

# MATH 6410 Foundations of Probability Theory, Homework 3

Mobina Amrollahi

Due: October 4<sup>th</sup>, 10:59pm

## Ch. 1: Problem 3

(a) Show that  $\mathcal{F}_6$  in Example 1.1.4 is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

(b) Define  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F}_6$  by

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \text{ is uncountable,} \\ 0, & \text{if } A \text{ is countable.} \end{cases}$$

Is  $\mu$  a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_6$ ? Justify your answer.

## Answer

Recall that

$$\mathcal{F}_6 = \{A \subset \Omega : A \text{ is countable or } A^c \text{ is countable}\}.$$

### (a) $\mathcal{F}_6$ is a $\sigma$ -algebra

To prove that  $\mathcal{F}_6$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, we check the three properties:

(i)  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_6$ : Since  $\Omega^c = \emptyset$ , which is countable, we have  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_6$ . Similarly,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_6$ .

(ii) Closed under complements: Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{F}_6$ . Two cases would occur:

- If  $A$  is countable, then  $A^c$  has uncountable complement (namely  $A$ ), so  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_6$ .
- If  $A^c$  is countable, then clearly  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_6$ .

(iii) Closed under countable unions: Suppose  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}_6$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Two cases:

- If each  $A_n$  is countable, then  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$  is a countable union of countable sets, hence countable, so it belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_6$ .
- If there exists  $n_0$  such that  $A_{n_0}^c$  is countable, then

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n = \left( \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n^c \right)^c \subseteq (A_{n_0}^c)^c.$$

Since  $A_{n_0}^c$  is countable,  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n^c$  is countable, and so  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n \in \mathcal{F}_6$ .

Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_6$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**(b) Is  $\mu$  a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_6$ ?**

We check the measure axioms:

- (i)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ : Since  $\emptyset$  is countable,  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- (ii) Non-negativity: For all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_6$ ,  $\mu(A) \in \{0, 1\} \subseteq [0, \infty)$ .
- (iii) Countable additivity: Suppose  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a disjoint collection in  $\mathcal{F}_6$  with  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n \in \mathcal{F}_6$ . Two cases:

– If every  $A_n$  is countable, then each  $\mu(A_n) = 0$ , and their union is countable, so

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n\right) = 0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n).$$

– If  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{F}_6$  are pairwise disjoint, then at most one  $A_n$  is uncountable.

*Proof.* Suppose for contradiction that there exist  $n_1 \neq n_2$  with  $A_{n_1}, A_{n_2} \in \mathcal{F}_6$ , both uncountable, and  $A_{n_1} \cap A_{n_2} = \emptyset$ . Since  $A_{n_1}$  and  $A_{n_2}$  are uncountable and belong to  $\mathcal{F}_6$ , their complements  $A_{n_1}^c$  and  $A_{n_2}^c$  must be countable. But

$$A_{n_1} \cap A_{n_2} = \emptyset \implies A_{n_1}^c \cup A_{n_2}^c = \Omega.$$

The right-hand side is a union of two countable sets, hence countable. However  $A_{n_1}, A_{n_2} \subseteq \Omega$  and are uncontainable which contradicts that  $\Omega$  is countable. Therefore, among pairwise disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}_6$ , at most one is uncountable.  $\square$

Now, let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_{n_0}$  is uncountable. Hence, every  $A_n$  with  $n \neq n_0$  is countable. A countable union of countable sets is countable, so

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n = A_{n_0} \cup \bigcup_{n \neq n_0} A_n$$

is the union of an uncountable set and a countable set, hence uncountable. Therefore,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n\right) = 1 = \mu(A_{n_0}) + \sum_{n \neq n_0} \mu(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n).$$

Hence,  $\mu$  satisfies the measure axioms and is a valid measure on  $\mathcal{F}_6$ .

## Ch. 1: Problem 8

Let  $\Omega \equiv \{1, 2, \dots\} = \mathbb{N}$  and

$$A_i \equiv \{j : j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq i\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Show that  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  where  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

## Answer

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $A_{n+1} = A_n \setminus \{n\}$ , hence  $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$ . Also,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , so  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is the largest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ , we have  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . Therefore, to show  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ , it suffices to prove  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \subseteq \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$ .

