

Goldbach Rings

Michael Nelson

Abstract

Let \mathbb{k} be a field. We introduce and study an interesting infinite-dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra G which we call the Goldbach ring. As the name suggests, the Goldbach ring is closely related to Goldbach's conjecture. Properties that G satisfies as a ring (such as whether or not it is an integral domain) may give us clues about Goldbach's conjecture itself.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{k} be a field. We introduce and study an interesting infinite-dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra which we call the Goldbach ring, which, as the name suggests, is closely related to Goldbach's conjecture. The Goldbach ring G is defined to be the quotient $G = R/I$ where

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \mathbb{k}[\{x_p, x_{p+q} \mid p, q \text{ odd primes}\}] \\ I &= \langle \{x_p x_q - x_{p+q} \mid p, q \text{ odd primes}\} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The Goldbach ring has the structure of a bi-graded \mathbb{k} -algebra meaning it can be decomposed as

$$G = \bigoplus_{n,d \geq 0} G_{n,d},$$

where the component $G_{n,d}$ in bi-degree $(n, d) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{k} -vector space whose dimension we are interested in counting. For instance, Goldbach's conjecture is equivalent to the statement that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} G_{2k,2} = 1$ for all $k \geq 3$. However this is really just a restatement of Goldbach's conjecture; what's more interesting and new in our view is the following conjecture which seems to hold in small examples:

Conjecture 1. *We have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} G_{n,d} \leq 1$$

for all $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$.

A counter-example to Conjecture (1) would be the existence of odd primes p_1, \dots, p_d and q_1, \dots, q_d such that

$$p_1 + \dots + p_d = n = q_1 + \dots + q_d$$

but $x_{p_1} \dots x_{p_d} \neq x_{q_1} \dots x_{q_d}$ in G . However we do not believe such a counter-example exists since. Indeed, based on our initial calculations, it seems that there are usually many ways to go from $x_{p_1} \dots x_{p_d}$ to $x_{q_1} \dots x_{q_d}$ by applying elementary Goldbach relations of the form $x_p x_q = x_{p+q}$. For another example, in $G_{36,4}$ we have $x_3^2 x_{11} x_{19} = x_5^2 x_{13}^2$ since

$$\begin{aligned} x_3^2 x_{11} x_{19} &= x_3 x_{11} x_{22} \\ &= x_3 x_5 x_{11} x_{17} \\ &= x_5 x_{11} x_{20} \\ &= x_5 x_7 x_{11} x_{13} \\ &= x_5 x_{13} x_{18} \\ &= x_5^2 x_{13}^2. \end{aligned}$$

There are many other paths we can take from $x_3^2 x_{11} x_{19}$ to $x_5^2 x_{13}^2$, however it turns out that this is the shortest path. Ultimately a solution to Conjecture (1) will involve tools and techniques from analytic number theory. What we find interesting is that Conjecture (1) also seems to involve a lot of commutative algebra as well. For example, if Conjecture (1) is true, then it would imply that G is an integral domain. Conversely, one can show that if G is an integral domain and Conjecture (1) holds for n, d sufficiently large, then Conjecture (1) is true.

A deeper relationship between Conjecture (1), analytic number theory, and commutative algebra is realized when one studies G as a direct limit

$$G = \varinjlim G^m$$

of bi-graded noetherian \mathbb{k} -algebras $G^m = R^m/I^m$, where

$$\begin{aligned} R^m &= \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \cap R \\ I^m &= \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \cap I. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for each m , we denote by $\delta(m)$ and $\rho(m)$ to be the R^m -depth and R^m -projective dimension of G^m respectively. Then the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula implies

$$\delta(2m) + \rho(2m) = \pi(2m) + m - \kappa(2m) - 3, \quad (1)$$

where $\pi(2m)$ is the usual prime-counting function which counts the number of primes $\leq 2m$ and where $\kappa(2m)$ counts then number of positive even numbers $\leq 2m$ that are counter-examples to Goldbach's conjecture.

