

Repetita NON iuvant!

Case Report Forms (CRF) should be carefully designed to streamline data acquisition and avoid repetition. More isn't always better, sometimes it's just more (work).

Rapidly designed data capture in a rapidly evolving global pandemic, lessons to be learnt



Simon Bond



BACKGROUND

With the emergence of a global pandemic we rapidly set up a platform trial to evaluate whether specific immunomodulatory interventions could reduce the composite of progression of patients with COVID-19-related disease to organ failure or death. Understandably the trial was clinically-driven but the consequently rapidly-designed CRF presented with major challenges in the collection, management, and quality control of the data. This impacted adversely the speed of the analysis and ultimately the dissemination of results. Data management and/or statistics' input would have been largely beneficial at the design stage with a focus to rationalise and streamline data capture.

Primary endpoint

Time to incidence (up to and including day 14) of any of the following events, whichever comes first:

MECHANICAL VENTILATION CV ORGAN SUPPORT RENAL FAILURE DISCHARGE **WITHDRAWAL**

CRF design & data acquisition

66 different CRF forms were used in the trial. Of these, 11 contained 18 different variables of 3 types (binary, categorical and dates) needed to determine the primary endpoint. Scheduled forms were filled at each visit, while unscheduled forms were filled only when needed/relevant.

Participant Status	Binary (Yes/No)		
Respiration, Cardiac and Renal Status	4 x Binary (Yes/No)	pəl	
7-Point Ordinal Scale	Categorical (7 levels)	edu	
Treatment Cessation Criteria	Binary (Yes/No)	Sch	
Consent Withdrawal	Binary (Yes/No)		
Death Form	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)		
Treatment Cessation Form	Categorical (10 levels) + Date	ed	
Consent Withdrawal Form	Categorical (2 levels) + Date	edul	
End of Trial Participation Form	2 x Categorical (18 & 6 levels) + Date	nsche	
Adverse Events of Special Interest	Categorical (7 levels) + Date	Un	
Serious Adverse Events	Categorical (6 levels) + Date		

The statistical analysis treated the composite of primary events and discharge as competing risks. Discharge was taken to mean "discharge from hospital". Withdrawal before day 14 or LTFU patients were right-censored at the time of their last completed scheduled visit.

Visit schedule

	SCREENING	
/	BASELINE	
	D1	
	RANDOMISATION	
	D2	
	D3	
/	D4	
	D5	
/	D6	
/	D7	
/	D8	
\	D9	
	D10	
	D11	
	D12	
\	D13	
	D14	
	DISCHARGE	
\ \ \	FOLLOW-UP D28	
, , ,	FOLLOW-UP D90	



PROPOSED

ACTUAL



CRF pages



scheduled visits



OF DATA NECESSARY TO DETERMINE THE PRIMARY ENDPOINT

DON'T DUPLICATE DATA SOURCES

takes time & effort



variables

scheduled visits

unscheduled visits

COLLECT DATA WITH CLEAR PURPOSE clearly identify and group separate CRF forms used for efficacy, safety or patient disposition cross-validating different CRFs

KEEP VARIABLES SIMPLE & USE A CLEAR CRF LAYOUT keep variables on the same page

CLARIFY TERMINOLOGY i.e. "Discharge from hospital", don't confuse events & visit names

