Julia's macros, expressions, etc. for and by the confused

Gray Calhoun

October 22nd, 2014

What are we going to cover?

- How does Julia implement functions and object methods?
- How does Julia represent its own source code?
- What are these "macros" we've heard so much about?
 - What do they let us do?
 - · How do we write them?
- How do Julia's macros and functions compare to R's functions? (time permitting)
- · Useful other resources:
 - Julia documentation on Functions, Types, Methods, and Metaprogramming (links are for v0.3)
 - Steven Johnson's Euro SciPy 2014 presentations (several IJulia notebooks)
 - I wrote a blog post while preparing this talk: http://clhn.org/1019Oym
- re: copying these slides: (since this is going online):
 Copyright (c) 2014 Gray Calhoun. These slides are licensed under a
 Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License and the source code listed here is also licensed under the MIT License.

Quick review: what is a function (in Julia)?

- Abstractly, maps a collection of variables to value
 - The collection of variables is a tuple
- Functions are objects (just like in R)
 - You can pass functions as arguments to other functions
- Functions can be named or anonymous
- Other features:
 - Variable number of arguments
 - Optional arguments with default values
 - Keyword arguments
 - · Return multiple values as a tuple

Examples of basic function syntax

```
function f(arg1, arg2)
    if isa(arg1, Int64)
         return arg1
    elseif isa(arg2, Function)
         return arg2(arg1)
    end
    arg1, arg2 # <- implicitly returned</pre>
end
f(17., x \rightarrow x^2)
#> 289.0
g(arg1, arg2, arg3...) = arg1(arg2, arg3)
a, b = g(17, 329, 932) do x, y # <- anon. fn as
             x, y, map(z \rightarrow x*z, y) # first arg.
         end[1:2] # <- discard third value returned</pre>
#> (17, (329, 932))
```

Rewriting the previous examples with multiple dispatch

```
# We would never want to write a function like
# this in Julia:
function f(arg1, arg2)
    if isa(arg1, Int64)
        return arg1
    elseif isa(arg2, Function)
        return arg2(arg1)
    end
    arg1, arg2 # <- implicitly returned</pre>
end
# A better way is to define methods
h(x::Int64, y) = x
h(x, g::Function) = g(x) # <- get a warning
h(x, y) = x, y \# Fallback definition (the default)
# Should define this as well (first)
h(x::Int64, g::Function) = x
```

Quick discussion of Julia's object system

- Object system is similar to R's
 - · Methods "belong" to the generic function, not to the object
 - Discoverability becomes much easier than in (say) Python
 - · Naming becomes more important
- Just in Time compilation:
 - The first time we call h (or f, for that matter) Julia compiles a version of that function for the datatypes used in the call
 - Future calls with the same datatypes use the precompiled version (which is fast)
- · Lots of performance implications
 - · Global scope is slow
 - · Explicitly defining types sometimes matters, but not usually
 - · Matters when defining objects, for example
 - · Matters for keyword arguments
 - · Doesn't matter for normal function arguments
 - "Type-stability" is important
 - More at http://julia.readthedocs.org/en/latest/manual/performance-tips
 - Also see Leah Hanson's TypeCheck.jl package

Defining new objects

```
# Defining new objects is easy, use the
# 'type' keyword
type MyObject
    x::Integer
    y::Integer
end
a = MyObject(32, 59)
a + a
#> ERROR: '+' has no method matching
#> +(::MyObject, ::MyObject)
+(a::MyObject, b::MyObject) =
    MyObject(a.x+b.x, a.y+b.y)
a + a
#> MyObject(64,118)
```

Expressions and symbols are objects

#>:(height + 23)

```
# The 'Symbol' object type is used for variable
# names. Symbols start with a ':'
:height
#> :height
typeof(:height)
#> Symbol
1 + :height
#> ERROR: '+' has no method matching +(::Int64, ::Symbol
# Code is represented as an 'Expr' object.
:(height + 23)
#>:(height + 23)
Expr(:call, :+, :height, 23)
```

Evaluating Julia expressions through "eval"

```
# The code is run by evaluating it. This can
# be done manually through 'eval'
eval(:(height + 23))
#> ERROR: height not defined
eval(:(height = 70))
height
#> 70
eval(:(height + 23))
#> 93
# 'eval' always executes in the global (module)
# namespace, not the local namespace. AVOID eval!
f(height) = eval(:(height + 23))
f(12)
#> 93
```

Evaluating Julia expressions through "eval"

```
# A useful idiom: if you absolutely _need_ local
# eval, you can create an anonymous function with
# eval and then call it
f_bad(height) = eval(:(height + 23))
f bad(12)
#> 93
function f_good(height)
    tempf = eval(:(x \rightarrow x + 23))
    tempf(height)
end
f_good(12)
#> 35
# This should be a _last resort_, there are
# better alternatives
```

