



# Lecture 5-B: alternative classification techniques

# Outline

---

- Rule-based method
- Nearest Neighbor
- Support Vector Machine
- Neural network
- Naïve Bayes Classifier
- Boosting


# Outline

---

- Rule-based method
- Nearest Neighbor
- Neural network
- Support Vector Machine
- Naïve Bayes Classifier
- Boosting


# Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	Y
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
0	0	0	0



Output  $Y$  is 1 if at least two of the three inputs are equal to 1.

# Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)




$$Y = I(0.3X_1 + 0.3X_2 + 0.3X_3 - 0.4 > 0)$$

$$\text{where } I(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \text{ is true} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

- Model is an assembly of inter-connected nodes and weighted links
- Output node sums up each of its input value according to the weights of its links
- Compare output node against some threshold  $t$




## Perceptron Model

$$Y = I\left(\sum_i w_i X_i - t\right) \quad \text{or}$$

$$Y = \text{sign}\left(\sum_i w_i X_i - t\right)$$

# General Structure of ANN



# Algorithm for learning ANN

---

- Initialize the weights ( $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_k$ )
- Adjust the weights in such a way that the output of ANN is consistent with class labels of training examples
  - Objective function:  $E = \sum_i [Y_i - f(w_i, X_i)]^2$
  - Find the weights  $w_i$ 's that minimize the above objective function
    - ◆ e.g., backpropagation algorithm (see lecture notes)




# Outline

---

- Rule-based method
- Nearest Neighbor
- Neural network
- Support Vector Machine
- Naïve Bayes Classifier
- Boosting


# Support Vector Machines

---




- Find a linear hyperplane (decision boundary) that will separate the data

# Support Vector Machines




- One Possible Solution

# Support Vector Machines




- Another possible solution

# Support Vector Machines




- Other possible solutions

# Support Vector Machines




- Which one is better?  $B_1$  or  $B_2$ ?
- How do you define better?

# Support Vector Machines



- Find hyperplane **maximizes** the margin => B1 is better than B2

# Support Vector Machines



$$f(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x} + b \geq 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x} + b \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Margin} = \frac{2}{\|\vec{w}\|^2}$$



# Support Vector Machines


- We want to maximize:  $\text{Margin} = \frac{2}{\|\vec{w}\|^2}$ 
  - Which is equivalent to minimizing:  $L(w) = \frac{\|\vec{w}\|^2}{2}$
  - But subjected to the following constraints:

$$f(\vec{x}_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x}_i + b \geq 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x}_i + b \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

- ◆ This is a constrained optimization problem
  - Numerical approaches to solve it (e.g., quadratic programming)

# Support Vector Machines

- What if the problem is not linearly separable?



# Support Vector Machines

- What if the problem is not linearly separable?
  - Introduce slack variables


◆ Need to minimize: 
$$L(w) = \frac{\|\vec{w}\|^2}{2} + C \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^k \right)$$

◆ Subject to:

$$f(\vec{x}_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x}_i + b \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ -1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x}_i + b \leq -1 + \xi_i \end{cases}$$


# Nonlinear Support Vector Machines

- What if decision boundary is not linear?



# Nonlinear Support Vector Machines

- Transform data into higher dimensional space



# SVM Sample Codes

---

- `from sklearn import svm`
- `X = [[0, 0], [1, 1]]`
- `y = [0, 1]`
- `clf = svm.SVC()`
- `clf.fit(X, y)`
  
- `clf.predict([[2., 2.]])`

# SVM Sample Codes

---

- # get support vectors

`clf.support_vectors_`

# get indices of support vectors

- `clf.support_`

# get number of support vectors for each class

- `clf.n_support_`

More examples: <https://scikit-learn.org/1.5/modules/svm.html#>

# Outline

---

- Rule-based method
- Nearest Neighbor
- Neural network
- Support Vector Machine
- Naïve Bayes Classifier
- Boosting



