# **Test 1 Solutions**

#### MICHAEL MIDDLEZONG

22 August 2024

We claim only n = 7 works. We can verify manually up to n = 11:

$$4? = 6 \neq 2(4) + 16,$$

$$5? = 6 \neq 2(5) + 16,$$

$$6? = 30 \neq 2(6) + 16,$$

$$8? = 210 \neq 2(8) + 16,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$11? = 210 \neq 2(11) + 16.$$

Then, assume  $n \ge 12$ . By Bertrand's postulate, there exists p satisfying  $5 < \frac{n}{2} < p < n$ . Thus, since 2, 3, 5, and p are distinct primes less than n, we have

$$n? \ge 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot p > 30 \cdot \frac{n}{2} > 2n + 16,$$

and hence it is impossible for any  $n \ge 12$  to satisfy the condition.

The answer is no. Rewrite the equation as  $\frac{x!}{y+1} = (y!)^2$ . As x gets large enough, Bertrand's postulate tells us that there is a prime p satisfying  $\frac{x}{2} . Note that <math>p$  can only divide x! once. Thus, in order for the LHS to be a perfect square, p must divide y+1 exactly once. If  $y+1 \geq 2p > x$ , the original equation obviously cannot be satisfied because the RHS is larger. Thus, we must have y+1=p.

This holds for any p satisfying  $\frac{x}{2} . Thus, there must only be one prime between <math>\frac{x}{2}$  and x. Nagura's result tells us that if x > 25, there will always be a prime between  $\frac{x}{2}$  and  $\frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{x}{2}$  and a prime between  $\frac{5}{6} \cdot x$  and x. Thus, the original equation cannot hold after x exceeds a finite value, and since there is obviously at most one solution for y for each x, there must be finitely many solutions to the original equation.

Note: I was unfortunately unable to solve the problem without resorting to this somewhat obscure result. :(

I got that  $\varphi(n)$  is a power of 2 iff  $n=2^ap_1p_2\dots p_k$ , where  $a\geq 0$  and each  $p_i$  is a distinct prime that is one more than a power of 2. Not sure how to continue...