



## Sequential processing of measurements

- The standard Kalman filter is an efficient recursive algorithm.
- However, under some conditions, we can improve its speed by rewriting some of its equations.
- One of the computationally intensive operations in the Kalman filter is the matrix inverse operation in Step 2a:  $L_k = \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k}^{-1} C_k^T \Sigma_{\tilde{z},k}^{-1}$ .
- Inverting  $\Sigma_{\tilde{z},k}^{-1}$  via Gaussian elimination (the most straightforward approach), it is an  $\mathcal{O}(m^3)$  operation, where  $m$  is the dimension of the measurement vector.
- If there is a single sensor, this matrix inverse becomes a scalar division, which is an  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  operation—very fast; otherwise it is slow.
- Therefore, if we can break the  $m$  measurements into  $m$  single-sensor measurements and update the Kalman filter that way, there is opportunity for significant computational savings.



## Start by assuming $v_k$ are uncorrelated

- We start by assuming that the sensor measurements are uncorrelated. This implies that:

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{v}} = \text{diag} \left[ \sigma_{\tilde{v}_1}^2, \dots, \sigma_{\tilde{v}_m}^2 \right].$$

- We will use colon “:” notation to refer to the measurement number. For example,  $z_{k:1}$  is the measurement from sensor 1 at time  $k$ .
- Then, the measurement is

$$z_k = \begin{bmatrix} z_{k:1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{k:m} \end{bmatrix} = C_k x_k + v_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_{k:1}^T x_k + v_{k:1} \\ \vdots \\ C_{k:m}^T x_k + v_{k:m} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $C_{k:1}^T$  is the first row of  $C_k$  (for example), and  $v_{k:1}$  is the sensor noise of the first sensor at time  $k$ , for example.



## The $i$ th gain matrix

- We will consider  $z_k$  to be sequence of scalar measurements  $z_{k:1} \dots z_{k:m}$  and update the state estimate and covariance estimates in  $m$  steps.
- We initialize the measurement update process with  $\hat{x}_{k:0}^+ = \hat{x}_k^-$  and  $\Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:0}^+ = \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k}^-$ .
- Consider the measurement update for the  $i$ th measurement,  $z_{k:i}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_{k:i}^+ &= \mathbb{E}[x_k | \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}, z_{k:1} \dots z_{k:i}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[x_k | \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}, z_{k:1} \dots z_{k:i-1}] + L_{k:i} (z_{k:i} - \mathbb{E}[z_{k:i} | \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}, z_{k:1} \dots z_{k:i-1}]) \\ &= \hat{x}_{k:i-1}^+ + L_{k:i} (z_{k:i} - C_{k:i}^T \hat{x}_{k:i-1}^+). \end{aligned}$$

- Generalizing from before:

$$L_{k:i} = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_{k:i-1}^+ \tilde{z}_{k:i}^T] \Sigma_{\tilde{z},k:i}^{-1}.$$



## State and error-covariance update

- Next, we recognize that the variance of the innovation corresponding to measurement  $z_{k:i}$  is:

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{z}_{k:i}} = \sigma_{\tilde{z}_{k:i}}^2 = C_{k:i}^T \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+ C_{k:i} + \sigma_{\tilde{v}_i}^2.$$

- The corresponding gain is  $L_{k:i} = \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+ C_{k:i} / \sigma_{\tilde{z}_{k:i}}^2$ , and the updated state is:

$$\hat{x}_{k:i}^+ = \hat{x}_{k:i-1}^+ + L_{k:i} [z_{k:i} - C_{k:i}^T \hat{x}_{k:i-1}^+]$$

having covariance:  $\Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i}^+ = \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+ - L_{k:i} C_{k:i}^T \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+.$

- The covariance update can be implemented as:

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i}^+ = \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+ - \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+ C_{k:i} C_{k:i}^T \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+ / (C_{k:i}^T \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+ C_{k:i} + \sigma_{\tilde{v}_i}^2).$$

- The final measurement update gives  $\hat{x}_k^+ = \hat{x}_{k:m}^+$  and  $\Sigma_{\tilde{x},k}^+ = \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:m}^+.$



## Sequentially processing correlated measurements

- This process must be modified to accommodate situations where sensor noise is correlated among the measurements.
- Assume that we can factor the matrix  $\Sigma_{\tilde{v}} = S_v S_v^T$ , where  $S_v$  is a lower-triangular matrix (for symmetric positive-definite  $\Sigma_{\tilde{v}}$ , we can).
  - Recall that the factor  $S_v$  is a kind of a matrix square root, known as the “Cholesky” factor of the original matrix.
  - In Octave, `Sv = chol(SigmaV, 'lower');`
  - Be careful: Octave’s default answer (without specifying “lower”) is an upper-triangular matrix, which is not what we’re after.
- The Cholesky factor has strictly positive elements on its diagonal (positive eigenvalues), so is guaranteed to be invertible.



