

# Homework XII

Michael Nameika

## Section 8.1 Problems

14. Show that  $T : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$  with  $T$  defined by  $y = (\eta_j) = Tx$ ,  $\eta_j = \xi_j/j$ , is compact.

*Proof:* Note that  $T$  is linear. Consider the sequence of linear operators  $T_n : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$  defined by

$$T_n x = \left( \xi_1, \frac{\xi_2}{2}, \frac{\xi_3}{3}, \dots, \frac{\xi_n}{n}, 0, 0, \dots \right)$$

we now inspect  $\|T_n x - Tx\|$ :

$$\|T_n x - Tx\| = \left\| \left( 0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{\xi_{n+1}}{n+1}, \frac{\xi_{n+2}}{n+2}, \dots \right) \right\|$$

and notice that since  $|\xi_j| \leq \|x\|$  ( $j \geq 1$ ) by the sup norm on  $\ell^\infty$ , and so  $\left| \frac{\xi_{n+1}}{n+1} \right| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{n+1}$  and  $\left| \frac{\xi_{n+2}}{n+2} \right| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{n+2} \leq \frac{\|x\|}{n+1}$ . Thus for any  $\xi_j/j$  for  $j \geq n+1$ ,  $|\xi_j/j| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{n+1}$ . Hence

$$\|T_n x - Tx\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{n+1}$$

thus

$$\|T_n - T\| \leq \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Thus  $T_n \rightarrow T$  uniformly in the operator norm so that  $T$  is compact.

## Assigned Exercises

**XII.1.** For parts (a) - (b) let  $X$  be a complex Banach space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a bounded linear operator.

(a) Using Theorem 4.12-2 and Lemma 7.2-3 of the text, prove that  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  if and only if  $T - \lambda I$  is *not* bijective.

*Proof:* First suppose that  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ . We wish to show that  $T - \lambda I$  is not bijective. Suppose by way of contradiction that  $T - \lambda I$  is bijective. In particular  $T - \lambda I$  is injective so that  $R_\lambda(T) = (T - \lambda)^{-1}$  exists, and by the bounded inverse theorem,  $R_\lambda(T)$  is bounded. Additionally,  $T - \lambda I$  is surjective, so that  $\mathcal{D}(R_\lambda(T)) = X$ , so that by definition,  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ , contradicting the fact that  $\rho(T) \cap \sigma(T) = \emptyset$ .

Now suppose that  $T - \lambda I$  is not bijective. We wish to show that  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ . Well, by Lemma 7.2-3, we have that since  $T$  is bounded, if  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ , then  $R_\lambda(T)$  is defined on  $X$  and is bounded, so that  $T - \lambda I$  is bijective. Hence, it must be the case that  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ .

(b) We say that  $\lambda$  is an *approximate eigenvalue* if there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  with  $\|x_n\| = 1$  such that  $Tx_n - \lambda x_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ . Note that an eigenvalue is an approximate eigenvalue. Prove that an approximate eigenvalue  $\lambda$  belongs to  $\sigma(T)$ . If such a  $\lambda$  is *not* an eigenvalue, can  $T - \lambda I$  be surjective?

*Proof:* Let  $\lambda$  be an approximate eigenvalue of  $T$  and suppose that  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue of  $T$  since

if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ ,  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ . Suppose by way of contradiction that  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ . Then  $R_\lambda(T) = (T - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is bounded. Define

$$y_n = (T - \lambda I)(x_n)$$

where  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $Tx_n - \lambda x_n \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  since  $y_n = Tx_n - \lambda x_n$  and  $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is bijective by part (a),

$$x_n = (T - \lambda I)^{-1}(y_n)$$

and so

$$\|(T - \lambda I)^{-1}(y_n)\| = 1$$

thus

$$1 \leq \|(T - \lambda I)^{-1}\| \|y_n\|$$

and since  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ ,  $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is bounded, say  $\|(T - \lambda I)^{-1}\| \leq M$  so

$$1 \leq M \|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

a contradiction. Thus,  $\lambda \notin \rho(T)$ , so that  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  by definition.

(c) (extra credit, 2 pts.) By considering  $\lambda = 0$  for the linear operator  $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  defined by  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) \mapsto (\xi_2, \xi_3, \dots)$ , show that there exists a bounded linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  on a complex Banach space  $X$  and an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  for  $T$  such that  $T - \lambda I$  is surjective.

