

# Ko-PIQA: A Korean Physical Commonsense Reasoning Dataset with Cultural Context

**Dasol Choi**<sup>1,2,5\*</sup>, **Jungwhan Kim**<sup>3\*</sup>, **Guojin Son**<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Yonsei University   <sup>2</sup>AIM Intelligence   <sup>3</sup>NAVER Cloud   <sup>4</sup>OnelineAI   <sup>5</sup>MODULABS  
dasolchoi@yonsei.ac.kr, jungwhan.kim@navercorp.com

## Abstract

Physical commonsense reasoning datasets like PIQA are predominantly English-centric and lack cultural diversity. We introduce Ko-PIQA, a Korean physical commonsense reasoning dataset that incorporates cultural context. Starting from 3.01 million web-crawled questions, we employed a multi-stage filtering approach using three language models to identify 11,553 PIQA-style questions. Through GPT-4o refinement and human validation, we obtained 441 high-quality question-answer pairs. A key feature of Ko-PIQA is its cultural grounding: 19.7% of questions contain culturally specific elements like traditional Korean foods (kimchi), clothing (hanbok), and specialized appliances (kimchi refrigerators) that require culturally-aware reasoning beyond direct translation. We evaluate seven language models on Ko-PIQA, with the best model achieving 83.22% accuracy while the weakest reaches only 59.86%, demonstrating significant room for improvement. Models particularly struggle with culturally specific scenarios, highlighting the importance of culturally diverse datasets. Ko-PIQA serves as both a benchmark for Korean language models and a foundation for more inclusive commonsense reasoning research. The dataset and code will be publicly available.

## 1 Introduction

Physical commonsense reasoning, the ability to understand how objects interact in the physical world, is fundamental to human intelligence and critical for developing AI systems that can operate effectively in real-world environments (Bisk et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2023). While humans effortlessly navigate everyday physical interactions, current AI systems still struggle with seemingly basic physical reasoning tasks that require understanding of material properties, spatial relationships, and cause-and-effect dynamics (Sharma, 2025).

\*Equal contribution.

†Corresponding author.

The Physical Interaction Question Answering (PIQA) dataset (Bisk et al., 2020) represents a significant benchmark for evaluating physical commonsense reasoning in English, containing over 20,000 examples that test models' understanding of physical interactions. However, existing physical commonsense datasets like PIQA are predominantly English-centric and fail to capture the cultural diversity that influences how physical reasoning manifests across different societies.

This limitation is particularly pronounced for languages like Korean, where cultural practices, traditional tools, and everyday objects differ significantly from Western contexts. For instance, understanding how to properly care for traditional Korean clothing (hanbok), manage traditional heating systems (ondol), use specialized appliances like kimchi refrigerators, or handle fermented foods requires culturally-aware physical reasoning that cannot be simply translated from English datasets.

We introduce Ko-PIQA,<sup>1</sup> a Korean physical commonsense reasoning dataset that addresses these limitations. Through rigorous multi-stage filtering of 3.01 million web-crawled questions and human validation, we created 441 high-quality question-answer pairs, with 87 questions (19.7%) featuring distinctly Korean cultural elements. Our evaluation of seven language models reveals that current models struggle significantly with Korean physical reasoning, particularly in culturally grounded scenarios, with performance ranging from 59.86% to 83.22%. These results highlight the importance of diverse, culturally-aware benchmarks for developing more inclusive AI systems.

## 2 Related Work

The PIQA dataset (Bisk et al., 2020) pioneered physical commonsense reasoning, where models must choose between two solutions to daily physi-

<sup>1</sup>Dataset available at [HAERAE-HUB/Ko-PIQA](#).




Figure 1: Count-based filtering funnel for Ko-PIQA. Each block shows the remaining number of items after the corresponding filtering step, with percentages relative to the raw set.

cal problems. While humans achieve 95% accuracy, large pretrained models reach only around 77% performance. Recent advances include CosmosReason1 (Azzolini et al., 2025) for multimodal physical reasoning and VideoPhy (Bansal et al., 2024) for evaluating physical commonsense in video generation. The broader commonsense reasoning field has developed over 139 benchmarks across modalities (Davis, 2023), including CommonsenseQA (Talmor et al., 2018), SWAG (Zellers et al., 2018), and WinoGrande (Sakaguchi et al., 2021).

Korean NLP has established several key benchmarks. KorNLI and KorSTS (Ham et al., 2020) pioneered Korean natural language understanding through machine translation and manual post-editing of English datasets. KoCommonGEN v2 (Seo et al., 2024) revealed that models struggle with Korean commonsense tasks, with GPT-4 achieving only 74% accuracy compared to 85% human performance. KoBBQ (Jin et al., 2024) highlighted how cultural biases depend heavily on cultural context and cannot be simply adapted from English benchmarks.

