Heaps and Data Structures: A Challenge for Automated Provers Experiment Rerun #0

Sascha Böhme¹ and Michał Moskal²

The tables below reflect a rerun of experiments with E 1.3 with -xAuto -tAuto. We also run the latest Z3.

| Model | CVC3 | Е | Fx7 | SPASS | Vampire | Yices | Z3 | $Z3_{+p}$ | Total |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| H[dot2(p,f)] | $2_{0.04}$ | 10 42.68 | $2_{2.12}$ | $5_{120.39}$ | $20_{2.06}$ | $2_{0.08}$ | $4_{118.72}$ | $33_{0.18}$ | $210_{10.59}$ |
| H[p][f] | $9_{42.14}$ | $12_{58.71}$ | 16 47.59 | 6 43.63 | 20 12.00 | $27_{9.18}$ | $13_{4.45}$ | $33_{0.08}$ | $268_{13.40}$ |
| H[dot(p,f)] | $12_{28.13}$ | 10 42.16 | $24_{24.44}$ | $9_{18.85}$ | $20_{1.71}$ | $31_{52.68}$ | $27_{47.61}$ | $33_{0.14}$ | $298_{16.28}$ |
| H[p,f] | $11_{3.34}$ | $16_{27.32}$ | $27_{22.71}$ | $9_{56.17}$ | $25_{6.53}$ | $32_{3.81}$ | $33_{1.19}$ | $33_{0.08}$ | $318_{7.26}$ |
| H[f][p] | $9_{0.51}$ | $16_{5.10}$ | $33_{9.87}$ | $10_{24.47}$ | $22_{2.12}$ | $33_{1.16}$ | $33_{0.52}$ | $33_{0.06}$ | $321_{2.71}$ |
| F[p] | $18_{0.07}$ | $33_{0.35}$ | $33_{1.05}$ | $ 23_{17.45} $ | 33 1.10 | $33_{0.04}$ | $33_{0.04}$ | $33_{0.05}$ | $371_{1.43}$ |
| Total | $61_{12.45}$ | $97_{21.48}$ | $135_{17.23}$ | $62_{35.24}$ | $140_{4.01}$ | $158_{12.93}$ | $\overline{143}_{13.12}$ | $198_{0.10}$ | $1786_{8.05}$ |

Table 3. Number of assertions solved and, in subscript, the average time of successful proofs in seconds for multi-list benchmarks $1/1^-$ and $2/2^-$. The timeout was set to 600s, and the tests run on 2.8GHz Windows 7 PC.

| Model | CVC3 _{+p} | Е | Fx7 | $Fx7_{+p}$ | Vampire | Yices | Z3 | $Z3_{+p}$ | Z3+p3 | Total |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| H[dot2(p,f)] | $3_{37.68}$ | 0 | 0 | $5_{80.41}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $10_{6.21}$ | $10_{2.10}$ | $38_{16.51}$ |
| H[p][f] | $2_{66.35}$ | 0 | 0 | $7_{57.99}$ | 0 | $1_{4.86}$ | $2_{42.44}$ | $9_{2.69}$ | $9_{18.70}$ | $39_{22.39}$ |
| H[dot(p,f)] | $6_{141.17}$ | 0 | $2_{164.66}$ | $4_{20.54}$ | 0 | $1_{70.83}$ | $3_{50.60}$ | $10_{3.87}$ | $10_{1.38}$ | $46_{33.78}$ |
| H[p,f] | $3_{180.26}$ | 0 | $2_{98.72}$ | $7_{30.62}$ | 0 | $2_{45.58}$ | 5 71.98 | 80.77 | $10_{0.51}$ | $47_{30.18}$ |
| H[f][p] | $6_{103.95}$ | 0 | 6 186.54 | $10_{19.12}$ | 0 | 6 27.80 | 8 109.26 | $10_{0.37}$ | $10_{0.17}$ | 6645.18 |
| F[p] | $7_{11.90}$ | $2_{69.34}$ | $10_{30.83}$ | $10_{9.89}$ | $3_{7.70}$ | $10_{0.31}$ | $10_{52.23}$ | $10_{0.15}$ | $10_{0.09}$ | $82_{14.40}$ |
| Total | 27 86.69 | $2_{69.34}$ | $20_{97.71}$ | $43_{32.43}$ | $3_{7.70}$ | $20_{16.84}$ | $28_{71.18}$ | $57_{2.39}$ | $59_{3.57}$ | $318_{27.16}$ |

Table 4. Number of benchmarks solved by different systems. Systems not mentioned in the table timeout on all benchmarks. Benchmarks: $1/1^-$, $1/10^-$, $2/2^-$, $2/10^-$, $3/3^-$, 1/1, 1/10, 2/2, 2/10, and 3/3.

¹ Technische Universität München, boehmes@in.tum.de

 $^{^2\} Microsoft\ Research\ Redmond, \verb|michal.moskal@microsoft.com|\\$