Let  $B \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . Since  $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$  is countable, every subset  $B$  is countable and can be written as a union of singletons:

$$B = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{b\}.$$

Thus it is enough to show  $\{b\} \in \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$  for each  $b \in B$ ; then, using closure under countable unions,  $B \in \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$  follows.

Fix  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that  $A_b, A_{b+1} \in \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$  and

$$A_b^c = \{1, 2, \dots, b-1\}.$$

Hence

$$A_b^c \cup A_{b+1} = \{1, 2, \dots, b-1\} \cup \{b+1, b+2, \dots\} = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{b\}.$$

Taking complements,

$$\{b\} = (A_b^c \cup A_{b+1})^c \in \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle.$$

Therefore  $\{b\} \in \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$  for all  $b \in B$ , and by countable unions  $B \in \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$ . This shows  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \subseteq \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$ , and thus  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ .

## Ch. 1: Problem 10

Show that in Example 1.1.6,  $\mathcal{O}_j \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_i\rangle$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ .

## Answer

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1 &= \{(a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_k, b_k) : -\infty \leq a_i < b_i \leq \infty, 1 \leq i \leq k\}; \\ \mathcal{O}_2 &= \{(-\infty, x_1) \times \cdots \times (-\infty, x_k) : x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}\}; \\ \mathcal{O}_3 &= \{(a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_k, b_k) : a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Q}, a_i < b_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}; \\ \mathcal{O}_4 &= \{(-\infty, x_1) \times \cdots \times (-\infty, x_k) : x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{Q}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will show  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_i\rangle = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Then, knowing that  $\mathcal{O}_i \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_i\rangle = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_j\rangle$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$  proves the proposition.

*Proof.* (1)  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . The family  $\mathcal{O}_1$  (finite products of open intervals, endpoints allowed  $\pm\infty$ ) is a base for the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , hence the  $\sigma$ -algebra it generates equals the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

(2)  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . First,  $\mathcal{O}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  (each set is open), so  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . Conversely, for  $a < b$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(a, b) = (-\infty, b) \cap \left( \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (-\infty, a + \frac{1}{n}) \right)^c,$$

so  $(a, b) \in \sigma\langle\{(-\infty, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}\rangle$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ,

$$(a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_k, b_k) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \left( \mathbb{R}^{i-1} \times (a_i, b_i) \times \mathbb{R}^{k-i} \right),$$

hence every open rectangle lies in  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle$ , so  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle$ .

- (3)  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_3\rangle = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . We have  $\mathcal{O}_3 \subset \mathcal{O}_1$ , so  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_3\rangle \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . For  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_3\rangle$ , any open rectangle

$$(a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_k, b_k) = \bigcup_{\substack{q_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Q} \\ a_i < q_i < r_i < b_i}} (q_1, r_1) \times \cdots \times (q_k, r_k),$$

a countable union of sets in  $\mathcal{O}_3$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_3\rangle$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_3\rangle$ .

- (4)  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_4\rangle = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{O}_4 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$ , so  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_4\rangle \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . Conversely, for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(-\infty, x) = \bigcup_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ q < x}} (-\infty, q),$$

hence  $\mathcal{O}_2 \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_4\rangle$  and thus  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_4\rangle$ .

Therefore  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_i\rangle = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and hence  $\mathcal{O}_j \subset \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_i\rangle$  for all  $i, j$ .  $\square$

## Ch. 1: Problem 18

Let  $\Omega \equiv \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ , and

$$A_n = \{j : j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $\mu$  be the counting measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Verify that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \neq \mu\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n\right).$$

### Answer

- Fix  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . For all  $n \geq j + 1$ , we have  $j \notin A_n$ . Hence  $j \notin \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n$ . Since  $j$  was arbitrary,  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n = \emptyset$ , and therefore  $\mu\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n\right) = 0$ .
- Each  $A_n$  is infinite, so  $\mu(A_n) = \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \infty$ .

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \neq \mu\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n\right).$$

## Ch. 1: Problem 19

Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty set and let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  be a semialgebra. Define

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \equiv \left\{ A : A = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i, B_i \in \mathcal{C}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

- (a) Show that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$  is the smallest algebra containing  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (b) Show also that  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle = \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})\rangle$ .

