Constructing Algebraic Closures

April 16, 2022

Constructing Algebraic Closures

Let K be a field. The purpose of this note is to construct an algebraic closure of K. Let us first introduce some notation. For each $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the kth elementary symmetric polynomial in n variables X_1, \ldots, X_n , denoted $e_k(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, is defined by

$$e_k(X_1, ..., X_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0\\ \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k} & \text{if } k \le n\\ 0 & \text{if } k > n \end{cases}$$

For each nonconstant monic polynomial f(X) in K[X], write

$$f(X) = X^{n_f} + c_{f,1}X^{n_f-1} + \dots + c_{f,k}X^{n_f-k} + \dots + c_{f,n_f}$$

where n_f is the degree of f and $c_{f,k} \in K$ for all $1 \le k \le n_f$, and let $t_{f,1}, \ldots, t_{f,n_f}$ be independent variables. Throughout this section, whenever we write " $t_{f,k}$ ", it is understood that f is a nonconstant monic polynomial in K[X] and that $1 \le k \le n_f$. For each nonconstant monic polynomial f in K[X], choose a splitting field of f over K and let $\alpha_{f,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{f,n_f}$ be the roots of f in this splitting field. Let $A = K[\{t_{f,k}\}]$ be the polynomial ring generated over K by independent variables doubly indexed by every nonconstant monic $f \in K[X]$ and $1 \le k \le n_f$, and let $\alpha_{f,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{f,n_f}$ be the coefficients of all the difference polynomials

$$f(X) - \prod_{i=1}^{n_f} (X - t_{f,k}) \in A[X].$$

In other words, $\mathfrak{a} = \langle \{u_{f,k}\} \rangle$ where

$$u_{f,k} := c_{f,k} - (-1)^k e_k(t_{f,1}, \dots, t_{f,n_f})$$

for each nonconstant monic polynomial f and for each $1 \le k \le n_f$. Observe that

$$u_{f,k}(\alpha_{f,1},\ldots,\alpha_{f,n_f})=0$$

for all nonconstant monic polynomials f in K[X]. Indeed, we can factor f over $K(\alpha_{f,1},\ldots,\alpha_{f,n_f})$ as

$$(X - \alpha_{f,1}) \cdots (X - \alpha_{f,n_f}) = f(X) = X^{n_f} + c_{f,1} X^{n_f - 1} + \cdots + c_{f,n_f}.$$
(1)

Expanding the left-hand side of (1) and comparing coefficients gives us the desired result.

Lemma 0.1. *The ideal* a *is proper.*

Proof. Assume for a contradiction that \mathfrak{a} is not proper, so $1 \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then we can write 1 as a finite sum

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_i u_{f_i, k_i} \tag{2}$$

where $v_i \in A$ for all $1 \le i \le m$. Evaluating $t_{f_i,k_i} = \alpha_{f_i,k_i}$ for each $1 \le i \le m$ to both sides of (2) gives us 1 = 0. This is a contradiction.

Since $\mathfrak a$ is a proper ideal, Zorn's Lemma guarantees that $\mathfrak a$ is contained in some maximal ideal $\mathfrak m$ of A. The quotient ring $A/\mathfrak m$ is a field and the natural composite homomorphism $K \to A \to A/\mathfrak m$ of rings lets us view the field $A/\mathfrak m$ as an extension of K since ring homomorphisms out of fields are always injective.

Theorem 0.2. The field A/\mathfrak{m} is an algebraic closure of K.

Proof. For each indeterminate $t_{f,k}$, let $\bar{t}_{f,k}$ denote its coset in A/\mathfrak{m} . Observe that for each nonconstant monic polynomial f in K[X], we have

$$f(X) = X^{n_f} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_f} c_{f,k} X^{n_f - k}$$

$$\equiv X^{n_f} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_f} (-1)^k e_k(t_{f,1}, \dots, t_{f,n_f}) X^{n_f - k} \mod \mathfrak{m}$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n_f} (X - \bar{t}_{f,k}).$$

since $u_{f,1}, \ldots, u_{f,n_f} \in \mathfrak{m}$. Thus f(X) splits completely in $(A/\mathfrak{m})[X]$, and since $\overline{t}_{f,k}$ is a root of f, we see that each $\overline{t}_{f,k}$ is algebraic over K. It follows that A/\mathfrak{m} is an algebraic extension field of K since A/\mathfrak{m} is generated by the $\overline{t}_{f,k}$'s (as A is generated by the $t_{f,k}$'s) and that every nonconstant monic in K[X] splits completely in A/\mathfrak{m} .

