#### Disclaimer

This document is an exam summary that follows the slides of the *Reliable and Interpretable Artificial Intelligence* lecture at ETH Zurich. The contribution to this is a short summary that includes the most important concepts, formulas and algorithms. This summary was created during the fall semester 2020. Due to updates to the syllabus content, some material may no longer be relevant for future versions of the lecture. This work is published as CC BY-NC-SA.

## @**()**(\$)

I do not guarantee correctness or completeness, nor is this document endorsed by the lecturers. Feel free to point out any erratas. For the full LATEX source code, consider github.com/ymerkli/eth-summaries.

```
CDF: \Phi(v; \mu, \sigma^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{v} \mathcal{N}(y; \mu, \sigma^2) dy = \Phi(\frac{v - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2}}; 0, 1)
                                                                        TRADES defense:
Line through 2 points: (a, f(a)), (b, f(b)),
                                                                        \min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim D}[L(\theta, x, y) + \lambda \max_{x' \in \mathbb{B}_{\epsilon}(x)} L(\theta, x', f_{\theta}(x))]
a < b: l(x) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} \cdot x + f(a) - \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} \cdot a
                                                                         4 Certification of Neural Networks
Tangent at (t, f(t)):
                                                                         Given NN N, pre-condition \phi, post-condition \psi
t(x) = f'(t) \cdot x + f(t) - f'(t) \cdot t
                                                                         prove: \forall i \in I : i \models \phi \implies N(i) \models \psi or return a
                                                                         violation.
Subadditivity of \sqrt{\cdot}: \sqrt{x+y} \le \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}
                                                                         4.1 Incomplete Methods:
Cauchy Schwarz: \langle x, y \rangle \leq ||x||_2 \cdot ||y||_2
                                                                         Over-approx. \phi using relaxation, then push ap-
1.2 Abstract Interpretation
                                                                         prox. through NN via bound propagation.
Symbolic shape z semantically represents a set
                                                                        Box: \hat{x_i} = [l, u], i.e. l \leq x_i \leq u.
of concrete values x = \gamma(z). Concretization \gamma:
                                                                         [a,b] + [c,d] = [a+b,c+d]; - [a,b] =
defines the concrete values an abstract element
z represents. Concrete transformer F(\cdot) (F(x))
                                                                        ReLU^{\#}[a,b] = [ReLU(a), ReLU(b)];
generally uncomputable), abstract transformer
                                                                        \lambda \cdot^{\#} [a, b] = [\lambda a, \lambda b] (\lambda > 0)
F^{\#}, F^{\#}(z) applies abstract transformer to z.
                                                                         Zonotope: \hat{x_j} = a_0^j + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^j \epsilon_i, \forall j \in [d]
F^{\#} soundness: \forall z : F(\gamma(z)) \subseteq \gamma(F^{\#}(z))
                                                                        \epsilon_i \in [-1, 1] shared among d abstract neurons.
F^{\#} exactness: \forall z : F(\gamma(z)) = \gamma(F^{\#}(z))
                                                                        [\hat{x_1},..,\hat{x_d}] describes Zonotope \mathcal{Z} centered at
F_{best}^{\#} optimality: \forall z : \gamma(F^{\#}(z)) \not\subset \gamma(F_{best}^{\#}(z)).
                                                                                                                                                  5.1 DiffAl
                                                                        \vec{a}_0 = [a_0^1, ..., a_0^d].
2 Adversarial Attacks
                                                                        Centering: Flip X \in \mathcal{Z} around \vec{a}_0 to flipped point Y = 2\vec{a}_0 - X. Y \in \mathcal{Z} and |X - \vec{a}_0| =
T-FGSM: x' = x - \eta, \eta = \epsilon \cdot sign(\nabla_x loss_t(x))
U-FGSM: x' = x + \eta, \eta = \epsilon \cdot sign(\nabla_x loss_s(x))
                                                                         |Y - \vec{a}_0|. \mathcal{Z} is point-symmetric around \vec{a}_0.
Guarantees \eta \in [x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon], \eta not minimized.