### Answer

(a) We will show  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$  is (1) an algebra, (2) contains  $\mathcal{C}$ , and (3) is the smallest such algebra.

(1.) To show  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$  is an algebra we verify: (1.1)  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ ; (1.2) if  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$  then  $A \cup A' \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ ; (1.3) if  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$  then  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ .

(1.1) Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a semialgebra,  $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$ . Taking  $k = 1$  and  $B_1 = \Omega$ , we have  $\bigcup_{i=1}^1 B_i = \Omega \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ .

(1.2) If  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$  with each  $B_i \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $A' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k'} B'_i$  with each  $B'_i \in \mathcal{C}$ , then

$$A \cup A' = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{k'} B'_i \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k'} B'_i,$$

which is a finite union of members of  $\mathcal{C}$ ; hence  $A \cup A' \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ .

(1.3) If  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$  with  $B_i \in \mathcal{C}$ , then

$$A^c = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i \right)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^k B_i^c.$$

Because  $\mathcal{C}$  is a semialgebra, for each  $i$  we can write

$$B_i^c = \bigcup_{r=1}^{m_i} E_{i,r} \quad \text{with } E_{i,r} \in \mathcal{C} \text{ pairwise disjoint (for fixed } i\text{).}$$

Hence, by distributing intersections over finite unions,

$$A^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \left( \bigcup_{r=1}^{m_i} E_{i,r} \right) = \bigcup_{(r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \prod_{i=1}^k \{1, \dots, m_i\}} \bigcap_{i=1}^k E_{i,r_i}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a semialgebra, it is closed under finite intersections, so each  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k E_{i,r_i} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Therefore  $A^c$  is a finite union of members of  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e.,  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ .

- (2.) If  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , take  $k = 1$  and  $B_1 = A$  to get  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ .
- (3.) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be any algebra with  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ . Because  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed under finite unions, every set of the form  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$  with  $B_i \in \mathcal{C}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$  is the smallest algebra containing  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(b) We show (1)  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})\rangle$  and (2)  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \sigma\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle$ .

- (1.) By (a.2),  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ , and clearly  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})\rangle$ . Hence  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})\rangle$ , so  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle \subseteq \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})\rangle$ .
- (2.) If  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$  with each  $B_i \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $B_i \in \sigma\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle$  for all  $i$ , and because  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (hence closed under finite unions), we get  $A \in \sigma\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \sigma\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle$ , which implies  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})\rangle \subseteq \sigma\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle$ .

Combining (1) and (2),  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle = \sigma\langle\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})\rangle$ .

## Ch. 1: Problem 20

Let  $\mu^*$  be as in (3.1) of Section 1.3. Verify (3.4)–(3.6).

**Hint:** Fix  $0 < \epsilon < \infty$ . If  $\mu^*(A_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then find, for each  $n$ , a cover  $\{A_{nj}\}_{j \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$\mu^*(A_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_{nj}) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}.$$

### Answer

Recall a set function  $\mu$  on a semialgebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , taking values in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \equiv [0, \infty]$ , is called a *measure* if (i)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and (ii) for any sequence of sets  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{C}$  with  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n).$$

Also, given this measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ , the outer measure induced by  $\mu$  is the set function  $\mu^*$ , defined on  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ , as

$$\mu^*(A) \equiv \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) : \{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{C}, A \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n \right\}.$$

And (3.4)–(3.6) are:

$$\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

$$A \subset B \Rightarrow \mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B), \tag{3.5}$$

and for any  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n). \tag{3.6}$$

We will prove each of them respectively:

*Proof.* (3.4) Since  $\mu^* \geq 0$ , it suffices to show  $\mu^*(\emptyset) \leq 0$ . Cover  $\emptyset$  by the sequence  $A_n = \emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $n$ . Then  $\emptyset \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Taking the infimum,  $\mu^*(\emptyset) \leq 0$ , hence  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ .

(3.5) If  $A \subset B$  and  $\{B_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{C}$  covers  $B$ , then it also covers  $A$ :  $A \subset B \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} B_n$ . Therefore  $\mu^*(A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n)$ . Taking the infimum over all covers of  $B$  gives  $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B)$ .

