



# Acoustic Data-Driven Subword Modeling for End-to-End Speech Recognition

**Wei Zhou**, Mohammad Zeineldeen, Zuoyun Zheng, Ralf Schlüter, Hermann Ney  
zhou@cs.rwth-aachen.de

Interspeech 2021



# Overview

---

1. Introduction
2. Acoustic Data-Driven Subword Modeling (ADSM)
3. Experiments
4. Conclusion

# Introduction

---

## End-to-end automatic speech recognition (ASR)

- great simplicity and state-of-the-art performance
- **subwords**: most common label units

## Text-based subword modeling approaches

- **byte pair encoding (BPE)** [Sennrich & Haddow<sup>+</sup> 16]: deterministic segmentation of words
  - split all words in the text corpus into single characters
  - merge pairs of units based on frequency
- **WordPieceModel (WPM)** [Schuster & Nakajima 12]: similar as BPE
  - subword merging based on the likelihood of the text data
- **unigram language model (ULM)** [Kudo 18]: probabilistic segmentation
  - EM training: marginal likelihood over all within-vocabulary segmentations of the text data
  - iterative vocabulary refinement and model training
  - subword regularization: draw samples of segmentation variants based on the trained ULM

## No consideration of the underlying acoustic signal: key of ASR

---

## Automatic label learning from an acoustic perspective

- well studied for classical ASR systems [Bacchiani 99]
- but not fully addressed in end-to-end ASR

## Acoustic-based subword methods

- **pronunciation-assisted subword modeling (PASM)** [Xu & Ding<sup>+</sup> 19]
  - pronunciation lexicon: acoustic structure of subword units
  - text corpus: post-processing for final labels (**no acoustic data involved**)
- **GramCTC** [Liu & Zhu<sup>+</sup> 17] and **latent sequence decompositions (LSD)** [Chan & Zhang<sup>+</sup> 17]
  - expose the ASR model to various segmentations in training
  - jointly learn an acoustic-based sequence decomposition within a fixed vocabulary
  - vocabulary: most frequent  $n$ -gram characters in the transcription
  - **not aim at acoustic-oriented subword modeling**

## Propose: Acoustic Data-Driven Subword Modeling (ADSM)

- **fully acoustic-oriented label design and learning process**
- combine most advantages of the aforementioned methods
- acoustic-structured subword units
- acoustic-matched target sequence for further ASR training

# Acoustic Data-Driven Subword Modeling (ADSM)

---

## Notation

- $\vec{a}$ : sequence of subwords  $a$  from vocabulary  $V$
- $S(w) = \{\vec{a} : w\}$ : set of allowed segmentations of word  $w$  using  $a \in V$ .

## ADSM Initialization

- pronunciation lexicon: grapheme-to-phoneme (G2P) pairs
  - $V$ : all subword units from those G2P pairs
    - **acoustic structure**: graphemic representation of phonemes
  - $S(w)$ : all possible segmentation of  $w$  using  $a \in V$ 
    - largely relaxed quality requirement of G2P alignment
  - further discriminate subwords at word end:  $a_-$  vs.  $a$ , e.g. “a b l e\_-”
    - different acoustic property [Le & Zhang<sup>+</sup> 19]
    - reconstruction of word
- **$V$  and  $S(w)$**

## ADSM Repeatable Iteration:

### Step 1. vocabulary refinement

- given  $S(w)$  and  $V$ 
  - training utterance  $(X, W)$ : acoustic feature sequence and corresponding word sequence
  - $S(W)$ : the set allowed subword sequences  $A$  for the full utterance  $W$
- model  $\theta$  training
  - **extended marginal likelihood in ULM + further dependency on the acoustic input**

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = -\log \sum_{A \in S(W)} p(A \mid X; \theta)$$

## Step 1. vocabulary refinement (continue)

- extended connectionist temporal classification (CTC) training as GramCTC
  - **marginalize over all CTC alignments of all allowed subword decomposition of  $W$**