Basic expression facts and syntax

```
# Expressions have two (main) fields
# - head: the 'type' of the expression
# - args: the terms that make up the expression
a = :(x < \theta) + (x < \phi)
\#>:(X < 70 < y)
a.head
#> :comparison
a.args
#> 5-element Array{Any,1}:
#> :x
#> :<
#> 70
#> :<
#> : y
a.args[3] = :(2 * $height)
а
\#>:(x < 2 * 70 < y)
```

Macros are used to programatically manipulate syntax

- It's useful to be able to manipulate Julia expressions and run the new expressions
- It's even more useful if we don't have to do this by hand
- "Macros" are the way to do this in Julia
- A macro is superficially like a function except
 - Macros do not evaluate their arguments when they are dispatched, they treat their arguments as if they were quoted expressions
 - Macros return expressions: those expressions are then evaluated in the environment that called the macro
 - If a macro is used inside a function, it is executed when the function is defined, before the function is compiled or run
- Since expressions are objects in Julia, our macros are programmed in Julia
 - Similar to Lisp
 - Not at all how C or C++ do macros
 - You can do this with functions in R, which makes programming... interesting

My favorite macro example, slide 1

```
using Devectorize
x = rand(100); y = randn(100)
#macro name
#----
@devec r = exp(abs(x - y))
       Single expression passed to @devec
 @devec does the following:
    1. writes an expression that has Julia code
       to define 'r'
# 2. writes a loop
       - that iterates down 'x' and 'y'
       - has 'r[i] = \exp(abs(x[i] - y[i]))' as
        its body
# After @devec returns, Julia runs the new expression
```

```
macroexpand(:(@devec r = exp(abs(x - y))))
# returns (with some editing)
quote
    _siz_16093 = Devectorize.ewise_shape(size(x), size(y)
    if siz 16093 == () # <- 'unigified' var. names
        tmp 16092 = exp(abs(x - v))
    else
        _siz_16093 = Devectorize.ewise_shape(size(x),siz
        _ty_16094 = Devectorize.result_type(TFun{:exp}()
        _{tmp_16092} = Array(_{ty_16094},_{siz_16093})
        _len_16095 = length(_tmp_16092)
        for _i_16096 = 1:_len_16095
            _tmp_16092[_i_16096] = # <- assignment
               exp(abs(Devectorize.get_value(x,_i_16096)
                        - Devectorize.get_value(y,_i_1609
        end
    end
    r = _{tmp_16092}
end
```

General uses of macros

1. Performance

- · @devec, @parallel, @inbounds, @simd, probably more
- · @horner, others
- 2. Syntactical "sugar"
 - @eval ... invokes eval(:(...))
- 3. Extending the language & syntax
 - Keyword arguments were originally introduced as macros in a separate package
 - Docstrings are being added to Base, started as (still is) the Docile.jl package
 - Haskell/Scala style Pattern matching (Match.jl, PatternMatch.jl)
 - Tail-Call Optimization (http://blog.zachallaun.com/post/jumping-julia)
 - Lots of other examples I'm unaware of (probably)
- 4. Implementing Domain-Specific Languages
 - Distinction between previous bullet not always clear
 - Regular Expressions
 - Regression formulas and DataFrame manipulation (DataFrames.jl, GLM.jl, DataFramesMeta.jl)
 - Optimization (JuMP.jl)
 - · etc.

Let's write a (nontrivial) macro!

```
# Dynamic models are annoying to work with!
#
# Say we have an ARMA model
y[t+1] = a0 + a[1]*y[t] + a[2]*y[t-1] + e[t+1] + b*e[t]
e[t+1] \sim Normal(0, v)
# 'Vectorizing' this is unpleasant
# Need to be careful about endpoints for loops
# Wouldn't this be nice?
@loop_ts 500 y[1:2] = (0,0) begin
  y[t+1] = a0 + a[1]*y[t] + a[2]*y[t-1] + e[t+1]+b*e[t]
  e[t] = Normal(0, v)
end
# Let a macro figure out the endpoints, etc.
```

We should start with a baby macro

```
# Let's leave 'self initialization,' 'robustness,'
# etc. as an optional homework exercise
y = zeros(500)
e = randn(500)
# Start with an example of the syntax we'd like:
@loop_ts y[t+1] = 0.8y[t] + 0.02y[t-2] + e[t+1]
# And the code we want it to generate:
for _t in 3:(length(y) - 1)
   y[_t+1] = 0.8y[_t] + 0.02y[_t-2] + e[_t+1]
end
# Our macro needs to:
# 1. determine which symbols are the vectors
# 2. extract the smallest and largest allowable index
# Other tasks (i.e. the loop body) are easy
```

