākulam | tadaiva jāyate yogī prānasamgrahane ksamah ||

Commentary:

2.6

Translation: Therefore [the yogi] should regularly practise breath [retention] with a resolute mind, so that the Suṣumṇā is in good condition and the impurities dry up.

Sources:

Cf. Goraksaśataka 73cd-74ab

prāṇābhyāsas tataḥ kāryo nityaṃ sattvāsthayā dhiyā | suṣumnāṃ layate cittaṃ na ca vāyuḥ pradhāvati ||

Testimonia:

Yogakarnikā 58 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpa)

prāṇāyāmaṃ tataḥ kuryān nityaṃ sāttvikayā dhiyā | susumnā cāntarālasthā malāh śosam prayānti ca ||

Commentary:

Metre: Anustubh (c: ma-vipulā)

2.7

Translation: Seated in the lotus pose, the yogi should fill himself up with air via the moon [channel], hold it for as long as he can, then expel it through the sun [channel].

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 77

baddhapadmāsano yogī prāṇaṃ candreṇa pūrayet | dhārayitvā yathāśakti bhūyaḥ sūryeṇa recayet || 77c yathāśakti] GT; yathāśaktyā VA 77d bhūyah] VAG; punah T

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.84ab

baddhapadmāsano yogī prāṇam candreṇa pūrayet |

Yuktabhayadeya 7.12

baddhapadmāsano yogī prāṇaṃ candreṇa pūrayet | dhārayitvā yathāśakti bhūyah sūryena recayet ||

2.8

Translation: And, drawing the breath through the sun [channel], he should gradually fill the abdomen. Having performed the retention as prescribed, he should then exhale through the moon [channel].

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 79

prāṇaṃ sūryeṇa cākṛṣya pūrayed udaraṃ śanaiḥ | vidhivat kumbhakam krtvā punaś candrena recayet ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.84cd-85ab

prāṇaṃ sūryeṇa cākṛṣya pūrayed udaraṃ śanaiḥ | vidhiyat kumbhakam krtvā punaś candrena recayet||

Yukabhayadeya 7.14

prāṇaṃ sūryeṇa cākṛṣya pūrayed udaraṃ śanaiḥ | kumbhayitvā vidhānena bhūyaś candreṇa recayet ||

2.9

Translation: [The yogi] should inhale through the [channel] by which he has exhaled and hold [the breath] without discomfort. And then he should exhale through the other [channel] slowly, not quickly.

Sources:

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 61

yathāśaktyāvirodhena tataḥ kuryāc ca kumbham | punas tyajet pingalayā śanair eva na vegataḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.85cd

```
yena tyajet tenāpūrya dhārayed avirodhataḥ || 85d avirodhataḥ ] anirodhataḥ P
```

Commentary: The meaning of *avirodhataḥ* ('without harm/discomfort') makes better sense in this context than *anirodhataḥ* ('without cessation'). One might try to construe *anirodhataḥ* as 'without stopping the breath,' but verse 2.7 clearly states that the breath should be held as long as possible (*yathāśakti*). According

to the apparatus of the critical edition of the $Hatharatn\bar{a}val\bar{\imath}$, avirodhatah is well-attested for the parallel hemistich. Furthermore, avirodhata is attested, as well as $virodhah\bar{\imath}na$, in a passage of the $Hatharattvakaumud\bar{\imath}$ (36.6–9) that appears to have been loosely based on $Hatharad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ 2.7–9:

prāṇāyāme padmapīṭhe svadakṣāṃ-guṣṭhenādau sanniruddhyendunāḍīm |
vāyuṃ nātidrāk śanair nātiyuktyā
vyākṛṣyordhvaṃ pūrayet svodarānte ||
yathā svaśaktyā laghu dhārayitvā
nāḍyā tataḥ piṅgalayā virecayet |
virodhahīnaṃ viratītamadhyaṃ
hṛdā sthireṇābhyasanaṃ muniś caret ||
yena tyajet tena virodhahīnaṃ
dhṛtvā purānyena virecayec chanaiḥ |
yānty evam abhyāsaratasya puṃsaḥ
sthitiṃ svalakṣye calacittavṛttayaḥ ||
yathāśaktyākṛṣya khagaṃ pūrayed udaraṃ śanaiḥ |
yathāśaktyā dhṛtam paścād recayed avirodhatah ||

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā)

2.10

Translation: If [the yogi] breathes in through Idā, he should then exhale the restrained [breath] through the other [channel, i.e. Pingalā]. He should inhale through Pingalā, hold the breath sufficiently long and release it through the left [channel]. The channels of ascetics meditating on the two orbs of the sun and moon using this method are purified after three months.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 81

prāṇaṃ ced iḍayā pibet niyamitaṃ bhūyo 'nyayā recayet pītvā piṅgalayā samīraṇam alaṃ baddhvā tyajed vāmayā | sūryācandramasor anena vidhinā bimbadvayaṃ dhyāyatāṃ śuddhā nāḍigaṇā bhavanti yaminā māsatrayād ūrdhvataḥ ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 90v

haṭhayoge 'pi prāṇaṃ ced iḍayā piben niyamito bhūyo 'nyayā recayet pītvā piṅgalayā samīranam atho baddhvā tyajed vāmayā | sūryācandramasor anena vidhinā bimbadvayam dhyāyatām śuddhā nādiganā bhavanti yaminām māsatrayād ūrdhvatah ||

Hatharatnāvalī 3.86

prāṇaṃ ced iḍayā piben niyamitaṃ bhūyo 'nyayā recayet pītvā piṅgalayā samīraṇaṃ atho baddhvā tyajed vāmayā | sūryācandramasor anena vidhinā bimbadvayaṃ dhyāyatāṃ śuddhā nādiganā bhavanti yaminām māsatrayād ūrdhvatah ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.16 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

prāṇaṃ ced iḍayā pibet parimitaṃ bhūyo 'nyayā recayet pītvā piṅgalayā samīraṇam amalaṃ baddhvā tyajed vāmayā | sūryācandramasor anena vidhinā bimbadvayaṃ dhyāyatām śuddhā nādiganā bhavanti yaminām māsatrayād ūrdhvatah ||

Commentary:

Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita

2.11

Translation: [The yogi] should gently practise [twenty] retentions four times [a day], at sunrise, midday, sunset and midnight, making a total of eighty.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.87

prātar madhyadine sāyam ardharātre ca kumbhakān || śanair aśītiparyantam caturvāram samabhyaset ||

Yogacintāmani f. 90v (attr. to the *Hathayoga*)

prātar madhyaṃ dine sāyam ardharātre ca kumbhakān || śanair aśītiparyantam caturvāram samabhyaset ||

Commentary: This verse is summarizing the following passage in the *Dattā-treyayogaśāstra* (63cd–65ab):

evaṃ prātaḥ samāsīnaḥ kuryād viṃśati kumbhakān || 63 || evaṃ madhyāhnasamaye kuryād viṃśati kumbhakān | evaṃ sāyaṃ prakurvīta punar viṃśati kumbhakān || 64 || evam evārdharātre 'pi kuryād vimśati kumbhakān |

Without reference to the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra*, the meaning of the second half of the verse is ambiguous because it could be understood as saying up to eighty retentions four times a day. In his *Jyotsnā* (2.11), Brahmānanda understands it this way, and takes *śanaih* to mean 'gradually' building up to the eighty retentions.

However the parallel verses in the *Dattātreyayogaśāstra* make it clear that twenty retentions (*kumbhaka*) are to be practised four times a day.

2.12

Translation: In the lesser cessation of the breath sweating arises, in the middle [cessation], shaking, and in the highest [the yogi] repeatedly rises up in the lotus pose.

Sources:

Cf. Vivekamārtanda 87

adhame ca ghano gharmaḥ kampo bhavati madhyame | uttiṣṭhaty uttame deho baddhapadmāsano muhuḥ || 87c uttiṣṭhaty uttame deho] T; uttiṣṭhaty uttamo deho V, uttame nu guṇam āpnoti A, uttame sthāṇum āpnoti GB, uttame sthānam āpnoti GL, uttiṣṭhaṃty uttame prāṇā GP 87d baddhapadmāsano muhuḥ] VT; tato vāyu nibandhayet A, tato vāyuṃ nirundhayet GBGL, vaddhapadmāsane muhūh GP

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.88

kanīyasi bhavet svedaḥ kampo bhavati madhyame | uttiṣṭhaty uttame prāṇarodhe padmāsane muhuḥ ||

Yogacintāmaņi 90v (attr. to the Hathayoga)

kanīyasi bhavet svedaḥ kampo bhavati madhyame | uttisthaty uttame prānarodhe padmāsanasthitah ||

Commentary: The manuscript readings diverge greatly in the second hemistich. In the third verse quarter, all of the manuscripts have $pr\bar{a}na$ in some form (instead of deha in the $Vivekam\bar{a}rtanda$). α and some of the β , ϵ and η manuscripts seem to be stating that it is $padm\bar{a}sana$ that rises up again and again in the highest stage of holding the breath (uttisthaty uttame $pr\bar{a}narodhe$ $padm\bar{a}sanam$ muhuh). We have adopted a similar reading but with $padm\bar{a}sane$ (β_2 , δ_1 and δ_3 , and η_2) because it makes better sense that the yogi rises up while seated in lotus pose. Another version is seen in η_2 (and others), which appears to say that the breaths rise up again and again when one is seated in the lotus pose (uttisthanty uttame $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ baddhe $padm\bar{a}sane$ muhuh). However, the verse is about the external signs that might arise in $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ rather than internal processes. Such confusion has arisen because the verse was taken from the $Vivekam\bar{a}rtanda$ without its context, which is a classification of different levels of $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$, so $Sv\bar{a}tm\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma$ needed to include $pr\bar{a}narodhe$ meaning $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ in order for the different adjectives to have something with which to agree, and he did so despite the infelicity of

prāṇarodhe crossing the *pāda* break.

2.13

Translation: [The yogi] should rub the limbs with the sweat produced through exertion. As a result the body becomes firm and lithe.

Sources:

Cf. Dattātrevayogaśāstra 75

```
prasvedo jāyate pūrvaṃ mardanaṃ tena kārayet | tato 'tidhāraṇād vāyoḥ krameṇaiva śanaiḥ śanaiḥ ||
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.89

```
jalena śramajātena aṅgamardanam ācaret | dṛḍhatā laghutā cāpi tathā gātrasya jāyate || 3.89 ||
```

Cf. Śivasamhitā 3.46

```
svedaḥ saṃjāyate dehe yoginaḥ prathamodyame | yadā saṃjāyate svedo mardanaṃ kārayet sudhīḥ | anyathā vigrahe dhātur nasto bhavati yoginah ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi 90v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

```
jalena śramajātena gātramardanam ācaret | drdhatā laghutā cāpi tena gātrasya jāyate ||
```

Commentary: *Śivasaṃhitā* 3.46 adds that if this practice is not done, the body's constituents (*dhātus*) are lost.

2.14

Translation: At the beginning of the practice, food with milk and ghee is recommended. After that, when the practice has become well established, there is no need to adopt such regulations.

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 3.43

abhyāsakāle prathamam kuryāt kṣīrājyabhojanam tato'bhyāse sthirībhūte na tādṛṅniyamagrahaḥ 3.43

