

Homework 1 (Analysis)

Michael Nameika

January 2022

1

(a) Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $|a_{n+1} - a_n| < 3^{-n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Prove that a_n is a convergent sequence.

Proof: We will show that a_n satisfies the Cauchy criterion. First, fix $\epsilon > 0$. Now take $m > n > N \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $|a_m - a_n|$.

Notice that

$$|a_m - a_n| = |a_m - a_{m-1} + a_{m-1} - \dots + a_{n+1} - a_n|$$

And by the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_m - a_{m-1} + a_{m-1} - \dots + a_{n+1} - a_n| \leq \\ & |a_m - a_{m-1}| + |a_{m-1} - a_{m-2}| + \dots + |a_{n+1} - a_n| \\ & < 3^{-(m-1)} + 3^{-(m-2)} + \dots + 3^{-n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{3^k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{3^k} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{3^k} \\ &= \frac{1 - \frac{1}{3^m}}{1 - \frac{1}{3}} - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{3^n}}{1 - \frac{1}{3}} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^m} - 1 + \frac{1}{3^n} \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3^n} - \frac{1}{3^m} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3^{n-1}} - \frac{1}{3^{m-1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

And since $m > n$,

$$3^{-(n-1)} > 3^{-(m-1)}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{3^{n-1}} - \frac{1}{3^{m-1}} > 0$$

Additionally, since $m > n > N$,

$$3^{-(N-1)} > 3^{-(m-1)} > 3^{-(n-1)} > 0$$

So

$$0 < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3^{n-1}} - \frac{1}{3^{m-1}} \right) < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3^{n-1}} \right) < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3^{N-1}} \right)$$

Now let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3^{N-1}} \right)$

Now we have

$$|a_m - a_n| < \epsilon$$

Satisfying the Cauchy criterion.

$\therefore a_n$ is a convergent sequence.

□

(b) Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be real sequences such that $|a_n - b_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $a_n \rightarrow L$. Then prove that $b_n \rightarrow L$.

Proof: First note that by definition of convergence, for $\epsilon > 0$, and $n > N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|a_n - L| < \epsilon$$

We wish to show that for $n > N$,

$$|b_n - L| < \epsilon^*$$

for some $\epsilon^* > 0$

Begin by noticing that

$$|b_n - L| = |b_n - a_n + a_n - L|$$

And by the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n - a_n + a_n - L| &\leq |b_n - a_n| + |a_n - L| \\ &= |a_n - b_n| + |a_n - L| \end{aligned}$$

And assume that $n > N$, then

$$|a_n - b_n| + |a_n - L| < |a_n - b_n| + \epsilon$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} + \epsilon$$

And since $n > N$, $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{N}$, so

$$\frac{1}{n} + \epsilon < \frac{1}{N} + \epsilon$$

Let $\epsilon^* = \frac{1}{N} + \epsilon > 0$

Finally, we have

$$|b_n - L| < \epsilon^*$$

and since ϵ^* can be made arbitrarily small, by definition of convergence, $b_n \rightarrow L$.

□

2

2. (a) A sequence of real numbers $\{a_n\}$ is defined by $a_1 = 0$ and $a_{n+1} = \sqrt{3a_n + 4}$, $n \geq 1$. Prove that a_n is a convergent sequence and find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$. (Hint: Show that $a_n \leq 4$ for all $n \geq 1$).

Proof: First I will show $a_n \leq 4$ for all $n \geq 1$ by induction. The base case is obvious ($a_1 = 0 \leq 4$). Assume this relationship to be true up to some natural number k . We must show the relation also holds for $k + 1$.

By the induction assumption,

$$a_k \leq 4$$

$$3a_k \leq 12$$

$$3a_k + 4 \leq 16$$

Then by the definition of a_{n+1} ,

$$a_{k+1}^2 \leq 16$$

$$|a_{k+1}| \leq 4$$

Thus $a_n \leq 4$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Now I will show a_n is a decreasing sequence by induction.

Base case:

$$a_2 = \sqrt{3 \cdot 0 + 4} = \sqrt{4} = 2 \geq 0 = a_1$$

Now assume this relationship to be true up to some natural number k . We must show the relationship also holds for $k + 1$. By the induction assumption,

$$a_k \geq a_{k-1}$$

$$3a_k \geq 3a_{k-1}$$

$$3a_k + 4 \geq 3a_{k-1} + 4$$

$$\sqrt{3a_k + 4} \geq \sqrt{3a_{k-1} + 4}$$

$$a_{k+1} \geq a_k$$

Which tells us that a_n is an increasing sequence, provided $a_k \geq -\frac{4}{3}$ for all k . Notice that $a_1 = 0$, and a_n is increasing for all non-negative terms, so we have that a_n is an increasing sequence. Now Since a_n is increasing, bounded above by 4, and clearly bounded below by 0, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem, a_n is a convergent sequence.

