

Abstract Algebra Homework 2

Michael Nelson

Throughout this homework, let R be a commutative ring.

Problem 1

Proposition 0.1. Define $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\varphi(a) = (2a, 0)$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and define $\psi: \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\psi(a, \overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}, \dots) = (\overline{a}, \overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}, \dots)$$

for all $(a, \overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}, \dots) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\psi} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

is a short exact sequence which does not split, even though we have $\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. The maps defined above are \mathbb{Z} -linear since each component map is \mathbb{Z} -linear. The map φ is injective since 2 is a nonzerodivisor in \mathbb{Z} , and the map ψ is surjective since the quotient map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective. We also have exactness at $\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$. Indeed, let $(a, \overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}, \dots) \in \ker \psi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \psi(a, \overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}, \dots) \\ &= (\overline{a}, \overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

implies $\overline{a_n} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $a = 2b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a, \overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}, \dots) &= (2b, 0) \\ &= \varphi(b) \end{aligned}$$

implies $(a, \overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}, \dots) \in \text{im } \varphi$. Therefore we have exactness at $\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$, and so (1) is a short exact sequence.

Now we show that (1) does not split. Assume for a contradiction that it did split. Then there exists an R -linear map

$$\tilde{\psi}: (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$$

such that $\psi\tilde{\psi} = 1$. Let

$$\pi_1: \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2: \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$$

be the natural projection maps and denote $\tilde{\psi}_1 = \pi_1 \circ \tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_2 = \pi_2 \circ \tilde{\psi}$ to be the component maps of $\tilde{\psi}$. Note that $\tilde{\psi}_1: (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ must be the zero map since 2 is a nonzerodivisor on \mathbb{Z} and $2 \in \text{Ann}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}})$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tilde{\psi}_1((\overline{a_n})) &= \tilde{\psi}_1((\overline{2a_n})) \\ &= \tilde{\psi}_1(\overline{0}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\tilde{\psi}_1((\overline{a_n})) = 0$ for all $(\overline{a_n}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$. Now let $(\overline{a_n}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\overline{a_1} = \overline{1}$ and denote $(b_n) = \tilde{\psi}_2((\overline{a_n}))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{a_n}) &= \psi\tilde{\psi}((\overline{a_n})) \\ &= \psi(\tilde{\psi}_1((\overline{a_n})), \tilde{\psi}_2((\overline{a_n}))) \\ &= \psi(0, (b_n)) \\ &= (\overline{0}, \overline{b_1}, \overline{b_2}, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction since $\overline{a_1} = \overline{1}$. □

Problem 2

Proposition 0.2. Suppose for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, suppose we are given short exact sequences of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow K_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} M_i \xrightarrow{\psi_i} K_{i-1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

Then we can splice these short exact sequences together to get a long exact sequence of the form

$$\dots \longrightarrow M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i+1}} M_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} M_{i-1} \longrightarrow \dots \quad (3)$$

where $\varphi_i = \phi_{i-1} \circ \psi_i$.

Proof. Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows the short exact sequences (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \varphi_i &= \ker(\phi_{i-1} \circ \psi_i) \\ &= \ker \psi_i \\ &= \operatorname{im} \phi_i \\ &= \operatorname{im}(\phi_i \circ \psi_{i+1}) \\ &= \operatorname{im} \varphi_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

As i was arbitrary, it follows that (3) is exact. □

Corollary. Every long exact of R -modules can be formed by splicing together suitable short exact sequences.

Proof. Let

$$\dots \longrightarrow M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i+1}} M_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} M_{i-1} \longrightarrow \dots \quad (4)$$

be an exact sequence of R -modules. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we break (4) into short exact sequences of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \varphi_i \xrightarrow{\iota_i} M_i \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_i} \operatorname{im} \varphi_i \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5)$$

where ι_i is the inclusion map and $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ is just φ_i but with range $\operatorname{im} \varphi_i$ rather than M_{i-1} . In fact, since $\ker \varphi_{i-1} = \operatorname{im} \varphi_i$, we can rewrite (6) as

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \varphi_i \xrightarrow{\iota_i} M_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \ker \varphi_{i-1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

Since $\varphi_i = \iota_{i-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_i$, it follows from Proposition (0.2) that splicing these short exact sequences together gives us our original long exact sequence (4). □

Problem 3

Proposition 0.3. Let K be a field, let V be a vector space of countably infinite dimension over K , and set $A = \operatorname{Hom}_K(V, V)$. Then A is a ring with identity where multiplication is given by function composition. Moreover, A is isomorphic (as an A -module over itself) to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A$ for every positive integer n .