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.24

```
abhyāsakāle prathame śastaṃ kṣīrādibhojanam |
tato 'bhyāse drdhībhūte na tāvan niyamagrahah ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 4.27 (attr. to the *Śivayoga*)

abhyāsakāle prathame śastam kṣīrādibhojanam | tato 'bhyāse drdhībhūte na tādrnniyamāgrahah ||

Commentary:

Metre: Anustubh (a: bha-vipulā)

2.15

Translation: Just as a lion, an elephant [or] a tiger is tamed gradually, so the breath is cultivated [gradually], otherwise it kills the practitioner.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 101

yathā siṃho gajo vyāghro bhaved vaśyaḥ śanaiḥ śānaiḥ | anyathā hanti yantāram tathā vāyur asevitah ||

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 3.90

yathā siṃho gajo vyāghro bhaved vaśyaḥ śanaiḥ | tathaiva sevito vāyur bhaved vaśyah śanaih śanaih ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.28 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

yathā siṃho gajo vyāghro bhaved vaśyaḥ śanaiḥ śanaiḥ | tathaiva sevito vāyur bhaved vaśyah śanaih śanaih ||

Commentary: The second hemistich of this verse has been rewritten to express the same idea (and simile) as that found in *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* 101, but the author of the *Vivekamārtanda* expresses it more clearly.

2.16

Translation: All diseases are destroyed by correct *prāṇāyāma*. As a result of incorrect practice any disease may arise.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 99

prāṇāyāmena yuktena sarvarogakṣayo bhavet | ayuktābhyāsayogena sarvarogasamudbhavaḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.90

prānāyāmena yuktena sarvarogaksayo bhavet |

ayuktābhyāsayogena sarvarogasamudbhavah ||

Yogacintāmaṇi 91v-92r (attr. to the *Skandapurāṇa*)

prāṇāyāmena yuktena sarvavyādhikṣayo bhavet | ayuktābhyāsayogena sarvavyādhisamudbhavah ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.26 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

prāṇāyāmena yuktena sarvarogasya saṃkṣayaḥ | ayuktābhyāsayogena sarvarogasya sambhavah ||

2.17

Translation: Hiccups, wheezing, cough, pains in the head, ears and eyes: various diseases arise as a result of the breath going awry.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 100

hikkā śvāsaś ca kāsaś ca śiraḥkarṇākṣivedanā | bhavanti vividhā dosāh pavanasya vyatikramāt ||

Testimonia:

Haṭharatnāvalī 3.92

hikkā śvāsaś ca kāsaś ca śiraḥkarṇākṣivedanāḥ | bhavanti vividhā rogāh pavanasya vyatikramāt ||

Yogacintāmaṇi 92r (attr. to the *Skandpurāṇa*)

hikkā śvāsaś ca kāsaś ca śiraḥkarṇākṣivedanāḥ | bhavanti vividhā rogāḥ pavanasya vyatikramāt ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.27 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

hikkā śvāsas tathā kāsaḥ śiraḥkarṇākṣivedanā | bhavanti vividhā rogāh pavanasya vyatikramāt ||

Commentary: This verse has parallels in verses on the illnesses caused by incorrect breathing in earlier Śaiva works, two examples of which are:

Śivadharmottara 10.124cd-125

hikkāśvāsapratiśyāyaḥ karṇadantākṣivedanāḥ || mūkatā jaḍatā kāsaḥ śirorogaḥ śramakṣaraḥ | ityevamādayo doṣā jāyante vyutkrameṇa tu ||

Dharmaputrikā 10.265–266ab

kaphakoṣṭhe yadā vāyur granthir bhūtvāvatiṣṭhate | hrllāsahikkikāśvāsaśirahśūlādayo rujāh || jāyante dhātuvaisamyāt tadā kuryāt pratikriyām |

2.18

Translation: [The yogi] should exhale correctly, inhale correctly and hold the breath correctly. He thus becomes purified.

Sources:

Vivekamārtanda 102

yuktam yuktam tyajed vāyum yuktam yuktam ca pūrayet | yuktam yuktam ca badhnīyād evam siddhim avāpnuyāt |

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 3.93

yuktam yuktam tyajed vāyum yuktam yuktam prapūrayet | yuktam yuktam ca badhnīyād evam siddhim avāpnuyāt ||

Yogacintāmaṇi 92v (attr. to the Skandpurāṇa)

yuktam yuktam tyajed vāyum yuktam yuktam ca pūrayet | yuktam yuktam ca badhnīyād ittham siddhyati yogavit ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.29 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

yuktam yuktam tyajed vāyum yuktam yuktam tu pūrayet | yuktam yuktam tu badhnīyād evam siddhim avāpnuyāt ||

2.19

Translation: When the channels are pure, external signs occur. Leanness and lustre of the body are certain to arise.

Sources:

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 67cd-69ab

yadā tu nāḍiśuddhiḥ syāt tadā cihnāni bāhyataḥ || jāyante yogino dehe tāni vakṣyāmy aśeṣataḥ | śarīralaghutā dīptir jaṭharāgnivivardhanam || kṛśatvaṃ ca śarīrasya tadā jāyeta niścitam |

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.94

yadā tu nādīśuddhiḥ syāt tadā cihnāni bāhyataḥ | kāyasya kṛśatā kāntir jāyate tasya niścitam ||

Yogacintāmaṇi 90v (attr. to the *Hathayoga*)

```
yadā nādīviśuddhiḥ syāt tadā cintānirākṛtā | kāyasya krśatā kāntis tadā jāyeta niścitam ||
```

Commentary: The idea that $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ is done to purify the channels $(n\bar{a}d\bar{\imath})$ can be found in discussions of $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ in early Śaiva tantras. For example, the $Nayas\bar{u}tra$ of the $Niśv\bar{a}satattvasamhit\bar{a}$ (4.110) and the Svacchandatantra (7.294cd–7.295ab) specifically refer to purifying the channels by inhaling through the left nostril and exhaling through the right, as stated in the latter:

```
apasavyena pūryeta savyenaiva virecayet | nāḍīsaṃśodhanaṃ caitan mokṣamārgapathasya ca ||
```

Metre: Anustubh (a: ma-vipulā)

2.20

Translation: The ability to hold the breath as long as one desires, stimulation of the [digestive] fire, manifestation of the inner sound [and] freedom from disease occur as a result of purifying the channels.

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņļa 101

yatheṣṭaṃ dhāraṇāṃ vāyor analasya pradīpanam | nādābhivyaktir ārogyaṃ jāyate nāḍīśodhanāt ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.95

yatheṣṭaṃ dhāraṇaṃ vāyor analasya pradīpanam | nādābhivyaktir ārogyaṃ jāyate nāḍiśodhanāt ||

Yogacintāmaņi 90v (attr. to the Skandapurāņa)

yatheṣṭaṃ dhāraṇaṃ vāyor analasya pradīpanam | nādābhivyaktir ārogyam bhaven nādīviśodhanāt ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.17 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

yatheṣṭaṃ dhāraṇaṃ vāyor analasya pradīpanam | nādābhivyaktir ārogyam jāyate nādīśodhanāt ||

Commentary: Similar signs (*cihna*) arising from the purification of the channels are mentioned in the *Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā* (2.68–69) and subsequent works related to it:

```
nāḍīśuddhim avāpnoti pṛthak cihnopalakṣitām |
śarīralaghutā dīptir jaṭharāgnivivardhanam ||
nādābhivyaktir ity etac cihnaṃ tacchuddhisūcakam |
```

yāvad etāni sampaśyet tāvad evam samācaret ||

2.21

Translation: The person who has an excess of fat and phlegm should first practise the six therapeutic interventions, but anyone else, because their humours are in balance, should not practise them.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi 8v (attr. to Ātmārāma)

medaḥśleṣmanivṛtyartham ṣaṭkarmāṇi samācaret | anyathā nācaret tāni doṣāṇām samatā yataḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.147 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

medaślemādisampūrņaḥ ṣaṭkarmāṇi samācaret | anyas tu nācaret tāni doṣāṇāṃ samabhāgikaḥ ||

Commentary: Manuscripts of the delta group, as well as η_2 and ε_3 , also have the valid readings of $medah\acute{s}lesm\bar{a}din\bar{a}\acute{s}\bar{a}rtham$ and $anyath\bar{a}$ in the first and third verse quarters, respectively. This version of the verse states that one should practise the six therapeutic interventions to remove fat, phlegm and the like, otherwise one should not practise them when the humours are in balance. However, the $p\bar{u}rvam$ ('first'), which is attested in two α manuscripts, as well as manuscripts of the β and γ groups, fits the context of these interventions being preliminary practices for $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$.

2.22

Translation: *Dhauti, basti, neti, trāṭaka, naulī* and *kapālabhātī*. These are said to be the six [therapeutic] techniques.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 1.27

haṭhapradīpikāyāmdhautir bastis tathā netis trāṭakaṃ naulikaṃ tathā | kapālabhrāntir etāni ṣaṭkarmāṇi pracakṣate ||

Yogacintāmaṇi 71r (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

atha ṣaṭkarmāṇi | haṭhapradīpikāyām || dhautī bastī tathā netī trāṭakaṃ naulikaṃ tathā | kapālabhātī caitāni satkarmāni pracaksate ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.148 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

dhautir bastiś ca netiś ca trāṭakaṃ naulikaṃ tathā | kapālabhāti caitāni satkarmāni pracaksate ||

Commentary: Manuscripts across all the groups contain many different spellings of the names of these techniques. As well as the requirements of the metre, the spellings we have favoured take into account the occurrences of each name in subsequent verses.

Metre: Anustubh (c: ma-vipulā)

2.23

Translation: This set of six techniques should be kept secret. Bringing about purification of the body [and] bestowing various good qualities, it is worshipped by the best yogis.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.28

karmāṣṭakam idaṃ gopyaṃ ghaṭaśodhanakārakam | kasya cin naiva vaktavyaṃ kulastrīsurataṃ yathā ||

Yogacintāmani 71r (attr. to the *Hathapradīpikā*)

karmaṣaṭkam idaṃ gopyaṃ ghaṭaśodhanakārakam | vicitraguṇasaṃdhāyi pūjyate yogipuṃgavaiḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.149 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

karmaṣaṭkam idaṃ gopyaṃ ghaṭaśodhanakāraṇam | vicitraguṇasandhāyī kriyate yogibhiḥ sadā ||

Commentary:

2.24

Translation: Among them is dhauti:

[The yogi] should slowly swallow a moistened cloth four finger-breadths in width and then draw it out. This ejection [of it] from the mouth is the dhauti technique.

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 1.37-38ab
     atha dhautih-
     vimśaddhastapramānena dhautavastram sudīrghitam |
     caturangulavistāram siktam caiva śanaih graset ||
     tatah pratyāharec caitad abhyāsād dhautir ucyate
Yogacintāmaņi f. 71r (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)
     atha dhautī |
     caturangulavistāram siktam vastram sanair graset |
     punah pratyāhared etad abhyāsād dhautikarmavit ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.150 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)
     caturamgulavistāram siktam vastram śanair graset
     tatah pratyāharec caitad āksālam dhautikarma tat ||
Cf. Satkarmasangraha 56-57
     atha dhautī |
     mrdulam dhavalam śuddham caturangulavistrtam |
     tithihastamitāyāmam dhautīvastrasya laksanam ||
     toyasiktam grased vastram ghrānābhyām vāyum utsrjan |
     śanaih sanais tu sakalam punah pratyāharec chanaih |
     dhautīkarmedam ākhyātam yatra gaṅgādhidaivatam ||
```

Commentary: The manuscripts contain many different readings for the fourth $p\bar{a}da$. α_3 and η_1 have the term $udg\bar{a}ram$, which rarely occurs in yoga texts. The basic meaning of $udg\bar{a}ra$ is the act of discharging something from the mouth, which fits the context of dhauti in so far as the cloth swallowed into the stomach is drawn back out through the mouth. Some of the other readings, such as uditam, $utth\bar{a}-nam$, etc., appear to be mistakes or patches that arose possibly because $udg\bar{a}ra$ is not normally neuter but masculine.

Many manuscripts have added verse quarters on the length of the cloth (hastapañ-cadaśena tu) and doing the practice according to the guru's teachings (gurūpadiṣṭa-mārgeṇa). These additional comments are absent in the α , γ and ϵ groups. Furthermore, the compound hastapañcadaśena does not seem to fit the syntax of the sentence. The other addition, on the guru's teaching, is a cliché that is probably being used here as a verse filler.

2.25

Translation: Coughing, wheezing, splenitis and skin diseases, as well as the

twenty phlegmatic diseases, are sure to disappear through the power of the dhauti technique.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.39

kāsaśvāsaplīhakuṣṭhaṃ kapharogāś ca viṃśatiḥ | dhautikarmaprabhāvena dhāvanty eva na samśayah ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 71r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

kāsaśvāsaplīhakuṣṭhaṃ kapharogāś ca vidradhiḥ | dhautīkarmaprabhāvena prayānty eva na samśayah ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.151 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

plīhā śvāsaś ca kuṣṭhaṃ ca kapharogāś ca viṃśatiḥ | dhautikarmaprabhāvena gacchanty eva na samśayah ||

Cf. Satkarmasangraha 58

kāsasvāsaplīhakuṣṭhādināśam vahner māndyaṃ viṃśatiḥ śleṣarogān | dūrīkuryāt karṇabādhir tam uccair dhautīkarma praditaṃ śaṅkareṇa ||

Commentary: The verb $dh\bar{a}vanti$ is a play on words, using a different root $dh\bar{a}v$, "run", from that of dhauti, which is derived from $dh\bar{a}v$, "purify".

Twenty phlegmatic diseases are enumerated in the $Carakasamhit\bar{a}$, $s\bar{u}trasth\bar{a}na$ 20.17, a chapter on major diseases ($mah\bar{a}roga$).

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā)

2.26

Translation: Now the *basti* technique.

Squatting in water up to the navel with a reed inserted in the anus, [the yogi] should contract the perineal region ($\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ra^{\circ}$). The [resultant] flushing is the basti technique.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.45-47

nābhidaghne jale sthitvā pāyunāle sthitāngulih |

```
cakrimārgeņa jaṭharaṃ pāyunālena pūrayet ||
vicitrakaraṇīm kṛtvā nirbhītaḥ recayej jalam |
yāvad balaṃ prapūryaiva kṣaṇaṃ sthitvā virecayet ||
ghaṭītrayaṃ na bhoktavyaṃ bastim abhyasatā dhruvam |
nivātabhūmau santiṣṭhed vaśī hitamitāśanaḥ ||
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 71r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
atha vastī |
nābhidaghne jale pāyunyastanālotkaṭāsanaḥ |
ādhārākuñcanaṃ kuryād abhyāsād vastikarmavit ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.152 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
nābhidaghne jale pāyau nyastanālotkaṭāsanaḥ |
ādhārā kuñcanaṃ kuryāt kṣālanaṃ bastikarma tat ||
Cf. Satkarmasaṅgraha 132
```

naulīkriyāsusaṃpannas tyaktamūtramalaḥ sudhīḥ | jānudaghne jale kuryād bastim bastividhānavit ||

Commentary: The reading $pakh\bar{a}lam$ that we have adopted in the fourth $p\bar{a}da$ is found in β_{ω} and α_{1} and reflects vernacular usage as found in the old Hindi $Ast\bar{a}ngayoga$ of Caraṇadāsa (6.71ab). Some other witnesses have the Sanskritised form $praks\bar{a}lam$, which is very rare in Sanskrit sources, while others have the more common $ks\bar{a}lanam$.

2.27

Translation: By the power of the basti technique, swelling, splenitis, stomach disorders and all diseases arising from wind, bile and phlegm are removed.