We should start with a baby macro

```
# Let's leave 'self initialization,' 'robustness,'
# etc. as an optional homework exercise
y = zeros(500)
e = randn(500)
# Start with an example of the syntax we'd like:
@loop_ts y[t+1] = 0.8y[t] + 0.02y[t-2] + e[t+1]
# And the code we want it to generate:
for _t in 3:(length(y) - 1)
   y[_t+1] = 0.8y[_t] + 0.02y[_t-2] + e[_t+1]
end
# Our macro needs to:
# 1. determine which symbols are the vectors
# 2. extract the smallest and largest allowable index
# Other tasks (i.e. the loop body) are easy
```

Let's do it live! Juno/LightTable works pretty well

```
macro loop_ts(ex)
    l, r = ex.args
    idx =
        if isexpr(l.args[2], :call)
            filter(x -> isa(x, Symbol),
                   l.args[2].args[2:end])[1]
        elseif isa(l.args[2], Symbol)
            l.args[2]
        end
    offsets = extrema(vcat(get_offsets(l),
                            get offsets(r)))
    loopindex = :($(1 - offsets[1]):(length($(l.args[1]))
                                      - $(offsets[2])))
    quote
        for $idx in $loopindex
            $ex
        end
    end
end
```

```
function get_offsets(ex_::Expr)
    isexpr(ex_,:call) &&
        return [[get_offsets(a)
                 for a in ex_.args[2:end]]...]
    isexpr(ex_,:ref) &&
        return get_offset_from_ref(ex_.args[2])
    warning("Not expecting to be here")
    return Int64[]
end
get_offsets(x) = Int64[]
```

```
get_offset_from_ref(s::Symbol) = 0
get_offset_from_ref(x::Number) = x
function get_offset_from_ref(ex_::Expr)
  if isexpr(ex_,:call)
      ex .args[1] == :+ &&
          return sum([get_offset_from_ref(a)
                      for a in ex .args[2:end]])
      ex .args[1] == :- &&
          return (get offset from ref(ex .args[2])
                  sum([get offset from ref(a)
                         for a in ex_.args[3:end]]))
  end
  warning("Didn't expect to get here")
  return(0)
end
```

Syntax for defining and calling macros

```
# we define a macro like this:
macro mymacro(e1, e2, e3)
  # syntax-y stuff here
end
# mymacro can be called in two ways:
@mymacro(expr_1, expr_2, expr_3) # <- no space before
                                       6 ( ) !!!
# or
@mymacro expr_1 expr_2 expr_3
# We can also define a macro
macro m_str(p) # <- p is now going to be a string
 # syntax-y stuff here
end
# m_str gets called as
m"RU 1337 H4X0RZ!?!" # <- clearly a DSL
```

Additional points to consider

- You can override gensym by using the 'esc' function.
- This lets you define new variables inside the macro that you can refer to after the macro ends

John Myles White suggested contemplating these questions:

- 1. When is a function or macro evaluated?
 - 1.1. Bonus: when are the inputs to a function or macro evaluated?
- 2. What are the types of its inputs?
- 3. What are the types of its outputs?

Just to confuse you more:

- Functions can take quoted expressions as arguments!
- But those arguments generally should not be evaluated
 - · 'eval' doesn't let you access local scope
 - · It's very slow

Comparison with R

- R's functions are probably more flexible than Julia's methods + macros system
 - Environments are first-class variables
 - 'eval' in R can take place in local scope, or in arbitrary other scopes
 - Argument names can be captured by functions by quoting inside the function
- It's not clear that we lose any expressive power in Julia
- R's flexibility may be useful when doing interactive data analysis
 - · R's metaprogramming does seem underused
 - Definitely could be an avenue for introducing bugs when you build complicated software that relies on it
 - Makes it difficult for the interpreter/compiler to write efficient code (at least, with existing tech)
 - e.g.:

```
# What happens here?
x <- 3; y <- 3

f(x); f(y); f(z <- 3); f(3)</pre>
```

Last slide, I'll fake wisdom and perspective

- Incremental development
 - · Of individual macros
 - · Of collections of macros
- Embedded scientific DSL have huge potential, should be very exciting
 - In econ, "Dynare" has been massively popular, transformed macroeconomics despite (because?) being written in **Matlab**
 - The S statistical formula notation is popular
 - · ggplot is essentially a DSL for statistical graphics
- · Balance, incremental growth
- Expect lots of changes in Julia over 0.4 and 0.5 release
 - · Macro hygiene
 - · Potentially moving Expr to an abstract type
 - Inheritence system
- · Documentation and tutorials are pretty barren
 - · Look at individual packages that rely on macros
 - · Look at the first release of those packages
 - Lisp books: Paul Graham's On Lisp and Doug Hoyte's Let over Lambda