2 \mathcal{A} -Supported Goldbach Rings

Let \mathcal{A} be a subset of the positive odd integers and set $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} = \{a + b \mid a, b \in \mathcal{A}\}$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathcal{A}} &= \mathbb{k}[\{x_a, x_c \mid a \in \mathcal{A}, c \in \mathcal{C}\}] \\ I_{\mathcal{A}} &= \langle \{x_a x_b - x_{a+b} \mid a, b \in \mathcal{A}\} \rangle \\ G_{\mathcal{A}} &= R_{\mathcal{A}}/I_{\mathcal{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

We will refer to $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ as the **\mathcal{A} -supported Goldbach ring**. We simplify our notation by writing $\{x_a, x_c\}$ to denote the set $\{x_a, x_c \mid a \in \mathcal{A}, c \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Similarly we write $\{x_a x_b - x_{a+b}\}$ to denote the set $\{x_a x_b - x_{a+b} \mid a, b \in \mathcal{A}\}$. We often simplify our notation even further by dropping \mathcal{A} from our notation whenever it is clear from context. For instance, we write " G " instead of " $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ " when it's understood that G is the \mathcal{A} -supported Goldbach ring. Similarly, if we write "let G be the \mathcal{A} -supported Golbach ring", then it's understood that \mathcal{A} is a subset of the positive odd integers and that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$.

2.1 Representing Monomials

We will denote by $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to be the set of all monomials in $R = R_{\mathcal{A}}$. There are two ways we can represent monomials in R . The first way is as a finite product of the indeterminates $\{x_a, x_c\}$, namely, a monomial can be expressed in the form

$$x_a x_c := x_{a_1} \cdots x_{a_r} x_{c_1} \cdots x_{c_s}$$

where $\mathbf{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r$ is a sequence of elements in \mathcal{A} (not necessarily distinct, but often we assume $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r$) and $\mathbf{c} = c_1, \dots, c_s$ is a sequence of elements in \mathcal{C} (again not necessarily distinct, but often we assume $c_1 \leq \dots \leq c_s$). We will use this way of representing monomials to give R a nice bi-graded structure. The second way of representing monomials is described as follows: given a function $\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define its **support**, denoted $\text{supp } \alpha$, to be the set

$$\text{supp } \alpha = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid \alpha(m) \neq 0\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to be the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \text{supp } \alpha \text{ is finite and contained in } \{x_a, x_c\}\}.$$

Thus if $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$, then α takes value 0 zero almost everywhere, and the only places where it is nonzero is at an element in $\{x_a, x_c\}$. Then there is a bijection from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{M} given by assigning $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ to the monomial

$$x^{\alpha} := \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} x_m^{\alpha(m)} = \prod_{m \in \text{supp } \alpha} x_m^{\alpha(m)}.$$

For instance, suppose $\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is defined by

$$\alpha(m) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } m = 2 \\ 2 & \text{if } m = 6 \\ 4 & \text{if } m = 11 \\ 0 & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{2, 6, 11\} \end{cases}$$

Then $x^\alpha = x_3^3 x_6^2 x_{11}^4$ and $\text{supp } x^\alpha = \{2, 6, 11\}$. This second way of expressing monomials gives us a cleaner way of expressing nonzero polynomials in R , namely, every nonzero polynomial $f \in R$ can be expressed in the form

$$f = a_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + a_n x^{\alpha_n}$$

for unique $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{k}$ and for unique $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{F}$. We often pass back and forth between functions $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ and monomials $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$. For instance, given a monomial $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$, we define its **support**, denoted $\text{supp } x^\alpha$, to be $\text{supp } x^\alpha = \text{supp } \alpha$, and etc...

2.2 The Bi-Graded \mathbb{k} -Structure on R and G

We give R and G a bi-graded \mathbb{k} -structure as follows: we define $\deg_1: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $\deg_2: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\deg_1(x_a x_c) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i + \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \quad \text{and} \quad \deg_2(x_a x_c) = r + 2s.$$

In particular, we have $\deg_1(x_a) = a$, $\deg_1(x_c) = c$, $\deg_2(x_a) = 1$, and $\deg_2(x_c) = 2$. For each $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$R_{n,d} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{k}} \{x^\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mid \deg_1(x^\alpha) = n \text{ and } \deg_2(x^\alpha) = d\}.$$

