

# Shortlist 2010

MICHAEL MIDDLEZONG

25 July 2024

## Geometry

### G1

Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be the two intersection points of line  $EF$  with the circumcircle, and WLOG assume  $P_2$  is closest out of the two to  $B$ . Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be defined as usual. We claim that  $Q_1$  and  $P_2$  are reflections over line  $AB$ , and  $Q_2$  and  $P_1$  are also reflections over line  $AB$ .

First, notice that  $AP_1 = AP_2$ ; this follows from the fact that  $\overline{OA} \perp \overline{EF}$  (trivial by angle chasing). Thus,

$$\angle P_2BA = \angle P_2P_1A = \angle AP_2P_1 = \angle ABP_1.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\angle Q_2FB = \angle DFA = \angle DCA = \angle BCE = \angle BFE = \angle BFP_1.$$

We can now conclude that triangles  $BFQ_2$  and  $BFP_1$  are congruent, and thus  $Q_2$  and  $P_1$  are reflections over  $AB$ .

We also see that triangles  $P_2FQ_2$  and  $Q_1FP_1$  are congruent, and thus  $Q_1$  and  $P_2$  are reflections over  $AB$ .

Finally,

$$AQ_1 = AP_2 = AP_1 = AQ_2,$$

and we are done.

## Number Theory

### N1

First, we prove the bound  $n \geq 39$ . This is obvious as  $\frac{1}{39} > \frac{51}{2010} = \frac{17}{670}$ .

What remains is the construction. After some experimentation, we see

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdots \frac{32}{33}\right) \left(\frac{34}{35} \cdots \frac{39}{40}\right) \left(\frac{66}{67}\right) = \frac{17}{670}.$$

(To speed up the construction, start by including  $\frac{66}{67}$  and prioritize minimizing values of  $s_i$ .)

**N2**

First, arrive at  $m \mid 2 \cdot 3^n$  by either noticing that it is a quadratic equation in  $m$  or taking the whole equation mod  $m$ . Then, writing  $m = 2 \cdot 3^a$ , the equation simplifies to

$$2 \cdot 3^a + 3^{n-a} = 2^{n+1} - 1.$$

Solving this is actually the bulk of the problem.

To solve this, first notice that if either  $a < 3$  or  $n - a < 3$ , we can manually solve the equation using basic size arguments to get the only solutions:

$$(m, n) \in \{(6, 3), (9, 3), (9, 5), (54, 5)\}.$$

Next, the goal is to show there are no more solutions. There are two approaches here.

- **Mod chasing using orders.** Taking mod 27 yields  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{18}$ . This leads to an array of opportunities, as taking mods 7 and 19 gives us lots of information. It turns out to be enough to produce a contradiction.
- **Bounding  $\nu_3$ .** Intuitively, the LHS of the equation has too many powers of 3. A size argument using LTE can solve this problem.