

A Generalized Associator

0.1 A Generalized Associator

Let F be an R -module and let $\mu, \nu: F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$ and let $\lambda: F \rightarrow F$ be R -linear maps (where we denote $F^{\otimes 2} := F \otimes_R F$). We set $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}: F^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow F$ to be the R -linear map given by

$$[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} := \mu(\nu \otimes \lambda - \lambda \otimes \nu).$$

We denote by $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}: F^3 \rightarrow F$ to be the unique R -trilinear map which corresponds to $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$. Thus if we denote $a_1 a_2 = \mu(a_1 \otimes a_2)$ and $a_1 \cdot a_2 = \nu(a_1 \otimes a_2)$ for $a_1 \otimes a_2 \in F^{\otimes 2}$, then we have

$$[a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} = (a_1 \cdot a_2) \lambda(a_3) - \lambda(a_1)(a_2 \cdot a_3) = [a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}.$$

We often pass back and forth between $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ and $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ without explicitly saying so (mostly we will only talk about $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ since it is notationally simpler to write). For instance, we call $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ the **associator** with respect to the triple (μ, ν, λ) (or more simply just **associator** if (μ, ν, λ) is understood from context), and thus we also call $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ the **associator**. If $\mu = \nu$, then we simplify our notation and write $[\cdot]_{\mu, \lambda} := [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu, \lambda}$. Similarly, if $\mu = \nu$ and $\lambda = 1$, then we simplify our notation further and write $[\cdot]_{\mu} := [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu, 1}$.

Observe that $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ is R -trilinear in μ, ν , and λ . In particular, this means that if $\mu', \nu': F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$ and $\lambda': F \rightarrow F$ are another triple of R -linear maps, and $r \in R$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu+\mu', \nu, \lambda} &= [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} + [\cdot]_{\mu', \nu, \lambda} \\ [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu+\nu', \lambda} &= [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu', \lambda} \\ [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda+\lambda'} &= [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda'} \\ r[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} &= [\cdot]_{r\mu, \nu, \lambda} = [\cdot]_{\mu, r\nu, \lambda} = [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, r\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have an R -linear map

$$[\cdot]_{(-, -, -)}: \text{Hom}(F^{\otimes 2}, F)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \text{Hom}(F, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^{\otimes 3}, F)$$

which takes an elementary tensor $\mu \otimes \nu \otimes \lambda$ in $\text{Hom}(F^{\otimes 2}, F)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \text{Hom}(F, F)$ and maps it to $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ in $\text{Hom}(F^{\otimes 3}, F)$. In particular, note that

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu+\mu'} &= [\cdot]_{\mu+\mu', \mu+\mu'} & [\cdot]_{r\mu} &= [\cdot]_{r\mu, r\mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu'} + [\cdot]_{\mu', \mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu', \mu'} & &= r^2 [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu'} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu'} + [\cdot]_{\mu', \mu} & &= r^2 [\cdot]_{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 0.1. Let $t \in R$ and let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \text{Mult}(F)$. Furthermore we set $\mu_t = t\mu_1 + (1-t)\mu_0$. Then we have

$$[\cdot]_{\mu_t} = t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1} + (1-t)^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0} + t(1-t)([\cdot]_{\mu_1, \mu_0} + [\cdot]_{\mu_0, \mu_1}).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu_t} &= [\cdot]_{t\mu_1 + (1-t)\mu_0} \\ &= [\cdot]_{t\mu_1} + [\cdot]_{(1-t)\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{t\mu_1, (1-t)\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{(1-t)\mu_0, t\mu_1} \\ &= t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1} + (1-t)^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{t\mu_1, \mu_0 - t\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{\mu_0 - t\mu_0, t\mu_1} \\ &= t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1} + (1-t)^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{t\mu_1, \mu_0} + [\cdot]_{t\mu_1, -t\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{\mu_0, t\mu_1} + [\cdot]_{-t\mu_0, t\mu_1} \\ &= t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1} + (1-t)^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0} + t[\cdot]_{\mu_1, \mu_0} - t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1, \mu_0} + t[\cdot]_{\mu_0, \mu_1} - t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0, \mu_1} \\ &= t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1} + (1-t)^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0} + t(1-t)([\cdot]_{\mu_1, \mu_0} + [\cdot]_{\mu_0, \mu_1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now suppose $F = (F, d)$ is an R -complex. We view F as a graded R -module and we view $d: F \rightarrow F$ as a graded R -linear map of degree -1 which satisfies $d^2 = 0$. We further assume that μ is a chain map, i.e. it commutes with the differential. To clean notation in what follows, we denote the differentials of $F^{\otimes 2}$ and $F^{\otimes 3}$ by d again, where context will make clear which differential the symbol “ d ” refers to. For instance, we if $a_1, a_2 \in F$ with a_1 homogeneous, then we have

