**Udacity – Machine Learning Nanodegree**

**Capstone Project Report – Instacart Market Basket Analysis**

1. **Definition**
   1. ***Project Overview***

Instacart is a same-day grocery delivery startup offering delivery in as little as one hour from a variety of local stores. Focused on delivering groceries and home essentials, Instacart already has over 500,000 items from local stores in its catalog. Customers can choose from a variety of local stores and can mix items from multiple stores into one order. This makes Instacart a unique player in e-commerce space where it combines online ordering experience and aggregates real world shoppers to procure and deliver the items.  The Instacart business model is really unique as the app enables customers to browse all the items available at local grocery stores and hence it is a mix of the online experience offered by Amazon, the In-Store delivery model, similar to most retail stores and same day delivery with crowd-sourced delivery mechanisms, somewhat similar to Uber's model of crowd-sourcing transportation. This also gives access to shopping data aggregated across retail stores across the country, which isn't there with anyone else and thus introducing problems which are unique.

In this project I am trying to solve some of the daily challenges faced by the data team at Instacart. I need to analyze the order history (in millions) of Instacart customers for thousands of products being sold through the Instacart platform, to predict what would be the next product that a customer could be buying. The dataset is obtained from kaggle.com at this link - <https://www.kaggle.com/c/instacart-marketbasket-analysis/data>

The data is divided into multiple relational csv files detailing the products, categories and customer orders over time. There are about 3 million orders from more than 200,000 users. The data dictionary with all the csv files and fields in them is described at the following link: <https://gist.github.com/jeremystan/c3b39d947d9b88b3ccff3147dbcf6c6b>

The data set essentially consists of orders file with information about all the orders in the data set (about 3 million rows), each order could be classified as prior (for prior orders by a user), train (for training set orders which are ) and eval (evaluation set for submission to Kaggle). There are a couple of files orders\_products\_train (with more than 1 million rows) and orders\_products\_prior (with more than 30 million rows) detailing what all products are associated with each order part of the orders data file. There are three more files specifying products, aisles and categories separately. Given the computation challenge posed by the amount of data provided to work on this project, I’ve tried my best to use a combination of advanced hardware in AWS and software techniques to work out a reasonable solution at a low cost.

* 1. ***Problem Statement***

As quoted in the problem description section "*In this competition, Instacart is challenging the Kaggle community to use this anonymized data on customer orders over time to predict which previously purchased products will be in a user’s next order, or the products they'll try for the first time or add to cart during next session.*" The inputs for this problem will consist of features provided in the training data set which include order history per customer and what all products were part of the order. As a first step for working towards a solution I performed some exploratory data analysis along with preprocessing on the data if required. The strategy adopted for this project is to use the existing data provided to evaluate a couple of benchmark algorithms such as SVM and Random Forest and finally use one of the highly popular classification algorithms XGBoost and/or LightGBM (from Microsoft) and see the improvement in evaluation metric. If required I also considered engineering features based on the features that are already provided and reassess if the evaluation metric could be improved, before making a final recommendation as the algorithm of choice.

* 1. ***Metrics***

We can choose from multiple metrics but given that this can be treated as a classification problem we can use related measures such as Accuracy and F-Scores.  The F-Score defined by the following formula,

F1 = 2 \* (precision \* recall) / (precision + recall)

factors in both precision and recall to evaluate the performance of an algorithm and hence it is an appropriate choice for a metric for this project.

1. **Analysis**
   1. **Data Exploration**

Exploring the data to understand the input space and identify any anomalies is the first important step. The data set consists of five csv files. Here are my observations as I studied their structure correlating with the description provided by Instacart.

