

# Mikhail Mitkevich

R/C++ developer

---

January 15, 2019

# Outline

- *1994* Learned C++ by Stroustrup, aged 15
- *1996-2002* Msc in applied mathematics, Moscow institute of physics and technology (MIPT.ru)
- *2002-2006* C++/win32 developer, custom DSP chip gcc toolchain porting, ispras.ru
- *2006-2008* C++/C# developer, reinforcement learning applied to portfolio optimization, ccas.ru
- *2008-2016* java/linux developer, realtime distributed call center software, java/linux/oracle, Alcatel-Lucent
- *2016...* R/C++ and java developer in a proprietary hedge fund, both research and low latency execution roles

## OS

- Ubuntu/CentOS
- OSX
- Windows NT (in the past)

## Languages

- C++ 17
- java 8
- R, python
- javascript/nodejs
- C# (in the past)

## Databases

- postgresSQL
- clickhouse
- lmdb, libsqlite
- oracle, mysql (in the past)

## Tools

- cmake, make
- gdb, valgrind
- conan, [bintray.com](https://bintray.com)
- gradle, maven
- git, gitlab, github

## Libraries

- C++: stl, boost {asio, beast, thread, filesystem}, Rcpp, rxcpp
- R : dplyr, ggplot, purrr, shiny
- python: pandas, numpy, asyncio

## My code: Rcpp backtesting library (C++)

- code: [https://github.com/mmitkevich/rticks\\_v1/blob/master/src/gamma.h](https://github.com/mmitkevich/rticks_v1/blob/master/src/gamma.h)
- functional reactive streams design inspired by RX ( Reactive Extensions) library <http://rxmarbles.com>
- utilizes C++ 14 template metaprogramming
- Aim: to become a realtime stream-based analog to R's dplyr

## Use case: crude marketmaking model 1/2

Suppose that:

- We want to be market makers of closest quarterly Crude Oil futures contracts on Comex and Nymex exchanges
- And keep our portfolio delta  $\Delta(t)$  (e.g. normalized net futures position expressed in dollars) small enough to keep risks small:

$$\Delta(t) = \left[ \sum_{i \in \{CL1@COMEX, CL1@NYMEX\}} \Delta_i(t) \right] \rightarrow \min_{STRATEGY.PARAMETERS}$$

- To start with, we choose our bid price  $S_{BID}$  and ask price  $S_{ASK}$  at fixed distance  $D_{HALF.SPREAD}$  from some fair price  $S_{FAIR.PRICE}$ , defined later:

$$S_{BID,ASK} = S_{FAIR.PRICE} \mp D_{HALF.SPREAD}$$

- For simplicity we treat both futures essentially the same so we could mix their order books into single order book and use midprice as fair price:

$$S_{FAIR.PRICE} = 0.5(S_{BEST.BID} + S_{BEST.ASK})$$

## Use case: crude marketmaking model 2/2

- We choose our buy order quantity  $\Gamma_{BUY}$  and (negative) sell order quantity  $\Gamma_{SELL}$  to keep our Delta small, but continue to make markets:

$$\Gamma_{BUY}^i(t) = \Gamma_0 + \max(0, -\Delta)$$

$$\Gamma_{SELL}^i(t) = -(\Gamma_0 + \max(0, \Delta))$$

- When executed, these orders would bring our  $\Delta$  closer to zero

# Concept: Functional strategy DSL 1

In the following N slides I describe preliminary design of functional DSL language aimed for quants to formally specify high frequency trading strategies.



## Concept: Market data feeds

First, note that conceptually all level1, level2, level3 and execution report feeds generalize to streams of tuples

$$\text{feed}(t) = [\text{timestamp}, \text{symbol}, \text{op}, \text{price}, \text{qty}]$$

Where operation *op* could have following values:

- PLACED: order was inserted into the order book
- CANCELED: order was removed from the order book
- FILLED: order was fully filled and is out of the book
- PART\_FILLED: order filled partially and is still in the book
- UPDATED: cumulative quantity at order book price level has changed
- RESET, SNAPSHOT: these two could be used to synchronize order book snapshots with server

We leave transactions (PLACE, AMEND, CANCEL) out of scope for now.

## Concept: streams DSL inspired by GNU R's dplyr package 2

dplyr is a popular GNU R package for data.frame manipulations.