Recent work emphasizes cultural adaptation in dataset creation, recognizing that direct translation fails to capture culture-specific reasoning patterns (Putri et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2025). Benchmarks such as EPiK (Jeong et al., 2025) further demonstrate its need in the Korean context. Our work addresses this gap by creating the first Korean physical commonsense reasoning dataset that combines multilingual adaptation with culturally grounded scenarios, filling a critical need in the current landscape of commonsense reasoning benchmarks.

### 3 Ko-PIQA Dataset

We construct Ko-PIQA through a multi-stage process that filters, samples, and validates questions from 3.01M raw Q&A pairs to yield 441 high-

quality PIQA-style items. Figure 1 provides an overview of the entire pipeline, showing the remaining counts and percentages at each step. Figure 2 further illustrates representative Ko-PIQA items, including both general physical commonsense questions and culturally-grounded examples that require Korea-specific knowledge.

**Data Collection.** We collected 3.01 million Korean questions from Naver Knowledge iN<sup>2</sup>, a popular Korean Q&A platform, spanning data up to May 2025. These questions cover diverse everyday scenarios where Korean users seek practical advice on physical tasks and problem-solving.

**Multi-Model Filtering.** To identify PIQA-style questions, we employed three language models with diverse architectures and scales: Qwen3-4B (Yang et al., 2025), Qwen3-32B (Yang et al., 2025), and HCX-14B (Team, 2025), a Korean-specialized model. This combination ensures robust filtering by leveraging both multilingual models (Qwen) and a Korean-centric model (HCX) across different parameter scales (4B to 32B). Each model classified questions using a detailed prompt that defined PIQA-style characteristics: requiring physical commonsense about concrete procedures, tool usage, material manipulation, and practical tasks (e.g., repair, cleaning, storage), while excluding pure knowledge questions, abstract concepts, or non-physical advice. We retained only questions that all three models unanimously classified as PIQA-style, yielding 11,553 candidates from the original 3.01 million.

**Sampling and Refinement.** From the 11,553 PIQA-style questions, we sampled 600 general physical commonsense questions and separately identified 158 questions containing Korean cultural elements (e.g., references to kimchi, hanbok, ondol). We included both sets, resulting in 758 questions for refinement. Using GPT-4o (Hurst et al.,

<sup>2</sup><https://kin.naver.com>

Generic	Culturally-specific
<p><b>[Goal]</b> 스케이트보드를 타고 내리막길을 빠르게 내려올 때, 급정거를 위한 가장 안전한 제동 방법은 무엇인가? When riding a skateboard downhill at high speed, what is the safest braking technique for an emergency stop?</p> <p><b>[Sol1]</b> 발뒤꿈치를 지면에 대고 즉시 강한 압력을 가하면서 체중을 앞으로 실어 마찰을 증가시킨다. Place the heel on the ground, apply strong pressure immediately, and shift body weight forward to increase friction. <span style="color: red;">X</span></p> <p><b>[Sol2]</b> 발뒤꿈치를 지면에 대고 점진적으로 압력을 가하면서 체중을 뒤로 실어 마찰을 증가시킨다. Place the heel on the ground, gradually increase pressure, and shift body weight backward to increase friction. <span style="color: green;">✓</span></p> <p><b>[Goal]</b> 장기간 숙성이 필요한 레드 와인을 지하 셀러에 보관할 때, 최적의 보관 상태를 유지하기 위한 방법은? When storing red wine for long-term aging in a cellar, what is the optimal way to maintain storage conditions?</p> <p><b>[Sol1]</b> 병을 원전히 수평으로 눕혀 코르크가 와인에 완전히 잠기게 하여 최대한 촉촉하게 유지합니다. Lay the bottle completely horizontal so that the cork remains fully immersed in the wine and stays moist. <span style="color: red;">X</span></p> <p><b>[Sol2]</b> 병을 약 15도 각도로 기울여 코르크가 와인에 살짝 닿게 하되, 침전물이 병목에 쌓이지 않도록 보관합니다. Store the bottle at about a 15-degree angle so the cork lightly touches the wine while preventing sediment from settling at the neck. <span style="color: green;">✓</span></p>	<p><b>[Goal]</b> 김치찌개를 끓일 때 묵은지의 신맛을 중화시키면서도 깊은 맛을 내려면? When making kimchi stew with aged kimchi, how to neutralize sourness while adding depth?</p> <p><b>[Sol1]</b> 설탕을 한 스푼 넣고 물을 부은 후 중불에서 5분간 끓인다. Add a spoonful of sugar and water, then boil for 5 minutes on medium heat.</p> <p><b>[Sol2]</b> 설탕을 한 스푼 넣고 중불에서 5분간 먼저 끓은 후 물을 끓는다. Add a spoonful of sugar, stir-fry for 5 minutes on medium heat first, then add water. <span style="color: red;">X</span></p> <p><b>[Goal]</b> 진한 색 한복과 연한 색 저고리를 함께 손세탁할 때 이염을 방지하려면? When hand-washing a dark hanbok together with a light-colored jeogori, how can dye transfer be prevented?</p> <p><b>[Sol1]</b> 찬물에 중성세제를 먼저 완전히 풀어 균일한 농도로 만든 후 옷을 담가야 합니다. Fully dissolve a neutral detergent in cold water to create an even solution before immersing the garments. <span style="color: green;">✓</span></p> <p><b>[Sol2]</b> 찬물에 옷을 먼저 담근 후 중성세제를 부어 서서히 녹이면서 세탁해야 합니다. Soak the garments in cold water first, then gradually add neutral detergent, allowing it to dissolve during washing. <span style="color: red;">X</span></p>

