

# COMPARING METAHEURISTIC APPROACHES TO SOLVING THE QUADRATIC ASSIGNMENT PROBLEM

COMP4240 project presentation

---

Mitchell Metcalfe

November 12, 2015

The University of Newcastle, Australia

Background and motivation

    The quadratic assignment problem (QAP)

    Recent applications

The QAP in the literature

Comparison of metaheuristics

    Metaheuristics considered

    Method of comparison

Preliminary results

Conclusion

## BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

---

# THE QUADRATIC ASSIGNMENT PROBLEM (QAP)

Introduced by Koopmans and Beckmann (1957)

‘Assignment Problems and the Location of Economic Activities’

# THE QUADRATIC ASSIGNMENT PROBLEM (QAP)

Assign manufacturing plants to locations in a way that maximises total revenue.

Account for the following complicating factors:

- The revenue of each plant is dependent on its location;
- Pairs of plants must transport a given number of commodity bundles between them per unit time;
- Transportation cost is proportional to distance.

Given the matrices:

- $[r_{ki}]$ : revenue of plant  $k$  at location  $i$
- $[a_{kl}]$ : required commodity *flow* between plants  $k$  and  $l$
- $[b_{ij}]$ : cost of transport per unit flow between locations  $i$  and  $j$

Find a permutation  $\pi^* \in S_n$  that maximises the total revenue:

$$\pi^* = \max_{\pi} \left( \sum_k r_{k\pi(k)} - \sum_k \sum_l a_{kl} b_{\pi(k)\pi(l)} \right)$$

where  $\pi(k) = i$  indicates that plant  $k$  is to be placed at location  $i$ .

# MINIMISATION PROBLEM

Given the matrices:

- $[a_{kl}]$ : required commodity *flow* between plants  $k$  and  $l$
- $[b_{ij}]$ : cost of transport per unit flow between locations  $i$  and  $j$

Find a permutation  $\pi^* \in S_n$  that minimises the transportation cost:

$$\pi^* = \min_{\pi} \sum_k \sum_l a_{kl} b_{\pi(k)\pi(l)}$$

where  $\pi(k) = i$  indicates that plant  $k$  is to be placed at location  $i$ .

- NP-Hard.
- Contains the Travelling Salesman Problem as a special case.

“one of the most difficult problems in the NP-hard class”  
(Loiola et al., 2007)



The facilities layout problem (FLP):

- Optimally locate manufacturing plants to maximise revenue.
- Used by Koopmans and Beckmann to motivate the QAP.
- The most common application of the QAP (Loiola et al., 2007).

Loiola et al. (2007) surveys 365 papers published between 1957 and 2007.

Samanta et al. (2015): Layout optimisation of a bus body manufacturing plant.

Feng and Su (2015): Layout of departments in a hospital.

**Alguliyev et al. (2015):** Unsupervised document summarisation.

**Azab (2015):** Machine features of a product in an optimal sequence in order to minimise handling time, given a set of precedence constraints between features.

## THE QAP IN THE LITERATURE

---

## Memetic algorithm (Neri et al., 2012)

A metaheuristic combining a population-based approach with a local improvement method.

- (Misevicius, 2012): Iterated tabu-search (ITS) - alternates *controlled chained mutations* with a variant of tabu-search. Includes several rules to deter 'stagnant behaviour'.
- (Harris et al., 2015): A memetic algorithm. Employs a ternary tree to structure the population. No mutation operator. Uses tabu-search and uniform crossover.
- (Benlic and Hao, 2015): BMA - Breakout local search (BLS) with uniform crossover and chained mutation;
- (Helal et al., 2015): TBH-PSO - Hierarchical Particle Swarm Optimisation (HPSO) with Tabu Local Search;

### QAPLIB (Burkard et al., 1997)

A widely used library of QAP instances intended as test instances for the research community.

QAPLIB results in the literature:

Publication	Gap (%)	Time Limit	Time (s)
(Misevicius, 2012)	0.5	5 min	
(Harris et al., 2015)	0.049	5 min	3.93
(Benlic and Hao, 2015)	0.016	30 min	150
(Helal et al., 2015)	0.0919		44

# COMPARISON OF METAHEURISTICS

---



This work compares four metaheuristics:

- Simulated Annealing (Kirkpatrick et al., 1983; Černý, 1985);
- Iterated tabu search (Misevicius, 2012);
- BMA (Benlic and Hao, 2015);
- A simple evolutionary algorithm.

Alternates two steps:

1. *Controlled chained mutation*

- Performs a *chained mutation*
- Chooses the most *disruptive* mutation from a set
- Controls mutation size and disruptiveness

2. *Improved robust tabu-search* Tabu search with extra rules to deter 'stagnant behaviour':

- periodically performs steepest descent search
- periodically ignores the tabu-list
- halves all tabu-counts when a new local optimum is reached

BMA has the following features:

**Local search:** Breakout local search (BLS) (Benlic and Hao, 2013);

**Crossover:** 'The' uniform crossover (UX) operator;

**Mutation:** Chained sequence mutation.

‘Breakout local search for the quadratic assignment problem’  
(Benlic and Hao, 2013).