Then we have a decomposition of R into \mathbb{k} -vector spaces:

$$R = \bigoplus_{n,d \in \mathbb{N}} R_{n,d},$$

which gives R a bi-graded \mathbb{k} -structure. Since I is homogeneous with respect to this bi-grading, G inherits a bi-graded \mathbb{k} -structure, induced by the one on R :

$$G = \bigoplus_{n,d \in \mathbb{N}} G_{n,d}.$$

Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} R_{n,d}$ counts the number of ways we can express n as a sum

$$n = a_1 + \cdots + a_r + c_1 + \cdots + c_s$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathcal{A}$, $c_1, \dots, c_s \in \mathcal{C}$, and $d = r + s$. Whenever we have $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} R_{n,d} \geq 1$, then we say (n, d) is a **good pair**. In this case, we are very interested in determining whether or not $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} G_{n,d} = 1$ or $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} G_{n,d} > 1$. Intuitively, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} G_{n,d} = 1$ when \mathcal{A} is sufficiently “dense” in \mathbb{N} and we have $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} G_{n,d} > 1$ whenever \mathcal{A} is very “sparse” in \mathbb{N} .

2.3 Constructing the Minimal R -Free Resolution of G

We now build the minimal R -free resolution of G as follows: first, for each $m \geq 1$, we define the m -th **approximation** of R , I , and G to be:

$$\begin{aligned} R^m &= \mathbb{k}[\{x_a, x_c \mid a, c \leq m\}] \\ I^m &= \langle \{x_a x_b - x_{a+b} \mid a + b \leq m\} \rangle \\ G^m &= R^m / I^m. \end{aligned}$$

Again, R^m and G^m have bi-graded \mathbb{k} -structures:

$$R^m = \bigoplus_{n,d} R_{n,d}^m \quad \text{and} \quad G^m = \bigoplus_{n,d} G_{n,d}^m.$$

Recall that if $x_a x_c \in R_n^m$, then we must have $a + c = n$ and $a, c \leq m$. In particular, if $m \geq n$ then we have $R_n^m = R_n^n = R_n$. Similarly, if $m \geq n$ then we have $G_n^m = G_n^n = G_n$. Thus we have directed systems

$$(R^m)_{m \geq 1} \quad \text{and} \quad (G^m)_{m \geq 1}$$

of bi-graded \mathbb{k} -algebras (with the obvious \mathbb{k} -algebra homomorphisms) where the components $R_{n,d}^m$ and $G_{n,d}^m$ in bi-graded degree (n, d) stabilizes to $R_{n,d}$ and $G_{n,d}$ respectively whenever m is sufficiently large (for example $m \geq n$). It follows that

$$R = \varinjlim R^m \quad \text{and} \quad G = \varinjlim G^m$$

as bi-graded direct limits.

Next we let $F^m = F_{\mathcal{A}}^m$ be the minimal bi-graded R^m -free resolution of G^m (where F^m is necessarily finite since R^m and G^m are noetherian). We set

$$\delta(m) = \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(m) := \text{depth}_{R^m} G^m \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(m) = \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(m) := \text{pd}_{R^m} G^m = \text{length } F^m.$$

Note that these quantities are intrinsic to R^m and G^m (and not R and G). By the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula we have

$$\rho(m) + \delta(m) = \pi_{\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{C}}(m) := \#\{a, c \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{C} \mid a, c \leq m\}. \quad (2)$$

Note that F^m has the structure of a bi-graded \mathbb{k} -complex, meaning we have a decomposition of \mathbb{k} -complexes:

$$F^m = \bigoplus_{n,d} F_{n,d}^m,$$

where $F_{n,d}^m$ is a finite \mathbb{k} -subcomplex of F^m which minimally resolves $G_{n,d}^m$, meaning the augmented complex

$$\tilde{F}_{n,d}^m := \cdots \rightarrow F_{i,n,d}^m \rightarrow F_{i-1,n,d}^m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{1,n,d}^m \rightarrow R_{n,d}^m \rightarrow G_{n,d}^m \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and where the i -th Betti number of G^m in bi-degree (n, d) is given by

$$\beta_{i,n,d}^m := \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Tor}_i^{R^m}(G^m, \mathbb{k})_{n,d} = \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(F_{i,n,d}^m).$$