**XII.2.** For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$  be defined by  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) \mapsto (\xi_2, \xi_3, \dots)$ . Note that  $T$  is a bounded linear operator on a complex Banach space. Prove that if  $|\lambda| = 1$ , that is  $\lambda$  is on the unit circle of  $\mathbb{C}$ , then

(a)  $\lambda$  is *not* an eigenvalue of  $T$ ,

*Proof:* Suppose by way of contradiction that  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . Then for some  $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ , we have

$$Tx = \lambda x$$

so that

$$\|Tx\| = |\lambda|\|x\| = \|x\|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Tx &= (\xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) \\ \implies \|Tx\| &= \left( \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |\xi_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\|x\| = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\xi_k|^p \right)^{1/p}$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\xi_k|^p \right)^{1/p} &= \left( \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |\xi_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ \implies \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\xi_k|^p &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |\xi_k|^p \\ \implies |\xi_1|^p + |\xi_2|^p + |\xi_3|^p + \dots &= |\xi_2|^p + |\xi_3|^p + \dots \\ \implies |\xi_1|^p &= 0 \\ \implies |\xi_1| &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using  $Tx = \lambda x$ , we find

$$(\xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) = (0, \lambda\xi_2, \lambda\xi_3, \dots)$$

which gives us  $\xi_2 = 0$ , which likewise gives us  $\xi_3 = 0$  and continuing, we find  $\xi_n = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $x = \mathbf{0}$  which is not an eigenvector by definition, so that  $\lambda$  satisfying  $|\lambda| = 1$  is not an eigenvalue of  $T$ .

*Proof:* Begin by noting that  $\lambda = 0$  is indeed an eigenvalue for  $T$  defined above since, for  $x = (\xi_1, 0, 0, \dots) \in \ell^2$ , we have that

$$Tx = (0, 0, \dots) = 0 \cdot x.$$

Now, for  $\lambda = 0$ , we have that  $T - \lambda I = T$  so we need to show that  $T$  is surjective. Let  $y = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots) \in \ell^2$ . And notice that for  $w = (0, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \dots) \in \ell^2$  (since  $y \in \ell^2$ ), we have

$$Tw = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots) = y$$

so that  $T$  is surjective.

(b)  $\lambda$  is an approximate eigenvalue of  $T$  (cf. Exercise XII.1(b)).

*Hint:* For part (b) consider  $x_n = c_n(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{n-1}, 0, 0, \dots)$  for an appropriate sequence  $c_n = c_{n,p} > 0$ .

*Proof:* Notice that  $\|(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{n-1}, 0, 0, \dots)\| = n^{1/p}$ , so define  $c_n = 1/n^{1/p}$  so that  $\|x_n\| = 1$ .

Now, notice

$$\begin{aligned}Tx_n - \lambda x_n &= c_n(\lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{n-1}, 0, 0, 0, \dots) - c_n(\lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{n-1}, \lambda^n, 0, 0, \dots) \\&= \frac{1}{n^{1/p}}(0, 0, \dots, 0, \lambda^n, 0, 0, \dots) \\ \implies \|Tx_n - \lambda x_n\| &= \frac{|\lambda^n|^{1/p}}{n^{1/p}} \\&= \frac{1}{n^{1/p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $Tx_n - \lambda x_n \rightarrow 0$ , and so  $\lambda$  is an approximate eigenvalue by definition.

**XII.3.** (a) Let  $H$  be a complex Hilbert space, let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be a bounded linear operator and let  $T^* : H \rightarrow H$  be the Hilbert-adjoint of  $T$ . Prove that  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$  if and only if  $\bar{\lambda} \in \rho(T^*)$ , and therefore that  $\sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma(T^*)$  are complex conjugates of one another.

*Hint:*  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$  if and only if  $T - \lambda I$  is bijective if and only if there exists a bijective bounded linear operator  $R : H \rightarrow H$  such that  $R(T - \lambda I) = (T - \lambda I)R = I$ . Apply the Hilbert-adjoint operator to the products.

*Proof:* Let  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ . We wish to show that  $\bar{\lambda} \in \rho(T^*)$ . Well, since  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ , we have that  $R_\lambda = (T - \lambda I)^{-1}$  exists. Then by definition of the inverse of an operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(T - \lambda I)^{-1}(T - \lambda I) &= I \\ (T - \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)^{-1} &= I\end{aligned}$$

applying the Hilbert adjoint to each side of the above two equations, we find

$$[(T - \lambda I)^{-1}]^*(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I) = I$$

and

$$(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)[(T - \lambda I)^{-1}]^* = I$$

from this, we see

$$[(T - \lambda I)^{-1}]^* = (T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)^{-1}$$

and is bounded since  $R_\lambda(T)$  is bounded and is similarly defined over a dense subset of  $H$ . Thus,  $\bar{\lambda} \in \rho(T^*)$ .

The case  $\bar{\lambda} \in \rho(T^*)$  follows similarly using  $(T^*)^* = T$ .

(b) Let  $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  be the *right-shift operator* defined by  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \mapsto (0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ . Recall from Sec. 3.9 Prob. 10 that the Hilbert adjoint of  $T$  is the operator  $T^* : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  defined by  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) \mapsto (\xi_2, \xi_3, \dots)$ , that is the *left-shift operator*. Prove in this example that both spectra  $\sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma(T^*)$  are the same and equal  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ . (Cf. Sec. 10.5 Probs. 7-10.)

*Proof:* Notice  $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$  and by part (a), since  $\sigma(T^*) = \overline{\sigma(T)}$ , we have  $\sigma(T^*) = \{\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C} : |\bar{\lambda}| \leq 1\}$ . Since  $|\lambda| = |\bar{\lambda}|$ , we see that  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T^*)$ .