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 1.48
```

```
gulmaplīhodaraṃ vāpi vātapittakaphādikam |
bastikarmaprabhāvena dhāvanty eva saṃśayaḥ ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi 71r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

gulmodaraṃ cāpi vātaplīhapittakaphodbhavāḥ | vastikarmaprabhāvena bādhyante sakalāmayāh ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.153 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

gulmaplīhodaram cāpi vātapittakaphodbhavāḥ | bastikarmaprabhāvena našyanti sakalāmayāh ||

Cf. Satkarmasangraha 135, 140-141

```
yāvan malā vinaśyanti vātapittakaphodbhāvāḥ |
trivāraṃ vā caturvāraṃ kṛtvā bastim virecayet ||
mahojasvī mahajjyotir jaṭharāgnipradīpanam |
gulmaplīhodarādīnāṃ nāśanaṃ sukhavardhanam ||
vātapittakaphottānāṃ doṣāṇāṃ nāśanaṃ paraṃ |
kusthānām nāśanam cāpi bastisiddhe prajāyate ||
```

Commentary: We have assumed that the compound *gulmaplīhodara* is referring generally to swelling (*gulma*), spleen disorders (*plīhan*) and stomach diseases (*udara*). However, the terms *gulma* and *plīhodara* can be understood as more specific diseases. In *Suśrutasaṃhitā*, *uttaratantra* 42.4, *gulma* is defined as a movable or immovable round lump (*granthi*) that might arise between the heart and lower abdomen (*basti*) and might grow or shrink:

```
hṛdbastyorantare granthiḥ saṃcārī yadi vā ʾcalaḥ | cayāpacayavān vṛttaḥ sa gulma iti kīrtitaḥ ||
```

The compound *plīhodara* is the name of a specific disease, which is defined in the *Suśrutasaṃhitā* (*nidānasthāna* 7.14–15) as enlargement of the spleen (*plīhābhivṛd-dhi*) so *gulmaplīhodara* might be referring more specifically to abdominal lumps and splenomegaly.

2.28

Translation: When practised repeatedly, the water enema (*jalabasti*) technique bestows clarity of the bodily constituents, senses and mind, radiance, [and] stimulation of the digestive fire, and removes [excessive] accumulation of all humours.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.49

```
dhātvindriyāntaḥkaraṇaprasādaṃ
dadyāc ca kāntiṃ dahanapradīptim |
aśeṣadoṣopacayaṃ nihanyād
abhyasyamānaṃ jalabastikarma ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi 71r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
dhātvindriyāntaḥkaraṇaprasādaṃ
dadhyāc ca kāntiṃ dahanapradīptim |
aśeṣadoṣopacayaṃ nihanyād
abhyasyamānaṃ jalavastikarma ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.154 (attr. to the $Haṭhaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$)

dhātvīndrintah karanaprabodham

dadāti kāntiṃ dahanapradīptim | aśeṣadoṣopacayaṃ nihanyād abhyasyamānam jalavastikarma ||

Cf. Satkarmasangraha 139-140ab

tiṣṭhed vaśī mitāhāraḥ sarvāṅgaṃ tena śudhyati | dhātvindriyāntaḥkaraṇaprasādo dehalāghavam || mahojasvī mahajjyotir jaṭharāgnipradīpanam |

Metre: Upajāti

2.29

Translation: Raising the *apāna* wind into the oesophagus (*kaṇṭhanāle*) and ejecting the contents of the stomach from the windpipe, which has been brought under control by cumulative practice, is called the elephant technique by experts in Hatha.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.51

udaragatapadārtham udvamantī pavanam apānam udīrya kaṇṭhanāle | kramaparicayatas tu vāyumārge gajakaraṇīti nigadyate haṭhajñaiḥ || 1.51 ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.154 (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

udaragatapadārtham udvamantī pavanamapānam udīrya kaṇṭhanāle | kramaparicayavaśyavāyumārgā gajakaraṇīti nigadyate haṭhajñaiḥ ||

Hațhatattvakaumudī 8.8

udaragatapadārthān udvamed eva nityaṃ pavanagamanamārgāt kaṇṭhanālapraveśāt || kramaparicayavaśyaṃ syāc ca gargādayo hi gajakaraṇam itīha prahur āryā munīndrāḥ ||

Cf. Satkarmasangraha 108–109

atha gajakaraṇī śuddhaṃ toyaṃ nārikelodbhavaṃ vā pītvākaṇṭhaṃ dugdhamiśraṃ jalaṃ vā |

```
vāraṃ vāraṃ māṇibandhaṃ tu kurvan
nodgāreṇa prakṣiped bhūmibhāge ||
eṣā proktā kaphapittāmayeṣu
medoghnīva kariṇī hastipūrvā ||
```

Commentary: Some manuscripts, including η_2 and β_ω , have an alternative reading for the third verse quarter that appears to be explaining the name of the practice. In other words, it is called the elephant technique 'because the speed of the breath is like that of water [propelled] by elephants' (*karibhir iva jalasya vāyuvegāt*). The syntax of this reading is not so easy to construe with the rest of the verse, which suggests that it was not original. The reading we have adopted (i.e., *kramaparicayavaśya...*) is attested by manuscripts of the most important groups (α_1 and α_2 , β_2 , the γ group, etc.) and the same witnesses preserve $m\bar{a}rga$ (rather than vega).

Metre: Pușpitāgrā

2.30

Translation: Now neti.

[The yogi] should insert a very smooth thread one handspan [in length] into the nasal passage and take it out through the mouth. This is called neti by the Siddhas.

Testimonia:

```
Cf. Hatharatnāvalī 1.40-41
      atha netikarma-
      ākhupucchākāranibham sūtram susnigdhanirmitam |
      sadvitastimitam sūtram netisūtrasya laksanam || 1.40 ||
      nāsānāle praviśyainam mukhān nirgamayet kramāt |
      sūtrasyāntam prabaddhvā tu bhrāmayen nāsanālayoh |
Yogacintāmani 71r-71v (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)
      atha netī |
      sūtram vitastisusnigdham nāsānāle pravešayet
      mukhān nirgamayet sā hi netī siddhair nigadyate ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.156 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)
      sūtram vitastisusnigdham nāsānāle praveśayet |
      mukhān nirgamayed eṣā netih siddhair nigadvate ||
Cf. Satkarmasangraha 67
      atha netī
      mrdu ślaksnam sitam sūtram nāsānāle praveśayet
```

mukhān nirgamayed dasrau cintayen netikā smṛtā ||

Commentary: According to Turner's Comparative and Etymological Dictionary (1966: 427, entry 7588), the word $net\bar{\imath}$ in Hindi refers to the cord of a churning stick and is cognate with the Sanskrit netra. The action of pulling the cord of a churning stick is similar to the way the thread can be pulled back and forth, from side to side, through the nostril and mouth.

The reading we have adopted in the third verse quarter ($mukh\bar{a}n$ nirgamayec $cais\bar{a}$) is well attested but may not be original because the first hemistich has a finite verb and the $es\bar{a}$ must be construed with netih in the fourth verse quarter. The alternative reading $mukhanirgaman\bar{a}d$ eva (η_1 and η_2) may be original but is difficult to construe and $mukh\bar{a}n$ nirgamayet makes better sense in terms of describing the final part of the practice.

2.31

Translation: [The technique] with a small thread purifies the skull, bestows divine sight and quickly cures a multitude of diseases that arise above the jaw.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.42

kapālaśodhinī kāryā divyadṛṣṭipradāyinī | [caiva -P] jatrūrdhvajātarogaghnī jāyate netir uttamā || 1.42 ||

Yogacintāmaṇi 71v (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

kapālašodhanī caiva divyadṛṣṭipradīpinī | jatrūrdhvajātarogaughān jarayaty āśu netivit ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.156 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

kapālašodhinī caiva divyadṛṣṭipradāyinī | jatrūrdhvajātarogādyaiḥ jayatyeva suniścitam ||

Commentary: We have adopted the reading *caiva* in the first verse quarter, which is attested by manuscripts of the β , γ and δ groups and is easy to construe. The α manuscripts have $kanth\bar{a}$ and $vaty\bar{a}$, which may derive from $kanthy\bar{a}$ (ϵ_1), but none of these readings makes sense here. η_1 and η_2 have $k\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$, which is unnecessary because of the main verb in the final verse quarter.

Most witnesses, including the $Jyotsn\bar{a}$, read $jatr\bar{u}rdhva^{\circ}$ in the third verse quarter, which is generally understood as 'above the collar bones' or, as Brahmānanda says, the area above the shoulder joints (jatruṇoh skandhasandhyor $\bar{u}rdhvam$ uparibhāge). On problems concerning the interpretation of jatru, see Meulenbeld 1974: 465. We have adopted the reading of α , $han\bar{u}rdhva^{\circ}$, 'above the jaw', which makes

good sense in the context of *neti* because it is supposed to cleanse the skull (*kapāla*) or, in other words, the head.

Different readings exist for the last verse quarter. η_1 has $jayati \, s\bar{a} \, tu \, s\bar{u}trik\bar{a}$, which is unmetrical, but the word $s\bar{u}trik\bar{a}$ may have been original because it explains the problematic readings that arose in the other witnesses when attempts were made to replace $s\bar{u}trik\bar{a}$ with neti. The variants with netivit seem implausible because of the epithets in the first hemistich, which require a feminine noun to be understood as the subject of the sentence. The reading $netir\,\bar{a}su\,nihanti\,ca$ looks like a patch that was adopted later in the transmission. The reading we have conjectured $jayaty\,\bar{a}su\,tu\,s\bar{u}trik\bar{a}$ retains $s\bar{u}trik\bar{a}$ and corrects the metrical fault of η_1 by adopting $jataty\,\bar{a}su$, which is well attested across the stemma.

2.32

Translation: Now trātaka:

[The yogi] should concentrate and look at a small focal point with a fixed gaze until tears fall. The experts consider this to be trāṭaka.

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 1.54
      atha trātakam-
      nirīksya niścaladrśā sūksmalaksyam samāhitah |
      aśrusampātaparyantam ācāryais trātakam smrtam ||
Yogacintāmani 71v (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)
      atha trātakam |
      nirīksen niścaladrśā sūksmalaksyam samāhitah |
      aśruprapātaparyantam āryais tat trātakam matam ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.158 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
      atha trātakam |
      vīkseta niścaladrśā sulaksyam ca samāhitah |
      aśrusampātaparyantam ācāryais trātakam smrtam ||
Cf. Satkarmasangraha 40cd-41ab
      atha trātakam
      sūksmalaksye drśau sthāpya nirnimeṣaś ciraṃ bhavet |
      aśrusampātaparyantam karma trātakam īritam ||
```

Metre: Anustubh (a: na-vipulā)

2.33

Translation: It is the destroyer of eye diseases and the door [shutting out] sloth and so forth. Trāṭaka should be carefully concealed like a chest of gold.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.55

sphoṭanaṃ netrarogāṇāṃ tandrādīnāṃ kapāṭakam | prayatnāt trāṭakaṃ gopyaṃ yathā ratnasupeṭakam ||

Yogacintāmaņi 71v (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

moṭanaṃ netrarogānāṃ tandrādīnāṃ kapāṭakam | etac ca trāṭakaṃ gopyaṃ yathā hāṭakapeṭakam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 6.159 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

moṭakaṃ sarvarogāṇāṃ tandrādīnāṃ kapāṭanam || yatnatas trātakam gopyam yathā hātakapetakam ||

Cf. Satkarmasangraha 41cd-42ab

atha trāṭakam vaṅglāvikaraṇasthe 'sminn antarjyotiḥ prakāśyate | netrarogās tathā tandrā naśyantīty āha dhūrjatih ||

Commentary: The witnesses have many different readings for the first word of this verse. The α manuscripts are split between modaka (α_1), mocaka and mohana. Of these, modaka is the most likely, if it is understood as a medicinal pill. However this meaning is rare, even in medical literature, as modaka is generally used to refer to a small sweet. We have adopted moṭakam, which is attested by η_1 and δ_2 , as well as the Yuktabhavadeva. We understand it to mean "destroyer." Bohtlingk and Roth (s.v.) and Monier-Williams (s.v.) give medicinal pill as a possible meaning of moṭaka (cf. modaka) but it appears that this is mainly an inference drawn only from this verse, where the pill is merely a comparison. Several witnesses have sphoṭanam ('destroying'), which is also possible.

The reading *kapāṭakam* in the second verse quarter is found in most of the witnesses and testimonia and we have adopted it accordingly. The context indicates that it means "shutter" (in the sense of shutting out something), but we have not found any parallel usages of it in this sense.

2.34

Translation: Now naulī:

With the shoulders lowered, [the yogi] should rotate the stomach to the left and right with the speed of a rapid whirlpool. This is called naulī by people from

Gauda.

Testimonia:

```
Haṭharatnāvalī 1.34
```

atha nauliḥ-

amandāvartavegena tundaṃ savyāpasavyataḥ | natāmso bhrāmayed esā naulih gaudaih praśasyate ||

Yogacintāmaṇi 71v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

atha naulī

amandāvartavegena tundam savyāpasavyayoḥ | natāmso bhrāmayed esā naulī yoge pracaksate ||

Yuktabhavadeva 6.162 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

atha naulī

amandāvartavegena tundam savyāpasavyataḥ | natāmso bhrāmayed esā naulir gaudaih praśasyate ||

Cf. Satkarmasangraha 110cd-111

atha naulī

amandāvartavegena jaṭharaṃ dakṣavāmayoḥ | cālayec chambhunā proktam tatra laksmyadhidevatā |

bāhyanaulir iyam proktā jaṭharānaladīpinī ||

Commentary: It is worth noting that α_1 has $laul\bar{\iota}$ (instead of $naul\bar{\iota}$) as the name of this practice in verses 2.34–35 and laulikam in 2.22. The vast majority of manuscripts, including α_2 , and the most important testimonia support $naul\bar{\iota}$ or naulih but the names laulika and $laulik\bar{\iota}$ do occur in some more recent works, such as the $Hathayogasamhit\bar{\iota}$ (p. 4), $Gherandasamhit\bar{\iota}$ (1.12), $Gherandasamhit\bar{\iota}$ (p. 54–55) and $Gherandasamhit\bar{\iota}$ (p. 56).