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = -\log \sum_{A \in S(W)} p(A | X; \theta) = -\log \sum_{A \in S(W)} \sum_{y_1^T: A} p'(y_1^T | h_1^T; \theta) = -\log \sum_{A \in S(W)} \sum_{y_1^T: A} \prod_{t=1}^T p'(y_t | h_1^T; \theta)$$

- $h_1^T = f_{\theta}^{\text{enc}}(X)$ : encoding (optional subsampling)
- $y_1^T$ : blank  $\epsilon$ -augmented CTC alignment sequence
- CTC collapsing function  $B(y_1^T) = A$
- $p'$ : defined over  $V \cup \{\epsilon\}$

- **learn most probable segmentation of each utterance in an acoustic data-driven manner**



## Step 1. vocabulary refinement (continue)

- Viterbi aligning with trained model  $\theta$

$$\tilde{A} = B\left(\arg \max_{y_1^T: A \in S(W)} \frac{p'(y_1^T | h_1^T; \theta)}{q^\lambda(y_1^T)}\right) = B\left(\arg \max_{y_1^T: A \in S(W)} \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{p'(y_t | h_1^T; \theta)}{q^\lambda(y_t)}\right)$$

- $q$ : prior distribution (marginalize  $p'$  over the training data)
- $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ : smoothness of the overall model
  - increasing  $\lambda$ : more segmentation variants of each word in the alignment
- **forced alignment + weight-filtering  $\rightarrow$  refined  $\tilde{S}(w)$  and  $\tilde{V}$** 
  - for each  $w$ : gather all subword decomposition variants  $\vec{a}$  in alignment with counts
  - normalize counts to weights w.r.t. occurrence of  $w$
  - filter out  $\vec{a}$  with weight less than threshold  $\mu$ : remaining  $\vec{a} \rightarrow \tilde{S}(w) \rightarrow \tilde{V}$

## Step 2. subword merging

- major idea of BPE and WPM: merge subword units based on certain criterion
  - avoid too long sequence with many small units
  - **spelling and context dependency modeling**
- **enhance  $\tilde{S}(w)$  and  $\tilde{V}$  with subword merging**
  - for each  $\vec{a} \in \tilde{S}(w)$ : merge any two neighboring units  $\rightarrow$  all possible new sequences  
e.g.  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \rightarrow (a_1 a_2, a_3, a_4), (a_1, a_2 a_3, a_4), (a_1, a_2, a_3 a_4)$
  - new labels in  $\tilde{V}$  and new sequences in  $\tilde{S}(w)$ : original  $\vec{a}$  always kept
  - **merged units: retain acoustic structure**

## Repeat iteration with enhanced $\tilde{S}(w)$ and $\tilde{V}$

- vocabulary refinement: increase subsampling in  $f_{\theta}^{\text{enc}}$  by 2

## ADSM Finalization

- **vocabulary refinement + word-count-filtering**  $\rightarrow S_{\text{final}}(w)$  and  $V_{\text{final}}$ 
  - $w$  occurs less than  $k$  times: only take single best  $\vec{a}$  based on weights
  - vocabulary size  $|V_{\text{final}}|$ : controlled by prior scale  $\lambda$ , weight-filtering  $\mu$  and  $k$  jointly
- $V_{\text{final}}$ : **acoustic-structured ADSM labels**
- final forced alignment: **acoustic-matched target sequence for further ASR training**
  - acoustically most probable decomposition of each utterance

Word	Initialization	Vocab-refinement	Subword-merging	Finalization
able	a b l e_ a b le_ a ble_	a ble_	a ble_ able_	a ble_
word	w o r d_ w o r d_ wo r d_ wo r d_	w o r d_	w o r d_ w o r d_ wor d_	w o r d_ w o r d_

