Commutative Algebra Homework 6

Michael Nelson

I got too caught up in the elections and unfortunately did not finish problem 1 or 3. I apologize for this.

Problem 1

Exercise 1. Let R be an integral domain. Show that R is a Prüfer domain if and only if every overring of R is integrally closed. (Hint: consider $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some maximal ideal and if $x,y \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}$, consider $R_{\mathfrak{m}}[y^2/x^2]$.

Solution 1. Suppose that *R* is a Prüfer domain and let *A* be an overring of *R*. By homework 4, problem 4, we know that *A* is itself a Prüfer domain. Every Prüfer domain is integrally closed (see Appendix), so *A* is integrally closed. Since *A* was arbitrary, it follows that every overring of *R* is integrally closed.

Conversely, suppose every overring of R is integrally closed and let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R. We need to show that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a valuation domain. First note that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is integrally closed since integral closures commute with localization.

Problem 2

Exercise 2. Show that if K is a field then any maximal ideal of $K[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ can be generated by n elements.

Solution 2. By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, the maximal \mathfrak{m} is in the kernel of a K-algebra homomorphism from $K[T_1,\ldots,T_n]$ to L where L/K. is a finite field extension. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ let α_i be the images of T_i under this homomorphism. We will build a sequence of polynomials f_1,\ldots,f_n in $K[T_1,\ldots,T_n]$ such that $\mathfrak{m}=\langle f_1,\ldots,f_n\rangle$ and such that f_k is a polynomial in $K[T_1,\ldots,T_k]$ for all $1\leq k\leq n$.

First we set $f_1(T_1)$ to be the minimal polynomial of α_1 over K. Next let $\pi_2(X)$ be the minimal polynomial of α_2 over $K(\alpha_1)$. The coefficients of $\pi_2(X)$ can be expressed as polynomials in α_1 , and so in particular we can find a polynomial f_2 in $K[T_1, T_2]$ such that $f_2(\alpha_1, X) = \pi_2(X)$. Proceeding inductively, at the kth step, where $1 \le k \le n$, we let $\pi_k(X)$ be the minimal polynomial of α_k over $K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$ and we choose a polynomial a polynomials f_k in $K[T_1, \dots, T_k]$ such that

$$f_k(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1},X)=\pi_k(X).$$

We claim that $\mathfrak{m} = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$. Indeed, we have $\mathfrak{m} \supseteq \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ since $\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ is in the kernel of the *K*-algebra homomorphism from $K[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ to *L*. To see this, note that for each $1 \le k \le n$ we have

$$f_k(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{k-1},\alpha_k)=\pi_k(\alpha_k)=0.$$

We also have $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \langle f_1, \ldots, f_n \rangle$ since $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_n \rangle$ is a maximal ideal. Indeed, we prove by induction on n that $K[T_1, \ldots, T_n] / \langle f_1, \ldots, f_n \rangle \cong K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$. If n = 1, then

$$K[T_1]/\langle f_1 \rangle \cong K[X]/\pi_1(X) \cong K(\alpha_1).$$

Now suppose n > 1 and we have shown this to be true for all $1 \le k < n$. Then we have

$$K[T_{1},...,T_{n-1},T_{n}]/\langle f_{1},...,f_{n-1},f_{n}\rangle \cong (K[T_{1},...,T_{n-1}]/\langle f_{1},...,f_{n-1}\rangle) [T_{n}]/\langle f_{n}(\overline{T_{1}},...,\overline{T_{n-1}},T_{n})$$

$$\cong K(\alpha_{1},...,\alpha_{n-1})[T_{n}]/\langle f_{n}(\alpha_{1},...,\alpha_{n-1},T_{n})\rangle$$

$$\cong K(\alpha_{1},...,\alpha_{n-1})[X]/\langle \pi_{n}(X)\rangle$$

$$\cong K(\alpha_{1},...,\alpha_{n-1},\alpha_{n}),$$

where we used the induction step to get from the first line to the second line.

Problem 3

Exercise 3. Let R be an integral domain and let $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicatively closed subset not containing 0.

- 1. Show that $R[x]_S = R_S[x]$.
- 2. Show that $R[[x]]_S \subseteq R_S[[x]]$.
- 3. Show that equality in 2 holds if and only if for every countable collection (s_n) of elements of S we have $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\langle s_n\rangle\neq 0$.
- 4. Show that if *R* is a PID then every $S \subseteq R$ satisfies the above property if and only if *R* is a field.

Solution 3. 1. Define $\varphi: R[x]_S \to R_S[x]$ by

$$\varphi\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i\right)/s\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i/s) x^i.$$

where $a_i \in R$ and $s \in S$. The map φ is clearly a well-defined injective ring homomorphism. Furthermore, it is surjective. Indeed, if $\sum_{i=0}^{n} (a_i/s_i)x^i \in R_S[x]$, then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (a_i/s_i) x^i = \frac{a_0}{s_0} + \frac{a_1}{s_1} x + \dots + \frac{a_n}{s_n} x^n$$

$$= \frac{a_0 s_1 \dots s_n}{s_0 s_1 \dots s_n} + \frac{s_0 a_1 s_2 \dots s_n}{s_0 s_1 \dots s_n} x + \dots + \frac{s_0 \dots s_{n-1} a_n}{s_0 s_1 \dots s_n} x^n$$

$$= \varphi \left(\frac{a_0 s_1 \dots s_n + s_0 a_1 s_2 \dots s_n x + \dots + s_0 \dots s_{n-1} a_n x^n}{s_0 s_1 \dots s_n} \right)$$

Thus $R[x]_S \cong R_S[x]$.