$$d(a_1 \otimes a_2) = da_1 \otimes a_2 + (-1)^{|a_1|} a_1 \otimes da_2. \quad (1)$$

It is clear here that the d on the lefthand side of (1) is the differential for $F^{\otimes 2}$, whereas the d' on the righthand side are the differentials for F . If we wanted to be more formal, then our notation becomes more clunky-looking:

$$d_{F^{\otimes 2}}(a_1 \otimes a_2) = d_F(a_1) \otimes a_2 + (-1)^{|a_1|} a_1 \otimes d_F(a_2).$$

Thus we will avoid this and use the simpler notation instead (where context makes everything clear). Note that since μ is a chain map, we have

$$d[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} = [\cdot]_{d\mu, \nu, \lambda} = [\cdot]_{\mu, d\nu, \lambda}.$$

Furthermore, we claim that (up to some minor sign issues) we have

$$d[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} = [\cdot]_{\mu, d\nu, \lambda} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, d\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} d = [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, d\lambda} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} d \quad (2)$$

Indeed the identities follow from the identities

$$\begin{aligned} d(\nu \otimes \lambda) &= d\nu \otimes \lambda + \bar{\nu} \otimes d\lambda & (\nu \otimes \lambda)d &= \nu d \otimes \lambda + (-1)^{|\nu|} \bar{\nu} \otimes \lambda d \\ d(\lambda \otimes \nu) &= d\lambda \otimes \nu + \bar{\lambda} \otimes d\nu & (\lambda \otimes \nu)d &= \lambda d \otimes \nu + (-1)^{|\lambda|} \bar{\lambda} \otimes \nu d \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\nu}: F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$ and $\bar{\lambda}: F \rightarrow F$ are defined by

$$\bar{\nu}(a_1 \otimes a_2) = (-1)^{|a_1|+|a_2|+|\nu|} \nu(a_1 \otimes a_2) \quad \bar{\lambda}(a) = (-1)^{|a|+|\lambda|} \lambda(a).$$

The identity (2) holds exactly in characteristic 2, however in general one should interpret with (2) with appropriate signs. For instance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} &= [\cdot]_{d\mu, \nu, \lambda} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu, d\nu, \lambda} \\ &= \mu d(\nu \otimes \lambda - \lambda \otimes \nu) \\ &= \mu(d\nu \otimes \lambda + \bar{\nu} \otimes d\lambda - d\lambda \otimes \nu - \bar{\lambda} \otimes d\nu) \\ &= \mu(d\nu \otimes \lambda - \bar{\lambda} \otimes d\nu) + \mu(\bar{\nu} \otimes d\lambda - d\lambda \otimes \nu) \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu, d\nu, \lambda}^{(3)} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \bar{\nu}, d\lambda}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 0.2. Let $\mu \in \text{Mult}(F)$, let $h: F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$, and set $\mu_h = \mu + dh + hd$. Then we have