* + - * orders.csv – has the following structure and fields. Some of the important features that could be considered are order\_dow (day of week), order\_hour\_of\_day and days\_since\_prior\_order (representing difference between successive orders for a specific user identified by a user\_id field).

|  |
| --- |
|  |

eval\_set is another important field which differentiates a record among training, testing and prior as shown below. The test portion of the data set is used in the Kaggle competition. In this project I’ll be using prior as training data and train data as test data.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Prior represents all the historic orders  Test is used for Kaggle evaluation  Train is used for training purposes but since it has information about product reordered for the given orders hence I’ll be using it for testing purpose. |

* + - * order\_products\_train.csv, order\_products\_prior.csv

Both the files, order\_products\_train.csv and order\_products\_prior.csv have a similar structure as shown above. Although \_prior file has more than 33 million records detailing the 3.2 million orders in the orders.csv with product details and an important feature ‘reordered’ which is actually the target field for prediction. The \_train file has about 1.3 million records showing the details of a customer’s last order with product details and reordered field value as 1 or 0. Another significant feature is add\_to\_cart\_order which shows the order of putting the products in the shopping cart.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

* + - * products.csv

This file has information about all the products in the Instacart catalog. There are about 49,688 products in the catalog. The data set also shows the aisle and department to which a product belongs. The sample structure of the file is:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

* + - * aisles.csv – The aisle file has about 130 records for aisle\_id and the aisle name
      * departments.csv – The departments file has 21 records with an id and name of the department.

If a dataset is present, features and calculated statistics relevant to the problem have been reported and discussed, along with a sampling of the data. In lieu of a dataset, a thorough description of the input space or input data has been made. Abnormalities or characteristics about the data or input that need to be addressed have been identified.

* 1. **Exploratory Visualizations**

Following is a series of visualizations along with a brief description for specific features readily provided with the data set

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Orders by day of the week, where 0 represents Sunday  . A visualization has been provided that summarizes or extracts a relevant characteristic or feature about the dataset or input data with thorough discussion. Visual cues are clearly defined. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

* 1. **Algorithms and Techniques**
     + I have used Support Vector Machines, Random Forest Classifier and Microsoft’s LightGBM, initially with the feature set that was provided with the data set. Later I’ve tried to engineer more features to enhance the model performance and done a GridSearchCV cross-validation on a parameter set for each algorithm to get the best features along with the best parameters for the optimal performing algorithm. Below are some of the reasons which I considered to chose the algorithms I’ve used for this project:
     + Support Vector Machine -

1. Algorithms and techniques used in the project are thoroughly discussed and properly justified based on the characteristics of the problem.

* 1. **Benchmark**
     1. Student clearly defines a benchmark result or threshold for comparing performances of solutions obtained.
     2. brief

1. **Methodology**
   1. **Data Preprocessing**
      1. All preprocessing steps have been clearly documented. Abnormalities or characteristics about the data or input that needed to be addressed have been corrected. If no data preprocessing is necessary, it has been clearly justified.
      2. brief
   2. **Implementation**
      1. The process for which metrics, algorithms, and techniques were implemented with the given datasets or input data has been thoroughly documented. Complications that occurred during the coding process are discussed.
      2. brief
   3. **Refinement**
      1. The process of improving upon the algorithms and techniques used is clearly documented. Both the initial and final solutions are reported, along with intermediate solutions, if necessary.
      2. brief
2. **Results**
   1. **Model Evaluation and Validation**
      1. The final model’s qualities — such as parameters — are evaluated in detail. Some type of analysis is used to validate the robustness of the model’s solution.
      2. brief
   2. **Justification**
      1. The final results are compared to the benchmark result or threshold with some type of statistical analysis. Justification is made as to whether the final model and solution is significant enough to have adequately solved the problem.
      2. brief
3. **Conclusion**
   1. **Free-Form Visualization**
      1. A visualization has been provided that emphasizes an important quality about the project with thorough discussion. Visual cues are clearly defined.
      2. brief
   2. **Reflection**
      1. Student adequately summarizes the end-to-end problem solution and discusses one or two particular aspects of the project they found interesting or difficult.
      2. brief
   3. **Improvement**
      1. Discussion is made as to how one aspect of the implementation could be improved. Potential solutions resulting from these improvements are considered and compared/contrasted to the current solution.
      2. brief
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