The canonical map $G^m \rightarrow G^{m+1}$ induces an injective comparison map $F^m \rightarrow F^{m+1}$ which we may choose to respect the bi-graded structure. Furthermore, since $R_n^m = R_n^n$ and $G_n^m = G_n^n$ whenever $m \geq n$, we see that $F_n^m = F_n^n$ whenever $m \geq n$. Thus if we define $F = F_{\mathcal{A}}$ to be the direct limit of bi-graded \mathbb{k} -complexes

$$F := \varinjlim F^m,$$

then F is a bi-graded R -free resolution of G which has the following bi-graded \mathbb{k} -complex structure:

$$F = \bigoplus_{n,d} F_{n,d} = \bigoplus_{n,d} F_{n,d}^n.$$

In particular, if m is sufficiently large, then we see that $\beta_{i,n,d}^m = \beta_{i,n,d}$ where

$$\beta_{i,n,d} := \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Tor}_i^R(G, \mathbb{k})_{n,d} = \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(F_{i,n,d})$$

is the i th Betti number of G in bi-degree (n, d) . Thus, unlike the quantities $\delta(m)$ and $\rho(m)$, the quantity $\beta_{i,n,d}^m$ is actually *intrinsic* to R and G (and not just R^m and G^m) when m is sufficiently large.

Theorem 2.1. We have $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} G_{n,d} = \chi(F_{n,d})$. In other words, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} G_{n,d} = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} R_{n,d} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^i \beta_{i,n,d} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Tor}_i^R(G, \mathbb{k})_{n,d}, \quad (3)$$

where the sum on the right (3) is finite.

3 The Goldbach Ring

We now consider the case where $\mathcal{A} = \{\text{positive odd primes}\}$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \mathbb{k}[\{x_p, x_{2k} \mid p \text{ odd prime and } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}\}] \\ I &= \langle \{x_p x_q - x_{p+q} \mid p, q \text{ odd primes}\} \rangle \\ G &= R/I. \end{aligned}$$

For obvious reasons, we call G the **Goldbach ring**. The homogeneous components of the form $R_{18,d}$ looks like:

$$\begin{aligned} & \vdots = \vdots \\ R_{18,7} &= 0 \\ R_{18,6} &= \mathbb{k}x_3^6 + \mathbb{k}x_3^4 x_6 + \mathbb{k}x_3^2 x_6^2 + \mathbb{k}x_6^3 \\ R_{18,5} &= 0 \\ R_{18,4} &= \mathbb{k}x_3^2 x_5 x_7 + \mathbb{k}x_3 x_5^3 + \mathbb{k}x_3^2 x_{12} + \cdots + \mathbb{k}x_5 x_6 x_7 + \mathbb{k}x_6 x_{12} + \mathbb{k}x_8 x_{10} \\ R_{18,3} &= 0 \\ R_{18,2} &= \mathbb{k}x_5 x_{13} + \mathbb{k}x_7 x_{11} + \mathbb{k}x_{18} \\ R_{18,1} &= 0 \\ & \vdots = \vdots \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the homogeneous components of the form $R_{17,d}$ looks like:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \vdots = \vdots \\
R_{17,6} &= 0 \\
R_{17,5} &= \mathbb{K}x_3^4x_5 + \mathbb{K}x_3^3x_8 + \mathbb{K}x_3^2x_5x_6 + \mathbb{K}x_3x_6x_8 + \mathbb{K}x_5x_6^2 \\
R_{17,4} &= 0 \\
R_{17,3} &= \mathbb{K}x_3^2x_{11} + \mathbb{K}x_3x_7^2 + \mathbb{K}x_5^2x_7 + \mathbb{K}x_6x_{11} + \mathbb{K}x_3x_{14} + \mathbb{K}x_7x_{10} + \mathbb{K}x_5x_{12} \\
R_{17,2} &= 0 \\
R_{17,1} &= \mathbb{K}x_{17} \\
R_{17,0} &= 0 \\
& \vdots = \vdots
\end{aligned}$$