## Text segmentation without audio

- needed for training subword LM on extra text data
- words in  $S_{\text{final}}(w)$ : draw samples of  $\vec{a}$  based on weights
- words not in  $S_{\text{final}}(w)$ 
  - train a simple n-gram LM on  $S_{\text{final}}(w)$
  - best-score segmentation among all possible variants ( $V_{\text{final}}$ ): acoustic preference

# Experiments

- 960h LibriSpeech corpus [Panayotov & Chen<sup>+</sup> 15]
- ADSM setup
  - initialization: official Librispeech lexicon
  - $6 \times 512$  BLSTM + max-pooling layers for subsampling (initial factor 2)
  - vocabulary refinement: 25 full epochs (about 1 week on a single GTX-1080-Ti-GPU)
  - prior scale  $\lambda = 0.3$ , weight-filtering  $\mu = 0.05$ , word-count-filtering  $k = 20$
- 1 iteration + finalization: **5k ADSM labels**
- clear reduction of  $|V|$  and  $|S(w)|$ 
  - **specific acoustic probable decomposition**
- decreasing  $\text{len}(\vec{a})$ : **learn larger units**
  - 5k BPE:  $\text{len}(\vec{a}) = 3.2$
  - 5k PASM:  $\text{len}(\vec{a}) = 5.7$
  - phoneme:  $\text{len}(\text{pronunciation}) = 6.5$

Step		$ V $	Average	
			$ S(w) $	$\text{len}(\vec{a})$
Initialization		2k	51.7	8.1
1 Iteration	vocab-refinement	1k	1.2	5.4
	subword merging	21k	6.4	5.2
Finalization		<b>5k</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>4.7</b>

$|S(w)|$ : average number of segmentation variants per word

$\text{len}(\vec{a})$ : average length of all subword sequences in complete  $S(w)$

# Experiments

Model	Subword	dev WER[%]		test WER[%]	
		clean	other	clean	other
CTC	PASM	9.0	21.2	8.9	21.5
	BPE	9.5	20.0	9.5	20.9
	ADSM	<b>8.7</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>20.6</b>
RNN-T	PASM	5.3	13.2	5.4	13.6
	BPE	5.6	13.2	5.9	14.0
	ADSM	<b>5.0</b>	<b>12.6</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>12.8</b>
Attention	PASM	4.9	13.5	5.2	14.5
	BPE	4.9	13.0	5.1	13.6
	ADSM	<b>4.8</b>	<b>12.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>13.5</b>

Subword	“bachelor”	“password”	“together”
PASM	b a ch elor_	p a s s w or d_	togethe r_
BPE	bac hel or_	pas sword_	together_
ADSM	b a chel or_	p a ss w ord_	to g e ther_

- further end-to-end ASR
  - CTC [Graves & Fernández<sup>+</sup> 06]
  - monotonic RNN-T [Tripathi & Lu<sup>+</sup> 19]
  - LSTM-based attention model [Zeyer & Bahar<sup>+</sup> 19]
- word error rate (WER) without external language model
- **ADSM clearly outperforms both BPE and PASM in all cases**
- **ADSM suitable for both time-sync. and label-sync. models**
  - acoustically more logical segmentation
  - acoustically more balanced sequence length (label size): spelling and context modeling

## Analysis: subword CTC + 4-gram word-LM

### Importance of both acoustic structure and label size

Model	Subword	dev WER[%]		test WER[%]	
		clean	other	clean	other
CTC	PASM	9.0	21.2	8.9	21.5
	BPE	9.5	20.0	9.5	20.9
	ADSM	8.7	20.0	8.7	20.6
+ word-LM	PASM	4.1	10.4	4.3	10.9
	BPE	4.7	11.2	4.8	11.9
	ADSM	4.1	10.2	4.6	11.0

- idealized context modeling
  - spelling: perfectly defined in dictionary
  - cross-word context: word-LM
- both acoustic-based subwords (ADSM and PASM): similarly good and outperform BPE
- PASM: most degradation without LM
  - longest sequence (smaller label units): no merging
  - disadvantage of too long sequence length for end-to-end ASR