2. Define $\varphi \colon R[[x]]_S \to R_S[[x]]$ by

$$\varphi\left(\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right)/s\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n/s) x^n \tag{1}$$

for all $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n)/s \in R[[x]]_S$. Let's check that (1) is well-defined. Suppose $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n)/s = (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a'_n x^n)/s'$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right) / s = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a'_n x^n\right) / s' \iff s' \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right) = s \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a'_n x^n\right)$$

$$\iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s' a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s a'_n x^n$$

$$\iff s' a_n = s a'_n \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\iff a_n / s = a'_n / s' \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n / s) x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a'_n / s') x^n.$$

This implies (1) is well-defined. Now we check that φ is injective. Note that

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right) / s \in \ker \varphi \iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n / s) x^n = 0$$

$$\iff a_n / s = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = 0$$

$$\iff \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right) / s = 0.$$

It follows that φ is injective.

3. Keeping the same notation as before, we show φ is surjective if and only if S has the property that for every sequence (s_n) in S we have $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\langle s_n\rangle\neq 0$. Suppose S has the stated property. Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(a_n/s_n)x^n$ be an element of $R_S[[x]]$. Since $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\langle s_n\rangle\neq 0$, there exists a nonzero $t\in\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\langle s_n\rangle$. Write $t=b_ns_n$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ where $b_n\in R$. Note that this implies $b_1s_1=b_ns_n$ or $b_1/s_n=b_n/s_1$. We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_1 a_n}{s_n} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n a_n}{s_1} x^n$$
$$= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n a_n x^n\right) / s_1$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\varphi\left(\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}b_na_nx^n\right)/b_1s_1\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{b_1a_n}{s_n}x^n,$$

thus φ is surjective.

Problem 4

Definition 0.1. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let M be an R-module. A prime $\mathfrak p$ of R is **weakly associated** to M if there exists an element $u \in M$ such that $\mathfrak p$ is minimal among the prime ideals containing the annihilator $0 : u = \{a \in R \mid au = 0\}$. The set of all such primes is denoted WeakAss M.

Proposition 0.1. Let R be a commutative ring with identity. Then the set of all zerodivisors of R is given by the set

$$\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in \text{WeakAss }R}\mathfrak{p}.$$

Proof. Suppose $x \in R$ is a zerodivisor. Then 0 : x is a proper ideal of R. Choose a minimal prime $\mathfrak p$ over 0 : x. Then $\mathfrak p$ is a weakly associated prime to R and $x \in \mathfrak p$ implies

$$\{\text{set of zerodivisors of } R\} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{WeakAss } R} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Conversely, suppose $x \in \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{WeakAss } R} \mathfrak{p}$. Then $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some prime \mathfrak{p} which is weakly associated to R. Since \mathfrak{p} is weakly associated to R, there exists a $y \in R$ such that \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime over 0 : y. Since localization is exact, we see that $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a weakly associated prime to $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, with $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ being minimal over the annihilator of y/1. Since $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is local and $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is minimal over the annihilator 0 : (y/1), we have $\mathrm{rad}(0 : (y/1)) = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $z \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $x^n z \in 0 : y$, or in other words, such that $x^n z y = 0$. Note that $z y \neq 0$ as $z \notin \mathfrak{p}$, so if n = 1, then z y = 0 implies $z \in \mathfrak{p}$ is a zerodivisor. Assume $z \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{p}$ is a zerodivisor. Thus

$$\{\text{set of zerodivisors of }R\}\supseteq\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in Weak \text{Ass }R}\mathfrak{p}.$$

Exercise 4. Let *R* be a 0-dimensional ring. Then any nonunit of *R* is a zerodivisor.

Solution 4. We have

{set of zerodivisors of
$$R$$
} = $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{WeakAss } R} \mathfrak{p}$
= $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R} \mathfrak{p}$
= {nonunits of R }.

where we obtained the second line from the first line from the fact that *R* is 0-dimensional. Indeed, clearly we have

$$\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in \operatorname{WeakAss} R}\mathfrak{p}\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Spec} R}\mathfrak{p}.$$

Conversely, suppose $\mathfrak p$ is a prime ideal of R and choose $x \in \mathfrak p$. Then since $x \in \mathfrak p$ and $\mathfrak p$ is prime we have $\mathfrak p \supseteq 0 : x$ and since R is 0-dimensional we see that $\mathfrak p$ is minimal over 0 : x. Thus $\mathfrak p$ is a weakly associated prime to R. It follows that

$$\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in \text{WeakAss }R}\mathfrak{p}\supseteq\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in \text{Spec }R}\mathfrak{p}.$$

Appendix

Problem 1

Prüfer domains are integrally closed

Lemma 0.1. Let R be an integral domain, let K be its quotient field, and let \overline{R} be the integral closure of R in K. Then

$$\overline{R}\subseteq\bigcap_{R\subseteq A\subseteq K}A$$

where the intersection runs over all valuation overrings A of R.

Proof. This follows from the fact the every valuation ring is integrally closed. Indeed, let A be a valuation overring of R. Then since A is integrally closed and $R \subseteq A$, it follows that $\overline{R} \subseteq A$. Since A was arbitrary, we see that $\overline{R} = \bigcap_{R \subseteq A \subseteq K} A$ where the intersection runs over all valuation overrings A of R.

Proposition 0.2. Let R be a Prüfer domain. Then R is integrally closed.

Proof. Let \overline{R} be the integral closure of R. Observe that

$$R = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$$
 (Homework 1, Problem 4)
$$\supseteq \bigcap_{A \text{ valuation overring of } R} A$$
 (Because R is Prüfer)
$$\supseteq \overline{R}$$
 (Lemma above)
$$\supseteq R.$$

It follows that $R = \overline{R}$. Hence R is integrally closed.