

A Generalized Associator

0.1 A Generalized Associator

Let F be an R -module and let $\mu, \nu: F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$ and let $\lambda: F \rightarrow F$ be R -linear maps (where we denote $F^{\otimes 2} := F \otimes_R F$). We set $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}: F^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow F$ to be the R -linear map given by

$$[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} := \mu(\nu \otimes \lambda - \lambda \otimes \nu).$$

We denote by $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}: F^3 \rightarrow F$ to be the unique R -trilinear map which corresponds to $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$. Thus if we denote $a_1 a_2 = \mu(a_1 \otimes a_2)$ and $a_1 \cdot a_2 = \nu(a_1 \otimes a_2)$ for $a_1 \otimes a_2 \in F^{\otimes 2}$, then we have

$$[a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} = (a_1 \cdot a_2) \lambda(a_3) - \lambda(a_1)(a_2 \cdot a_3) = [a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}.$$

We often pass back and forth between $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ and $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ without explicitly saying so (mostly we will only talk about $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ since it is notationally simpler to write). For instance, we call $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ the **associator** with respect to the triple (μ, ν, λ) (or more simply just **associator** if (μ, ν, λ) is understood from context), and thus we also call $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ the **associator**. If $\mu = \nu$, then we simplify our notation and write $[\cdot]_{\mu, \lambda} := [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu, \lambda}$. Similarly, if $\mu = \nu$ and $\lambda = 1$, then we simplify our notation further and write $[\cdot]_{\mu} := [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu, 1}$.

Observe that $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ is R -trilinear in μ, ν , and λ . In particular, this means that if $\mu', \nu': F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$ and $\lambda': F \rightarrow F$ are another triple of R -linear maps, and $r \in R$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu+\mu', \nu, \lambda} &= [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} + [\cdot]_{\mu', \nu, \lambda} \\ [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu+\nu', \lambda} &= [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu', \lambda} \\ [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda+\lambda'} &= [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda'} \\ r[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} &= [\cdot]_{r\mu, \nu, \lambda} = [\cdot]_{\mu, r\nu, \lambda} = [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, r\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have an R -linear map

$$[\cdot]_{(-, -, -)}: \text{Hom}(F^{\otimes 2}, F)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \text{Hom}(F, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^{\otimes 3}, F)$$

which takes an elementary tensor $\mu \otimes \nu \otimes \lambda$ in $\text{Hom}(F^{\otimes 2}, F)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \text{Hom}(F, F)$ and maps it to $[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$ in $\text{Hom}(F^{\otimes 3}, F)$. In particular, note that

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu+\mu'} &= [\cdot]_{\mu+\mu', \mu+\mu'} & [\cdot]_{r\mu} &= [\cdot]_{r\mu, r\mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu'} + [\cdot]_{\mu', \mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu', \mu'} & &= r^2 [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu'} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \mu'} + [\cdot]_{\mu', \mu} & &= r^2 [\cdot]_{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 0.1. Let $t \in R$ and let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \text{Mult}(F)$. Furthermore we set $\mu_t = t\mu_1 + (1-t)\mu_0$. Then we have

$$[\cdot]_{\mu_t} = t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1} + (1-t)^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0} + t(1-t)([\cdot]_{\mu_1, \mu_0} + [\cdot]_{\mu_0, \mu_1}).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu_t} &= [\cdot]_{t\mu_1 + (1-t)\mu_0} \\ &= [\cdot]_{t\mu_1} + [\cdot]_{(1-t)\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{t\mu_1, (1-t)\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{(1-t)\mu_0, t\mu_1} \\ &= t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1} + (1-t)^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{t\mu_1, \mu_0 - t\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{\mu_0 - t\mu_0, t\mu_1} \\ &= t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1} + (1-t)^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{t\mu_1, \mu_0} + [\cdot]_{t\mu_1, -t\mu_0} + [\cdot]_{\mu_0, t\mu_1} + [\cdot]_{-t\mu_0, t\mu_1} \\ &= t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1} + (1-t)^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0} + t[\cdot]_{\mu_1, \mu_0} - t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1, \mu_0} + t[\cdot]_{\mu_0, \mu_1} - t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0, \mu_1} \\ &= t^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_1} + (1-t)^2 [\cdot]_{\mu_0} + t(1-t)([\cdot]_{\mu_1, \mu_0} + [\cdot]_{\mu_0, \mu_1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now suppose $F = (F, d)$ is an R -complex. We view F as a graded R -module and we view $d: F \rightarrow F$ as a graded R -linear map of degree -1 which satisfies $d^2 = 0$. We further assume that μ is a chain map, i.e. it commutes with the differential. To clean notation in what follows, we denote the differentials of $F^{\otimes 2}$ and $F^{\otimes 3}$ by d again, where context will make clear which differential the symbol “ d ” refers to. For instance, we if $a_1, a_2 \in F$ with a_1 homogeneous, then we have

$$d(a_1 \otimes a_2) = da_1 \otimes a_2 + (-1)^{|a_1|} a_1 \otimes da_2. \quad (1)$$

It is clear here that the d on the lefthand side of (1) is the differential for $F^{\otimes 2}$, whereas the d' on the righthand side are the differentials for F . If we wanted to be more formal, then our notation becomes more clunky-looking:

$$d_{F^{\otimes 2}}(a_1 \otimes a_2) = d_F(a_1) \otimes a_2 + (-1)^{|a_1|} a_1 \otimes d_F(a_2).$$

Thus we will avoid this and use the simpler notation instead (where context makes everything clear).