## Decorrelating correlated measurement noise

- Consider a modification to the output equation of a system having correlated measurements:

$$z_k = C x_k + v_k$$

$$\bar{z}_k = S_v^{-1} z_k = S_v^{-1} C x_k + S_v^{-1} v_k = \bar{C} x_k + \bar{v}_k.$$

- Note that I am not using the “bar” symbol to indicate the mean of a quantity here.
- Instead,  $\bar{z}_k$  is a computed output, similar in interpretation to measured output  $z_k$ .
- Consider now the covariance of the modified noise input  $\bar{v}_k = S_v^{-1} v_k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\bar{v}_k} &= \mathbb{E}[\bar{v}_k \bar{v}_k^T] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[S_v^{-1} v_k v_k^T S_v^{-T}] = S_v^{-1} \Sigma_{\tilde{v}} S_v^{-T} = I. \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, we have identified a transformation that de-correlates (and normalizes) measurement noise.



## Revised measurement-update process

- Using this revised output equation, we use the prior method.
- We start the process with  $\hat{x}_{k:0}^+ = \hat{x}_k^-$  and  $\Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:0}^+ = \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k}^-$ .
- The Kalman gain is  $\bar{L}_{k:i} = \frac{\Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+ \bar{C}_{k:i}^T}{\bar{C}_{k:i}^T \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+ \bar{C}_{k:i}^T + 1}$  and the updated state is:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}_{k:i}^+ &= \hat{x}_{k:i-1}^+ + \bar{L}_{k:i} [\bar{z}_{k:i} - \bar{C}_{k:i}^T \hat{x}_{k:i-1}^+] \\ &= \hat{x}_{k:i-1}^+ + \bar{L}_{k:i} [(\mathcal{S}_v^{-1} z_k)_i - \bar{C}_{k:i}^T \hat{x}_{k:i-1}^+],\end{aligned}$$

having covariance:

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i}^+ = \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+ - \bar{L}_{k:i} \bar{C}_{k:i}^T \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:i-1}^+.$$

- The final measurement update gives  $\hat{x}_k^+ = \hat{x}_{k:m}^+$  and  $\Sigma_{\tilde{x},k}^+ = \Sigma_{\tilde{x},k:m}^+$ .



## LDL updates for correlated measurements

- An alternative to the Cholesky decomposition for factoring the covariance matrix is the LDL decomposition:

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{v}} = \mathcal{L}_v \mathcal{D}_v \mathcal{L}_v^T,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_v$  is lower-triangular and  $\mathcal{D}_v$  is diagonal (with positive entries).

- In MATLAB (not available in Octave), `[L,D] = ld1(SigmaV);`
- The Cholesky decomposition is related to the LDL decomposition via:  $S_v = \mathcal{L}_v \mathcal{D}_v^{1/2}$ .
- We can use the LDL decomposition to perform a sequential measurement update.<sup>1</sup>
  - A computational advantage of LDL over Cholesky is that no square-root operations are required. (We can avoid finding  $\mathcal{D}_v^{1/2}$ .)
  - A pedagogical advantage of Cholesky is that we use it elsewhere.

<sup>1</sup>For example, see: Dan Simon, *Optimal State Estimation: Kalman,  $H_\infty$ , and Nonlinear Approaches*, Wiley Interscience, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2006.



## Summary

- When the system we are monitoring has multiple outputs, it is possible to speed up the Kalman filter by processing measurement sequentially.
- If the sensor noises are uncorrelated, the approach directly updates the state estimate and its covariance using one sensor at a time.
- If the sensor noises are correlated, the measurements must be jointly preprocessed by multiplying by a decorrelating Cholesky factor; then, the processed measurements can be used to update the state estimate and its covariance one at a time.