# Bayes Classifier: review

---

- A probabilistic framework for solving classification problems

- Conditional Probability:

$$P(C | A) = \frac{P(A, C)}{P(A)}$$

$$P(A | C) = \frac{P(A, C)}{P(C)}$$

- Bayes theorem:

$$P(C | A) = \frac{P(A | C)P(C)}{P(A)}$$

# Quiz:

---

- Given:

- A doctor knows that meningitis causes stiff neck 50% of the time
- Prior probability of any patient having meningitis is  $1/50,000$
- Prior probability of any patient having stiff neck is  $1/20$

- If a patient has stiff neck, what's the probability he/she has meningitis?

$$P(M | S) = \frac{P(S | M)P(M)}{P(S)} = \frac{0.5 \times 1/50000}{1/20} = 0.0002$$

# Bayesian Classifiers

---

- Consider each attribute and class label as random variables
- Given a record with attributes  $(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ 
  - Goal is to predict class  $C$
  - Specifically, we want to find the value of  $C$  that maximizes  $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$
- Can we estimate  $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$  directly from data?

# Bayesian Classifiers

- Approach:

- compute the posterior probability  $P(C \mid A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$  for all values of  $C$  using the Bayes theorem

$$P(C \mid A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) = \frac{P(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n \mid C) P(C)}{P(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n)}$$

- Choose value of  $C$  that maximizes  $P(C \mid A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$
- Equivalent to choosing value of  $C$  that maximizes  $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \mid C) P(C)$

- How to estimate  $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \mid C)$ ?

# Naïve Bayes Classifier

---

- Assume independence among attributes  $A_i$  when class is given:
  - $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n | C) = P(A_1 | C_j) P(A_2 | C_j) \dots P(A_n | C_j)$
  - Can estimate  $P(A_i | C_j)$  for all  $A_i$  and  $C_j$ .
  - New point is classified to  $C_j$  if  $P(C_j) \prod P(A_i | C_j)$  is maximal.

# How to Estimate Probabilities from Data?

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

- Class:  $P(C) = N_c/N$

- e.g.,  $P(\text{No}) = 7/10$ ,  
 $P(\text{Yes}) = 3/10$

- For discrete attributes:

$$P(A_i | C_k) = |A_{ik}| / N_{C_k}$$

- where  $|A_{ik}|$  is number of instances having attribute  $A_i$  and belongs to class  $C_k$
  - Examples:

$$P(\text{Status}=\text{Married}|\text{No}) = 4/7$$
$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{Yes})=0$$

# How to Estimate Probabilities from Data?

---

- For continuous attributes:
  - **Discretize** the range into bins
    - ◆ one ordinal attribute per bin
    - ◆ violates independence assumption <sup>k</sup>
  - **Two-way split:**  $(A < v)$  or  $(A > v)$ 
    - ◆ choose only one of the two splits as new attribute
  - **Probability density estimation:**
    - ◆ Assume attribute follows a normal distribution
    - ◆ Use data to estimate parameters of distribution (e.g., mean and standard deviation)
    - ◆ Once probability distribution is known, can use it to estimate the conditional probability  $P(A_i|c)$

# How to Estimate Probabilities from Data?

<i>Tid</i>	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

- Normal distribution:

$$P(A_i | c_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{ij}^2}} e^{-\frac{(A_i - \mu_{ij})^2}{2\sigma_{ij}^2}}$$

- One for each  $(A_i, c_i)$  pair

- For (Income, Class=No):

- If Class=No

- ◆ sample mean = 110
- ◆ sample variance = 2975

$$P(\text{Income} = 120 | \text{No}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(54.54)}} e^{-\frac{(120-110)^2}{2(2975)}} = 0.0072$$



# Quiz: what's the class of X, Yes, or No?

## Given a Test Record:

$X = (\text{Refund} = \text{No}, \text{Married}, \text{Income} = 120\text{K})$

naive Bayes Classifier:

$P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{No}) = 3/7$   
 $P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{No}) = 4/7$   
 $P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{Yes}) = 0$   
 $P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{Yes}) = 1$   
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Single}|\text{No}) = 2/7$   
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Divorced}|\text{No}) = 1/7$   
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Married}|\text{No}) = 4/7$   
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Single}|\text{Yes}) = 2/7$   
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Divorced}|\text{Yes}) = 1/7$   
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Married}|\text{Yes}) = 0$

For taxable income:

If class=No:     sample mean=110  
                     sample variance=2975  
If class=Yes:    sample mean=90  
                     sample variance=25

- $P(X|\text{Class}=\text{No}) = P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{Class}=\text{No})$   
                                  $\times P(\text{Married}|\text{Class}=\text{No})$   
                                  $\times P(\text{Income}=120\text{K}|\text{Class}=\text{No})$   
                                  $= 4/7 \times 4/7 \times 0.0072 = 0.0024$
- $P(X|\text{Class}=\text{Yes}) = P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{Class}=\text{Yes})$   
                                  $\times P(\text{Married}|\text{Class}=\text{Yes})$   
                                  $\times P(\text{Income}=120\text{K}|\text{Class}=\text{Yes})$   
                                  $= 1 \times 0 \times 1.2 \times 10^{-9} = 0$

Since  $P(X|\text{No})P(\text{No}) > P(X|\text{Yes})P(\text{Yes})$

Therefore  $P(\text{No}|X) > P(\text{Yes}|X)$

$\Rightarrow \text{Class} = \text{No}$

# Another example

Name	Give Birth	Can Fly	Live in Water	Have Legs	Class
human	yes	no	no	yes	mammals
python	no	no	no	no	non-mammals
salmon	no	no	yes	no	non-mammals
whale	yes	no	yes	no	mammals
frog	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
komodo	no	no	no	yes	non-mammals
bat	yes	yes	no	yes	mammals
pigeon	no	yes	no	yes	non-mammals
cat	yes	no	no	yes	mammals
leopard shark	yes	no	yes	no	non-mammals
turtle	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
penguin	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
porcupine	yes	no	no	yes	mammals
eel	no	no	yes	no	non-mammals
salamander	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
gila monster	no	no	no	yes	non-mammals
platypus	no	no	no	yes	mammals
owl	no	yes	no	yes	non-mammals
dolphin	yes	no	yes	no	mammals
eagle	no	yes	no	yes	non-mammals

**A: attributes**

**M: mammals**

**N: non-mammals**

$$P(A | M) = \frac{6}{7} \times \frac{6}{7} \times \frac{2}{7} \times \frac{2}{7} = 0.06$$

$$P(A | N) = \frac{1}{13} \times \frac{10}{13} \times \frac{3}{13} \times \frac{4}{13} = 0.0042$$

$$P(A | M)P(M) = 0.06 \times \frac{7}{20} = 0.021$$

$$P(A | N)P(N) = 0.004 \times \frac{13}{20} = 0.0027$$

Give Birth	Can Fly	Live in Water	Have Legs	Class
yes	no	yes	no	?

**P(A|M)P(M) > P(A|N)P(N)**

**=> Mammals**

# Naïve Bayes (Summary)

---

- Robust to isolated noise points
- Handle missing values by ignoring the instance during probability estimate calculations
- Robust to irrelevant attributes
- Independence assumption may not hold for some attributes
  - Use other techniques such as Bayesian Belief Networks (BBN)

# Outline

---


- Rule-based method
- Nearest Neighbor
- Neural network
- Support Vector Machine
- Naïve Bayes Classifier
- **Boosting**

# Ensemble Methods

---

- Construct a set of classifiers from the training data
- Predict class label of previously unseen records by aggregating predictions made by multiple classifiers