Mahant Bālyogī Rām Bālak Dās performing nauli at Dīrgheśvar Mahādev, U.P., in 2012.

The reading gaudaih is found in only one collated manuscript (η_2) but it is close to the readings of two α manuscripts, gaulaih (α_1) and golaih (α_3) , and is also attested by the $Hatharatn\bar{a}val\bar{\iota}$ and Yuktabhavadeva. This version of the verse, which is likely original, suggests that it was thought that the name $naul\bar{\iota}$ came from the region known today as Bengal. The reading gaudaih explains many of the mistakes in other manuscripts, such as $gaul\bar{\iota}h$, maulaih, etc.

2.35

Translation: Naulī brings about stimulation of the fire in the stomach, [good] digestion and the like, always brings bliss, and makes all humoural disorders and

diseases wither away. This naulī is the best of all Haṭha techniques.

Sources:

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.35

tundāgnisandīpanapācanādisandīpikānandakarī sadaiva | aśeṣadoṣāmayaśoṣaṇī ca haṭhakriyāmaulir iyaṃ ca nauliḥ ||

Yogacintāmaṇi 71v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

mandāgnisaṃdīpanapācanāgnisaṃdhāyikānandakarī tathaiva | aśeṣadoṣāmayaśoṣinī ca haṭhakriyāmaulir iyaṃ hi naulī ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.163 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

mandāgnisandīpanapācanādisandhāvanānandakarī sadaiva | aśeṣadoṣāmayaśoṣaṇīyaṃ haṭhakriyāmaulir iyaṃ hi nauliḥ ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 8.12

mandāgnisandīpanapācanādisandhāyikānandakarī sadaiva | aśeṣadoṣopacayaśoṣaṇīva haṭhakriyā 'sau jayatīha nauliḥ ||

Commentary: α_1 and α_2 , β_2 , and ϵ_1 have $tund\bar{a}gni^\circ$ in the first verse quarter. This reading is also supported by all of the manuscripts collated for the published edition of the $Hatharatn\bar{a}val\bar{\iota}$. The compound $tund\bar{a}gni$ is rare in Sanskrit literature but it was likely used here because the term tunda appears in the previous verse, which explains how naul $\bar{\iota}$ is done. The compound $tund\bar{a}gni$ seems synonymous with $udar\bar{a}gni$, $jathar\bar{a}gni$,

Most witnesses and the testimonia have *maulir iyaṃ* in the fourth verse quarter, which expresses the idea that naulī was thought to be the best of the *ṣaṭkarma*, and the assonance of *naulī* and *mauli* may have been intended. The alternative reading of $m\bar{u}lam$ iyaṃ in η_1 and ε_1 would suggest that *nauli* is necessary for the other practices, which does not seem to be the case because, according to $Hathaprad\bar{i}pik\bar{a}$ 2.21, the *ṣaṭkarma* are more like therapeutic interventions.

Metre: Upajāti

2.36

Translation: Now kapālabhātī:

Rapid inhalation and exhalation like the bellows of a blacksmith is called kapālabhātī, the skull bellows. It dries up imbalances of phlegm.

Testimonia:

```
Hațharatnāvalī 1.56
```

atha kapālabhastrikā– bhastrival lohakārānām recapūrasusambhramau |

kapālabhastrī vikhyātā sarvarogavišoṣaṇī \parallel

Yogacintāmaṇi 71v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

atha kapālabhātī |

bhastreva lohakārāṇāṃ recapūrau sasaṃbhramau |

kapālabhātī vikhyātā kaphadosaviśosinī ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.163 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

atha kapālabhātih |

bhastrāval lohakārānām recapūrau sasambhramau |

kapālabhātir vikhyātā kaphadoṣaviśoṣiṇī ||

Cf. Satkarmasangraha 50cd-51

atha bhastrā

lohakārasya bhastreva recapūrau tu vegataḥ || punaḥ punaḥ prakurvīta sthiramūrdhnā prayatnataḥ | sthirabhastreti ca khyāta yoginām siddhidāyakā ||

Commentary: The word $bh\bar{a}t\bar{\iota}$ is derived from $bhastr\bar{\iota}$ (Turner 1966: 537, entry 9424).

 η_2 and other manuscripts have *kuryāt savyāpasavyataḥ* ('left and right') instead of *recapūrau sasambhramau*. Although one might infer that *savyāpasavyataḥ* is referring to performing the skull bellows breathing alternately through the left and right nostrils, it appears to be a secondary reading because there is no indication of what is moving to the left and right. Such a method of alternate nostril breathing is explained as a variation of kapālabhāti in the *Haṭhayogasaṃhitā* (p. 14):

```
iḍayā pūrayed vāyuṃ recayet piṅgalākhyayā |
piṅgalayā pūrayitvā punaś candreṇa recayet |
pūrakam recakam krtvā vegena na tu cālayet ||
```

In the second verse quarter, the α manuscripts have *susambhramau* ('great speed') instead of the reading we have adopted *sasambhramau* ('fast'). The syntax requires *sasambhramau* because it is an adjectival compound that must agree with *recapūrau* ('the exhalation and inhalation').

Metre: Anustubh (c: ma-vipulā)

2.37

Translation: The person whose excess weight, phlegm, fat, impurities and the like have been removed by the six techniques should then perform breath-control. It succeeds without effort.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.60

karmāṣṭabhir gatasthaulyaṃ kaphamedomalādikam | prāṇāyāmaṃ tataḥ kuryād anāyāsena siddhyati ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 8v

tathā cātmārāmaḥ ṣaṭkarmanirgatasthaulyakaphamedogadādikaḥ | prāṇāyāmaṃ tataḥ kuryād anāyāsena sidhyati || Yuktabhavadeva 7.165 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

şaṭkarmabhir gatasthaulyam kaphamedomalātigaḥ | prānāyāmam tatah kuryād anāyāsena sidhyati ||

2.38

Translation: Some teachers say that all impurities are dried up by means of breath-controls alone and do not recommend any other practice.

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaņi ff. 8v-9r (attr. to Ātmārāma)

prāṇāyāmair eva sarvaiḥ praśuṣyanti malā yataḥ iti | ācāryāṇāṃ tu keṣāṃ cid anya krama na saṃmatam iti ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.166 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

prāṇāyāmair eva sarve praśuṣyanti malā iti | ācāryānām tu kesāñ cid anyat karma na sammatam ||

Commentary: The plural of *prāṇāyāma*, which we have translated here as 'breath-controls', probably refers to practising multiple repetitions of breath retentions with alternate nostril breathing.

Many witnesses lower on the stemma have *malāśaya* (instead of *malā api* or *malā iti*) in the second verse quarter but this usually has the more specific meaning of bowels or bladder and so seems inappropriate in a general statement. The witnesses that have *malāśaya* also have the verb *pra+śudh*, which connotes that the

place where the impurities accumulate is cleaned (rather than the impurities themselves).

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā)

2.39

Translation: Even Brahmā and the other gods became devoted to breath practice through fear of death, so one should perform breath practice.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 3.82

brahmādayo 'pi tridaśāḥ pavanābhyāsatatparāḥ | abhūvan mrtyurahitā tasmāt pavanam abhyaset ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 8.19

brahmādayo 'pi tridaśāḥ pavanābhyāsatatparāḥ | tena siddhim gatā yoge tasmāt pavanam abhyaset ||

Commentary: This verse has been rewritten in η_2 and the delta group of manuscripts. η_2 's reading attempts, somewhat unsuccessfully, to connect this verse more directly to the *satkarma*:

```
ṣaṭkarmayogam āpnoti pavanābhyāsatatparaḥ |
sumanaskāmtako bhavya[s] tasmāt pavanam abhyaset ||
```

Different versions of this verse occur in η_2 and ϵ_3 . Neither of these appear to be original as the compound $sumanask\bar{a}ntaka$ ('dying with the mind active'?) is rather odd. The δ group of manuscripts has another reading for the third quarter ($tena\ siddhim\ gat\bar{a}s\ te\ ca$), which puts a more positive spin on the verse in as much as one should practise $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ because through it the gods attained perfection.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: bha-vipulā; c: na-vipulā)

2.40

Translation: So long as the breath is bound in the body, so long as the mind is without support, so long as the gaze is on the middle of the brow, where is the fear of death?

Sources:

Vivekamārtaņda 73

```
yāvad baddho marud dehe tāvac cittaṃ nirāśrayam |
yāvad vīkṣā bhruvor madhye tāvat kālabhayaṃ kutaḥ ||
```

vīksā] T; drstir cett.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 92r (attr. to the Skandapurāna)
```

```
yāvad baddho marud dehe yāvad vṛttau nirāśrayam | yāvad drstir bhruvor madhye tāvat kālabhayam kutah ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.8 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

```
yāvad baddho marud dehe yāvac cittaṃ nirāmayam | yāvad drstir bhruvor madhye tāvat kālabhayam kutah ||
```

Commentary: We have adopted the reading $v\bar{t}k\bar{s}a$ in the third verse quarter, which is an emendation of α_1 and α_2 's $v\bar{t}k\bar{s}ed$. The verb $v\bar{t}k\bar{s}ed$ is likely an error as the $y\bar{a}vat$ clauses appear to have been written as nominal phrases. The reading $v\bar{t}k\bar{s}a$ occurs in the same verse of the six-chapter version of the $Vivekam\bar{a}rtanda$ (siglum T), which sometimes preserves old readings of that text.

2.41

Translation: When the network of channels has been purified by breath-controls as prescribed, the breath pierces the mouth of Suṣumṇā and enters it with ease.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.2

```
vidhivat prāṇasaṃyāmaiḥ nāḍicakre viśodhite | susumnāvadanam bhitvā sukhād viśati mārutah ||
```

Yogacintāmaņi f. 18r

```
haṭhapradīpikāyām—
vividhaiḥ prāṇasaṃyāmaiḥ nāḍīcakre viśodhite |
suṣumnāvadanaṃ bhitvā sukhād viśati mārutaḥ ||
```

Commentary: The compound *prāṇasaṃyāmaiḥ* ('breath-controls') should be understood here as a synonym for *prāṇāyāmaiḥ* as found in 2.38 (on which see the note on this verse).

2.42

Translation: When the breath moves in the middle, stillness of the mind arises. The mind's becoming very still is the 'mind beyond mind' (*manonmanī*) state.

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.3

mārute madhyame jāte manahsthairyam prajāyate

```
manasaḥ susthirībhāvaḥ saivāvasthā manonmanī ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 18a (attr. to Haṭhapradīpikā)

mārute madhyasaṃcāre manaḥsthairyaṃ prajāyate |

yo manaḥsusthirībhāvaḥ saivāvasthā manonmanī ||
```

2.43

Translation: In order to achieve that, he who knows [their] methods should perform various retentions. As a result of the practice of the various retentions, [the yogi] obtains various results.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.4

tatsiddhaye vidhānajñaḥ sadā kurvīta kumbhakān | vicitrakumbhakābhyāsād vicitrām siddhim āpnuyāt ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.92 (attr. to the Yājñavalkyagītā)

tatsiddhaye vidhānajñāś citrān kurvanti kumbhakān | vicitrakumbhakābhyāsād vicitrām siddhim āpnuyāt ||

Commentary: The majority of witnesses, including α_2 , have a plural subject (*vidhānajñāh*) and verb (*kurvanti*) in the first hemistich. We have adopted the singular, which is attested by α_1 , α_3 and manuscripts of the *Haṭharatnāvalī*, because it corresponds with the singular subject of the second hemistich.

2.44

Translation: Sūryabhedana, ujjāyī, śītkā, śītalī, bhastrikā, bhrāmarī, mūrcchā and plāvanī: these are the eight kumbhakas.