$$[\cdot]_{\mu_h} = [\cdot]_{\mu} + dH + Hd$$

where $H = [\cdot]_{\mu, h} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu_h}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu_h} &= [\cdot]_{\mu + dh + hd} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{dh + hd} + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh + hd} + [\cdot]_{dh + hd, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{dh} + [\cdot]_{hd} + [\cdot]_{dh, hd} + [\cdot]_{hd, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh + hd} + [\cdot]_{dh + hd, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d[\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{h, hd} d + d[\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, hd} + [\cdot]_{dh, \mu} + [\cdot]_{hd, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d[\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{h, hd} d + d[\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, hd} + d[\cdot]_{h, \mu} + [\cdot]_{h, d\mu} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} d \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{h, hd} d + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, d\mu} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} d + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} d + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, hd} + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, hd} + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) + ([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) d \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d[\cdot]_{\mu, h} + [\cdot]_{\mu, h} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, h} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, h} d + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) + ([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) d \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu, h}) + ([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu, h}) d \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d([\cdot]_{\mu, h} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu_h}) + ([\cdot]_{\mu, h} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu_h}) d \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + dH + Hd. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{d}([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}}) + ([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}})\mathbf{d} = [\cdot]_{dh,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h\mathbf{d},h,\mathbf{d}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu_h} &= [\cdot]_{\mu+dh+h\mathbf{d}} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{dh+h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{\mu,dh+h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{dh+h\mathbf{d},\mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{dh} + [\cdot]_{h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{dh,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h\mathbf{d},dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu,dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{dh,\mu} + [\cdot]_{h\mathbf{d},\mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + \mathbf{d}[\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,dh\mathbf{d}}^{(3)} + [\cdot]_{h,\overline{h\mathbf{d}},\mathbf{d}}^{(2)} + \mathbf{d}[\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\overline{d\mathbf{h}},\mathbf{d}}^{(2)} + [\cdot]_{\mu,dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h\mathbf{d}} + \mathbf{d}[\cdot]_{h,\mu} + [\cdot]_{h,\mathbf{d}\mu}^{(3)} + [\cdot]_{h,\overline{\mu},\mathbf{d}}^{(2)} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + \mathbf{d}([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu}) + [\cdot]_{h,dh\mathbf{d}}^{(3)} + [\cdot]_{\mu,dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mathbf{d}\mu}^{(3)} + ([\cdot]_{h,\overline{\mu},\mathbf{d}}^{(2)} + [\cdot]_{h,\overline{h\mathbf{d}},\mathbf{d}}^{(2)} + [\cdot]_{h,\overline{d\mathbf{h}},\mathbf{d}}^{(2)})\mathbf{d} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + \mathbf{d}[\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,dh\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}} + \mathbf{d}[\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,dh,\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{\mu,dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h\mathbf{d}} + \mathbf{d}[\cdot]_{h,\mu} + [\cdot]_{h,\mathbf{d}\mu} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu,\mathbf{d}} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{h,dh\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,dh,\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{\mu,dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mathbf{d}\mu} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu,\mathbf{d}} + \mathbf{d}([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu}) \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}}\mathbf{d} + [\cdot]_{h,dh}\mathbf{d} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu}\mathbf{d} + [\cdot]_{\mu,dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h\mathbf{d}} + \mathbf{d}([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu}) \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu,dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h\mathbf{d}} + \mathbf{d}([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu}) + ([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu})\mathbf{d} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + \mathbf{d}[\cdot]_{\mu,h} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h}\mathbf{d} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h,\mathbf{d}} + \mathbf{d}([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu}) + ([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu})\mathbf{d} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + \mathbf{d}([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h}) + ([\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h})\mathbf{d} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + \mathbf{d}([\cdot]_{\mu,h} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu_h}) + ([\cdot]_{\mu,h} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu_h})\mathbf{d} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + \mathbf{d}H + H\mathbf{d}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 0.1. Let $R = \mathbb{k}[x, y, z, w]$, let $\mathbf{m} = x^2, w^2, zw, xy, yz$, and let F be the minimal free resolution of R/\mathbf{m} over R . Then F does not admit a DG algebra structure. In particular, any multiplication on F will be non-associative at the triple $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_{45}, \varepsilon_2)$.

Proof. Let μ be the usual multiplication on F and let μ' be any other multiplication on F . Then μ' has the form $\mu' = \mu + dh + hd$ for some graded R -linear map $h: F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$ of degree 1. Furthermore, the associator of μ' is given by

$$[\cdot]_{\mu'} = [\cdot]_{\mu} + \mathbf{d}H + H\mathbf{d}$$

where $H = [\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h}$. We claim that $[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_{45}, \varepsilon_2]_{\mu'} \neq 0$. Indeed, the idea is that

$$[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_{45}, \varepsilon_2]_{\mu} = -x\varepsilon_{12345} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{d}H + H\mathbf{d})(\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{45} \otimes \varepsilon_2) \in IF_4$$