                                                                         AT^{\#}: Affine # is exact
C&W: Find adv sample x' = x + \eta \in [0,1]^n
                                                                        - Affine#: (a_0^p + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^p \epsilon_i) + (a_0^q + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^q \epsilon_i) =
and minimize ||\eta||_p via relaxation s.t. f(x') = t.
                                                                        (a_0^p + a_0^q) + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i^p + a_i^q) \epsilon_i
obj_t: obj_t(x+\eta) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow f(x+\eta) = t.
                                                                        C \cdot (a_0^p + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^p \epsilon_i) = C \cdot a_0^p + \sum_{i=1}^k C \cdot a_i^p \epsilon_i
Minim. ||\eta||_p + c \cdot obj_t(x+\eta) s.t. x+\eta \in [0,1]^n
                                                                       - ReLU#(\hat{x}): 1) Box bounds \hat{x} = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \epsilon_i
E.g. obj_t = \{CE(x',t) - 1; max(0,0.5 - p_f(x')_t)\}
                                                                         \begin{array}{l} \in [l_x,u_x]. \ \mathbf{l_x}: \ \epsilon_i = -1 \ \text{if} \ a_i \geq 0, \ \epsilon_i = +1 \ \text{if} \\ a_i < 0. \ \mathbf{u_x}: \ \epsilon_i = +1 \ \text{if} \ a_i \geq 0, \ \epsilon_i = -1 \ \text{if} \ a_i < 0. \\ \mathbf{2}) \ u_x \leq 0: \ \hat{y} = 0; \ l_x > 0: \ \hat{y} = \hat{x}; \ l_x < 0, u_x > 0: \end{array} 
\nabla_{\eta} ||\eta||_p is suboptimal \to use proxy
l_{\infty}: proxy L(\eta) = \sum_{i} max(0, |\eta_{i}| - \tau). Itera-
tively decrease \tau until L(\eta) > 0. Then do GD
                                                                        \hat{y}(\hat{x}) = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} b_i \epsilon_i, \ b_0 = \lambda(a_0 - l_x/2),
on \eta: \eta = \eta - \gamma \nabla_{\eta} (L(\eta) + c \cdot obj_t(x + \eta)) until
                                                                        b_i = \lambda a_i, \forall i \in [\overline{1,k}], b_{k+1} = -\lambda l_x/2,
L(\eta) = 0, then anneal \tau and continue loop.
                                                                         with \lambda = u_x/(u_x - l_x)
Constraint \eta_i \in [-x_i, 1-x_i]: LBFGS-B, PGD
                                                                          \rightarrow error terms increase as NN depth increases!
PGD: Iterative FGSM with projection to find
                                                                         Note: there are many non-comparable ReLU
point in x_o \pm \epsilon that max. loss.
                                                                         abstract transformers for Zonotope. The one
1. Init x' = x + \epsilon \cdot rand[-1, 1];
                                                                         discussed here is optimal area-wise.
2. Repeat: x' \leftarrow x' + \epsilon_{step} \cdot sgn(\nabla_{x'}loss_s(x'))
                                                                         DeepPoly: For each x_i keep:
(untargeted) or x' \leftarrow
                                                     x' - \epsilon_{step}
                                                                          • interval constraints l_i \leq x_i, x_i \leq u_i
sgn(\nabla_{x'}loss_{t}(x'))
                               (targeted);
                                                      x'
                                                                         • relational constraints: a_i^{\leq} \leq x_i, x_i \leq a_i^{\geq}
project(x', x_o, \epsilon);
                                                                             where a_i^{\leq}, a_i^{\geq} are of the form \sum_i w_i \cdot x_i + \nu
Diffing Networks: find x that diffs 2 net-
                                                                        AT^{\#}: Affine ^{\#} is exact
                                                                        -Affine#: rel: \sum_i w_i^p \cdot x_j + \nu^p + \sum_i w_i^q \cdot x_j + \nu^q =
while (class(f_1(x)) = class(f_2(x))):
                                                                        \sum_{i} (w_i^p + w_i^q) \cdot x_i + (\nu^p + \nu^q);
   x = x + \epsilon \nabla_x obj_t(x); //obj_t(x) = f_1(x)_t - f_2(x)_t
```

3 Adversarial Defenses

 $\mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim D} \left| \max_{\mathbf{x'}\in S(\mathbf{x})} L(\theta, \mathbf{x'}, y) \right|,$ 

 $\arg \max_{x' \in S(x)} L(\theta, x', y), \forall (x, y) \in B$ 

 $S(x) = \{x' : ||x - x'||_{\infty} \le \epsilon\}$ PGD Defense in practice:

