Anti-pattern definitions[4]

Name	Description	Refactoring solution
Blob (BL)	A large class that absorbs most of the functionality of the system with very low cohesion between its constituents	Move method (MM). Move the methods that does not seem to fit in the Blob class abstraction to more appropriate classes[3].
Lazy Class (LC)[1]	Small classes with low complexity that do not justify their existence in the system.	Inline class (IC). Move the attributes and methods of the LC to another class in the system.
	A class with one or more methods having a long list of parameters, specially when two or more methods are sharing a long list of parameters that are semantically connected.	Introduce parameter object (IP). Extract a new class with the long list of parameters and replace the method signature by a reference to the new object created. Then access to this parameters through the parameter object.
Spaghetti Code (SC) [2]	A class without structure that declares long methods without parameters.	Replace method with method object (EC). Extract long methods into new classes so all local variables become fields on that object.
Speculative Generality (SG)[1]	There is an abstract class created to anticipate further features, but it is only extended by one class adding extra complexity to the design.	Collapse hierarchy (CH). Move the attributes and methods of the child class to the parent and remove the \textit{abstract} modifier.

On-line resources

https://sourcemaking.com/refactoring		
https://refactoring.guru/		
https://martinfowler.com/books/refactoring.html		
http://antipatterns.com/		

References

[1]	Fowler, M. (1999). Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code. Boston, MA, USA: Addison-Wesley.
[2]	Brown, W. H., Malveau, R. C., McCormick, H. W., & Mowbray, T. J. (1998). AntiPatterns: refactoring software, architectures, and projects in crisis. John Wiley & Sons, Inc
[3]	Seng, O., Stammel, J., & Burkhart, D. (2006). Search-Based Determination of Refactorings for Improving the Class Structure of Object-Oriented Systems. GECCO 2006: Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, Vol 1 and 2, 1909-1916. doi:10.1145/1143997.1144315



Morales, R., Sabane, A., Musavi, P., Khomh, F., Chicano, F., & Antoniol, G. (2016, 14-18 March 2016). Finding the Best Compromise Between Design Quality and Testing Effort During Refactoring. Paper presented at the 2016 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Software Analysis, Evolution, and Reengineering (SANER).