

****Methodological Note: for Finnegans Jape**

Polyphonic Conditioning of a Small Language Model on *Finnegans Wake***

Overview

This project develops a small language model (SLM) conditioned on *Finnegans Wake* through a hybrid textual–prosodic methodology. Rather than treating the Wake as a static text to be memorized or summarized, the model is trained to **inhabit the text's rhythmic, polyphonic, and interpretive affordances**. The approach combines light parameter-efficient fine-tuning with retrieval-based contextualization and audio-informed temporal conditioning.

The guiding assumption is that *Finnegans Wake* is not primarily a semantic object but a **performed linguistic system**, historically and socially realized through voice, cadence, overlap, mishearing, and collective reading.

Core Design Principles

1. Polyphony over singular authority

The Wake resists definitive voice or interpretation. The model is therefore designed to reflect lawful variation rather than canonical reading.

2. Rhythm as structural signal

Temporal features—pause, overlap, repetition, and breath—are treated as primary informational channels, not decorative additions.

3. Retrieval over memorization

Given the Wake's limited corpus size and extreme density, interpretive flexibility is preserved by retrieval-augmented generation rather than full internalization.

Textual Corpus

The primary textual corpus includes:

- *Finnegans Wake* (public domain text)
- Selected ALP (Anna Livia Plurabelle) passages

- Humanistic glosses (e.g., ALP annotations, HCE marginalia)
- Source texts for the Wake - e.g. Taliesen, Finn McCool, Vico, Ulysses
- Variant editions and editorial notes

Rather than training on the full text indiscriminately, **curated passages** are selected for rhythmic richness and interpretive density.

Audio Conditioning Sources

Two distinct audio sources are used, each serving a different methodological function:

1. Authorial Reading (James Joyce)

Existing recordings of Joyce reading from *Finnegans Wake* are used as **prosodic ground truth**. These recordings provide:

- baseline tempo
- stress and vowel elongation patterns
- tolerance for ambiguity and slippage
- historically situated performance norms

Joyce's recordings are not treated as authoritative interpretations, but as **anchoring constraints** on rhythm and pacing.

2. Contemporary Choral Readings

Group (choral) readings of the same Wake passages are recorded with deliberate overlap, echo, and variation. These recordings encode:

- distributed cognition
- simultaneity and interference
- misreading as productive signal
- communal breath and tempo variation

Choral readings are treated as models of **living Wake performance**, demonstrating how meaning arises socially rather than univocally.

Technical Integration

Audio is not used for speech synthesis or voice cloning. Instead, it functions as **temporal conditioning metadata**, informing:

- generation pacing
- line-breaking and spacing
- thunderword emission timing
- tolerance for ambiguity and overlap

Text and audio are aligned at a coarse-grain level (sectional correspondence rather than word-level transcription), preserving interpretive looseness.

The model learns not to “sound like” Joyce or any chorus, but to **generate text that moves with similar temporal intelligence**.

Model Architecture

- Base model: 7B-class open-source transformer (e.g., Mistral-7B)
 - Fine-tuning: LoRA / QLoRA
 - Retrieval layer: vector database containing glosses and annotations
 - Tokenization: custom tokenizer trained on Wake-specific morphology to preserve portmanteaux and thunderwords
-

Modes of Use

The system is accessed through interpretive modes rather than general chat:

- *murmur* (associative, low-coherence)
- *river* (continuous flow with retrieval)
- *chorus* (polyphonic generation)
- *marginalia* (annotation-aware commentary)

These modes reinforce the model's role as **reading companion**, not explanatory authority.

Epistemic Position

This methodology assumes that learning *Finnegans Wake*—for humans or machines—is not the acquisition of meaning but the **recovery of capacity**: the capacity to move with ambiguity, rhythm, and layered reference.

In this sense, the model functions not as an interpreter of the Wake, but as a **participant in its ongoing performance tradition**.

Conclusion

By combining authorial prosody, communal choral reading, retrieval-based interpretation, and lightweight fine-tuning, this approach offers a scalable, ethical, and philologically sensitive method for training language models on complex literary texts whose meaning resides as much in performance as in inscription.