Note that since μ is a chain map, we have $d[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} = [\cdot]_{d\mu, \nu, \lambda} = [\cdot]_{\mu, d\nu, \lambda}$. We claim that (up to some minor sign issues) we have

$$d[\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} = [\cdot]_{\mu, d\nu, \lambda} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, d\lambda} \quad [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} d = [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, d\lambda} + [\cdot]_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} d. \quad (2)$$

Indeed the identities follow from the identities

$$\begin{aligned} d(\nu \otimes \lambda) &= d\nu \otimes \lambda + \bar{\nu} \otimes d\lambda & (\nu \otimes \lambda)d &= \nu \otimes \lambda d + \nu d \otimes \bar{\lambda} \\ d(\lambda \otimes \nu) &= d\lambda \otimes \nu + \bar{\lambda} \otimes d\nu & (\lambda \otimes \nu)d &= \lambda \otimes \nu d + \lambda d \otimes \bar{\nu} \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\nu}: F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$ and $\bar{\lambda}: F \rightarrow F$ are defined by

$$\bar{\nu}(a_1 \otimes a_2) = (-1)^{|a_1|+|a_2|} \nu(a_1 \otimes a_2) \quad \bar{\lambda}(a) = (-1)^{|a|} \lambda(a).$$

The identity (2) holds exactly in characteristic 2, however in general one should interpret with (2) with appropriate signs.

Proposition 0.2. *Let $\mu \in \text{Mult}(F)$, let $h: F^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow F$, and set $\mu_h = \mu + dh + hd$. Then we have*

$$[\cdot]_{\mu_h} = [\cdot]_{\mu} + dH + Hd$$

where $H = [\cdot]_{\mu, h} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu_h}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu_h} &= [\cdot]_{\mu + dh + hd} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{dh + hd} + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh + hd} + [\cdot]_{dh + hd, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{dh} + [\cdot]_{hd} + [\cdot]_{dh, hd} + [\cdot]_{hd, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh + hd} + [\cdot]_{dh + hd, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d[\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{h, hd} d + d[\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, hd} + [\cdot]_{dh, \mu} + [\cdot]_{hd, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d[\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{h, hd} d + d[\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, hd} + d[\cdot]_{h, \mu} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} d + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{h, hd} d + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} d + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} d + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{h, dh} d + [\cdot]_{h, hd} d + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, hd} + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu, dh} + [\cdot]_{\mu, hd} + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) + ([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) d \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d([\cdot]_{\mu, h} + [\cdot]_{\mu, h} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, h} d + [\cdot]_{\mu, h} d + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) + ([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu}) d \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu, h}) + ([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu, h}) d \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu, h}) + ([\cdot]_{h, dh} + [\cdot]_{h, hd} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu} + [\cdot]_{\mu, h}) d \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + d([\cdot]_{\mu, h} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu_h}) + ([\cdot]_{\mu, h} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu_h}) d \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + dH + Hd. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now let's write

$$[\cdot]_{\varphi, \nu, \mu} = \varphi \nu - \mu \varphi^{\otimes 2}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\varphi + \mathbf{d}h + h\mathbf{d}, \nu + \mathbf{d}h' + h'\mathbf{d}, \mu} &= (\varphi + \mathbf{d}h + h\mathbf{d})(\nu + \mathbf{d}h' + h'\mathbf{d}) - \mu(\varphi + \mathbf{d}h + h\mathbf{d})^{\otimes 2} \\ &= (\varphi + \mathbf{d}h + h\mathbf{d})(\nu + \mathbf{d}h' + h'\mathbf{d}) - \mu(\varphi + \mathbf{d}h + h\mathbf{d})^{\otimes 2} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\mu_h, \mu} &= [\cdot]_{\mu + \mathbf{d}h + h\mathbf{d}, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + [\cdot]_{\mathbf{d}h, \mu} + [\cdot]_{h\mathbf{d}, \mu} \\ &= [\cdot]_{\mu} + \mathbf{d}[\cdot]_{h, \mu} + [\cdot]_{h, \mathbf{d}\mu} + [\cdot]_{h, \mu, \mathbf{d}} \end{aligned}$$