Sources:

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 2.6
```

sūryabhedanam ujjayī tathā sītkāraśītalī | bhastrikā bhrāmarī mūrcchā kevalaś cāṣṭa kumbhakāḥ ||

Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 32r (attrib. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

sūryabhedanam ujjayī tathā sītkā ca sītalī | bhastrikā bhrāmarī mūrcchā kevalāś cāsta kumbhakāh || Yogacintāmaņi f. 101r

hathayoge-

sūryabhedanam ujjāyī tathā sītkāraśītalī |

bhastrikā bhramarī mūrcchā sahitam cāsta kumbhakāḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.93 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

sūryabhedanamujjāyī sītkārī śītalī tathā |

bhastrikā bhrāmarī mūrcchā kevalāś cāsta kumbhakāh ||

Commentary: In the fourth verse quarter, only some manuscripts of the ε and γ groups have the adopted reading $pl\bar{a}van\bar{\iota}ty$ asta. The name $pl\bar{a}van\bar{\iota}$ is necessary in this list because most manuscript groups, including α , and the testimonia contain a verse on $pl\bar{a}vin\bar{\iota}kumbhaka$ as one of the eight kumbhakas. The alternative reading $keval\bar{\iota}/kevala\acute{s}$, which is supported by the α group and other manuscripts, appears to be a mistake because it is not consistent with the idea of kevalakumbhaka standing outside the category of sahitakumbhaka, as stated in 2.72–75. These witnesses are among those which include a verse on $pl\bar{a}vin\bar{\iota}$ later in the chapter.

2.45

Translation: At the end of the inhalation, the lock called *jālandhara* is to be performed, while at the end of the retention and beginning of the exhalation, *uḍḍiyāna* is to be performed.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 62ab

pūrakānte tu kartavyo bandho jālandharābhidhah

Goraksasataka 58ab

kumbhakante recakadau kartavyoddiyanabhidhah

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.7

pūrakānte tu kartavyo bandho jālandharābhidhaḥ | kumbhakānte recakādau kartavyas tūḍḍiyānakaḥ ||

Yogacintāmaṇī f. 80r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

pūrakānte tu kartavyo bandho jālandharābhidhaḥ | kumbhakānte recakādau kartavyas tūḍḍiyānakaḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.94 (attrib. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

pūrakānte ca karttavyo bandho jālandharābhidhaḥ | kumbhakānte recakādau karttavyas tūddiyānakah || **Commentary:** The term $udd\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}na$ is spelt various ways among manuscripts of the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ and other texts. Generally speaking, the α group have $udd\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}na$, β $uddiy\bar{a}na$, γ and δ $uddiy\bar{a}na$ and the $\bar{\jmath}yotsn\bar{a}$ $udd\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}na$. Other spellings, such as $udy\bar{a}na$ and $udiy\bar{a}na$, also occur. We have adopted the spelling of α except in cases where the metre of a verse requires otherwise, as in 2.45d ($uddiy\bar{a}nakah$). As noted by Sanderson (2007: 265), diverse spellings of the place by the same name occur, including Uddiyāna, Oddiyāna, U/Odiyāna, U/Odyāna, or U/Oddayana.

Metre: Anustubh (c: ra-vipulā)

2.46

Translation: By quickly contracting the lower region when the throat has been constricted and stretching back the middle [of the body] the breath goes into the channel of Brahman.

Sources:

```
Gorakşaśataka 62cd-63ab
```

adhastāt kuñcanenaiva kaṇṭhasaṃkocane kṛte | madhye paścimatānena syāt prāno brahmanādigah ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.8

adhastāt kuñcanenāśu kaṇṭhasaṅkocane kṛte | madhye paścimatānena syāt prāṇo brahmanāḍigaḥ ||

Yogacintāmaņī f.80r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

adhas tv ākuñcanenāśu kaṇṭhasaṅkocanena ca | madhye paścimatānena syāt prāṇo brahmanāḍigaḥ ||

Yogabīja 110 (southern recension)

adhastāt kuñcanenāśu kaṇṭhasaṅkocane kṛte | madhye paścimatānena syāt prāṇo brahmanāḍigaḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.95 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

adhastāt kuñcanenāśu kaṇṭhasaṃkocane kṛte | madhye paścimatānena syāt prāno madhyanādiga ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 15.25-27

adhastāt kuñcanenaiva kaṇṭhasaṃkocanena ca | madhye paścimatānena syāt prāṇo brahmarandhragaḥ || prāṇaḥ prāṇavāyuḥ brahmarandhragaḥ suṣumnāpathacārī syāt | madhyago bhavet | **Commentary:** As is clear in the source text, the *Gorakṣaśataka*, the three techniques alluded to here are *mūlabandha*, *jālandharabandha* and *uḍḍiyānabandha* respectively (on which see chapter three).

The stem form *brahma* is found in various compounds in the text. We understand it to refer to Brahman, the absolute, in all instances other than *brahmagranthi*, where we take it to mean the deity Brahmā. See Mallinson 2007:205 n.240.

2.47

Translation: The yogi should raise up *apānavāyu* and lead *prāṇa* down from the throat. Freed from ageing, he becomes sixteen years old.

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.9

apānam ūrdhvam utthāpya prāṇam kaṇṭhād adho nayet | yogī jarāvimuktah syāt sodaśo vayasā bhavet ||

Yogacintāmaṇī f. 80r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

apānam ūrdhvam utthāpya prāṇaṃ kaṇṭhād adho nayet | yogī jarāvimuktah san vayasā sodaśo bhavet ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.96 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

apānam ūrdhvam utthāpya prāṇam kaṇṭhād adho nayet | yogī jarāvinirmuktaḥ ṣoḍaśo vayasā bhavet ||

2.48

Translation: Now, piercing the sun—

The yogi should sit in *vajrāsana* on a comfortable mat, slowly draw in external air through the right nostril, [...]

Sources:

Cf. Goraksaśataka 33-34ab

pavitre nātyuccanīce hy āsane sukhade śubhe | baddhvā vajrāsanaṃ kṛtvā sarasvatyāś ca cālanam || dakṣanāḍyāṃ samākṛṣya bahiṣṭhaṃ pavanaṃ śanaiḥ |

Testimonia:

Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 32r (attrib. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

baddhavajrāsano daksanādyākrsyānilam śanaih |

 $Yogacint\bar{a}mani$ f. 101v (attr. to the $Yogab\bar{\imath}ja$)

āsane sukhade yogī baddhavajrāsanas tataḥ |

```
dakṣanāḍyā samākṛṣya bahiḥsthaṃ pavanaṃ śanaiḥ ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.98 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
āsane sukhade yogī baddhvā padmāsanaṃ tataḥ |
dakṣanāḍyā samākṛṣya bahiḥsthaṃ pavanaṃ śanaiḥ ||
```

Commentary:

2.49

Translation: and hold the breath as far as the tips of the hair and nails until cessation [of the breath]. The wise man should then exhale the breath slowly through the left nostril.

Testimonia:

```
Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 32r (attrib. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
```

ā nakhāgrālakāgrāntam kumbhayitvā yathāsukham | savyanādyā tato mandam recayet pavanam sudhīḥ ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 101v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

ā keśāgram nakhāgram ca śirodhāvadhi kumbhakam | tataḥ śanaiḥ savyanāḍyā recayet pavanam sudhīḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.99 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

ā keśād ā nakhāgrāc ca nirodhāvadhi kumbhayet | tataḥ śanaiḥ savyanāḍyā recayet pavanaṃ sudhīḥ ||

Commentary:

An antecedent to the idea of $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ affecting the whole body (i.e., as far as the tips of the hair and nails) occurs in the $Baudh\bar{a}yanadharmas\bar{u}tra$ (4.1.23):

[The yogi] who is constantly engaged [in practice] should repeat breath retentions again and again. Extreme heat burns as far as the tips of the hair and nails.

āvartayet sadā yuktaḥ prāṇāyāmān punaḥ | ā keśāntān nakhāgrāc ca tapas tapyata uttamam ||

The meaning of $nirodh\bar{a}vadhi$ is not entirely clear, but all sources and the $Jyotsn\bar{a}$ (2.49) agree on this reading. The original reading may well have been $virodh\bar{a}vadhi$, "until it is uncomfortable", which makes better sense but we have decide not to emend accordingly. The problem with $nirodh\bar{a}vadhi$ is that to practise kumbhaka "up to cessation nirodha" seems to suggest that cessation is not that of the physical breath, which by definition ceases in kumbhaka, but of the vital wind $(pr\bar{a}na)$ within the body. By citing a verse from an unnamed text, Brahmānanda

seems to understand this verse as saying that the breath should very carefully (*atiprayatnena*) be held as far as the extremities of the body so that it does not damage the body by exiting through the hair follicles:

When the breath has been stopped forcefully, it flows out through the hair follicles. This destroys the body and also causes skin diseases and the like.

haṭhān niruddhaḥ prāṇo 'yaṃ romakūpeṣu niḥsaret | dehaṃ vidārayaty eṣa kuṣṭhādi janayaty api ||

Metre: Anustubh (c: ra-vipulā)

2.50

Translation: This purifies the skull, cures [imbalances] of the wind humour [and] gets rid of diseases caused by worms [so] should be done repeatedly. It is called the piercing of the sun.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 35-36ab

kapālaśodhane vāpi recayet pavanaṃ sudhīḥ | tundasya vātadoṣaghnaḥ kṛmidoṣaṃ nihanti ca || punaḥ punar idaṃ kāryaṃ sūryābhedam udāhṛtam |

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.11cd-12

kapālaṃ śodhanaṃ cāpi recayet pavanaṃ śanaiḥ || kapālaṃ ... śanaiḥ] kapālaśodhanaṃ vātadoṣaghnaṃ kṛmināśanaṃ N,n1,n4. ālasyaṃ vātadoṣaghnaṃ kṛmikīṭaṃ nihanti ca |

punaḥ punar idaṃ kāryaṃ sūryabhedākhyakumbhakam || Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 32r (attrib. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

kapālaśodhanam caitad vātaghnam kṛmidoṣanut ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 101v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

kapālašodhanam vātadoṣaghnam kṛmidoṣahṛt | punaḥ punar idam kuryāt sūryabhedanam uttamam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.100 (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

kapālaśodhanam vātadoṣaghanam kṛmidoṣaham | punaḥ punar idam kāryam sūryabhedam udāhṛtam ||

Commentary: The compound *sūryabheda* is metri causa, as the name given in

2.44 and the heading of 2.48 is $s\bar{u}ryabhedana$. Some witnesses, such as the delta group and V₁₉, have attempted to reinstate the name $s\bar{u}ryabhedana$.

Both *doṣaham* and *doṣahṛt* are well attested and possible. We have favoured the former because the α reading (*doṣajam*) appears to be a corruption of it.

2.51

Translation: Now ujjāyī:

[The yogi] should close the mouth and gradually draw in the breath through the nostrils so that it comes into contact [with the region] from the throat to the chest and makes a sound.

Sources:

```
Gorakṣaśataka 36c-37b
```

```
mukhaṃ saṃyamya nāḍībhyāṃ ākṛṣya pavanaṃ śanaiḥ |
yathā lagati kaṇṭhāt tu hṛdayāvadhi sasvanam ||
kanṭhāt tu] kaṇṭhaṃ tu T
```

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.13

```
mukhaṃ saṃyamya nāḍībhyām ākṛṣya pavanaṃ śanaiḥ | yathā lagati hṛtkaṇṭhaṃ hṛdayāvadhi svasvanaḥ || hṛtkaṇṭham ] hṛtkaṇthe N, n1, n4, J
```

Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 32r (attrib. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

mukham samyamya nāsābhyām ākṛṣya pavanam śanaiḥ | yathā lagati kanthe suḥ hṛdayāvadhi sasvanam ||

Yogacintāmani f. 101v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

mukham samyamya nāḍībhyām ākṛṣya pavanam punaḥ | yathā lagati hṛtkaṇṭhād dhṛdayāvadhi sasvanaḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.101 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

mukham niyamya nāḍībhyām ākṛṣya pavanam śanaiḥ | yathā lagati kanthāt tu hrdayāvadhi pūranam ||

Cf. Hathatattvakaumudī 10.7

athojjāyī kumbhakah

āsyaṃ saṃyamya nāsāpuṭayugasuṣirābhyāṃ samākṛṣya vāyuṃ mandaṃ mandaṃ yathāsau lagati galataṭād āhṛdantaḥ saśabdaḥ | ruddhvā keśān nakhāgrāvadhi pavanam amuṃ recayed vāmanāḍyā proktojjāyīti kumbhah kaphagadadalano dīpti krjjātharāgne || **Commentary:** The use of *lagati* without a locative or direct object (as found in the source text, the *Gorakṣaśataka*) is supported by the paraphrase of the verse in the *Haṭhatattvakaumudī* (10.7). Most witnesses (including α) have *kaṇṭhāt tu hṛdayāvadhi*, which we have understood in the sense of a locative as it specifies the place within the body where the contact occurs.

2.52

Translation: As before, he should hold the breath and then exhale through Iḍā. [Because] it cures disorders caused by phlegm in the throat and increases the body's fire, [...]

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 37c-38b

pūrvavat kumbhayet prāṇaṃ recayed iḍayā tataḥ | śīrsotthitānalaharam galaślesmaharam param ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.14

pūrvavat kumbhayet prāṇaṃ recayed iḍayā tataḥ | gale ślesmaharam proktam dehānalavivardhanam ||

Yogalakşaṇāvalī f. 32r (attrib. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

pūrvavat kuṃbhayet prāṇān īḍayā recayet tataḥ | ślesmadosaharam caitad dhāturogavināśanam ||

Yogacintāmani f. 102r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

pūrvavat kumbhayet prāṇaṃ recayed iḍayā tataḥ | ślesmadosaharam kanthe dehānalavivardhanam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.102 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

pūrvavat kumbhayet prāṇān recayediḍayā tataḥ | śleṣmoṣaharaṃ dehānaladīptipravardhanam ||

Commentary: In the second hemistich of this verse, many of the readings in the oldest manuscripts, such as $deh\bar{a}d$ analad $\bar{i}ptivardhanam$ (V₁), $deh\bar{a}nalad\bar{i}ptivivardhanam$ (J₁₀) and dehe ['] $nalad\bar{i}ptivivardhanam$ (P₂₈), are unlikely to be original because both the source and testimonia indicate that $Ujj\bar{a}y\bar{i}$ is supposed to remove phlegm from the throat. These versions may have arisen from attempts to remove kanthe in the third $p\bar{a}da$, which was thought to be hanging. It appears that kanthe $deh\bar{a}nalavardhanam$ is the better reading and it is well attested among the manuscripts (including α).

2.53

Translation: [and] cures diseases in the bodily constituents inside the network of channels, the retention called $ujj\bar{a}y\bar{\iota}$ should be done when [the yogi] is moving or remaining still.

Sources:

```
Gorakṣaśataka 38
nādījalodarādhātugatadosavināśanam |
```

gacchatas tisthatah kāryam ujjāyyākhyam ca kumbhakam

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 2.15
```

```
nāḍījālodarādhātugatadoṣavināśanam |
nāḍījālodarādhātu°] nāḍījalodaradhātu° J,P; nāḍījalodaraṃ dhātu° N,
n1, n4
gacchatā tisthatā kāryam ujjāyyākhyam hi kumbhakam ||
```

Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 32r (attrib. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
dehānaloddīptikaram jalodaravighātakṛt | gachatā tisthatā kāryaś cojjāyākhyas tu kumbhakah ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 102r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

```
nāḍījalodaradhātugatadoṣavināśanam | gacchatas tisthatah kāryam ujjāyyākhyam ca kumbhakam ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.103 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