**Defense as Optimization:**  $\min_{\theta} \rho(\theta), \rho(\theta) =$ 

1. Select mini batch B from D 2.  $x_{max} =$ 

1 Basics

1.1 Good to know

 $||x||_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ 

CE loss:  $CE(\vec{z}, y) = -\sum_{c=1}^{K} \mathbb{1}[c = y] \cdot \log z_c$ 

Mean value:  $f(y) = f(x) + \nabla f(z)^T (y - x)$ 

Gauss:  $\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d |\Sigma|}} exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu))$ 

 $||x||_{\infty} = \max_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|$ 

the worst-case loss of  $x_i \in S_i$ . Weights of pre-Backsub: sum up all components! vious layers  $h_1, ..., h_i$  are frozen. -  $x_i = \text{ReLU}^{\#}(x_i)$ : interval constr.  $x_i \in [l_i, u_i]$ : min max  $L(h_D(h_{D-1}(...h_{i+1}(x_i))), y_{true})$  $u_i \le 0$ :  $a_i^{\le} = a_i^{\ge} = 0, l_i = u_i = 0$ ; 3.  $\theta' = \theta - \frac{1}{|B_{max}|} \sum_{(x_{max}, y) \in B_{max}} \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta, x_{max}, y) \ l_i \ge 0: \ a \le a \ge a \ge x_i, l_j = l_i, u_j = u_i;$ The inner maximization requires projections: projections on Zonotope solved via projection  $l_i < 0, u_i > 0$ :  $\lambda = u_i/(u_i - l_i)$ , on Box (via change of variables):  $\rightarrow \max_{x \in Z} \dot{L}(x, y_{true}) = \max_{\epsilon \in [-1, 1]^k} L(A \cdot \epsilon, y_{true})$  $\mathbf{u_i} \le -\mathbf{l_i} : a_i^{\le} = 0, \ a_i^{\ge} = \lambda x_i - \lambda l_i, \ x_i \in [0, u_i];$  $\mathbf{u_i} > -\mathbf{l_i} : a_i^{\leq} = x_i, a_i^{\geq} = \lambda x_i - \lambda l_i, x_i \in [l_i, u_i];$ Each  $x \in Z$  has a  $\epsilon \in [-1,1]^k$  s.t.  $x = A\epsilon$ . **Symbolic bound:** when proving  $y_2 > y_1$ , use Instead of projecting  $x' \notin Z$  onto Z, wriabstract shape of  $y_2 - y_1$  and prove  $l_{y_2-y_1} > 0$ te  $x' = A\epsilon'$  and project  $\epsilon'$  onto  $[-1,1]^k$  by 4.2 Complete Methods  $clip(\epsilon', -1, 1)$ . Encode NN as MILP instance. **6** Geometric Transformation Robustness - Affine: y = Wx + b direct MILP constraint. Many transformations preserve semantic mea-- ReLU(x):  $y \leq x - l_x \cdot (1 - a), y \geq x, y \leq u_x \cdot a$ ning of the original image while not being co $y \geq 0, a \in \{0, 1\}$ , for neuron bound  $x \in [l, u]$ . vered by a small  $l_p$  ball. • a = 0:  $y = 0, x \in [l, 0]$ Geom. transformation:  $T_{\kappa}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ . Ro-• a = 1:  $y = x, y \in [0, u]$ tation:  $T_{\phi}(x,y) = (x \cos \phi - y \sin \phi, x \sin \phi +$ -  $\phi = B_{\epsilon}^{\infty}(x)$ :  $x_i - \epsilon \le x_i' \le x_i + \epsilon, \forall i$  $y\cos\phi$ ). - precomp. Box bounds:  $l_i \leq x_i^p \leq u_i$ Translation:  $T_{\delta_x,\delta_y}(x,y) = (x + \delta_x, y + \delta_y).$ -  $\psi = o_0 > o_1$ : MILP objective min  $o_0 - o_1$ . If Scaling:  $T_{\lambda}(x,y) = (\lambda x, \lambda y)$  $\min o_0 - o_1 > 0, \ \psi \text{ holds.