Chapter 6: Multivariate Analysis of Variance

DA 410

Marjorie Blanco

Baten, Tack, and Baeder (1958) compared judges' scores on fish prepared by three methods. Twelve fish were cooked by each method, and several judges tasted fish samples and rated each on four variables: $y_1 =$ aroma, $y_2 =$ flavor, $y_3 =$ texture, and $y_4 =$ moisture. The data are in Table 6.17. Each entry is an average score for the judges on that fish.

(a) Compare the three methods using all four MANOVA tests.

	x
y1	5.205556
y2	5.266667
у3	5.552778
y4	6.030556

	1	2	3
y1	5.383333	5.258333	4.975000
y2	5.733333	5.233333	4.833333
-y3	5.441667	5.308333	5.908333
y4	5.983333	5.875000	6.233333

H =

1.0505556	2.173333	-1.375556	-0.7602778
2.1733333	4.880000	-2.373333	-1.2566667
-1.375556	-2.373333	2.382222	1.3844444
-0.7602778	-1.256667	1.384444	0.8105556

```
# E = matrix(data = 0, nrow = 4, ncol = 4)
# for (i in 1:dim(E)[1]) {
#     for (j in 1:i) {
#        b <- c()
#        for (k in fish.group) {
#            a <- sum((k[,i] - mean(k[,i])) * (k[,j] - mean(k[,j])))
#            print(a)
#            b <- append(b, a)
#            }
#            E[i,j] <- sum(b)</pre>
```

```
# E[j,i] \leftarrow sum(b)
#
# }
# E
fish.manova <- manova(cbind(fish$y1, fish$y2, fish$y3, fish$y4) ~ Method, data = fish)
fish.summary <- summary(fish.manova)</pre>
fish.summary
             Df Pillai approx F num Df den Df
##
                                                  Pr(>F)
              2 0.85987
                           5.845
## Method
                                      8
                                            62 1.465e-05 ***
## Residuals 33
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
fish.summary$SS
## $Method
##
              [,1]
                        [,2]
                                  [,3]
                                              [,4]
## [1,] 1.0505556 2.173333 -1.375556 -0.7602778
## [2,] 2.1733333 4.880000 -2.373333 -1.2566667
## [3,] -1.3755556 -2.373333 2.382222 1.3844444
## [4,] -0.7602778 -1.256667 1.384444 0.8105556
##
## $Residuals
             [,1]
                      [,2]
                                [,3]
                                           [,4]
## [1,] 13.408333 7.723333 8.675000 5.864167
## [2,] 7.723333 8.480000 7.526667 6.213333
## [3,] 8.675000 7.526667 11.607500 7.037500
## [4,] 5.864167 6.213333 7.037500 10.565833
We would then like to test if the properties are the same across the three sports.
H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2
H_1: The two \mu's are unequal
summary(manova(cbind(fish$y1, fish$y2, fish$y3, fish$y4) ~ fish$Method), test = "Wilks")
                    Wilks approx F num Df den Df
               Df
                                                     Pr(>F)
## fish$Method 2 0.22449
                            8.3294
                                        8
                                              60 1.609e-07 ***
## Residuals
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
The Wilks's test rejects the hypothesis H_0 that the mean vector for the three sports are equal.
summary(manova(cbind(fish$y1, fish$y2, fish$y3, fish$y4) ~ fish$Method), test = "Roy")
               Df
                     Roy approx F num Df den Df
                                                   Pr(>F)
## fish$Method 2 2.9515
                           22.874
                                             31 7.077e-09 ***
## Residuals
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The Roy's test also rejects the hypothesis H_0 that the mean vector for the three sports are equal.

```
summary(manova(cbind(fish$y1, fish$y2, fish$y3, fish$y4) ~ fish$Method), test = "Hotelling-Lawley")
##
               Df Hotelling-Lawley approx F num Df den Df
                                                              Pr(>F)
## fish$Method 2
                            3.0788
                                     11.161
                                                        58 2.161e-09 ***
## Residuals
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
The Hotelling-Lawley's test also rejects the hypothesis H_0 that the mean vector for the three sports are
summary(manova(cbind(fish$y1, fish$y2, fish$y3, fish$y4) ~ fish$Method), test = "Pillai")
##
               Df Pillai approx F num Df den Df
                                                     Pr(>F)
```

62 1.465e-05 ***

(b) Compute the following measures of multivariate association from Section 6.1.8: r), rfg, A_A , ALH, A_P .

8

5.845

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

fish\$Method 2 0.85987

Residuals

(c) Based on the eigenvalues, is the essential dimensionality of the space containing the mean vectors equal to 1 or 2?