```
nādījalodarādhātugatadoṣanivāraṇam |
gacchatā tiṣṭhatā kāryam ujjākhyaṃ kumbhakaṃ tv idam ||
```

Commentary: Nearly all the manuscripts have $n\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}jalodara^\circ$, which does not make sense in this context because jalodara is the disease ascites and ${}^\circ gata^\circ$ requires a location. This problem is also present in the transmission of the source text for the verse, the $Gorak \bar{\imath}a\dot{\imath}ataka$. A solution can be found in some of the manuscripts of the $Hatharatn\bar{a}val\bar{\imath}$, which read $n\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}j\bar{a}lodar\bar{a}^\circ$ ('in the network of channels and stomach'). The other problem is ' $dar\bar{a}dh\bar{a}tu^\circ$. In spite of Brahmānanda's efforts to explain it as 'dara, \bar{a} , and $dh\bar{a}tu^\circ$, the \bar{a} before $dh\bar{a}tu^\circ$ appears to have been inserted metricausa. We have adopted $n\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}j\bar{a}lodare$ ('inside the network of channels'), which is close to V_3 and J_{10} ($n\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}jalodare$). It is likely that ' $j\bar{a}lodare$ was changed to 'jalodara in the transmission because of confusion with the disease of a similar name.

For the idea of *doṣa*s being in *dhātu*s see *Tantrāloka* 28.283cd, where worldly concepts are said to arise from it (*dhātudoṣāc ca saṃsārasaṃskārās te ...*), but it is also

the source of physical disorders (dhātudosakrtam mūrcchā ĪPV on 2.15).

The name $ujj\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$ may be a Prakrit form from $uddhm\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$ from the verb $ud-dhm\bar{a}$, "to blow out". We thank Diwakar Acharya for this suggestion.

2.54

Translation: Now sītkā—

[The yogi] should continuously make $s\bar{i}t$ sound in the mouth and flare his nostrils. By practising in this way he becomes a second god of love.

Sources:

Cf. Kaulajñānanirnaya 14.54

cittan dadyāt tu vaktreņa nāse dadyād vijṛmbhikā[m] | vācāsiddhir bhavaty eva kāmadevo 'parah priyah ||

Cf. Jñānasāra 2.13

hikkā dadyāt sadā vaktre prāyaś caiva vijṛmbhikām | evam abhyasyamānas tu kāmadevo dvitīyakah ||

Prāṇatoṣiṇī (part 6) p. 851 (citing the J̃nānasāra)

hikkām dadyāt sadā vaktre ghrāṇañ caiva vijṛmbhate | evam abhyāsayogena kāmadevo dvitīyakah ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.16

sītkāṃ kuryāt tathā vaktre ghrāṇenaiva visarjayet | evam abhyāsayogena kāmadevo dvitīyakah || 2.16 ||

Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 32r (attrib. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

sītkāṃ dadyāt sadā vaktre ghrāṇe caiva vijṛṃbhitām | evam abhyasato na kṣuttṛṭ cālasyādi jāyate ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 101v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

sītkāṃ kuryāt tathā vaktre ghrāṇenaiva visarjayet | evam abhyāsayogena kāmadevo dvitīyakaḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.104 (attr. to the *Haṭhapradīpikā*)

sītkām dadyāt sadā vaktre ghrāne caiva vijṛmbhikām | evam abhyāsayogena kāmadevo dvitīyakaḥ ||

Commentary:

There is division between \hat{sitkam} and \hat{sitkam} in all the manuscript groups of the Hathapradipika (note that the likely reading in the source texts was hikkam). The

result of becoming a second god of love may be connected with the sound $s\bar{t}t$, which is said to be made during sex in the $K\bar{a}mas\bar{u}tra$ (2.7.4–19).

This verse's source texts are from Kaula tantric milieus and this is reflected in the result of becoming one with the circle of yoginīs described in the next verse.

2.55

Translation: He joins the circle of yoginis and brings about creation and destruction. Neither hunger nor thirst [nor] sleep nor indolence arise [for him].

Sources:

```
Cf. Kaulajñānanirṇaya 7.18ab
yoginīgaṇasāmānyā sṛṣṭisaṃhārakārakaḥ |
Jñānasāra 2.13cd–14ab
yoginīguṇasāmānyaḥ sṛṣṭisaṃhārakārakaḥ ||
na ksudhā na ca trnnidrā naiva murchā prajāyate |
```

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 2.17
```

```
yoginīcakrasaṃsevyaḥ sṛṣṭisaṃhārakārakaḥ |
na kṣudhā na tṛṣā nidrā naivālasyaṃ prajāyate ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 101v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

```
yoginīcakrasaṃsevyaḥ sṛṣṭisaṃhārakārakaḥ | na ksudhā na trsnā nidrā tandrālasyam na jāyate ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.105 (attr. to the Hathapradīpikā)

```
yoginīcakrasāmānyaḥ sṛṣṭisthityantakārakaḥ |
na ksudhā na trsā nidrā nālasya ca prajāyate ||
```

2.56

Translation: His body is as he wishes, and he is free from all misfortune. By means of this technique, he truly becomes a lord of yogis in the world.

Sources:

```
J̃nānasāra 2.14cd-15ab
```

```
bhavet svacchandadehas tu sarvopadravavarjita<br/>h\parallelanena vidhinā devi yogīndro bhūmimaṇḍale \mid
```

Śivasamhitā 3.94

anenaiva vidhānena yogīndro 'vanimaṇḍale |

bhavet svacchandacārī ca sarvāpatparivarjitah ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.18

bhavet svacchandadehas tu sarvopadravavarjitaḥ | anena vidhinā satyaṃ yogīndro bhāti bhūtale || °dehas tu] °dehah syāt P; °dehasyāt T,t1

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 101v (attr. to the *Haṭhayoga*)

bhavet svachandadehas tu sarvopadravavarjitaḥ | anena vidhinā yas tu yogīndro bhūmimaṇḍale ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.106 (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

bhavet svacchandadehaś ca sarvopadravavarjitaḥ | anena vidhinā satyaṃ yogīndro bhuvimaṇḍale ||

Commentary: The aiśa compound *bhuvimaṇḍale*, which is attested at *Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa* 45.221, is likely the original reading here. The word *bhuvi* as the first member of a compound is attested elsewhere. The alternative *bhūmi*° is well-attested and so the change may have happened early in the transmission.

2.57

Translation: And the very same has been taught [as follows]:

He who continuously takes in the breath through the tongue and the root of the palate has all his diseases cured in half a year.

Sources:

Kauljñānanirnaya 6.19

rasanātālumūle tu kṛtvā vāyuṃ pibec chanaiḥ | ṣaṇmāsād abhyased devi mahārogaiḥ pramucyate ||

Vivekamārtanda 120

rasanātālumūlena yaḥ prāṇam anilaṃ pibet | abdārdhena bhavet tasya sarvarogaparikṣayaḥ ||

Śivasamhitā 3.80

rasanāṃ tālumūle yaḥ sthāpayitvā vipaścitaḥ | pibet prāṇānilaṃ tasya rogāṇāṃ saṃkṣayo bhavet ||

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 101v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

rasanātāluyogena yaḥ prāṇaṃ satataṃ pibet | abdārdhena bhavet tasya sarvarogapariksayah || Yuktabhavadeva 7.107 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

etad evoktam gorakṣanāthenarasanātālumūlena yaḥ prāṇaṃ satataṃ pibete | abdārdhena bhavet tasya sarvarogapariksayah ||

Cf. Ānandakanda 1.20.137

jihvayā tālumūlena prāṇaṃ yaḥ pibati priye | tasya ṣaṇmāsataḥ sarve rogā naśyanti yoginaḥ ||

Commentary: Verse 2.57 seems to be describing an alternative method of $s\bar{\imath}tk\bar{a}$ kumbhaka. The introductory phrase $evam\ eva\ uktam\ ca$ suggests that the teaching in this verse is consistent with what preceded it. However, the first version of $s\bar{\imath}tk\bar{a}$ appears to be done at the front of the mouth (vaktra), whereas the next version is done at the back of the mouth (see below).

The compound rasanātālumūlena is difficult to understand. In his Haṭhasanketa-candrikā (f. 79r–79v), Sundaradeva says that the external air strikes the root of the tongue and palate and the upper part of the uvula (atra muhū (mūhū codex) rasanātālumūlāhataṃ ghaṇṭikordhvabhāgāhataṃ bahiḥsthavāyuṃ vidhāya pibed ity arthaḥ), which could make the sound sīt. More helpful are the remarks of the commentator of the Yogatarangiṇī (2.39). He says that a hole or cavity (vivara) is made by the root of the palate with the help of the tongue. The yogi breathes through it (evaṃ rasanātālumūlena rasanā jihvā tatsahāyabhūtatālumūlena kṛtaṃ yad vivaraṃ, tena kṛtvā yaḥ yogī prāṇam anilaṃ prāṇavāyuṃ pibet pūrayet, tasya yogino 'bdārdhena ṣaṇmāsena sarvarogāṇām nāśaḥ kṣayo bhavet |).

The idea of breathing through a hole between the root of the palate and tongue might have been intended by the parallel reading of the *Yogacintāmaṇi: rasanātāluyogena* ('by joining the tongue and palate'). We have thus translated *rasanātālumūlena* as 'through the tongue and root of the palate'. It could also imply that the tongue is turned up and back to touch the root of the palate to make a hole that one breathes through (when the breath is taken in through the mouth). The *Kumbhakapaddhati* (137ab) states this more clearly:

[The yogi] turns the tongue upwards and takes in the breath while making a $s\bar{t}t$ sound.

rasanām unmukhīkṛtya sītkāram kurvatā marut |

A similar practice is also described in Śivasaṃhitā 3.80:

When the wise [yogi] places the tongue at the root of the palate and takes in the Prāṇa breath, his diseases are cured.

rasanāṃ tālumūle yaḥ sthāpayitvā vipaścitaḥ | pibet prāṇānilaṃ tasya rogāṇāṃ saṃkṣayo bhavet ||

2.58

Translation: Now śītalī:

The wise man should draw in air through the tongue and after retaining the breath as before gradually exhale through the nostrils.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 39cd-40ab

jihvayā vāyum ākṛṣya pūrvavat kuṃbhakād anu | śanais tu ghrānarandhrābhyām recayed anilam sudhīh ||

Cf. Vivekamārtanda 139

kākacañcuvad āsyena śītalaṃ salilaṃ pibet | prānam prānavidhānajño yogī bhavati nirjarah ||

Testimonia:

Hațharatnāvalī 2.19

jihvayā vāyum ākṛṣya pūrvavat kumbhakād anu | śanair aśītiparyantam recayed anilam sudhīh ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 102v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

jihvayā vāyum ākṛṣya pūrvavat kumbhakād anu | śanais tu ghrānārandhrābhyām recayed anilam suddhīh ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.108 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

jihvayā vāyum ākṛṣya pūrvavat kumbhakād anu | śanais tu ghrānarandhrābhyām recayed anilam sudhīh ||

Cf. *Ānandakanda* 1.20.135–136ab

kākacañcuvad āsyaṃ ca kṛtvā vāyuṃ sasūtkṛtam | ādāya nāsārandhreṇa punas taṃ śvasanaṃ tyajet || śītalīkaranākhyo 'yam yogas tu jvarapittahrt |

2.59

Translation: This retention called śītalī cures diseases such as swelling and enlargement of the spleen, fever, [excess] bile, hunger and thirst.

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 41

gulmaplīhādikā doṣāḥ kṣayaṃ yānti pittaṃ jvaraṃ | visāni śītalī nāma kumbhako 'yam nihanti ca ||

Hațharatnāvalī 2.20

```
gulmaplīhodaram doṣam jvarapittakṣudhātṛṣāḥ | viṣāṇi śītalī nāma kumbhako 'yam nihanti ca || °trsāh ] °trsā J.n1; °trsah T.t1
```

Yogacintāmani ms. L, f. 70r

gulmaplīhodaram cāpi vātapittam kṣudhām tṛṣām | viṣāṇi śītalī nāma kumbhako vinihanti ca ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.109 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

gulmaplīhādikān doṣān jvaraṃ pittaṃ kṣudhāṃ tṛṣām | anyāṃś ca śītalī nāma kumbhako 'yaṃ nihanti hi ||

Commentary: An antecedent to a cooling practice involving the tongue can be found in the *Kaulajñānanirṇaya* (6.23–24), which mentions a point between the two front teeth that is cool to touch with the tongue:

There is a point located between the two 'royal teeth'(*rājadanta*). One should know this to be [the place of] nectar that destroys wrinkles and grey hair. Putting the tongue in the place cool to the touch, the wise man becomes free of wrinkles and grey hair and devoid of all diseases. dvaurājadantamadhyastham bindurūpam vyavasthitam | amṛtaṃ taṃ vijānīyād valīpalitanāśanam || śītalasparśasaṃsthāne rasanāṃ kṛtvā tu buddhimān | valīpalitanirmuktaḥ sarvavyādhivivarjitaḥ ||

We wish to thank Shaman Hatley for the reference and translation.