Proof. We first show that A is a ring with identity. First note that A has the structure of an abelian group where addition is defined pointwise: let $\varphi, \psi \in A$, then we define $\varphi + \psi \in A$ to be the K -linear map

$$(\varphi + \psi)(v) := \varphi(v) + \psi(v)$$

for all $v \in V$. Addition is associative and commutative since addition in V is associative and commutative. Moreover, the zero map $0: V \rightarrow V$ defined by

$$0(v) = 0$$

for all $v \in V$ serves as the identity element. We claim that composition gives the abelian group A a ring structure. Indeed, let $\varphi, \psi, \phi \in A$ and let $v \in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \circ (\psi + \phi))(v) &= \varphi((\psi + \phi)(v)) \\ &= \varphi(\psi(v) + \phi(v)) \\ &= \varphi(\psi(v)) + \varphi(\phi(v)) \\ &= (\varphi \circ \psi)(v) + (\varphi \circ \phi)(v). \\ &= (\varphi \circ \psi + \varphi \circ \phi)(v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((\varphi + \psi) \circ \phi)(v) &= (\varphi + \psi)(\phi(v)) \\ &= \varphi(\phi(v)) + \psi(\phi(v)) \\ &= (\varphi \circ \phi)(v) + (\psi \circ \phi)(v) \\ &= (\varphi \circ \phi + \psi \circ \phi)(v). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \circ (\psi \circ \phi))(v) &= \varphi((\psi \circ \phi)(v)) \\ &= \varphi(\psi(\phi(v))) \\ &= (\varphi \circ \psi)(\phi(v)) \\ &= ((\varphi \circ \psi) \circ \phi)(v) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ (\psi + \phi) &= \varphi \circ \psi + \varphi \circ \phi; \\ (\varphi + \psi) \circ \phi &= \varphi \circ \phi + \psi \circ \phi; \\ \varphi \circ (\psi \circ \phi) &= (\varphi \circ \psi) \circ \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have left and right distributivity as well as associativity. The identity map $1_V: V \rightarrow V$, given by $v \mapsto v$, serves as the identity element in A : all $v \in V$ and $\varphi \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1_V \circ \varphi)(v) &= 1_V(\varphi(v)) \\ &= \varphi(v) \\ &= \varphi(1_V(v)) \\ &= (\varphi \circ 1_V)(v). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$1_V \circ \varphi = \varphi = \varphi \circ 1_V$$

for all $\varphi \in A$, and hence 1_V is the identity element in A . This establishes our claim that A is a ring with identity.

Now we want to prove the “moreover” part of the proposition. First note that it suffices to show that $A \cong A \oplus A$. Indeed if this is the case, then an induction argument would give us

$$\begin{aligned} A^n &= A \oplus A^{n-1} \\ &\cong A \oplus A \\ &\cong A. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{e_i\}$ be a countable basis for V . Let $\psi_o: V \rightarrow V$ and $\psi_e: V \rightarrow V$ be the unique linear maps such that

$$\psi_o(e_i) = \begin{cases} e_{(i+1)/2} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_e(e_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \\ e_{i/2} & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that $\{\psi_o, \psi_e\}$ is linearly independent and $\text{span}\{\psi_o, \psi_e\} = A$. This will imply $A \cong A \oplus A$.

Let us first show that $\{\psi_o, \psi_e\}$ is linearly independent. Suppose we have the relation

$$\varphi_1 \psi_o + \varphi_2 \psi_e = 0 \tag{7}$$

for some $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in A$. If i is a positive odd integer, then applying e_i to both sides of (7) gives us

$$\varphi_1(e_{(i+1)/2}) = 0.$$

Similarly, if j is a positive even integer, then applying e_j to both sides of (7) gives us

$$\varphi_2(e_{j/2}) = 0.$$

Since every positive integer n can be expressed as $n = (i+1)/2$ and $n = j/2$ where i is a positive odd integer and j is a positive even integer, we see that

$$\varphi_1(e_n) = \varphi_2(e_n) = 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$. Thus $\{\psi_o, \psi_e\}$ is linearly independent.

