# Mikhail Mitkevich

R/C++ developer

January 15, 2019

#### **Outline**

- 1994 Learned C++ by Stroustrup, aged 15
- 1996-2002 Msc in applied mathematics, Moscow institute of physics and technology (MIPT.ru)
- 2002-2006 C++/win32 developer, custom DSP chip gcc toolchain porting, ispras.ru
- 2006-2008 C++/C# developer, reinforcement learning applied to portfolio optimization, ccas.ru
- 2008-2016 java/linux developer, realtime distributed call center software, java/linux/oracle, Alcatel-Lucent
- 2016... R/C++ and java developer in a proprietary hedge fund, both research and low latency execution roles

1

## **Programming**

#### OS

- Ubuntu/CentOS
- OSX
- Windows NT (in the past)

#### Languages

- C++ 17
- java 8
- R, python
- javascript/nodejs
- C# (in the past)

#### Databases

- postgreSQL
- clickhouse
- Imdb, libsqlite
- oracle, mysql (in the past)

#### **Tools and libraries**

#### Tools

- cmake, make
- gdb, varlgrind
- conan, bintray.com
- gradle, maven
- git, gitlab, github

#### Libraries

- C++: stl, boost {asio, beast, thread, filesystem}, Rcpp, rxcpp
- R: dplyr, ggplot, purrr, shiny
- python: pandas, numpy, asyncio

# My code: Rticks backtesting library (C++)

- gamma strategy (mean reversion):
   https://github.com/mmitkevich/rticks\_v1/
   blob/master/src/gamma.h
- functional reactive streams design inspired by Reactive Extensions library (reactivex.io) http://rxmarbles.com, http://reactivex.io, http://github.com/ReactiveX/RxCpp
- utilizes C++ 14 and templates to produce optimized code using higher-level concepts
- Goals: to became a realtime stream-based alternative to R's dplyr, suitable for backtest/optimization and low-latency exectuion

# Use case: crude marketmaking model 1/2

#### Suppose that:

- We want to be market makers of closest quarterly Crude Oil futures contracts on Comex and Nymex exchanges
- And keep our portfolio delta  $\Delta(t)$  (e.g. normalized net futures position expressed in dollars) small enough to keep risks small:

$$\Delta(t) = [\sum_{i \in \{\textit{CL}.1@\textit{COMEX}, \textit{CL}.1@\textit{NYMEX}\}} \Delta_i(t)] \rightarrow \min_{\textit{STRATEGY}.\textit{PARAMETERS}}$$

■ To start with, we choose our bid price S<sub>BID</sub> and ask price S<sub>ASK</sub> at fixed distance D<sub>HALF.SPREAD</sub> from some fair price S<sub>FAIR.PRICE</sub>, defined later:

$$S_{BID,ASK} = S_{FAIR.PRICE} \mp D_{HALF.SPREAD}$$

 For simplicity we treat both futures essentially the same so we could mix their order books into single order book and use midprice as fair price:

$$S_{FAIR.PRICE} = 0.5(S_{BEST.BID} + S_{BEST.ASK})$$

## Use case: crude marketmaking model 2/2

 We choose our buy order quantity Γ<sub>BUY</sub> and (negative) sell order quantity Γ<sub>SELL</sub> to keep our Delta small, but continue to make markets:

$$\Gamma^{i}_{BUY}(t) = \Gamma_{0} + \max(0, -\Delta)$$
 $\Gamma^{i}_{SELL}(t) = -(\Gamma_{0} + \max(0, \Delta))$ 

ullet When executed, these orders would bring our  $\Delta$  closer to zero

## Concept: Functional strategy DSL 0

- In the following couple of slides I describe preliminary design of functional DSL language aimed for R & python quants to formally specify high frequency trading strategies for backtesting purposes
- Strategies are formulated as functional transformations of data and execution feeds (streams) so that the same code could be used in production as well

## Concept: Market data feeds 1

First, note that conceptually all level1, level2, level3 and execution report feeds generalize to streams of tuples

```
feed(t) = [timestamp, symbol, op, price, qty]
```

Where operation op could have following values:

- PLACED: order was inserted into the order book
- CANCELED: order was removed from the order book
- FILLED: order was fully filled and is out of the book
- PART\_FILLED: order filled partially and is still in the book
- UPDATED: cumulative quantity at order book price level has changed
- RESET, SNAPSHOT: these two could be used to synchronize order book snapshots with server

We leave transactions (PLACE, AMEND, CANCEL) out of scope for now.

## Concept: streams DSL inspired by GNU R's dplyr package 2

dplyr is a popular GNU R package for data.frame manipulations.