- (3.6) If some  $\mu^*(A_n) = \infty$ , the inequality is trivial. Otherwise fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $n$  choose a cover  $\{A_{nj}\}_{j \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{C}$  of  $A_n$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_{nj}) \leq \mu^*(A_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}.$$

Then  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{j \geq 1} A_{nj}$  covers  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$ . Reindex  $\{A_{nj}\}$  as a single sequence  $\{B_m\}_{m \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{C}$  to fit the definition of  $\mu^*$ , and obtain

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_{nj}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n).$$

□

## Ch. 1: Problem 24

Verify that  $\mathcal{C}_2$ , defined in (3.11), is a semialgebra.

### Answer

Recall

$$\mathcal{C}_2 \equiv \{I_1 \times I_2 : I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{C}_1\},$$

where (as in (3.7))

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \{(a, b] : -\infty \leq a \leq b < \infty\} \cup \{(a, \infty) : -\infty \leq a < \infty\}.$$

To show  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is a semialgebra, we verify: (1)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}_2$ ; (2) if  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}_2$  then  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{C}_2$ ; (3) for each  $A \in \mathcal{C}_2$  there exist disjoint  $B_1, \dots, B_k \in \mathcal{C}_2$  with  $A^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$ .

- (1) Take  $I_1 = (a_1, b_1]$  with  $a_1 = b_1$ . Then  $I_1 = (a_1, a_1] = \emptyset$ , hence  $I_1 \times I_2 = \emptyset \in \mathcal{C}_2$  (for any  $I_2$ ).
- (2) Let  $A = I_{A1} \times I_{A2}$  and  $B = I_{B1} \times I_{B2}$  with  $I_{A1}, I_{A2}, I_{B1}, I_{B2} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then

$$A \cap B = (I_{A1} \cap I_{B1}) \times (I_{A2} \cap I_{B2}).$$

In one dimension, the intersection of two sets from  $\mathcal{C}$  is again in  $\mathcal{C}$  (or empty):

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, b_1] \cap (a_2, b_2] &= (\max\{a_1, a_2\}, \min\{b_1, b_2\}] \text{ (possibly empty)}, \\ (a_1, \infty) \cap (a_2, \infty) &= (\max\{a_1, a_2\}, \infty), \\ (a_1, \infty) \cap (a_2, b_2] &= (\max\{a_1, a_2\}, b_2] \text{ (possibly empty)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence each factor  $I_{A\ell} \cap I_{B\ell} \in \mathcal{C}$  (or is  $\emptyset$ ), so  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{C}_2$  (or  $= \emptyset$ ).

(3) Let  $A = I_1 \times I_2$  with  $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . In one dimension, for  $I \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$I^c = \begin{cases} (-\infty, a] \cup (b, \infty), & \text{if } I = (a, b], \\ (-\infty, a], & \text{if } I = (a, \infty), \end{cases}$$

which is a union of at most two disjoint members of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Write  $I_1^c = C_1^{(1)} \cup C_1^{(2)}$  and  $I_2^c = C_2^{(1)} \cup C_2^{(2)}$ , where some pieces may be empty and the unions are disjoint.

Using the product structure,

$$\begin{aligned} A^c &= (I_1 \times I_2)^c \\ &= (I_1^c \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\mathbb{R} \times I_2^c) \\ &= (I_1^c \times I_2) \cup (I_1 \times I_2^c) \cup (I_1^c \times I_2^c). \end{aligned}$$

These three pieces are pairwise disjoint. Substituting the decompositions of  $I_1^c$  and  $I_2^c$ ,

$$A^c = \bigcup_{r=1}^2 (C_1^{(r)} \times I_2) \cup \bigcup_{s=1}^2 (I_1 \times C_2^{(s)}) \cup \bigcup_{r=1}^2 \bigcup_{s=1}^2 (C_1^{(r)} \times C_2^{(s)}),$$

a finite union (at most 8) of pairwise disjoint rectangles, each in  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . Hence  $A^c$  is a finite disjoint union of members of  $\mathcal{C}_2$ .