where $I = \langle x^2, y, z, w \rangle$, and thus no term in $(\mathbf{d}H + H\mathbf{d})(\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{45} \otimes \varepsilon_2)$ will be able to cancel out $x\varepsilon_{12345}$. To see this, first note that $\mathbf{d}H(\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{45} \otimes \varepsilon_2) = 0$, so we only need to focus on the terms in $H\mathbf{d}(\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{45} \otimes \varepsilon_2)$. Now clearly we have

$$\text{im}([\cdot]_{h,dh})\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{m}^2F \subseteq IF \quad \text{and} \quad \text{im}([\cdot]_{h,h\mathbf{d}})\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{m}^2F \subseteq IF,$$

since the differential shows up twice in each case. Next note in F/IF we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{h,\mu}\mathbf{d}(\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{45} \otimes \varepsilon_2) &= x^2[1 \otimes \varepsilon_{45} \otimes \varepsilon_2]_{h,\mu} - x[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_5 \otimes \varepsilon_2]_{h,\mu} + z[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_4 \otimes \varepsilon_2]_{h,\mu} + w^2[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{45} \otimes 1]_{h,\mu} \\ &= -x[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_5 \otimes \varepsilon_2]_{h,\mu} \\ &= -xh((z\varepsilon_{14} + x\varepsilon_{45}) \otimes \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1 \otimes (z\varepsilon_{23} + y\varepsilon_{35})) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly in F/IF we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu,h}\mathbf{d}(\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{45} \otimes \varepsilon_2) &= x^2[1 \otimes \varepsilon_{45} \otimes \varepsilon_2]_{\mu,h} - x[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_5 \otimes \varepsilon_2]_{\mu,h} + z[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_4 \otimes \varepsilon_2]_{\mu,h} + w^2[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{45} \otimes 1]_{\mu,h} \\ &= -x[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_5 \otimes \varepsilon_2]_{\mu,h} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\varepsilon_1 F_3 \in \mathbf{m}F_4$ and $\varepsilon_2 F_3 \in \mathbf{m}F_4$.

□

Theorem 0.2. Let $R = \mathbb{k}[x, y, z, w]$, let $\mathbf{m} = x^2, w^2, zw, xy, y^2z^2$, and let F be the minimal free resolution of R/\mathbf{m} over R . Then F does not admit a DG algebra structure.

Proof. Let μ be the usual multiplication on F and let μ' be any other multiplication on F . Then μ' has the form $\mu' = \mu + d h + h d$ for some graded R -linear map $h: F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$ of degree 1. Furthermore, the associator of μ' is given by

$$[\cdot]_{\mu'} = [\cdot]_{\mu} + dH + Hd$$

where $H = [\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,hd} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h}$. We claim that $[e_{12}, e_5, e_2]_{\mu'} \neq 0$. Indeed, the idea is that

$$[e_{12}, e_5, e_2]_{\mu} = x^2 y z e_{1234} \quad \text{and} \quad (dH + Hd)(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2) \in IF_4$$

where $I = \langle x^3, y^2, z^2, w \rangle$, and thus no term in $(dH + Hd)(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2)$ will be able to cancel out $x^2 y z e_{1234}$. To see this, first note that $dH(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2) = 0$, so we only need to focus on the terms in $Hd(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2)$. Note in F/IF we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{h,\mu} d(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2) &= x^2 [e_2, e_5, e_2]_{h,\mu} + w^2 [e_1, e_5, e_2]_{h,\mu} + y^2 z^2 [e_{12}, 1, e_2]_{h,\mu} + w^2 [e_{12}, e_5, 1]_{h,\mu} \\ &= x^2 [e_2, e_5, e_2]_{h,\mu} \\ &= x^2 h((y^2 z e_{23} + w e_{35}) \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes (y^2 z e_{23} + w e_{35})) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly in F/IF we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu,h} d(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2) &= x^2 [e_2, e_5, e_2]_{\mu,h} + w^2 [e_1, e_5, e_2]_{\mu,h} + y^2 z^2 [e_{12}, 1, e_2]_{\mu,h} + w^2 [e_{12}, e_5, 1]_{\mu,h} \\ &= x^2 [e_2, e_5, e_2]_{\mu,h} \\ &= x^2 (e_2 h(e_5 \otimes e_2) - h(e_2 \otimes e_5) e_2) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $e_2 F_3 \in \langle w \rangle F_4$. Next note in F/IF we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{h,hd} d(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2) &= x^2 [e_2, e_5, e_2]_{h,hd} + w^2 [e_1, e_5, e_2]_{h,hd} + y^2 z^2 [e_{12}, 1, e_2]_{h,hd} + w^2 [e_{12}, e_5, 1]_{h,hd} \\ &= x^2 [e_2, e_5, e_2]_{h,hd} \\ &= x^2 h(hd(e_2 \otimes e_5) \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes hd(e_2 \otimes e_5)) \\ &= x^2 h(w^2 h(1 \otimes e_5) \otimes e_2 - y^2 z^2 h(e_2 \otimes 1) \otimes e_2 - w^2 e_2 \otimes h(1 \otimes e_5) + y^2 z^2 e_2 \otimes h(e_2 \otimes 1))) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof. Let μ be the usual multiplication on F . Any other multiplication on F must be of the form $\mu_h = \mu + d h + h d$ where $h: F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$ is a graded R -linear map of degree 1 such that $h|_{F \otimes 1}, h|_{1 \otimes F}$, and $h\sigma$ are all chain maps where $\sigma: F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F^{\otimes 2}$ is defined by