□

Now that we have established that a_n is a convergent sequence, let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$. We must find a . Begin by applying the limit to the recursion relation:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{3a_n + 4}$$

$$a = \sqrt{3a + 4}$$

$$a^2 = 3a + 4$$

$$a^2 - 3a - 4 = 0$$

$$(a - 4)(a + 1) = 0$$

So either $a = 4$ or $a = -1$. But since $a_n \geq 0$ for all n , we have that $a=4$. Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 4$$

(b) Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - x_n}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ where $0 < x_0 < 1$. Find x_2 and x_3 in terms of x_0 and prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges.

$$x_1 = 1 - \sqrt{1 - x_0}$$

$$x_2 = 1 - \sqrt{1 - x_1} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - (1 - \sqrt{1 - x_0})}$$

$$= 1 - (1 - x_0)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

$$x_3 = 1 - \sqrt{1 - x_2} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - (1 - (1 - x_0)^{\frac{1}{4}})}$$

$$= 1 - (1 - x_0)^{\frac{1}{8}}$$

Proof: I will begin by showing $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. A simple induction argument will show

$$x_n = 1 - (1 - x_0)^{\frac{1}{2^n}}$$

Now consider $f_n(x_0) = 1 - (1 - x_0)^{\frac{1}{2^n}}$ on $0 < x_0 < 1$ and find its extreme values:

$$\frac{df_n}{dx_0} = \frac{-1}{2^n} (1 - x_0)^{\frac{1}{2^n} - 1} (-1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} (1 - x_0)^{\frac{1}{2^n} - 1}$$

Notice that $\frac{df_n}{dx_0}$ contains no zeros on $(0,1)$, so by the Extreme Value Theorem, we know that the extreme values must be at $x_0 = 0$ and $x_0 = 1$. Plugging these values into f_n :

$$f_n(0) = 1 - \sqrt{1-0} = 1 - 1 = 0$$

$$f_n(1) = 1 - \sqrt{1-1} = 1$$

Now we have that $\sup f_n(x_0) = 1$ and $\inf f_n(x_0) = 0$, or in other words, $f_n(x_0)$ is bounded. Then $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. And notice that $\frac{df_n}{dx_0} \geq 0$, so $f_n(x_0)$ is increasing, then $\{x_n\}$ is increasing. Now by the Monotone Convergence Theorem, $\{x_n\}$ converges.

□

3

3. (a) Let $\{a_k\}$ be a real sequence. Define $\sigma_n := \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n}$. If $\lim a_k = a$, prove that $\lim \sigma_n = a$. Show that the converse is false.

Proof: We have $\lim a_k = a$, so by the definition of limits, for $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that when $k > N$,

$$|a_k - a| < \epsilon$$

We wish to show for some $\hat{\epsilon} > 0$,

$$|\sigma_n - a| < \hat{\epsilon} \text{ whenever } n > N$$

Well,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n - a| &= \left| \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} - a \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n - na}{n} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} |(a_1 - a) + (a_2 - a) + \dots + (a_n - a)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} (|a_1 - a| + |a_2 - a| + \dots + |a_n - a|) \\ &< \frac{1}{n} (|a_1 - a| + |a_2 - a| + \dots + |a_N - a| + (n - N)\epsilon) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (|a_1 - a| + |a_2 - a| + \dots + |a_N - a|) + \frac{n - N}{n} \epsilon \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} (|a_1 - a| + |a_2 - a| + \dots + |a_N - a|) + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Now let $A = \max \{|a_1 - a|, |a_2 - a|, \dots, |a_N - a|\}$. Now we have

$$\frac{1}{n} (|a_1 - a| + |a_2 - a| + \dots + |a_N - a|) + \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{n} (NA) + \epsilon$$

$$= \frac{N}{n}A + \epsilon$$

And by the Archimedean property, for n large, $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon^*$ for some $\epsilon^* > 0$. Now we have

$$\frac{N}{n}A + \epsilon < NA\epsilon^* + \epsilon$$

Now let $\hat{\epsilon} = NA\epsilon^* + \epsilon$, which can be made arbitrarily small. We finally have

$$|\sigma_n - a| < \hat{\epsilon}$$

And by the definition of the limit, $\lim \sigma_n = a$.