}$ 5 Certified Defenses Bilinear Interpolation:  $I: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  $I(x,y) = p_{x_1,y_1}(x_2-x)(y_2-y)$ **DiffAI** Certified PGD Defense: minimize  $+p_{x_1,y_2}(x_2-x)(y-y_1)+p_{x_2,y_1}(x-x_1)(y_2-y)$  $\rho(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim D} \left[ \max_{z \in \gamma(NN^{\#}(S(x)))} L(\theta, z, y) \right]$  $+ p_{x_2,y_2}(x-x_1)(y-y_1); \text{ where } x_1 \leq x \leq x_2,$  $y_1 \le y \le y_2$  and  $x_2 = x_1 + 1, y_2 = y_1 + 1$ Find output z in concretization  $\gamma(NN^{\#}(S(x)))$ of abstract NN output  $NN^{\#}(S(x))$  that maxi-Pixel value (x, y) after  $T_{\kappa}$  given by  $I_{\kappa} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ , mizes loss L. Can use any abstract transforwhere  $I_{\kappa}(x,y) = I \circ T_{\kappa}^{-1}(x,y)$ mer (Box, Zonotope, DeepPoly,...). Essentially 6.1 Certifying geometric robustness auto-differentiation of abstract interpretation. Convex relaxation  $C(R_{\Phi}(O))$  for exact region To find max loss, use abstract loss  $L^{\#}(\vec{z}, y)$ ,  $R_{\Phi}(O)$ . Use DeepPoly to get sound, tight lower where  $y = \text{target label}, \vec{z} = \text{vector of logits}$ : and upper constraints for each pixel:  $\mathbf{w_l}\kappa + b_l \leq I_{\kappa}(x,y) \leq \mathbf{w_u}\kappa + b_u, \ \forall \kappa \in D$ -  $L(z,y) = max_{q\neq y}(z_q - z_y)$ : Compute  $d_c =$  $z_c - z_v \forall c \in \mathcal{C}$  where  $\mathcal{C}$  set of classes and  $z_c$ Minimize Volumes via MC approx: the abstract logit shape of class i. Then com- $L(\mathbf{w}_1, b_l) = \int_{\kappa \in D} I_{\kappa}(x, y) - (\mathbf{w}_1^{\mathbf{T}} \kappa + b_l) d\kappa$ pute box bounds of  $d_c$  and compute max upper  $\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{\kappa^i} - (\mathbf{w_l^T} \kappa^i + b_l)$ bound:  $\max_{c \in \mathcal{C}} (\max(box(d_c)))$  $U(\mathbf{w_u}, b_u) = \int_{\kappa \in D} (\mathbf{w_u^T} \kappa + b_u) - I_{\kappa}(x, y) d\kappa$ - L(z,y) = CE(z,y): Compute box bounds  $[l_c, u_c]$  of logit shapes  $z_c$ .  $\forall c \in \mathcal{C}$  pick  $u_c$  if  $c \neq y$ ,  $\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{w_u^T} \kappa^i + b_u) - I_{\kappa^i}$ pick  $l_c$  if c = y. Then apply softmax to vector subject to finite set of constraints (LP solve)  $v = [u_0, u_1, ..., l_c, ..., u_{|C|}]$  and compute CE(v', y) $\mathbf{w_i^T} \kappa^i + b_l \le I_{\kappa^i}(x, y) \le \mathbf{w_i^T} \kappa^i + b_u, \forall i \in 1, ..., N$ with v' = softmax(v). obtain over-approximations Cheap relaxations (box) scale but introduce lots of infeasible points: substantial drop in  $\hat{w}_l, \hat{b}_l, \hat{w}_u, \hat{b}_u$ standard accuracy. BUT, more precise relaxati-Problem: This is only sound at the finite samons (Zonotope) do not actually bring better reple points  $\kappa_i$ . Instead, find upper bounds  $\delta_l$ ,  $\delta_n$ sults in provability. Hypothesis: more complex on the maximum soundness violation on entire abstractions lead to more difficult optimization problems.  $\rightarrow$  bridge the gap between adversalb:  $(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{l}^{\mathbf{T}}\kappa + \hat{b}_{l}) - I_{\kappa}(x,y) \leq \delta_{l}, \forall \kappa \in D$ rial training and certified defenses.. ub:  $I_{\kappa}(x,y) - (\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}}\kappa + \hat{b}_{u}) \leq \delta_{u}, \forall \kappa \in D$ 

**COLT:** PGD training with intermediate NN

layer shapes  $S_i$ . Iterate over layers  $h_i$  and find

weights  $\hat{\theta}$  for layers  $h_{i+1},..,h_D$  that minimize

int: backsubstitution up to some layer. Then

replace neurons of that layer with its cor-

rect interval constraint (like in Zonotope Box

bounds).