# General Idea



# Why does it work?

---

- Suppose there are 25 base classifiers
  - Each classifier has error rate,  $\varepsilon = 0.35$
  - Assume classifiers are independent
  - Probability that the ensemble classifier makes a wrong prediction:

$$\sum_{i=13}^{25} \binom{25}{i} \varepsilon^i (1 - \varepsilon)^{25-i} = 0.06$$

# Examples of Ensemble Methods

---

- How to generate an ensemble of classifiers?
  - Bagging
  - Boosting



# Bagging

- Sampling with replacement

Original Data	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Bagging (Round 1)	7	8	10	8	2	5	10	10	5	9
Bagging (Round 2)	1	4	9	1	2	3	2	7	3	2
Bagging (Round 3)	1	8	5	10	5	5	9	6	3	7

- Build classifier on each bootstrap sample
- Each sample has probability  $(1 - 1/n)^n$  of being selected


# Boosting

---

- Ensemble of weak classifiers.
- An iterative procedure to adaptively change distribution of training data by focusing more on previously misclassified records
  - Initially, all  $N$  records are assigned equal weights
  - Unlike bagging, weights may change at the end of boosting round


# Toy examples

---



- Consider two dimensions,
- 10 training samples available
- Weak classifiers: horizontal or vertical separate lines
- Size indicates weight, at beginning all samples have the same weight  $D(i) = \frac{1}{m}$

# Iteration 1




- Select the first weak classifier that makes least errors;
- Three errors made.
- Error rate for this weak classifier is calculated according to sample weights:

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{\sum_i D(i) \mathbf{1}(h_1(x_i) \neq y_i)}{\sum_i D(i)} = 0.3;$$


- We set classifier weight to be direct proportion to its error rate  $\alpha_1 = \text{function of } (\epsilon_1) = 0.42$

# Iteration 1



- For the three samples misclassified, increase their weight
- For other samples, decrease their weights

# Iteration 2




- Select a weak classifier  $h_2$
- Three errors made,
- Calculate weighted error rate

$$\epsilon_2 = \frac{\sum_i D(i) \mathbf{1}(h_2(x_i) \neq y_i)}{\sum_i D(i)} = 0.21$$


- We set classifier weight to be direct proportion to its error rate, e.g.  
 $\alpha_2 = 0.65$

# Iteration 2



- Adjust weights for all samples  
for misclassified samples increase their weights;  
for correctly classified samples, decrease their weights

# Iteration 3




- Select the third weak classifier
- Three errors made
- Calculate weighted error rate  $\epsilon_3 = 0.14$
- Classifier weight is  $\alpha_3 = 0.92$

**Error rate 0.14 is good enough, then s**



# Strong classifiers



- For a sample we apply every weak classifier and vote for its sign.

# Boosting

---

- Records that are wrongly classified will have their weights increased
- Records that are classified correctly will have their weights decreased


# Major Factors of AdaBoost

- Base classifiers:  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_T$
- How to calculate Error rate:

$$\varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N w_j \delta(C_i(x_j) \neq y_j)$$

- How to calculate the importance of a classifier:

$$\alpha_i = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1 - \varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon_i} \right)$$



# Example: AdaBoost

- Weight update:

$$w_i^{(j+1)} = \frac{w_i^{(j)}}{Z_j} \begin{cases} \exp^{-\alpha_j} & \text{if } C_j(x_i) = y_i \\ \exp^{\alpha_j} & \text{if } C_j(x_i) \neq y_i \end{cases}$$

where  $Z_j$  is the normalization factor

- If any intermediate rounds produce error rate higher than 50%, the weights are reverted back to  $1/n$  and the resampling procedure is repeated
- Classification:

$$C^*(x) = \arg \max_y \sum_{j=1}^T \alpha_j \delta(C_j(x) = y)$$

# Adaboost in Python

---

```
# Adaboosting
```

```
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score  
from sklearn.datasets import load_iris  
from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostClassifier
```

```
X, y = load_iris(return_X_y=True)  
clf = AdaBoostClassifier(n_estimators=100)  
scores = cross_val_score(clf, X, y, cv=5)  
scores.mean()
```

```
0.9466666666666665
```

# Summery

---

- Rule-based method
- Nearest Neighbor
- Neural network
- Support Vector Machine
- Naïve Bayes Classifier
- Boosting