2.6

- a) 0
- b) 4/36
- c) 1/36

2.8

- a) No, living below the poverty line and speaking a foreign language are not disjoint. 4.2% fall into both categories.
- b) Venn Diagram

Speak language other

English

Live below poverty line



- c) 10.4% live below the poverty line and only speak English
- d) 10.4% + 4.2% + 16.5% = 31.1%
- e) 1-0.311 = 68.9%
- f) P(LBP) x P(SLOE) =? P(LBP and SLOE)
- 0.146 x 0.207 = 0.0302 <> 4.2%

No, the events are not independent.

2.20

- a) $P(M_{bl} \text{ or } F_{bl}) = P(M_{bl}) + P(F_{bl}) P(M_{bl} \text{ and } F_{bl}) = (114+108-78)/204 = 144/204 = 70.6\%$
- b) $P(M_{bl} \mid F_{bl}) = (78/204)/(108/204) = 72.21\%$
- c) $P(M_{br} \mid F_{bl}) = (19/204)/(108/204) = 17.59\%$

 $P(M_g \mid F_{bl}) = (11/204)/(108/204) = 10.19\%$

- d) Yes, it does appear that the eye colors of male respondents and their partners are independent.
- 72.21% + 17.59% + 10.19% = 100% (slightly off from rounding)

The probabilities are conditioned on the same information (Female partner having blue eyes) and they sum to 1. This indicates independence.

2.30

- a) (28/95)*(67/94) = 21%
- b) (72/95)*(28/94) = 22.6%
- c) (72/95)*(28/95) = 22.3%
- d) When the sample size is only a small fraction of the population, observations are nearly independent even when sampling without replacement.

2.38

| i (Scenario) | 0 (no bag) | 1 (1 bag) | 2 (2 bags) | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|---------|
| Xi | \$0 | \$25 | \$60 | |
| $P(X=x_i)$ | 0.54 | 0.34 | 0.12 | |
| $x_i \times P(X=x_i)$ | 0 | \$8.50 | \$7.20 | \$15.70 |
| <i>x</i> _i - μ | -15.20 | 9.30 | 44.30 | |
| $(x_i - \mu)^2$ | 231.04 | 86.49 | 1962.49 | |
| $(x_i - \mu)^2 \times P(X = x_i)$ | 124.76 | 29.4 | 235.5 | 389.66 |

- a) The average revenue per passenger is \$15.70. The variance is 389.66, therefore the standard deviation is the sqrt of 389.66 = \$19.74.
- b) 120 * \$15.70 = \$1,884 with a standard deviation of \$19.74 assuming that the distribution is normally distributed. I am not sure this is justified since it seems to be right skewed.

2.44

- a) The distribution is fairly symmetric and unimodal.
- b) 2.2+4.7+15.8+18.3+21.2 = 62.2%
- c) $P(<50k \text{ and } F) = P(<50k) \times P(F) = .622*.41 = 25.5\%$ assuming these are independent
- d) The assumption of independence is not valid seeing as the actual probability of P(<50k and F) is much higher than part c.