□

Now consider $\{a_n\} = (-1)^n$. Then $\sigma_n = \frac{-1+1-1+1-\dots+1}{n}$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_n &= 0 \text{ } n \text{ even} \\ \sigma_n &= \frac{-1}{n} \text{ } n \text{ odd}\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\lim \sigma_n = 0$$

but $\lim a_n$ DNE. Thus, the converse is false.

□

(b) For a real sequence $\{a_n\}$ define $d_n := a_{n+1} - a_n$ for $n \geq 1$. If $\lim nd_n = 0$ and the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ defined in part (a) converges, then prove that the sequence $\{a_n\}$ converges and $\lim a_n = \lim \sigma_n$. (Hint: Show that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kd_k = a_n - \sigma_n$ for $n > 1$).

Proof: I will begin by showing that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kd_k = a_n - \sigma_n \tag{1}$$

Using the definition of σ_n in part a),

$$\begin{aligned}a_n - \sigma_n &= a_n - \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} \\ &= \frac{-a_1 - a_2 - \dots + (n-1)a_n}{n}\end{aligned}$$

Now let's expand the left side of equation (1):

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kd_k = \frac{1}{n}(d_1 + 2d_2 + \dots + (n-1)d_{n-1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n}(a_2 - a_1 + 2a_3 - 2a_2 + \dots + (n-1)a_n) \\
&= \frac{-a_1 - a_2 - \dots + (n-1)a_n}{n} \\
&= a_n - \sigma_n
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since we are given that $\lim nd_n = 0$, from part a), we know that

$$\lim \left(\frac{d_1 + 2d_2 + \dots + nd_n}{n} \right) = 0$$

Now let's add and subtract nd_n to the left side of (1):

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kd_k + nd_n - nd_n = a_n - \sigma_n$$

Which simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n kd_k - nd_n = a_n - \sigma_n$$

Now apply the limit to each side:

$$\lim \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n kd_k \right) - \lim nd_n = \lim (a_n - \sigma_n)$$

Notice that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n kd_k = \frac{d_1 + 2d_2 + 3d_3 + \dots + nd_n}{n}$$

And since

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim \frac{d_1 + 2d_2 + \dots + nd_n}{n} &= 0, \\
\lim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n kd_k &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$-\lim nd_n = \lim (a_n - \sigma_n)$$

And since $\lim nd_n = 0$,

$$\lim (a_n - \sigma_n) = 0$$

It is not entirely clear that $\{a_n\}$ converges. Assume by contradiction that $\{a_n\}$ diverges. And since $\{\sigma_n\}$ converges, say to σ , for $\epsilon > 0$, $n > N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$|\sigma_n - \sigma| < \epsilon$$

Or, alternatively,

$$\sigma - \epsilon < \sigma_n < \sigma + \epsilon$$

And notice that

$$a_n + \sigma - \epsilon > a_n - \sigma_n > a_n - (\sigma - \epsilon)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim (a_n - \sigma_n) > \lim (a_n - (\sigma - \epsilon)) \\ &= \lim a_n - \lim \sigma + \epsilon \\ &= \lim a_n - (\sigma - \epsilon) \\ &= +\infty \end{aligned}$$

So now we get

$$0 > +\infty$$

A contradiction! Thus, $\{a_n\}$ must converge. In fact,

$$\lim (a_n - \sigma_n) = 0$$

$$\lim a_n - \lim \sigma_n = 0$$

$$\lim a_n = \lim \sigma_n$$

□

4

4. (a) Let $\{a_n\}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of positive numbers. Assume $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges. Prove that $\lim na_n = 0$. (Hint: Use Cauchy convergence criterion for series).

Proof: We have that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, so by Cauchy criterion for series, we have for $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n > m > N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \right| < \epsilon$$

Or, equivalently,

$$\left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n a_k \right| < \epsilon$$

We also know that $\{a_n\}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence, so for $k = m+1, m+2, \dots, n$, $a_n < a_i$ for all $i = k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n a_k \right| &\geq \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n a_n \right| \\ &= |a_n(n-m)| \\ &= |na_n - ma_n| \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq |na_n| - |ma_n|$$

Additionally, by the test for divergence, we have that $\lim a_n = 0$, or by definition, for some $\epsilon^* > 0$, $n > N^* \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|a_n - 0| < \epsilon^*$$

From above, we have that

$$|na_n| - |ma_n| < \epsilon$$

$$|na_n| < \epsilon + m|a_n|$$

Now take $N^{max} = \max\{N, N^*\}$ and assume $n > N^{max}$. Now we have

$$|na_n| < \epsilon + m\epsilon^*$$

let $\hat{\epsilon} = \epsilon + m\epsilon^*$, which can get arbitrarily small. Now we have

$$|na_n| < \hat{\epsilon}$$

$$|na_n - 0| < \hat{\epsilon}$$

And finally, by definition of limits, we have that $\lim na_n = 0$.

