Identification of Vertebra Characteristics that Determine Different Mechanical Outcomes of Vertebroplasty

Gavin Day

Supervisors: Professor Ruth Wilcox & Dr Alison Jones

University of Leeds February 3, 2017

Contents

1	Hur	uman Tissue															4							
	1.1	Metho	ds																					4
		1.1.1	Potting																					4
		1.1.2	Loading																					4

List of Figures

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Human Tissue

1.1 Methods

1.1.1 Potting

The geometry of human lumbar vertebrae varies considerably to that of the bovine tail vertebrae from which this methodology is based. This is characterised by much larger posterior elements with the facets extending much lower, below the bottom of the vertebral body. Hence, to correctly pot the human vertebrae much more cement must be used, especially for the posterior end-cap, in order to cover the bottom of the vertebral body and the extending posterior elements. This means that much more of the posterior elements are constrained, therefore restricting the rotation of the vertebral body endplates under axial load. In addition to this the larger posterior elements which are captured within the PMMA end-caps will transmit load and take a greater share of the load when compared to the bovine tail vertebrae. Given that vertebroplasty attempts to restore the stiffness of the vertebral body and that there is no understanding of specifically how the loads are shared between the vertebral body and posterior element, this presents a problem.

A solution to this is to remove the posterior elements, following such methods as [1,2], where only the vertebral body is modelled. This allows the stiffness of the vertebral body alone to be captured and modelled. The posterior elements were removed by cutting through the pedicles at the narrowest part, limiting damage to the region.

To pot the specimens that now lack a spinal canal, a retort stand was used to hold the vertebra, ensuring that both endplates were level on average. The specimen was then lowered down into the potting container leaving 5 mm between the bottom of the vertebra and the container. PMMA was poured into the container until the entire of the endplate was touching cement, with the edges of the vertebral body covered. Care needed to be taken to ensure all of the endplate was in contact with cement, given the extent of osteophytes creating non-flat surfaces in some of the more degenerated specimens. The other side of the vertebra was potted in a similar manner, however, due to the constraints of the potting container a measured quantity of cement was poured prior to lowering the vertebra into it. A spirit level ensured parallel end-caps.

1.1.2 Loading

Following previous studies [1], the vertebrae were loaded with an initial maximum load of 800 N for similarly osteoporotic vertebrae.

Bibliography

- [1] V N Wijayathunga, a C Jones, R J Oakland, N R Furtado, R M Hall, and R K Wilcox. Development of specimen-specific finite element models of human vertebrae for the analysis of vertebroplasty. *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. H.*, 222(2):221–228, feb 2008.
- [2] K Robson Brown, S Tarsuslugil, V N Wijayathunga, and R K Wilcox. Comparative finiteelement analysis: a single computational modelling method can estimate the mechanical properties of porcine and human vertebrae. J. R. Soc. Interface, 11(95):20140186, jun 2014.