Each iteration:

1. Perform steepest descent search using a 2-swap neighbourhood.
2. Perform a number of perturbation moves:
  - Either random moves or tabu search moves;
  - Perturbation type chosen based on last improving iteration;
  - Number of moves increases with visits to the same local optimum;

An evolutionary algorithm without a local improvement method:

- Maintains a population of  $N$  solutions;
- Each iteration:
  1. Remove all but the  $K$  best solutions from the population.
  2. Generate  $N - K$  new individuals using either crossover or mutation, chosen randomly:
    - Chained sequence mutation
    - Uniform crossover

The performance of the algorithms was compared on the full set of QAPLIB problems (Burkard et al., 1997).

- Ran each algorithm on all 135 of the 136 problem instances (the trivial instance **esc16f** was excluded).
- Time limit of 5 s per run.
- Record best solution, time that best solution was found, and actual time taken.
- Test significance of performance difference using Wilcoxon signed rank tests.

## PRELIMINARY RESULTS

---

- The algorithms were written in Rust and compiled with rustc 1.3.0 and cargo 0.5.0
- Experiments were run on an early 2013 Macbook Pro 15" with a 2.7 GHz Intel Core i7 processor and 16 GB of RAM
- The neighbourhood evaluation acceleration due to Frieze et al. (1989) was not used for ITS and BMA



Experiment results averaged over all QAPLIB problems:

Algorithm	Deviation (%)	BKV Time (s)	% BKV Solutions
SA	7.40	2.70	22.22
ITS	6.88	0.29	18.52
BMA	0.63	0.52	67.41
EA	10.54	0.60	9.63

Wilcoxon signed rank tests on the % deviation from the BKV:

Algorithms		p-value
BMA	ITS	<0.0001
BMA	EA	<0.0001
BMA	SA	<0.0001
ITS	EA	<0.0001
ITS	SA	0.9333
EA	SA	>0.9999

## CONCLUSION

---

This work compared four metaheuristics based on recent approaches from the literature to solving the QAP.

- BMA significantly outperformed the other algorithms
- The evolutionary method without a tabu-list or local-improvement method was ineffective
- Simulated annealing performed surprisingly well / ITS may be have implemented incorrectly

- Alguliyev, R. M., Aliguliyev, R. M., and Isazade, N. R. (2015). An unsupervised approach to generating generic summaries of documents. *Applied Soft Computing*, 34(C):236–250.
- Azab, A. (2015). Quadratic assignment problem mathematical modelling for process planning. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, pages 1–20.
- Benlic, U. and Hao, J.-K. (2013). Breakout local search for the quadratic assignment problem. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 219(9):4800–4815.
- Benlic, U. and Hao, J.-K. (2015). Memetic search for the quadratic assignment problem. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 42(1):584–595.
- Burkard, R. E., Karisch, S. E., and Rendl, F. (1997). Qaplib—a quadratic assignment problem library. *Journal of Global optimization*, 10(4):391–403.
- Černý, V. (1985). Thermodynamical approach to the traveling salesman problem: An efficient simulation algorithm. *Journal of optimization theory and applications*, 45(1):41–51.
- Feng, X. and Su, Q. (2015). An applied case of quadratic assignment problem in hospital department layout. In *2015 12th International Conference on Service Systems and Service Management (ICSSSM)*, pages 1–5. IEEE.
- Frieze, A. M., Yadegar, J., El-Horbaty, S., and Parkinson, D. (1989). Algorithms for assignment problems on an array processor. *Parallel computing*, 11(2):151–162.
- Harris, M., Berretta, R., Inostroza-Ponta, M., and Moscato, P. (2015). A memetic algorithm for the quadratic assignment problem with parallel local search. pages 838–845.
- Helal, A., Jawdat, E., and Abdelbar, A. M. (2015). An improved particle swarm optimization/tabu search approach to the quadratic assignment problem. pages 220–226.
- Kirkpatrick, S., Vecchi, M. P., et al. (1983). Optimization by simulated annealing.
- Koopmans, T. C. and Beckmann, M. (1957). Assignment Problems and the Location of Economic Activities. *Econometrica*, 25(1):53–76.
- Loiola, E. M., de Abreu, N. M. M., Boaventura-Netto, P. O., Hahn, P., and Querido, T. (2007). A survey for the quadratic assignment problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 176(2):657–690.
- Misevicius, A. (2012). An implementation of the iterated tabu search algorithm for the quadratic assignment problem. *OR spectrum*, 34(3):665–690.
- Neri, F., Cotta, C., and Moscato, P. (2012). *Handbook of memetic algorithms*, volume 379. Springer.
- Samanta, S., Philip, D., Mall, R. D., and Singh, A. (2015). Two step facility layout optimization of an Indian bus body manufacturing plant using artificial bee colony algorithm and its variants. In *2015 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management (IEOM)*, pages 1–8. IEEE.

QUESTIONS?