□

(b) Give an example of a strictly decreasing positive sequence $\{b_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nb_n = 0$, but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ *diverges*. You must show the divergence of your example series.

Consider $b_n = \frac{1}{n \ln n}$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots$ and $b_1 = 0$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nb_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n \ln n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\ln n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

I will claim that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ diverges. Quickly note that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n$ since $b_1 = 0$.

Proof: Consider $f(x) = \frac{1}{x \ln(x)}$ on $x \geq 2$ and note that

$$\int_2^{\infty} f(x) dx \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln n}$$

Well,

$$\int_2^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_2^a \frac{1}{x \ln x} dx$$

Now, let $u = \ln x$, then $du = \frac{1}{x} dx$ and the integral becomes

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_2^a \frac{1}{u} du$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} [\ln |u|] \Big|_{\ln 2}^{\ln a} \\
&= \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} (\ln(\ln a) - \ln(\ln 2)) \\
&= +\infty
\end{aligned}$$

So by comparison,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln n} \text{ diverges}$$

□

5

5. (a) Use the Mean Value Theorem to show that $\frac{x}{1+x} \leq \ln(1+x) \leq x$, $x \geq 0$. Then set $x = \frac{1}{n}$ to obtain

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \leq \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

Let $f(y) = \ln(1+y)$ and consider the interval $y \in [0, x]$, $x > 0$. By the Mean Value Theorem, there exists a $c \in [0, x]$ such that

$$\frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = f'(c)$$

Well,

$$f'(c) = \frac{1}{1+c}$$

So now we have

$$\frac{\ln(1+x)}{x} = \frac{1}{1+c}$$

Notice since $c \geq 0$, $\frac{1}{1+c} \leq 1$. Now we have

$$\frac{\ln(1+x)}{x} \leq 1$$

$$\ln(1+x) \leq x$$

Also notice that since $c \in [0, x]$, $x \geq c$, and

$$\frac{1}{1+c} \geq \frac{1}{1+x}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{1+x} &\leq \frac{\ln(1+x)}{x} \\
\frac{x}{1+x} &\leq \ln(1+x)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting these inequalities together, we have

$$\frac{x}{1+x} \leq \ln(1+x) \leq x$$

Now replace x with $\frac{1}{n}$ to obtain

$$\frac{\frac{1}{n}}{1+\frac{1}{n}} \leq \ln\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

Which simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \leq \ln\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

(b) Define $\gamma_n = (1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + \dots + 1/n) - \ln n$. Use part (a) to show that $\gamma_n \geq 0$ and that $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence.

Proof: Consider the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ln\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)$$

And notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right) &= \ln\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right) \\ &= \ln(k+1) - \ln k \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into the sum above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\ln(k+1) - \ln k) &= \ln 2 - \ln 1 + \ln 3 - \ln 2 + \dots + \ln n - \ln(n-1) \\ &= \ln n \end{aligned}$$

And by the inequality in part (a),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\ln(k+1) - \ln k) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \\ \ln n &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n-1} \\ \ln n + \frac{1}{n} &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \ln n \end{aligned}$$

So, from above, we have that

$$\gamma_n \geq 0$$

□

Now we must show $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence. That is, we must show $\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n \leq 0$. By definition of γ_n ,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) - \ln(n+1) - \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \ln n\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} - \ln(n+1) + \ln n \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} - \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Notice by the inequality in part (a),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n+1} &\leq \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ \frac{1}{n+1} - \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) &\leq 0\end{aligned}$$

Then $\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n \leq 0$, meaning that $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence.

□

(c) Show that $\{\gamma_n\}$ converges. $\lim \gamma_n = \gamma$ is called Euler's constant.

Since $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence, γ_1 will be an upper bound for $\{\gamma_n\}$.

$$\gamma_1 = 1 - \ln 1 = 1$$

And since we showed that $\gamma_n \geq 0$ for all n ,

$$0 \leq \gamma_n \leq 1$$

Now we have that $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a bounded decreasing sequence, and so, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem, $\{\gamma_n\}$ converges.

□