$$\sigma(a_1 \otimes a_2) = a_1 \otimes a_2 - (-1)^{|a_1||a_2|} a_2 \otimes a_1$$

for all homogeneous $a_1, a_2 \in F$. By Proposition (0.2), we have

$$[\cdot]_{\mu_h} = [\cdot]_{\mu} + dH + Hd$$

where $H = [\cdot]_{h,dh} + [\cdot]_{h,hd} + [\cdot]_{h,\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu,h}$. We claim that $[e_{12}, e_5, e_2]_{\mu_h} \neq 0$. The idea is that $[e_{12}, e_5, e_2] = x^2 y z e_{1234}$ but term in $(dH + Hd)(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2)$ will be able to cancel out $x^2 y z e_{1234}$. Note that $dH(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2) = 0$, so we only need to focus on the terms in

$$Hd(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2) = x^2 H(e_2 \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2) - w^2 H(e_1 \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2) + y^2 z^2 H(e_{12} \otimes 1 \otimes e_2) - w^2 H(e_{12} \otimes e_5 \otimes 1).$$

Clearly only the terms in $x^2 H(e_2 \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2)$ can possibly cancel out $x^2 y z e_{1234}$, so we focus on that. Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 [e_2 \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2]_{h,hd} &\in \langle x^2 w^2, x^2 y^2 z^2 \rangle F_4 \\ x^2 [e_2 \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2]_{h,\mu} &\in \langle x^2 y^2 z, x^2 w \rangle F_4 \\ x^2 [e_2 \otimes e_5 \otimes 2]_{\mu,h} &\in \langle x^2 w \rangle F_4, \end{aligned}$$

so only the terms in $x^2[e_2 \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2]_{h,dh}$ can possibly cancel out $x^2 yze_{1234}$. Now observe that since $h\sigma$ is a chain map, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d[e_2 \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2]_{h,dh} \bmod \langle y^2 z^2, w^2 \rangle F_4 &\equiv dh(dh(e_2 \otimes e_5) \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes dh(e_5 \otimes e_2)) \bmod \langle y^2 z^2, w^2 \rangle F_4 \\ &\equiv dh(dh(e_5 \otimes e_2) \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes dh(e_5 \otimes e_2)) \bmod \langle y^2 z^2, w^2 \rangle F_4 \\ &\equiv dh\sigma(dh(e_5 \otimes e_2) \otimes e_2) \bmod \langle y^2 z^2, w^2 \rangle F_4 \\ &\equiv h\sigma d(dh(e_5 \otimes e_2) \otimes e_2) \bmod \langle y^2 z^2, w^2 \rangle F_4 \\ &\equiv 0 \bmod \langle y^2 z^2, w^2 \rangle F_4. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $d(x^2[e_2 \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2]_{h,dh}) \in \langle x^2 y^2 z^2, x^2 w^2 \rangle F_4$ which implies $[e_2 \otimes e_5 \otimes e_2]_{h,dh} \in \langle xy^2 z^2, x^2 yz^2, x^2 y^2 z, xw^2, x^2 w \rangle F_4$

□