 $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{1}^{\mathbf{T}}\kappa + \hat{b}_{l} > I_{\kappa}(x,y)$ , similarly for ub)

Then, constraints  $\mathbf{w}_l = \hat{\mathbf{w}}_l, b_l = b_l - \delta_l$ ,

 $\mathbf{w_u} = \hat{\mathbf{w}_u}, b_u = \hat{b}_u + \delta_u$  are sound. Bounding max. violation: compute upper

bounds on  $f_l(\kappa) = (\hat{\mathbf{w}}_l^T \kappa + \hat{b}_l) - I_{\kappa}(x, y),$ 

 $f_u(\kappa) = I_{\kappa}(x, y) - (\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \kappa + \hat{b}_u)$ • Run box propagation to obtain l, u s.t.  $f(\kappa) \in [l, u] \to f(\kappa) \le u, \forall \kappa \in D$ 

• Mean-value theorem, Lipschitz continuity:  $f(\kappa) = f(\kappa_c) + \nabla f(\kappa')^T (\kappa - \kappa_c) \le f(\kappa_c) +$  $|L|^T(\kappa - \kappa_c) \le f(\frac{1}{2}(h_u + h_l)) + \frac{1}{2}|L|^T(h_u - h_l)$ 

with  $|\partial_i f(\kappa')| \leq |L_i|, \forall \kappa' \in D, D = [h_l, h_u].$ Bound L on  $\nabla f(\kappa')$ ? propagate hyperrectangle D through partial derivative  $\partial_i f(\kappa')$  using some convex relaxation.

In practice: branch D into multiple hyperrectangles and bound each separately. 7 Logic and Deep Learning (DL2)

### 7.1 Querying Neural Networks

Use standard logic  $(\forall, \exists, \land, \lor, f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n, ...)$ and high-level queries to impose constraints.

$$(class(NN(i)) = 9) = \bigwedge_{j=1, j\neq 9}^{\kappa} NN(i)[j] < NN(i)[9]$$

Use translation T of logical formulas into differentiable loss function  $T(\phi)$  to be solved with gradient-based optimization to minimize  $T(\phi)$ . **Theorem**:  $\forall x, T(\phi)(x) = 0 \iff x \vDash \phi$ 

Logical Formula to Loss:

Logical Term	Translation
$t_1 \le t_2$	$\max(0, t_1 - t_2)$
$t_1 \neq t_2$	$[t_1 = t_2]$
$t_1 = t_2$	$T(t_1 \le t_2 \land t_2 \le t_1)$
$t_1 < t_2$	$T(t_1 \le t_2 \land t_1 \ne t_2)$
$\phi \lor \psi$	$T(\phi) \cdot T(\psi)$
$\phi \wedge \psi$	$T(\phi) + T(\psi)$
1	1/0//// > 0 /

Translation is recursive and  $T(\phi)(x) \geq 0, \forall x, \phi$ Box constraints: ineffective in GD. Use L-BFGS-B and give box constraints to optimizer.

7.2 Training NN with Background Knowledge Incorporate logical property  $\phi$  in NN training. **Problem statement:** find  $\theta$  that maximizes the expected value of property.

Maximize  $\rho(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim D} |\forall z. \phi(z, s, \theta)|$ . BUT: Universal quantifiers are difficult.

**Reformulation:** get the worst violation of  $\phi$ and find  $\theta$  that minimizes its effect. minimize  $\rho(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim D} \left[ T(\phi)(z_{worst}, s, \theta) \right]$ 

where  $z_{worst} = \arg\min_{z} T(\neg \phi)(z, s, \theta)$ In practice, restrict z to a convex set with efficient projections (closed form). One can then remove the constraint from  $\phi$  that restricts z on the convex set and do PGD while projecting z onto the convex set.