Let's imagine dplyr-like DSL to define our trading strategy. Let's start with defining the feeds using hypothetical *data\_streams* function

```
\label{eq:symbols} \begin{split} & \text{symbols} = c \big( \text{"CL.1@COMEX"} \,, \, \, \text{"CL.1@NYMEX"} \big) \\ & \text{s0} = \text{data\_streams} \big( \\ & \text{level2=level2\_feed} \big( \text{symbols} \big) \,, \\ & \text{execs=exec\_feed} \big( \text{"mike@GS"} \,, \text{"peter@DB"} \big) \big) \end{split}
```

So printing feeds could give us the following output

```
>tail(feeds)
level2|UPDATE|17:23:14.323|CL.1@COMEX | 65.50 | 100
level2|UPDATE|17:23:14.324|CL.1@NYMEX | 65.52 | -100
execs |FILL |17:23:14.324|CL.1@COMEX | 65.51 | 50 | mik
```

#### Concept: stream mutation in functional style 3

Actual position could be calculating by summing qty for all executions:

```
s1 = s0 %>% mutate(
  position=cumsum(executions))
```

#### Note, that:

- summation is done for each symbol individually.
- logically position stream is also tuple [price, qty] so we should define arithmetic operations on such tuples

## Concept: simplify arithmetics

#### Let's denote

- S:price (in USD),
- Q:qty (in items),
- X = [S, Q]:vector of both,
- U(X) = SQ: value of this vector

Let's define plus, minus, mul, div operators

$$X_1 + X_2 = [S_1, Q_1] + [S_2, Q_2] = [S_1Q_1 + S_2Q_2, 1] \rightarrow U = S_1Q_1 + S_2Q_2 = U_1 + U_2$$
 $X_1 - X_2 = [S_1Q_1 - S_2Q_2, 1] \rightarrow U = U_1 - U_2$ 
 $X_1 * X_2 = [S_1Q_1S_2Q_2, 1] \rightarrow U = U_1U_2$ 
 $X_1/X_2 = [S_1Q_1/S_2Q_2, 1] \rightarrow U = U_1/U_2$ 

This corresponds to casting [price, qty] tuple to price\*qty real number, and casting back real number to [number, 1] tuple. This would simplify arithmetics

## Concept: mixing order books 4

To mix order books we transform *level*2 feed to have common symbol and then use *level*2\_to\_level1 function which

- takes level2 stream as its input
- reconstructs order book state on it (filtering matched price levels)
- outputs required level1 feed (which basically contains only SNAPSHOT records):

#### Concept: calculating required values 5

Also we transform last *position* and *mid* price into delta.

```
contract_lot=10 # barrels
s2 = s1 %% mutate(
  level2=level2%%mutate(symbol='CL.1@MIXED'),
  level1=level2_to_level1(level2),
  best.bid=level1 %% filter(qty > 0),
  best.ask=level1 %% filter(qty < 0),
  fair.price=0.5*(best.bid+best.ask),
  delta=position*fair.price*contract_lot)</pre>
```

Note, that stream of position is a single number,

## Concept: reducing over portfolio 6

In the previous example we have calculated delta for each contract, so now we need to summarize it over all symbols in the portfolio

```
s3 = s2 \%\% mutate(
Delta=reduce(delta, \sim .x+.y)
```

Now our *s*3 stream contains everything we need to calculate fair price and our buy/sell quotes:

- Delta= $\Delta$ : total delta of the portfolio, in dollars
- best.bid= $S_{BID}$ , best.ask= $S_{ASK}$ , fair.price= $S_{FAIR.PRICE}$ : mixed book bid, ask and fair price calculated as their average

## Concept: calculating final market maker quotes 7

These buy and ask quotes are subject to be maintained in the market by high-frequency execution service. This service receives desired buy and sell quotes (with respective quantities) and sends orders accordingly.

#### Concept: Execution, further improvements 8

- Possible latency reduction could be achieved by mixed software/hardware design. For example, our simple strategy only uses simple arithmetics, max/min operations and orderbook mixing operations. If properly implemented in FPGA hardware it could be possible to reduce latency introduced by software.
- DSL language could be implemented not only with R dplyr-like syntax. Actually all 'mutate' clauses are really optional. Usability by quants is the main concern.

# My code: offheap order matching engine (Java)

- https://github.com/mmitkevich/lobjava/blob/master/src/main/java/ org/freeticks/lob/OffHeapBook.java
- uses DirectBuffer to manipulate offheap memory and minimize allocations
- price level index implemented as plain array instead of sorted rb-tree
- orders are allocated in offheap circular arena

## My code: lockless SPSC queue (.NET)

- https://github.com/mmitkevich/Snail/ blob/master/Snail/Threading/BQueue.cs
- CLR implementation of bounded lockless queue addressing false sharing problem for low latency core-to-core communication

#### Links

https://linkedin.com/in/mmitkevich

https://github.com/mmitkevich