Staring at the homogeneous components above, we see that $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{18,4} = 9$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{17,3} = 7$. More generally, $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{n,d}$ counts the number of ways we can express n as a sum:

$$n = p_1 + \cdots + p_r + 2(k_1 + \cdots + k_s), \quad (4)$$

where p_1, \dots, p_r are odd primes, $k_1, \dots, k_s \geq 3$, and $d = r + 2s$. Here are some basic facts about $\Delta_{n,d}$:

1. Assume n is even.

$$\text{we have } \begin{cases} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{n,d} \geq 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } 2 \leq d \leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor \\ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{n,d} = 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, if d is even and satisfies $2 \leq d \leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$, then we have $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{n,d} \geq 1$ since we have the decomposition $n = (n - 6d) + 6d$.

2. Assume n is odd.

$$\text{we have } \begin{cases} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{n,d} \geq 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is odd and } 3 \leq d \leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor \\ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{p,1} = 1 & \text{if } p \text{ is odd prime} \\ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{n,d} = 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, if d is odd and satisfies $3 \leq d \leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$, then we have $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{n,d} \geq 1$ since we have the decomposition $n = (n - 3 - 6d) + 6d + 3$.

Next, the homogeneous components of the form $G_{17,d}$ and $G_{18,d}$ looks like:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\vdots = \vdots & \vdots = \vdots \\
G_{17,6} = 0 & G_{18,6} = \mathbb{K}\bar{x}_3^6 \\
G_{17,5} = \mathbb{K}\bar{x}_3^4\bar{x}_5 & G_{18,5} = 0 \\
G_{17,4} = 0 & G_{18,4} = \mathbb{K}\bar{x}_3^2\bar{x}_5\bar{x}_7 \\
G_{17,3} = \mathbb{K}\bar{x}_3^2\bar{x}_{11} & G_{18,3} = 0 \\
G_{17,2} = 0 & G_{18,2} = \mathbb{K}\bar{x}_5\bar{x}_{13} \\
G_{17,1} = \mathbb{K}\bar{x}_{17} & G_{18,1} = 0 \\
\vdots = \vdots & \vdots = \vdots
\end{array}$$

From what we've seen above, it is *very* tempting to consider the following conjecture:

Conjecture 2. *If $n > 0$ is even, then*

$$\text{we have } \begin{cases} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} G_{n,d} = 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } 2 \leq d \leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor \\ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} G_{n,d} = 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

If n is odd, then

$$\text{we have } \begin{cases} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} G_{n,d} = 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is odd and } 3 \leq d \leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor \\ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} G_{p,1} = 1 & \text{if } p \text{ is odd prime} \\ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} G_{n,d} = 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

If Conjecture (2) is true, then G has a nice property as a ring:

Proposition 3.1. *Assume Conjecture (2) is true. Then G is an integral domain.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in G_{n,d} = \mathbb{k}\bar{x}^\alpha$ such that $fg = 0$ and express f and g as

$$f = a\bar{x}^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad g = b\bar{x}^\alpha.$$

Then clearly since $\bar{x}^{2\alpha} \neq 0$, we must have $ab = 0$, which implies either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ which implies either $f = 0$ or $g = 0$. \square

Example 3.1. In G^{46} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{23}(x_{19}x_{29} - x_{17}x_{31}) &= x_{23}x_{19}x_{29} - x_{23}x_{17}x_{31} \\ &= x_{11}x_{31}x_{29} - x_{11}x_{29}x_{31} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

however $x_{19}x_{29} \neq x_{17}x_{31}$ in G^{46} . It follows that x_{23} is a zerodivisor in G^{46} . Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_3(x_{19}x_{29} - x_{17}x_{31}) &= x_3x_{19}x_{29} - x_3x_{17}x_{31} \\ &= x_5x_{17}x_{29} - x_5x_{17}x_{29} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that x_3 is also a zero divisor in G^{46} . Note that $x_{19}x_{29} = x_{17}x_{31}$ in G^{48} , however x_3 remains a zerodivisor in G^{48} since

$$\begin{aligned} x_3(x_{29}x_{31} - x_{23}x_{37}) &= x_3x_{29}x_{31} - x_3x_{23}x_{37} \\ &= x_{11}x_{29}x_{23} - x_{11}x_{23}x_{29} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and $x_{29}x_{31} \neq x_{23}x_{37}$ in G^{48} . Similar calculations like this show that $x_3, x_5, x_7, x_{11}, x_{13}, x_{17}$, and x_{19} are all zerodivisors in G^{46} . On the other hand, using Singular we find that a maximal G^{46} -regular sequence is given by $x_{29}, x_{31}, x_{37}, x_{41}, x_{43}$.