#### (Intuition: if $(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{l}^{\mathbf{T}}\kappa + \hat{b}_{l})$ is unsound, then $\exists \kappa$ : 8 Randomized Smoothing for Robustness Construct a classifier $\mathbf{g}$ from a classifier $\mathbf{f}$ s.t. $\mathbf{g}$

has certain statistical robustness guarantees. Given base classifier  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{Y}$ , construct smoothed classifier q (where  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ ):  $g(x) := \arg \max_{c \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbb{P}_{\epsilon}(f(x + \epsilon) = c)$ 

Robustness Guarantee: suppose  $c_A \in \mathcal{Y}$ (most likely class),  $p_A, \overline{p_B} \in [0,1]$  satisfy:  $\mathbb{P}_{\epsilon}(f(x+\epsilon)=c_A)\geq p_A\geq \overline{p_B}\geq$ 

 $\geq \max \mathbb{P}_{\epsilon}(f(x+\epsilon) = c)$ with  $p_A$  a lower bound on the true highest

probability and  $\overline{p_B}$  an upper bound on the true second highest probability. In practice, get bounds via sampling which gives statisti-Then:  $g(x + \delta) = c_A$ , for all  $||\delta||_2 < R$ ,

 $R := \frac{\sigma}{2}(\phi^{-1}(p_A) - \phi^{-1}(\overline{p_B})) \ge 0$  with  $\phi^{-1}$  the inverse Gaussian CDF. Certified radius R depends on input x since  $p_A, \overline{p_B}$  depend on x. Notes on CDF: If  $x \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), p \in [0,1]$ .

notone, i.e. for  $p_A \geq \overline{p_B}$ ,  $\phi^{-1}(p_A) \geq \phi^{-1}(\overline{p_B})$  $\phi^{-1}(p) = -\phi^{-1}(1-p), p \in [0,1]$ Certified Accuracy: Pick target radius T and count #test points whose certified radius is  $R \geq T$  and where the predicted  $c_A$  matches

then  $\phi^{-1}(p) = \nu$  s.t.  $\mathbb{P}_x(x \le \nu) = p. \ \phi^{-1}$  is mo-

the test set label. Standard Accuracy: Instantiate certified accuracy with T = 0

# 8.1 Certification Procedure

function CERTIFY(f, $\sigma$ ,x, $n_0$ ,n, $\alpha$ ) counts0  $\leftarrow$  SampleUnderNoise(f,x, $n_0,\sigma$ )  $\hat{c}_A \leftarrow \text{top index in counts}0$ counts  $\leftarrow$  SampleUnderNoise(f,x,n, $\sigma$ )  $p_a \leftarrow \text{LowerConfBound}(\text{counts}[\hat{c}_A], \text{n}, 1-\alpha)$ if  $p_a > \frac{1}{2}$ : return prediction  $\hat{c}_A$ , radius  $\sigma\phi^{-1}(p_A)$ else: return ABSTAIN

Notes: -  $\hat{c}_A$  is not necessarily the correct test set label

- Sample  $2 \times (n \gg n_0)$ : prevents selection bias. - SampleUnderNoise evaluates f at  $x + \epsilon_i$  for

 $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , returns dict of class counts. - LowerConfBound returns probability  $p_l$  s.t.  $p_l \leq p$  with probability  $1-\alpha$ , assuming  $k \sim$ Binomial(n, p) for unknown p. -  $p_A > \frac{1}{2}$  ensures  $\overline{p_B} < \frac{1}{2}$ , thus  $p_A \leq \overline{p_B}$ 

- With probability at least  $1-\alpha$ , if CERTI-FY returns class  $\hat{c}_A$  and radius  $R = \sigma \phi^{-1}(p_A)$ , then  $g(x + \delta) = \hat{c}_A$  for all  $||\delta|| < R$ .

- To increase R, need to increase  $p_A$ . To increase  $p_A$ , get f to classify more noisy points to  $\hat{c}_A$ . Increasing the #samples only slowly grows R.