Let's imagine dplyr-like DSL to define our trading strategy. Let's start with defining the feeds using hypothetical *streams* function

```
symbols = c("CL.1@COMEX", "CL.1@NYMEX")
s0 = data_streams(
  level2=level2_feed(symbols),
  execs=exec_feed("mike@GS", "peter@DB"))
```

So printing feeds could give us the following output

```
>tail(feeds)
level2|UPDATE|17:23:14.323|CL.1@COMEX | 65.50 | 100
level2|UPDATE|17:23:14.324|CL.1@NYMEX | 65.52 | -100
execs |FILL   |17:23:14.324|CL.1@COMEX | 65.51 | 50 | mike
```

## Concept: stream mutation in functional style 3

Actual position could be calculating by summing *qty* for all *executions*:

```
s1 = s0 %>% mutate(  
  position=cumsum(executions))
```

Note, that:

- summation is done for each symbol individually.
- since each stream is a tuple of [price, qty], summation operator outputs [price=sum(price\*qty)/sum(qty), qty=sum(qty)]. This corresponds to calculating of VWAP. This property could be used to greatly simplify DSL language in sense of formulas.

## Concept: mixing order books 4

To mix order books we transform *level2* feed to have common symbol and then use *level2\_to\_level1* function which

- takes *level2* stream as its input
- reconstructs order book state on it (filtering matched price levels)
- outputs required *level1* feed (which basically contains only SNAPSHOT records):

## Concept: calculating required values 5

Also we transform last *position* and *mid* price into delta.

```
contract_lot=10 # barrels
s2 = s1 %>% mutate(
  level2=level2 %>% mutate(symbol='CL.1@MIXED'),
  level1=level2_to_level1(level2),
  best.bid=level1 %>% filter(qty>0),
  best.ask=level1 %>% filter(qty<0),
  fair.price=0.5*(best.bid+best.ask),
  delta=position*mid*contract_lot)
```

## Concept: reducing over portfolio 6

In the previous example we have calculated delta for each contract, so now we need to reduce it over all symbols in the portfolio

```
s3 = s2 %>% mutate(  
  Delta=reduce(delta, ~ .x+.y)  
)
```

Now our *s3* stream contains everything we need to calculate fair price and our buy/sell quotes:

- $\Delta$ : total delta of the portfolio, in dollars
- $\text{best.bid}=S_{\text{BID}}$ ,  $\text{best.ask}=S_{\text{ASK}}$ ,  $\text{fair.price}=S_{\text{FAIR.PRICE}}$ : mixed book bid, ask and fair price calculated as their average

## Concept: calculating final market maker quotes 7

```
gamma0=100 # USD
half_spread=0.01 # USD
s4 = s3 %>% mutate(
  buy = (fair.price-half_spread) %>%
    mutate(
      qty=(max(0,-Delta)+gamma0)
        /contract_lot/price),
  sell = (fair.price+half_spread) %>%
    mutate(
      qty=-(max(0,Delta)+gamma0)
        /contract_lot/price))
```

These buy and ask quotes are subject to be maintained in the market by high-frequency execution service. This service receives desired buy and sell prices and sends orders accordingly



## Concept: Execution, further improvements 8

- Possible latency reduction could be achieved by mixed software/hardware design. For example, our simple strategy only uses simple arithmetics, max/min operations and orderbook mixing operations. If properly implemented in FPGA hardware it could be possible to reduce latency introduced by software.
- DSL language could be implemented not only with R dplyr-like syntax. Actually all 'mutate' clauses are really optional. Usability by quants is the main concern.

## My code: offheap order matching engine (Java)

- <https://github.com/mmitkevich/lob-java/blob/master/src/main/java/org/freeticks/lob/OffHeapBook.java>
- uses DirectBuffer to manipulate offheap memory and minimize allocations
- price level index implemented as plain array instead of sorted rb-tree
- orders are allocated in offheap circular arena

## My code: lockless SPSC queue (.NET)

- <https://github.com/mmitkevich/Snail/blob/master/Snail/Threading/BQueue.cs>
- CLR implementation of bounded lockless queue addressing false sharing problem for low latency core-to-core communication

<https://linkedin.com/in/mmitkevich>

<https://github.com/mmitkevich>