2.60

Translation: Now bhastrikā:

If [the yogi] places the soles of both feet on the thighs, the lotus pose, which destroys all bad deeds, duly arises.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 14

```
ūrvor upari ced dhatte ubhe pādatale tathā |
padmāsanaṃ bhavet samyak sarvapāpapraṇāśanam ||
```

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 102r (attr. to the Yogabīja)
bhastrikā
```

```
ūrvor upari saṃsthāpya ubhe pādatale tathā |
padmāsanaṃ bhavet samyak sarvapāpapraṇāśanam ||
Yuktabhavadeva 7.110 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)
atha bhastrikā
ūrvor upari cādhatte ubhe pādatale tathā |
padmāsanam bhavet samyak sarvapāpapranaśanam ||
```

2.61

Translation: Having correctly adopted the lotus pose, with his neck and torso straight the wise man should close the mouth and forcefully exhale the breath through the nose

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 41cd-42ab

tataḥ padmāsanaṃ baddhvā samagrīvodaraḥ sudhīḥ | mukham samyamya yatnena prānam ghrānena recayet ||

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 102r (attr. to the Yogabīja)
```

samyak padmāsanam badhvā samagrīvodaraḥ sudhīḥ | mukham samyamya yatnena prānam ghrānena recayet ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.111 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

samyak padmāsanaṃ baddhvā samagrīvodaraḥ śanaiḥ | mukhaṃ saṃyamya yatnena prāṇaṃ ghrāṇena recayet ||

2.62

Translation: in such a way that it comes into contact with the chest, throat and skull, making a sound. He should then quickly inhale a small amount of breath as far as the heart lotus.

Sources:

```
Goraksaśataka 42cd-43ab
```

yathā lagati kaṇṭhāt tu kapāle sasvanaṃ tataḥ | vegena pūrayet kiṃ cit hṛṭpadmāvadhi mārutam ||

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 102r (attr. to the Yogabīja)
```

```
yathā lagati hṛtkaṇṭhe kapālāvadhi pūrayet |
vegena pūrayet samyag hṛtpadmāvadhi mārutam ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.112 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

yathā lagati hṛtkaṇṭhakapāleṣu ca sasvanam | vegena pūrayet kiñ cit hṛtpadmāvadhi mārutam ||

Commentary:

Most witnesses have *hṛṭkaṇṭhe*, which we have understand as a dual accusative. One would expect *kapāla* also to be in the accusative, but we have understood it as a locative sg. with *sasvanam*.

2.63

Translation: He should then exhale and inhale in that way over and over again. In the very same way as blacksmiths' bellows are operated forcefully, [...]

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 43cd-44ab

punar virecayet tadvat pūrayec ca punaḥ punaḥ | yathaiva lohakārāṇāṃ bhastrā vegena cālyate ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.22ab

yathaiva lohakārāṇāṃ bhastrī vegena cālyate | bhastrī] bhastrā n4

Yogacintāmaņi f. 102r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

punar virecayet tadvat pūrayitvā punaḥ punaḥ | yathaiva lohakārānām bhastrā vegena cālyate ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.113 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

punar virecayet tadvat pūrayec ca punaḥ punaḥ | yathaiva lohakārānām bhastrā vegena cālyate || 113 ||

2.64

Translation: [...] the wise man should move the breath in his body. When fatigue arises in the body he should inhale through the sun [channel]

Sources:

Gorakşaśataka 44cd–45ab

tathaiva svaśarīrastham cālayet pavanam sudhīḥ | yadā śramo bhaved dehe tadā sūryena pūrayet |

Testimonia:

```
Hatharatnāvalī 2.22cd-23ab
```

tathaiva svaśarīrastham cālayet pavanam sudhīḥ || yathā śramo bhaved dehe tathā sūryena pūrayet |

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 102r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

tathaiva svaśarīrasthaś cālyate pavano dhiyā | yathā śramo bhaved dehe tathā vegena pūrayet ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.114 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

tathaiva svaśarīrastham cālayet pavanam dhiyā | yadā śramo bhaved dehe tadā sūryena recayet ||

Commentary: Most of the witnesses (including α) have *dhiyā* at the end of the second verse quarter, but the manuscripts of the source text and the *Haṭharatnāvalī* have *sudhīḥ*. Since the subject of the simile is *bhastrā*, one would expect the subject of *cālayet*, which must be different, to be stated (as is the case with *sudhīḥ*).

2.65

Translation: in such a way that the abdomen is filled by the breath, and hold the nose quickly [and] firmly without using the middle and index fingers.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 45cd-46ab

yathodaram bhavet pūrņam pavanena tathā laghu | dhārayan nāsikā madhyātarjanībhyām vinā dṛḍham |

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.23cd-24ab

yathodaraṃ bhavet pūrṇaṃ pavanena tathā laghu || dhārayen nāsikāṃ madhyātarjanībhyāṃ vinā dṛḍham | 23c madhyā] madhye N,n1,n3,n4,J,T,t1

Yogacintāmani f. 102r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

yathodaram bhavet pūrṇam pavanena tathā laghu | dhārayen nāsikām madhyatarjanībhyām vinā dṛḍham ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.115 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

yathodaraṃ bhavet pūrṇaṃ pavanena tathā laghu | dhārayen nāsikāmadhye tarjanībhyāṃ vinā dṛḍham ||

Commentary: Only two of the collated witnesses (J_7, V_{15}) have *madhyātarjanīb*-

hyāṃ ('with the middle and index fingers') and this reading is not well attested by the manuscripts of the source text and testimonia. To hold the nose without the middle and index fingers is consistent with the way alternate nostril breathing is done in modern yoga (e.g., Iyengar 1991: 443–444). However, the reading of many manuscripts suggests that the nose was held by all the fingers of both hands, except the index fingers (nāsikāmadhye tarjanībhyāṃ vinā), which seems highly impracticable, or that the nose was held by only the index fingers of both hands (nāsikāmadhye tarjanībhyāṃ tathā). It is likely that scribes changed madhyātarjanībhyāṃ to madhye tarjanībhyāṃ or madhyaṃ tarjanībhyāṃ because of the pāda break.

2.66

Translation: [The yogi] should hold the breath as before then exhale through Iḍā. [Because] it removes [imbalances] in wind, bile and phlegm, increases the body's fire, [...]

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 46cd-47ab

kumbhakam pūrvavat kṛtvā recayed iḍayānilam || kanthotthitānalaharam śarīrāgnivivardhanam |

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.24cd-25ab

kumbhakam pūrvavat kṛtvā recayed iḍayānilam || vātapittaślesmaharam śarīrāgnivivardhanam |

Yogacintāmani f. 102r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

kumbhakaṃ pūrvavat kṛtvā recayed iḍayā tataḥ | vātapittaśleṣmaharaṃ śarīrāgnivivardhanam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.116 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

kumbhakam pūrvavat kṛtvā recayed iḍayā śanaiḥ | vātapittaśleṣmaharam śarīrāgnivivardhanam ||

Metre: Anustubh (c: bha-vipulā)

2.67

Translation: it is an auspicious thunderbolt that awakens Kuṇḍalinī, destroys bad deeds, bestows happiness, and destroys the blockage of phlegm, etc., situated at the mouth of the central channel,[...]

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 47cd-48ab

kuṇḍalībodhakaṃ vajraṃ pāpaghnaṃ śubhadaṃ sukham | brahmanāḍīmukhāntahsthakaphādyargalanāśanam ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.25cd

brahmanādīmukhe samsthakaphādyargalanāśanam |

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 102r (attr. to the Yogabīja)

kuṇḍalībodhanaṃ kuryāt pāpaghnaṃ sukhadaṃ śubham | brahmanādīmukhe samstham kapātārgalanāśanam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.117 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

kuṇḍalībodhanaṃ sarvadoṣaghnaṃ sukhadaṃ śubham | brahmanādīmukhāntasthakaphādyargalanāśanam ||

Commentary: The reading *vajram* in the first verse quarter is an emendation based on the manuscripts of the *Gorakṣaśataka*, the source text for this verse. Some manuscripts of the *Haṭhapradīpikā* have readings close to *vajraṃ*, such as *vipra* (V_1 , P_{15}) and *vakra* (G11), which suggest that *vajraṃ* was changed at an early stage of the transmission. The α group have *cakraṃ*, which could be understood as a weapon (i.e., the discus often associated with Viṣṇu), but such a meaning would be rather unusual in yoga texts, where the term *cakra* is used so frequently in contexts of the yogic body.

2.68

Translation: [and] pierces the three knots that have arisen from the three *guṇas*, it is particularly important to perform this retention called 'the bellows'.

Sources:

Goraksasataka 48cd-49ab

guṇatrayasamudbhūtagranthitrayavibhedakam | viśesenaiva kartavyam bhastrākhyam kumbhakam tv idam ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.25cd

viśesenaiva kartavyam bhastrākhyam kumbhakam tv idam ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 102r-102v (attr. to the Yogabīja)

samyaggātrasamudbhūtagranthitrayavibhedanam | viśesenaiva kartavyam bhastrākhyam kumbhakam tv idam || Yuktabhavadeva 7.118 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

samyaggātrasamudbhūtagranthitrayavibhedanam | viśeseṇaiva karttavyaṃ bhastrākhyaṃ kumbhakaṃ tv idam ||

Haṭhasaṅketacandrikā f. 80v (attr. to Goraksanātha)

guṇatrayasamudbhūtagranthitrayavibhedakam | viśesenaiva karttavyam bhastrākhyam kumbhakam svayam ||

Commentary: In the first verse quarter, nearly all of the manuscripts, including the α group, have " $g\bar{a}trasamudbh\bar{u}ta$ " ('arisen in the limbs/body'), which is rather meaningless here since the three knots are in the central channel. It is probable that $samyagg\bar{a}tra$ " is a corruption of gunatraya, which is attested by the manuscripts of the source text, the Goraksasataka, two of the available manuscripts of the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ (N₂₁, Bo1) and the $Hathasanketacandrik\bar{a}$. In the Goraksasataka, it is stated clearly that each of the knots arise from one of the three gunas: brahmagranthi from rajas (78cd), visnugranthi from sattva (79cd) and rudragranthi from tamas (80cd). When the verses on $bhastr\bar{a}$ were extracted from the Goraksasataka without the context of the knots and gunas, the meaning of $gunatrayasamudbh\bar{u}tagranthitraya$ " ('the three knots that have arisen from the three gunas') was lost early in the transmission of the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$.

2.69

Translation: Now bhrāmarī:

Forcibly loud inhalation with the sound of a male bee; very slow exhalation with the sound of a female bee: as a result of practising thus, there arises in the minds of the best yogis a certain blissful stupefaction.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.26

atha bhrāmarī—
vegodghoṣaṃ pūrakaṃ bhṛṅganādaṃ
bhṛṅgīnādaṃ recakaṃ mandamandam |
yogīndrāṇāṃ nityam abhyāsayogāc
citte jātā kā cid ānandalīlā ||
līlā]°mūrcchā N,n1,n2,n3,n4J,T,t1

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 101v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)

bhramarī vegodghoṣaṃ pūrakaṃ bhṛṅganādaṃ bhṛṅgīnādaṃ recakaṃ mandamandam | yogīndrāṇāṃ nityam abhyāsayogāc citte jātā kācid ānandalīlā ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.119 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

atha bhrāmarī vegodghoṣaṃ pūrakaṃ bhṛṃganādaṃ recakaṃ mandamandam | yogīndrāṇāmevamabhyāsayogāc citte jātā kācid ānandalīlā ||

Yogaprakāśikā 4.59 (ten-chapter Hathapradīpikā)

bhrāmarīkumbhakam lakṣayaty atheti | vegena sañjāta udghoṣo yasmin pūrake tam bhrṁganādatulyam bhrṁgīnādatulyam recakam kuryād ānandalīleti |

Hathasanketacandrikā f. 80v

vegākṛṣṭiṃ pūrakaṃ bhṛṅganādaṃ bhaṅgīnādaṃ recakaṃ mandaṃ mandaṃ | yogīdrāṇām evam abhyāsayogac cite jātā kācid ānandamūrchā || vegodghoṣam iti vā pāṭhaḥ |

Cf. Kumbhakapaddhati 169

aliśabdayutaṃ vegāt pūrayet kumbhayet tataḥ | sāliśabdāc chanai rekāt bhrāmarīkumbhako muneḥ || ānandalīlām kurute bhrāmarīkumbhako muneh || 169 ||

Cf. Gherandasamhitā 7.10-11

anilaṃ mandavegena bhrāmarīkumbhakaṃ caret | mandaṃ mandaṃ recayed vāyuṃ bhṛṅganādaṃ tato bhavet \parallel 7.10 \parallel antaḥsthaṃ bhramarīnādaṃ śrutvā tatra mano nayet | samādhir jāyate tatra ānandaḥ so 'ham ity ataḥ \parallel 7.11 \parallel

Commentary: In the first two $p\bar{a}das$ we are understanding the usually masculine $p\bar{u}raka$ and recaka to be neuter nominatives. When explaining this verse, Brahmānanda ($\Im yotsn\bar{a}$ 2.68) supplies the gerund $krtv\bar{a}$ with $p\bar{u}rakam$ and $kury\bar{a}t$ with recakam, but it is unlikely that the author intended this.

The compound vegodghoṣaṃ (close to vegoghoṣaṃ in α_1) is rather unusual. We have understood it according to the commentator Bālakṛṣṇa's gloss: 'an inhalation in which sound is produced by force' ($vegena\ sa\~njāta\ udghoṣo\ yasmin\ p\bar{u}rake$).

Witnesses of the γ and δ branches of the stemma, and Brahmānanda, have $\bar{a}nan-dal\bar{l}l\bar{a}$ instead of $\bar{a}nandam\bar{u}rch\bar{a}$, perhaps in order to avoid the repetition of $m\bar{u}rch\bar{a}$,

which is also found in the following verse.

Metre: Śālinī

2.70

Translation: Now mūrchā:

At the end of inhalation [the yogi] should tightly apply the Jālandhara [lock] and exhale slowly. This fainting of the mind, which is called $m\bar{u}rchan\bar{a}$, gives pleasure.