We will now show A/\mathfrak{m} is algebraically closed, and thus it is an algebraic closure of K. Set $L = A/\mathfrak{m}$. It suffices to show every monic irreducible π in L[X] has a root in L. We have already seen that any nonconstant monic polynomial in L[X] splits completely in L[X], so let's show π is a factor of some monic polynomial in L[X]. There is a root α of π in some extension of L. Since α is algebraic over L and L is algebraic over L, it follows that α is algebraic over L. This implies some monic L0 has L1 has L2 has L3 as a root. The polynomial L4 is the minimal polynomial of L5 in L6. Since L7 in L8 splits completely in L8, we have L8.

Counting the Number of Maximal Ideals

In this section, let f(X) to be a monic separable irreducible polynomial over a field K of degree n and express it as

$$f = X^n + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X^{n-i}$$

where $c_i \in K$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Let L be a splitting field of f over K and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be the roots of f in L, so $L = K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$. Let T_1, \ldots, T_n be indeterminates, and let $R = K[T_1, \ldots, T_n] / \langle u_1, \ldots, u_n \rangle$ where

$$u_i = c_i - (-1)^i e_i(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$$

for each $1 \le i \le n$. We denote by t_i to be the image of T_i under the quotient map $K[T_1, ..., T_n] \to R$ for each $1 \le i \le n$.

Theorem 0.3. The number of maximal ideals of R is given by

$$\frac{n!}{|\mathsf{Gal}(L/K)|}$$

Proof. We first note that the maximal ideals of R are all of the form $\ker \psi$ where $\psi \colon R \to L$ is a nonzero K-algebra homomorphism. Indeed, let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of R and let \overline{t}_i be the image of t_i under the quotient map $\rho \colon R \to R/\mathfrak{m}$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. Note that f splits over R as

$$f(X) = X^{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} X^{n-i}$$

$$= X^{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{i}} (-1)^{i} e_{i}(t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) X^{n-i}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - t_{i}).$$

In particular $f(t_i) = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. This implies $f(\bar{t}_i) = 0$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. Therefore $R/\mathfrak{m} = K(\bar{t}_1, \ldots, \bar{t}_n)$ is a splitting field of f over K. It follows that there exists a K-algebra isomorphism $\iota : R/\mathfrak{m} \to L$. Thus \mathfrak{m} is the kernel of the K-algebra homomorphism $\iota \rho : R \to L$.

Thus in order to describe the maximal ideals of R, it suffices to describe the nonzero K-algebra homomorphisms $R \to L$. There is an obvious nonzero K-algebra homomorphism $\varphi \colon R \to L$ given by $\varphi(t_i) = \alpha_i$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Furthermore, if $\pi \in S_n$, then we obtain another nonzero K-algebra homomorphism $\varphi \pi \colon R \to L$ given by $\varphi \pi(t_i) = \alpha_{\pi(i)}$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. We claim that this is all of them. Indeed, since $f(t_i) = 0$, we see that any K-algebra homomorphism $R \to L$ must send t_i to some root of f in L, say $\alpha_{\pi(i)}$, for each $1 \le i \le n$. Moreover, the $\alpha'_{\pi(i)}s$ must satisfy

$$f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_{\pi(i)}).$$

Thus π must be a permutation of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. It follows that every K-algebra has the form $\varphi\pi$ for some $\pi\in S_n$. Finally, suppose $\psi_1\colon R\to L$ and $\psi_2\colon R\to L$ are two K-algebra homomorphisms. We claim that $\ker\psi_1=\ker\psi_2$ if and only if there exists a $\sigma\in \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ such that $\psi_1\sigma=\psi_2$ (where we view $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ as a subgroup of S_n in the natural way). Indeed, one direction is clear. For the other direction, let $\rho\colon R\to R/\ker\psi_1$ be the quotient map and let $\overline{\psi}_1\colon R/\ker\psi_1\to L$ and $\overline{\psi}_2=R/\ker\psi_1\to L$ be the K-algebra isomorphisms induced by ψ_1 and ψ_2 respectively (so $\overline{\psi}_1\varrho=\psi_1$ and $\overline{\psi}_2\pi=\psi_2$). If we define $\sigma=\overline{\psi}_2\overline{\psi}_1^{-1}$, then it is easy to check that $\psi_1\sigma=\psi_2$.