8.2 Inference **fuction** PREDICT(f, $\sigma$ ,x,n, $\alpha$ )

return  $\hat{c}_A$ 

else: return ABSTAIN

Certificate: Let  $z = f\theta(x)$ ,  $S_{\phi}(x) = \{x' \in$  $\mathbb{R}^d \mid \phi(x, x') \}$  and  $\epsilon = \max_{x' \in S_{\phi}(x)} ||z|$ 

 $\forall x' \in S_{\phi}(x), \ M(x) = M(x')$ 

9.3 Group Fairness

Equality of opportunity:  $h(X) \perp \!\!\!\perp A|Y=1$ 

 $1|A = 0, Y = 1) - \mathbb{P}(h(X) = 1|A = 1, Y = 1)|$ 

10.1 Training

Private  $\{x_i^k, y_i^k\} \sim \mathcal{D}$ 

Aggregate:  $g_c \leftarrow \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} g_k \Theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \Theta_t - \gamma g_c$ 

**FedAvg**: for each local epoch  $i, \Theta_{t+1}^k \leftarrow \Theta_{t+1}^k$ 

Notes: - Null hypothesis: true probability of success of f returning  $\hat{c}_A$  is q = 0.5

 $counts \leftarrow SampleUnderNoise(f,x,n,\sigma)$ 

 $\hat{c}_A, \hat{c}_B \leftarrow \text{top two indices from counts}$ 

if BinomPValue $(n_A, n_A + n_B, 0.5) \leq \alpha$ :

 $n_A, n_B \leftarrow \text{counts}[\hat{c}_A], \text{counts}[\hat{c}_B]$ 

- BinomPValue returns p-value of null hypothesis, evaluated on n iid samples with i successes.

- Accept null hypothesis if p-value is  $> \alpha$ - Reject null hypothesis if p-value is  $\leq \alpha$ -  $\alpha$  small: often accept null hypothesis and AB-

STAIN, but more confident in predictions. -  $\alpha$  large: more predictions but more mistakes.

- Can prove that: PREDICT returns wrong class  $\hat{c}_A \neq c_A$  with probability at most  $\alpha$ 

#### 8.3 Generalizing Smoothing Base classifier $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{Y}$ , image transforma-

tion  $\psi_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ , construct smoothed classi $g(x) := \arg \max_{c \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbb{P}_{\epsilon}(f(\psi_{\epsilon}(x)) = c)$ where  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$  and requiring composition  $\psi_{\alpha}(\psi_{\beta}) = \psi_{\alpha+\beta}$ .  $\psi$  is instantiated to geometric transformations.

# 9 Fairness

9.1 Enforcing Individual Fairness in Training  $\phi(x,x') \equiv x \sim x' \ \phi(x,x') \Rightarrow M(x) = M(x')$  by some defn. of  $\phi$ Goal:

 $\max E_{x \sim D}[\forall x' \in S_{\phi}(x) : \mu(M(x), M(x'))], \text{ whe-}$ re  $M = h_{\psi} \circ f_{\theta}$ . Constraint on  $f_{\theta} : \psi(x, x') \Rightarrow$  $||f_{\theta}(x) - f_{\theta}(x')|| \leq \delta$ , Train using DL2&PGD:  $x^* = \arg\min_{x' \in S_{\sigma}(x)} L(\neg(\phi \Rightarrow \omega))(x, x')$ 

### 9.2 Certifying Fairness

 $|f_{\theta}(x')||_{\infty}$ . Then  $\forall y$  .  $y \neq y = 0$  $(fg)' = f'g + fg'; (f/g)' = (f'g - fg')/g^2$  $M(x), \max_{x' \in \mathbb{B}_{\infty}(z,\epsilon)} h_{\psi}^{(y')}(z') - h_{\psi}^{(y)}(z') < 0 \Rightarrow$ 

Data  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{Y})$ , classifier  $h: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ Equalized odds:  $h(X) \perp \!\!\!\perp A|Y$ 

Fairness deviation:  $\mathcal{D}^{EOp}(h) = |\mathbb{P}(h(X))|$ 

10 Federated Learning

FedSGD:  $g_k \leftarrow \nabla_{\Theta_t} \mathcal{L}(f_{\Theta_t}(x_i^k), y_i^k)$ 

 $\gamma \nabla_{\Theta_t} \mathcal{L}(f_{\Theta_t}(x_i^k), y_i^k)$ Aggregate:  $\Theta_{t+1} \to \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{n_k}{n} \Theta_{t+1}^k$ 10.2 Attacks Gradient inversion, find  $(x_i^{\star}, y_i^{\star})$ :  $g(\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}(f_{\Theta}(x_i), y_i), f_{\Theta}) = \arg\min_{(x^*, y^*)} ||\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}(f_{\Theta}(x_i), y_i)|| = \arg\max_{(x^*, y^*)} ||\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}(f_{\Theta}(x_i), y_i)||$  $\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}(f_{\Theta}(x_i^{\star}), y_i^{\star})||_{p} + \mathcal{R}(x_i^{\star})$ 