Sources:

```
atha mūrcchā—
pūrakānte gāḍhataraṃ baddhva jālandharaṃ śanaiḥ |
recayen mūrcchanākhyo 'yaṃ manomūrcchāsukhapradā ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 101v (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)
mūrchā—
pūrakānte gāḍhataraṃ bandho jālandharaḥ śanaiḥ |
recayen mūrchanākhyo 'yaṃ manomūrchāsukhapradā ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.120 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)
atha mūrcchā—
pūrakānte gāḍhataraṃ baddhvā jālandharaṃ śanaiḥ ||
recayen mūrcchanākhyeyaṃ manomūrcchāsukhapradā || 120 ||

Kumbhakapaddhati 170
āpūrya kumbhitaṃ prāṇaṃ badhvā jālandharaṃ śanaiḥ |
recayen mūrcchanākhyeyaṃ manomūrcchāsukhapradā ||
```

Commentary: The Jālandhara lock is explained at 3.67–70.

Metre: Anuṣṭubh (a: bha-vipulā)

2.71

Translation: Now plāvanī:

With his abdomen completely filled with the wind of eructation, which has been turned inwards, [the yogi] floats easily even in deep water, like a lotus leaf.

```
Yuktabhavadeva 7.121 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha) antahpravarttitādhāramārutāpūritodarah |
```

```
payasy agādhe 'pi sukhāt plavate padmapatravat || ayam eva plāvinī kumbhako'pi |
```

Cf. Kumbhakapaddhati 171

```
yatheṣṭaṃ pūrayed vāyuṃ baddhe jālandhare dṛḍhe |
hrdi dhrtvā jale suptvā plāvinīkumbhako bhavet || 171 ||
```

Yogaprakāśikā 4.61 (Ten-chapter Hathapradīpikā)

```
plāvanīkumbhakam lakṣayati antar iti |
antahsañcāritenāpānavāyunā pūritam udaram yasyeti vigrahah |
```

Commentary: The heading *atha* $pl\bar{a}van\bar{\imath}$ is not found in α_1 (which follows the description with $pl\bar{a}van\bar{\imath}kumbhakam$), but we have adopted it to be consistent with the other kumbhaka descriptions.

We have understood *udgāramāruta* to refer to the breath of eructation, i.e. the nāga breath as described in e.g. *Vivekamārtaṇḍa* 36.

 V_{19} , P_{28} , the *Yogacintāmaṇi* and the *Haṭharatnāvalī* omit this verse and accordingly do not mention $pl\bar{a}vin\bar{\iota}$ in verse 2.44, substituting it with *kevala*.

Metre: Anustubh (c: bha-vipulā)

2.72

Translation: Now kevalakumbhaka:

Breath control is said to be threefold, with exhalation, inhalation, and retention. Retention is considered to be twofold: *sahita* and *kevala*.

Sources:

Goraksaśataka 29

```
prāṇaś ca dehajo vāyur āyāmaḥ kumbhakaḥ smṛtaḥ | sa eva dvividhaḥ proktaḥ sahitaḥ kevalas tathā ||
```

Vasisthasamhitā 3.2cd

prāṇāyāmas tribhiḥ prokto recapūrakakumbhakaiḥ $\parallel 2 \parallel$

Testimonia:

```
Yogalakṣaṇāvalī f. 32r (attrib. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)
```

```
prāṇāyāmas tridhā prokto recapūrakakumbhakaiḥ |
bahir virocanaṃ vāyor udarād recakaḥ smṛtaḥ ||
bāhyād āpūraṇaṃ vāyor udare pūrakaḥ smṛtaḥ |
saṃpūrṇakuṃbhavad vāyor dhāraṇaṃ kumbhako bhavet |
sahitah kevalaś ceti kumbhako dvividho matah ||
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.122 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

```
atha kevalaḥ
prāṇāyāmas tridhā prokto recapūrakakumbhakaiḥ |
sahitaḥ kevalaś ceti kumbhako dvividho mataḥ ||
```

Commentary: The import of the name *sahita*, "accompanied", is that *kumbhaka* is accompanied by inhalation and exhalation, and of *kevala*, "isolated", that it is not.

2.73

Translation: The [breath retention] that [the yogi] performs with exhalation and inhalation is *sahita*. He should practice *sahita* until *kevala* is perfected.

Sources:

Vasisthasamhitā 3.28

virecyāpūrya yaṃ kuryāt sa vai sahitakumbhakaḥ sahitaṃ kevalaṃ cātha kumbhakaṃ nityam abhyaset || yāvat kevalasiddhiḥ syāt tāvat sahitam abhyaset | 28a virecyāpūrya] recyavāpūrya yat *la*, ārecyāpūrya yah *śa*

Yogayājñavalkya 6.31cd-32

recya cāpūrya yaḥ kuryāt sa vai sahitakumbhakaḥ || sahitaṃ kevalaṃ cātha kumbhakaṃ nityam abhyaset || yāvat kevalasiddhih syāt tāvat sahitam abhyaset ||

Cf. Dattātreyayogaśāstra 66ab

sahito recapūrābhyām tasmāt sahitakumbhakah

Cf. Goraksaśataka 30ab

yāvat kevalasiddhih syāt tāvat sahitam abhyaset |

Testimonia:

Yogacintāmani f. 96v (attr. to Yājnavalkya)

sahitam kevalam vātha kumbhakam nityam abhyaset | yāvat kevalasiddhis syāt tāvat sahitam abhyaset ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.123 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

recya vā pūrakaḥ kāryaḥ śanaiḥ sahitakumbhakaḥ | yāvat kevalasiddhih syāt sahitam tāvad abhyaset ||

Commentary: We have adopted the *Vasiṣṭhasaṃhitā*'s reading, which is very close to that of δ_1 (\bar{a} recyāpūrya yat kuryāt) and δ_3 (\bar{a} recyāpūrya yaḥ kuryāt) and similar to α_2 ($recap\bar{u}$ rya y[a]t kāryaḥ). It is the only one that makes sense of 2.73ab. It appears that the relative pronoun dropped out of the first verse quarter

early in the transmission of the $Hathaprad\bar{\imath}pik\bar{a}$ and scribes have tried in various unsuccessful ways to restore some sense.

Cf. Marcinowska-Rosól & Sellmer 2021, p. 102f.

2.74

Translation: Holding the breath comfortably without exhalation and inhalation is *kevalakumbhaka*. This is said to be [the true] breath control.

Sources:

```
Vasisthasamhitā 3.27
```

recanam pūranam muktvā sukham yad vāyudhāranam | prānāyāmo 'yam ity uktah sa vai kevalakumbhakah ||

Yogayājñavalkya 6.30cd-6.31ab

recakam pūrakam muktvā sukham yad vāyudhāranam | prāṇāyāmo 'yam ity uktaḥ sa vai kevalakumbhakaḥ ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.28

atha kevalah-

recakam pūrakam muktvā sukham yad vāyudhāramam | prānāyāmo 'yam ity uktah sa vai kevalakumbhakah ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 94v (attr. to 'tajjñāḥ')

recakam pūrakam muktvā yat sukham vāyudhāramam | prāṇāyāmo 'yam ity uktaḥ sa vai kevalakumbhakaḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.124 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

recakam pūrakam muktvā yad vāyudhāraṇam | prānāyāmo 'yam ity uktah sa vai kevalakumbhakah ||

Commentary: On this verse, see Marcinkowska-Rosół and Sellmer 2020: 102–105.

2.75

Translation: When breath retention is mastered on its own, without exhalation and inhalation, nothing in the three worlds is impossible for [the yogi].

Sources:

Dattātreyayogaśāstra 74

kevale kumbhake siddhe recapūrakavarjite

na tasya durlabham kim cit trisu lokesu vidyate ||

Vasisthasamhitā 3.30

kevale kumbhake siddhe recapūraṇavarjite | na tasva durlabham kim cit trisu lokesu vidyate ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.29

kevale kumbhake siddhe recapūrakavarjite | na tasya durlabham kiñ cit trisu lokesu vidyate ||

Yogacintāmaņi f. 97r (attr. to Yājñavalkya)

kevale kumbhake siddhe recapūraṇavarjite | na tasya durlabham kim cit trisu lokesu vidyate ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.125 (attr. to Gorakṣanātha)

kevale kumbhake siddhe recapūrakavarjite | na tasya durlabham kiñcit triṣu lokeṣum vidyate ||

2.76

Translation: He who is capable of *kevalakumbhaka* undoubtedly attains [the ability to] hold the breath as long as he wants and the state of Rājayoga.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 2.30

śaktaḥ kevalakumbhena yatheṣṭaṃ vāyudhāraṇam | etādṛśo rājayogo kathito nātra saṃśayaḥ ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.126 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

śaktaḥ kevalakumbhena yatheṣṭaṃ vāyudhāraṇam | rājayogapadam samyak labhate nātra samśayah ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 44.59

haṭhapradīpikāyām– śaktaḥ kevalakumbhena yatheṣṭaṃ vāyudhāraṇe | rājayogapadam caiva labhate nātra samśayah || 59 ||

2.77

Translation: Rājayoga does not succeed without Haṭha nor Haṭha without Rājayoga so one should practise them both together until [the] niṣpatti [stage].

Sources:

Śivasamhitā 5.222

haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogo rājayogaṃ vinā haṭhaḥ |
na sidhyati tato yugmam āniṣpatteḥ samabhyaset
[middle hemistich not in mss. I, III, IV, VII, IX, X, XII, XIV–XVI]
tasmāt pravartate yogī hathe sadgurumārgatah ||

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.19

haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogo rājayogaṃ vinā haṭhaḥ | vyāptiḥ syād avinābhūtā śrīrājahaṭhayogayoḥ ||

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 21r (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpika)

hathapradīpikāyām

haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogo rājayogaṃ vinā haṭhaḥ | na sidhyati tato yugmaṃ manīṣy etau samabhyaset | haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogaṃ rājayogaṃ vinā haṭham | ye vai caranti tān manye prayāsaphalavarjitān iti ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.127 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

haṭhaṃ vinā rājayogo rājayogaṃ vinā haṭhaḥ | na sidhyati tato yugmam āniṣpatteḥ samācaret ||

Commentary: On the nispatti stage see 4.23–25.

Metre: Anustubh (a: ra-vipulā)

2.78

Translation: At the end of exhaling the retained breath, [the yogi] should make the mind supportless. By practising in this way he reaches the state of Rājayoga.

Testimonia:

Yuktabhavadeva 7.128 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

kumbhitaḥ prāṇarecānte kuryyāc cittaṃ nirāmayam \parallel evamabhyāsayogena rājayogapadaṃ vrajet \parallel 128 \parallel

Hathatattvakaumudī 44.60 (attr. to the Hathapradīpika)

kumbhitaḥ prāṇarecānte kuryyāc cittaṃ nirāśrayam | evamabhyāsayogena rājayogaṃ labhet punaḥ || 60 || nirāśrayam samkalparahitam ||

Yogaprakāśikā 4.67 (Ten-chapter Haṭhapradīpikā)

tad eva visadayati kumbhakam iti || kevalakumbhakābhyāsena cittaṃ

```
dagdhaparṇavat nirvāsanaṃ bhavatītyarthaḥ \parallel anyad vyākhyātam \parallel 67 \parallel
```

Commentary: The reading *kumbhitaḥ*, which is attested by α_1 and the testimonia, does not make sense here because the subject must be the yogi ($pr\bar{a}nah$ would be unmetrical). In other texts, the word *kumbhita* is used to qualify the breath and means 'retained' (e.g., *Yogabīja* 94, *Kumbhakapaddhati* 127, *Yuktabhavadeva* 8.32, etc.).

2.79

Translation: As a result of retaining the breath, Kuṇḍalinī awakens; as a result of the awakening of Kuṇḍalinī, Suṣumṇā becomes free of blockages and success in Hatha arises.

Testimonia:

```
Yogacintāmaṇi f. 97a (attr. to the Haṭhayoga)
```

```
kumbhakāt kuṇḍalībodhaḥ kuṇḍalībodhato bhavet | anargalaḥ suṣumṇānto haṭhasiddhiś ca jāyate || anargalaḥ ] L, antargataḥ N
```

Yuktabhavadeva 7.129 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

kumbhakāt kuṇḍalībodhaḥ kuṇḍalībodhato bhavet | anargalā susumnā ca hathasiddhiśca jāyate ||

Hathatattvakaumudī 44.61

```
kumbhakāt kuṇḍalībodhaḥ kuṇḍalībodhato bhavet | anargalā suṣumṇā ca haṭhasiddhiḥ prajāyate || iti || kumbhakaprāṇāyāmāt bodho jāgaraṇam | suṣumṇā anargalā bādhakarahitā bhavati | tato yogasiddhir bhavati iti ||
```

2.80

Translation: Thinness of the body, clear complexion, clarity of the inner sound, bright eyes, freedom from disease, mastery of semen, stimulation of the [body's] fire and purification of the channels are the signs of success in Hatha.

Testimonia:

Hatharatnāvalī 1.59

```
vapuḥkṛśatvaṃ vadane prasannatā
nādaspuṭatvaṃ nayane ca nirmale |
arogatā bindujayo 'gnidīpanaṃ
nādīsu śuddhir hathasiddhilaksanam ||
```

Yogacintāmaṇi f. 111v (attr. to the Haṭhapradīpikā)

vapuḥkṛśatvaṃ vadane prasannatā nādasphuṭatvaṃ nayane sunirmale | arogitā bindujayo 'gnidīpanaṃ nādīviśuddhir hathasiddhilaksanam ||

Yuktabhavadeva 7.129 (attr. to Goraksanātha)

vapuḥkṛśatvaṃ vadane prasannatā nādasphuṭatvaṃ nayane ca nirmale | arogatā bindujayo'gnidīpanaṃ nāḍīviśuddhir haṭhasiddhilakṣaṇam ||

Metre: Vaṃśamālā