# Conclusion

---

- ADSM: a fully acoustic-oriented subword modeling approach
  - acoustic-based label design and learning: more consistent with ASR
  - combine advantages of several subword methods into one pipeline
  - acoustic-structured subword units
  - acoustic-matched target sequence for further ASR training
- ADSM labels: evaluated for different end-to-end ASR approaches on Librispeech corpus
  - CTC, RNN-T and attention models
  - clearly outperform both BPE and PASM in all cases
- ADSM is suitable for both time-sync. and label-sync. models
  - acoustically more logical segmentation
  - acoustically more balanced sequence length (label size)



# Thank you for your attention

**Any questions ?**



## References

---

[Bacchiani 99] M. A. U. Bacchiani.

*Speech Recognition System Design Based on Automatically Derived Units.*

Ph.D. thesis, USA, 1999.

[Chan & Zhang<sup>+</sup> 17] W. Chan, Y. Zhang, Q. V. Le, N. Jaitly.

Latent Sequence Decompositions.

In *Int. Conf. on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2017.

[Graves & Fernández<sup>+</sup> 06] A. Graves, S. Fernández, F. J. Gomez, J. Schmidhuber.

Connectionist Temporal Classification: Labelling Unsegmented Sequence Data with Recurrent Neural Networks.

In *Proc. Int. Conf. on Machine Learning (ICML)*, pp. 369–376, 2006.

[Kudo 18] T. Kudo.

Subword Regularization: Improving Neural Network Translation Models with Multiple Subword Candidates.

## References

---

- In *Proc. Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*, pp. 66–75, 2018.
- [Le & Zhang<sup>+</sup> 19] D. Le, X. Zhang, W. Zheng, C. Fügen, G. Zweig, M. L. Seltzer.  
From Senones to Chenones: Tied Context-Dependent Graphemes for Hybrid Speech Recognition.  
In *IEEE ASRU*, pp. 457–464, 2019.
- [Liu & Zhu<sup>+</sup> 17] H. Liu, Z. Zhu, X. Li, S. Satheesh.  
Gram-CTC: Automatic Unit Selection and Target Decomposition for Sequence Labelling.  
In *Proc. Int. Conf. on Machine Learning (ICML)*, Vol. 70, pp. 2188–2197, 2017.
- [Panayotov & Chen<sup>+</sup> 15] V. Panayotov, G. Chen, D. Povey, S. Khudanpur.  
Librispeech: An ASR corpus based on public domain audio books.  
In *Proc. ICASSP*, pp. 5206–5210, 2015.
- [Schuster & Nakajima 12] M. Schuster, K. Nakajima.  
Japanese and korean voice search.  
In *Proc. ICASSP*, pp. 5149–5152, 2012.

## References

---

- [Sennrich & Haddow<sup>+</sup> 16] R. Sennrich, B. Haddow, A. Birch.  
Neural Machine Translation of Rare Words with Subword Units.  
In *Proc. Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*, 2016.
- [Tripathi & Lu<sup>+</sup> 19] A. Tripathi, H. Lu, H. Sak, H. Soltau.  
Monotonic Recurrent Neural Network Transducer and Decoding Strategies.  
In *IEEE ASRU*, pp. 944–948, 2019.
- [Xu & Ding<sup>+</sup> 19] H. Xu, S. Ding, S. Watanabe.  
Improving End-to-end Speech Recognition with Pronunciation-assisted Sub-word Modeling.  
In *Proc. ICASSP*, pp. 7110–7114, May 2019.
- [Zeyer & Bahar<sup>+</sup> 19] A. Zeyer, P. Bahar, K. Irie, R. Schlüter, H. Ney.  
A Comparison of Transformer and LSTM Encoder Decoder Models for ASR.  
In *IEEE ASRU*, pp. 8–15, 2019.