Next we show that $\text{span}\{\psi_o, \psi_e\} = A$. Let $\varphi \in A$ and define $\varphi_o: V \rightarrow V$ and $\varphi_e: V \rightarrow V$ be the unique linear maps such that

$$\varphi_o(e_n) = \varphi(e_{2n-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_e(e_n) = \varphi(e_{2n})$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then if n is a positive odd integer, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(e_n) &= \varphi_o(e_{(n+1)/2}) \\ &= \varphi_o(\psi_o(e_n)) \\ &= (\varphi_o \psi_o + \varphi_e \psi_e)(e_n), \end{aligned}$$

and if n is a positive even integer, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(e_n) &= \varphi_e(e_{n/2}) \\ &= \varphi_e(\psi_e(e_n)) \\ &= (\varphi_o \psi_o + \varphi_e \psi_e)(e_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varphi = \varphi_o \psi_o + \varphi_e \psi_e$ since they agree on the basis $\{e_n\}$. □

Problem 4

Lemma 0.1. *Let E an R -module. The following statements are equivalent;*

1. *E is an injective R -module;*
2. *Every short exact sequence of the form*

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0 \tag{8}$$

splits.

3. *If E is a submodule of an R -module M , then E is a direct summand of M .*

Proof. (2 \implies 1) Assume that any short exact sequence of the form (8) splits. This means, equivalently, that any injective R -linear map out of E splits. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be an injective R -linear map and let $\psi: M \rightarrow E$ be any R -linear map. We need to construct a map $\tilde{\psi}: N \rightarrow E$ such that $\tilde{\psi}\varphi = \psi$. To do this, consider the pushout module

$$E +_M N = (E \times N) / \{(\psi(u), -\varphi(u)) \mid u \in M\}$$

together its natural maps $\iota_1: E \rightarrow E +_M N$ and $\iota_2: N \rightarrow E +_M N$, given by

$$\iota_1(v) = [v, 0] \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_2(w) = [0, w]$$

for all $v \in E$ and $w \in N$ where $[v, w]$ denotes the equivalence class in $E +_M N$ with (v, w) as one of its representatives. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_1(\psi(u)) &= [\psi(u), 0] \\ &= [0, \varphi(u)] \\ &= \iota_2(\varphi(u)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in M$. Therefore, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_2 \\ E & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & E +_M N \end{array}$$

We claim that ι_1 is injective. Indeed, suppose $v \in \ker \iota_1$. Then $[v, 0] = [0, 0]$ implies if $(v, 0) = (\psi(u), -\varphi(u))$ for some $u \in M$. Then $\varphi(u) = 0$ implies $u = 0$ since φ is injective, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \psi(u) \\ &= \psi(0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus ι_1 is injective. Therefore by hypothesis the map $\iota_1: E \rightarrow E +_M N$ splits, say by $\lambda: E +_M N \rightarrow E$, where $\lambda\iota_1 = 1_E$. Finally, we obtain a map $\tilde{\psi}: N \rightarrow E$ by setting $\tilde{\psi} := \lambda\iota_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}\varphi &= \lambda\iota_2\varphi \\ &= \lambda\iota_1\psi \\ &= \psi, \end{aligned}$$

shows that $\tilde{\psi}$ has the desired property.

(1 \implies 2) Assume that E is an injective R -module. Let $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$ be an injective homomorphism. Since E is an injective R -module and since $1_E: E \rightarrow E$ is an injective R -module homomorphism, there exists an R -linear map $\tilde{\varphi}: M \rightarrow E$ such that $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \varphi = 1_E$. That is, $\tilde{\varphi}$ splits $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$.

(2 \implies 3) Assume that any short exact sequence of the form (8) splits. Let M be an R -module such that $E \subseteq M$. Then the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\iota} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M/E \longrightarrow 0$$

splits, where $\iota: E \rightarrow M$ denotes the inclusion map and $\pi: M \rightarrow M/E$ denotes the quotient map. Therefore we may choose a $\tilde{\pi}: M/E \rightarrow M$ such that $\pi\tilde{\pi} = 1_{M/E}$. We claim that

$$M = E \oplus \tilde{\pi}(M/E).$$

Indeed, they are both submodules of M . Furthermore, observe that we have $E \cap \tilde{\pi}(M/E) = \{0\}$. Indeed, suppose $u \in E \cap \tilde{\pi}(M/E)$. Then $u \in E$ implies $\pi(u) = 0$. Also $u \in \tilde{\pi}(M/E)$ implies $u = \tilde{\pi}(\bar{v})$ for some $\bar{v} \in M/E$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tilde{\pi}(0) \\ &= \tilde{\pi}\pi(u) \\ &= \tilde{\pi}\pi\tilde{\pi}(\bar{v}) \\ &= \tilde{\pi}(\bar{v}) \\ &= u. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, note that if $u \in M$, then we can write

$$u = u - \tilde{\pi}\pi(u) + \tilde{\pi}\pi(u),$$

where $\tilde{\pi}\pi(u) \in \tilde{\pi}(M/E)$ and where $u - \tilde{\pi}\pi(u) \in E$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(u - \tilde{\pi}\pi(u)) &= \pi(u) - \pi\tilde{\pi}\pi(u) \\ &= \pi(u) - \pi(u) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

implies $u - \tilde{\pi}\pi(u) \in \ker \pi = E$. This implies $M = E + \tilde{\pi}(M/E)$.