10.3 Differential Privacy  $\Pr[M[\mathcal{D}] \in \mathcal{S}] \le \exp[\epsilon] \Pr[M[\mathcal{D}'] \in \mathcal{S}] + \delta$ **DP-SGD**: clip clients gradients and add noise  $\min(\frac{C}{||\nabla_{\Theta}\mathcal{L}(f_{\Theta}(x_i),y_i)||},1)$  $\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}(f_{\Theta}(x_i), y_i) + \epsilon_i \text{ with } \epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 C^2 I)$ 

11 Appendix Normballs:  $\mathbb{B}^1_{\epsilon} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^2_{\epsilon} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\epsilon} \quad \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\epsilon} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^1_{\epsilon \cdot d}$  $\mathbb{B}_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{\epsilon \cdot \sqrt{d}}^2 \quad \mathbb{B}_{\epsilon}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{\epsilon \cdot \sqrt{d}}^{\bar{1}}$ **Jensen:** g convex:  $g(E[X]) \le E[g(X)]$ 

g concave (e.g. log):  $g(E[X]) \geq E[g(X)]$ Bayes:  $P(X|Y) = \frac{P(X,Y)}{P(Y)} = \frac{P(Y|X)P(X)}{P(Y)}$ Inv:  $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$ 

Variance & Covariance  $\mathbb{V}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$  $\mathbb{V}(X+Y) = \mathbb{V}(X) + \mathbb{V}(Y) + 2Cov(X,Y)$  $\mathbb{V}(AX) = A\mathbb{V}(X)A^T, \mathbb{V}[\alpha X] = \alpha^2\mathbb{V}[X]$  $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]$ 

Distributions  $\operatorname{Exp}(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \operatorname{Ber}(x|\theta) = \theta^x (1-\theta)^{(1-x)}$ Sigmoid:  $\sigma(x) = 1/(1 + e^{-x})$ 

 $a\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) + \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) = \mathcal{N}(a\mu_1 + \mu_2, a^2\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$ 

Chebyshev & Consistency  $\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge \epsilon) \le \frac{\mathbb{V}[X]}{\epsilon^2}$ 

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|\hat{\mu} - \mu| > \epsilon) = 0$ Cramer Rao lower bound

 $\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\theta}] \geq \mathcal{I}_n(\theta)^{-1}, \, \mathcal{I}_n(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log p[\mathcal{X}_n|\theta]}{\partial \theta^2}\right],$  $\hat{\theta}$  unbiased. Efficiency of  $\hat{\theta}$ :  $e(\theta_n) = \frac{1}{\text{Var}[\hat{\theta}_n] T_n(\theta)}$ 

 $e(\theta_n) = 1$  (efficient)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e(\theta_n) = 1$  (asymp. efficient)

 $f(g(x))' = f'(g(x))g'(x); \log(x)' = 1/x$  $\partial_x \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x} = \partial_x \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}, \ \partial_x \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} = \partial_x ||\mathbf{x}||_2^2 = 2\mathbf{x},$  $\partial_x \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{A}^{\top} + \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{x}, \ \partial_x (\mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{b},$ 

 $\partial_X(\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{b}^{\top}, \ \partial_X(\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}^{\top},$  $\partial_x(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2) = \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2}, \ \partial_X(\|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2) = 2\mathbf{X},$ 

 $|\partial_x||\mathbf{x}||_1 = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|}, \ \partial_x||\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||_2^2 = 2(\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{b}),$ 

MILP encodings y = |x|, l < x < u: y > x, y > -x,

 $y \le -x + a \cdot 2u, y \le x - (1 - a) \cdot 2l, a \in \{0, 1\}$  $y = \max(x_1, x_2), l_1 \le x_1 \le u_1, l_2 \le x_2 \le u_2$ :

 $y \ge x_1, y \ge x_2, y \le x_1 + a \cdot (u_2 - l_1),$  $y \le x_2 + (1-a) \cdot (u_1 - l_2), a \in \{0, 1\}$