WCAG 2.1 Checklist – Comments/Observations

Web content is made available to the senses - sight, hearing, and/or touch

Guideline 1.1 Text Alternatives: Provide text alternatives for any non-text content.

Comments/observations:

Embedded multimedia wasn't applicable. All other criteria met.

Guideline 1.2 Time-based Media: Provide alternatives for time-based media.

Comments/observations:

Not applicable.

Guideline 1.3 Adaptable: Create content that can be presented in different ways (e.g., simpler layout) without losing information or structure.

Comments/observations:

ARIA and no tables have been used on the site. Anything that would have been represented by a table has been managed by flex box to make it more responsive for the user.

Guideline 1.4 Distinguishable: Make it easier for users to see and hear content including separating foreground from background.

Comments/observations:

All guidelines have been followed bar the use of audio due to it not adding anything to the user experience.

Operable Interface forms, controls, and navigation are operable

Guideline 2.1 Keyboard Accessible: Make all functionality available from a keyboard.

Comments/observations:

Everything has been met according to the guidelines.

Guideline 2.2 Enough Time: Provide users enough time to read and use content.

Comments/observations:

Nothing came through as no authentication was required, therefore this section felt nonapplicable.

Guideline 2.3 Seizures: Do not design content in a way that is known to cause seizures.

Comments/observations:

All have been met.

Guideline 2.4 Navigable: Provide ways to help users navigate, find content, and determine where they are.

Comments/observations:

All met apart from location, no complex pages were used.

Guideline 2.5 Input Modalities: Make it easier for users to operate functionality through various inputs beyond keyboard.

Comments/observations:

Motion and pointer cancellation was not applicable to the creation of this site, however all other points have been met.

Understandable Content and interface are understandable

Guideline 3.1 Readable: Make text content readable and understandable.

Comments/observations:

The language of the page is identified using HTML. The other factors where not applicable. The website is fully in English and no abbreviations were used.

Guideline 3.2 Predictable: Make Web pages appear and operate in predictable ways.

Comments/observations:

All points have been met.

Guideline 3.3 Input Assistance: Help users avoid and correct mistakes.

Comments/observations:

We have included working forms; however the form is fairly simplistic asking for minimal detail. Legal requirements not applicable for information asked.

Robust Content can be used reliably by a wide variety of user agents, including assistive technologies

Guideline 4.1 Compatible: Maximize compatibility with current and future user agents, including assistive technologies.

Comments/observations:

Everything met, apart from the use of ARIA. ARIA was not required in the creation of this site.

Summary of identified issues

List issues here:

- ➢ Form validation was an initial problem.

Actions taken to resolve above issues

List actions here:

- ➢ Resolved form validation by ensuring the email field would only accept a valid email address and would inform the user what was missing.

Outcomes

Were you able to resolve all issues? If not, explain why.

> ➤ Yes, all issues were resolved. Any we had encountered prior to this form had already been resolved post UAT testing.