(3 \implies 2) Assume that E satisfies the property that if E is a submodule of an R -module M , then it must be a direct summand of M . We show that any short exact sequence of the form (8) splits by showing that any injective R -linear map out of E splits.

Step 1: Before we show that any injective R -linear map out of E splits, we need to show that if $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism of R -modules, then F satisfies the same property as E ; namely if N is an R -module such that $F \subseteq N$, then F is a direct summand of N . Let $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ be an isomorphism, let $\psi: F \rightarrow E$ denote its inverse, and let N be an R -module such that $F \subseteq N$. We define an R -module $\psi(N)$, where as a set we have

$$\psi(N) = E \cup \{\psi(v) \mid v \in N \setminus F\},$$

where $\psi(v)$ is understood to be a formal symbol if $v \in N \setminus F$ and is understood to be an element in E if $v \in F$. Here, E is *literally* a subset of $\psi(N)$. We extend the R -linear structure on E to an R -linear structure on $\psi(N)$ by defining addition and scalar multiplication by

$$\psi(v_1) + \psi(v_2) = \psi(v_1 + v_2) \quad \text{and} \quad a\psi(v) = \psi(av).$$

for all $v, v_1, v_2 \in N \setminus F$ and $a \in R$. Defining the R -linear structure on $\psi(N)$ in this way makes it so that $\psi: F \rightarrow E$ and $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ extends to an isomorphism $\psi: N \rightarrow \psi(N)$ with corresponding inverse $\varphi: \psi(N) \rightarrow N$.

With this construction in place, we see that E is *literally* a submodule of $\psi(N)$. Therefore $\psi(N)$ is an internal direct sum, say

$$\psi(N) = E \oplus K,$$

where K is another submodule of $\psi(N)$ such that $E \cap K = \{0\}$ and $E + K = \psi(N)$. Then since $\varphi: \psi(N) \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \varphi(E) \oplus \varphi(K) \\ &= F \oplus \varphi(K). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Now we will show that any injective R -linear map out of E splits. Let $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$ be any injective R -linear map. We claim that $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$ splits if and only if $\iota: \varphi(E) \rightarrow M$ splits, where ι denotes the inclusion map. Indeed, denote $\varphi^{-1}: E \rightarrow \varphi(E)$ to be the inverse of $\varphi: E \rightarrow \varphi(E)$. If $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$ splits, then there exists an R -linear map $\tilde{\varphi}: M \rightarrow E$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}\varphi = 1_E$. Then $\varphi\tilde{\varphi}: M \rightarrow \varphi(E)$ splits $\iota: \varphi(E) \rightarrow M$ since

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi\tilde{\varphi}\iota)(\varphi(u)) &= \varphi\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi(u)) \\ &= \varphi(\tilde{\varphi}\varphi(u)) \\ &= \varphi(u) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi(u) \in \varphi(E)$. Similarly, if $\iota: \varphi(E) \rightarrow M$ splits, then there exists an R -linear map $\tilde{\iota}: M \rightarrow \varphi(E)$ such that $\tilde{\iota}\iota = 1_{\varphi(E)}$. Then $\varphi^{-1}\tilde{\iota}: M \rightarrow E$ splits $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$ since

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi^{-1}\tilde{\iota}\varphi)(u) &= (\varphi^{-1}\tilde{\iota})(\varphi(u)) \\ &= (\varphi^{-1}\tilde{\iota})(\iota\varphi(u)) \\ &= (\varphi^{-1}\tilde{\iota}\iota)(\varphi(u)) \\ &= (\varphi^{-1})(\varphi(u)) \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in E$.

Thus, to show that $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$ splits, it suffices to show that $\iota: \varphi(E) \rightarrow M$ splits. In this case, $\varphi(E)$ is a submodule of M , and by step 1, we see that M is an internal direct sum, say

$$M = \varphi(E) \oplus K$$

for some R -module $K \subseteq M$. The projection map $\pi_1: M \rightarrow \varphi(E)$ is easily seen to split the inclusion map $\iota: \varphi(E) \rightarrow M$. \square