Therefore,  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is a semialgebra.

## Last problem:

Consider the following function:

$$F(x) = x I_{\{0 < x < 1\}} + I_{\{x \geq 1\}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- (i) Is this  $F(\cdot)$  non-decreasing, right-continuous? Check.
- (ii) Define suitably a Lebesgue–Stieltjes measure  $\mu_F$  on a suitable semialgebra  $\mathcal{C}$ . You do not have to prove  $\mathcal{C}$  is a semialgebra or that  $\mu_F$  is a measure.
- (iii) Prove that  $\sigma\langle\mathcal{C}\rangle \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . (You may use the fact that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \sigma\langle\text{open sets}\rangle = \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle = \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle = \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_3\rangle = \sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_4\rangle$  as in 1.10.)
- (iv) Argue that there exists a measure  $\mu$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  that extends  $\mu_F$  defined above. (You do not need to prove this step—just state a result for your answer.)
- (v) Is this extension unique? (i.e., if  $\mu'$  is another extension of  $\mu_F$ , then is it true that  $\mu(A) = \mu'(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ?) Justify your answer (no proof needed). What is the “common name” for this measure  $\mu$ ?

## Answer

Consider  $F(x)$  in the following form:

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0, \\ x, & 0 < x < 1, \\ 1, & x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

(i) Let  $x_1 \leq x_2$ . Split by where  $x_1, x_2$  fall.

- If  $x_2 \leq 0$ , then  $F(x_1) = F(x_2) = 0$ .
- If  $x_1 \leq 0 < x_2 < 1$ , then  $F(x_1) = 0 \leq x_2 = F(x_2)$ .
- If  $x_1 \leq 0$  and  $x_2 \geq 1$ , then  $F(x_1) = 0 \leq 1 = F(x_2)$ .
- If  $0 < x_1 \leq x_2 < 1$ , then  $F(x_1) = x_1 \leq x_2 = F(x_2)$ .
- If  $0 < x_1 < 1 \leq x_2$ , then  $F(x_1) = x_1 \leq 1 = F(x_2)$ .
- If  $1 \leq x_1 \leq x_2$ , then  $F(x_1) = F(x_2) = 1$ .

In all cases  $F(x_1) \leq F(x_2)$ , so  $F$  is non-decreasing.

For Right-continuity, on each open piece  $(-\infty, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(1, \infty)$ ,  $F$  is continuous. We have to show the right-continuity for  $x = 1$ :

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} F(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} 1 = 1 = F(1).$$

(ii) Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(a, b] : -\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty\} \cup \{(a, \infty) : -\infty < a < \infty\}.$$

Define the Lebesgue–Stieltjes measure induced by  $F$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  by

$$\mu_F((a, b]) := F(b) - F(a), \quad \mu_F((a, \infty)) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) - F(a) = 1 - F(a).$$

(iii) Each generator in  $\mathcal{C}$  is Borel. Thus,

$$(a, b] = (-\infty, b] \cap (a, \infty), \quad (-\infty, b] = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (-\infty, b + 1/n),$$

and  $(a, \infty)$  is open. Hence  $\mathcal{C} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{O}_2) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- (iv) By the Carathéodory Extension (Measure Extension) Theorem, the measure  $\mu_F$  on the semialgebra  $\mathcal{C}$  extends to a measure on  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ ; combined with (iii), this gives a measure  $\mu$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  extending  $\mu_F$ .
- (v) Yes. since  $\mu_F$  is  $\sigma$ -finite ( $\mu_F(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ ), the Carathéodory extension is unique on  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  (and hence on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  here). The resulting Borel measure is the Lebesgue–Stieltjes measure of  $F$ , which in this case is the Uniform(0, 1) probability measure:

$$\mu(A) = \lambda(A \cap (0, 1]) \quad \text{for Borel } A,$$

i.e., Lebesgue measure restricted to  $(0, 1]$ .

## Disclaimer

I used ChatGPT to polish my answer to Question 24. I had a rough initial idea that didn't rely much on the fact that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a semi-algebra. ChatGPT incorporated that fact, which made my proof much easier. For Question 20, Jaime helped me understand how to approach the problem.