3.0.1 Explicit Calculations of the \mathbb{k} -Complex $F_{n,d}$

Example 3.2. Let's describe $\tilde{F}_{18,2}$ as a \mathbb{k} -complex. First, as a graded \mathbb{k} -vector space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{1,18,2} &= \mathbb{k}e_{5,13} + \mathbb{k}e_{7,11} \\ \tilde{F}_{0,18,2} &= R_{18,2} = \mathbb{k}x_5x_{13} + \mathbb{k}x_7x_{11} + \mathbb{k}x_{18} \\ \tilde{F}_{-1,18,2} &= G_{18,2} = \mathbb{k}\bar{x}_5\bar{x}_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{F}_{i,18,2} = 0$ for all $i \neq -1, 0, 1$. The differential is the unique R -linear map defined by $d(e_{5,13}) = x_5x_{13} - x_{18}$ and $d(e_{7,11}) = x_7x_{13} - x_{18}$. After choosing ordered bases, we can express $\tilde{F}_{18,2}$ in the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{k}^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} G_{18,2} \longrightarrow 0$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} G_{18,2} &= \chi(F_{18,2}) \\ &= 3 - 2 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let's describe $\tilde{F}_{23,3}$ as a \mathbb{k} -complex. First, as a graded \mathbb{k} -vector space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{2,23,3} &= \mathbb{k}e_{5,7,11} \\ \tilde{F}_{1,23,3} &= \mathbb{k}x_{13}e_{3,7} + \mathbb{k}x_{13}e_{5,5} + \mathbb{k}x_7e_{3,13} + \mathbb{k}x_7e_{5,11} + \mathbb{k}x_5e_{7,11} + \mathbb{k}x_5e_{5,13} \\ \tilde{F}_{0,23,3} &= R_{23,3} = \mathbb{k}x_{13}x_{10} + \mathbb{k}x_7x_{16} + \mathbb{k}x_5x_{18} + \mathbb{k}x_5x_7x_{11} + \mathbb{k}x_3x_7x_{13} + \mathbb{k}x_5^2x_{13} \\ \tilde{F}_{-1,23,3} &= G_{23,2} = \mathbb{k}\bar{x}_5\bar{x}_7\bar{x}_{11} \end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{F}_{i,23,3} = 0$ for all $i \neq -1, 0, 1, 2$. The differential is the unique R -linear map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d(e_{5,7,11}) &= x_5 e_{7,11} - x_5 e_{5,13} + x_{13} e_{5,5} - x_{13} e_{3,7} + x_7 e_{3,13} - x_7 e_{5,11} \\ d(e_{3,7}) &= x_3 x_7 - x_{10} \\ d(e_{5,5}) &= x_5 x_5 - x_{10} \\ d(e_{3,13}) &= x_3 x_{13} - x_{16} \\ d(e_{5,11}) &= x_5 x_{11} - x_{16} \\ d(e_{7,11}) &= x_7 x_{11} - x_{18} \\ d(e_{5,13}) &= x_5 x_{13} - x_{18}. \end{aligned}$$

After choosing ordered basis, we can express $\tilde{F}_{23,3}$ in the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{k} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{k}^6 \xrightarrow{M} \mathbb{k}^6 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} G_{23,3} \longrightarrow 0$$

where M is a matrix whose entries are either -1 , 0 , or 1 . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} G_{23,3} &= \chi(F_{23,3}) \\ &= 6 - 6 + 1 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

3.1 Re-interpreting the Conjecture

From Theorem (2.1), we can express Conjecture (2) in another form:

Conjecture 3. Assume (n, d) is a good pair. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(G, \mathbb{k})_{n,d} = 1.$$