Figure 2: Examples from Ko-PIQA showing universal (left) and culturally-specific (right) physical reasoning questions. Check marks indicate correct answers.

2024), we refined these questions and generated challenging distractors, which are plausible but incorrect alternatives that require careful physical reasoning to eliminate.

**Human Validation.** Two native Korean speakers independently validated each question. They filtered out items that were trivial, inappropriate, or required specialized expertise beyond everyday knowledge. The annotators also improved question clarity, calibrated difficulty levels, and verified cultural appropriateness, reducing the dataset to 471 high-quality questions.

**Deduplication.** Using KoSentenceBERT (BM-K, 2020), we removed near-duplicate questions with cosine similarity exceeding 0.85. This threshold eliminated redundancy while preserving topically related but distinct questions, yielding our final dataset of 441 unique question-answer pairs.

### 3.1 Dataset Analysis

**Dataset Statistics.** Ko-PIQA contains 441 question-answer pairs, each consisting of a goal statement and two candidate solutions with exactly one correct answer. Questions average 66.1 characters (median: 64, range: 26-139), while answers average 62.3 characters. The dataset maintains perfect label balance (50.1% label 1, 49.9% label 0), ensuring unbiased evaluation. All questions follow a binary choice format consistent with the original PIQA structure, enabling direct comparison of model performance across languages.

**Cultural Specificity Analysis.** A distinctive feature of Ko-PIQA is its cultural grounding: 87 questions (19.7%) contain elements specific to Korean culture that require contextual knowledge beyond direct translation. These include traditional foods and cooking methods (kimchi preparation, makgeolli brewing), traditional clothing care (hanbok washing), housing systems (ondol heating), specialized appliances (kimchi refrigerators), cultural practices (traditional music instruments), and location-specific scenarios (Korean geography and urban environments). The remaining 354 questions (80.3%) represent universal physical reasoning scenarios adapted to Korean language and context. This balance ensures the dataset serves both as a Korean language benchmark and a test of cultural adaptation in AI systems.

## 4 Experimental Setup

We evaluate seven language models on Ko-PIQA to assess their Korean physical commonsense reasoning capabilities. Our evaluation includes models of varying sizes and architectures: Qwen3-32B and Qwen3-8B (Yang et al., 2025), Gemma3-27B-it and Gemma3-9B-it (Team et al., 2025), KANANA-1.5-8B-instruct-2505 (Bak et al., 2025), MetaLlama-3-8B-Instruct (Dubey et al., 2024), and EXAONE-4.0-7.8B-Instruct (Research et al., 2025). Notably, KANANA and EXAONE are Korean-specialized models, while others are multilingual models with Korean capabilities. All models are evaluated using zero-shot prompting in Korean

with the following template:

다음 질문에 대해 두 개의 답변 중 어느 것이 더 정확하고 도움이 되는지 판단해주세요. 정답은 반드시 A 또는 B 중 하나로만 출력해주세요.  
(Please determine which of the two answers is more accurate and helpful for the following question. You must answer with either A or B only.)

출력 형식 예시 (*Output Format Example*):  
정답 (Answer): A

질문 (*Question*): [goal]  
답변 A (Answer A): [solution 0]  
답변 B (Answer B): [solution 1]

Evaluation is conducted using exact match accuracy, where a response is considered correct only if it exactly matches the ground truth label. We implemented the evaluation using the lm-eval-harness framework (EleutherAI, 2023) with the task set as generation, and post-processed model outputs to compute binary exact-match accuracy (equivalent to classification accuracy). This ensures deterministic scoring and reproducibility across models. We report overall accuracy across all 441 questions and separately analyze performance on cultural-specific questions (87 questions) versus universal questions (354 questions). All evaluations were conducted in non-thinking mode.

## 5 Results

Table 1 presents the overall performance of seven language models on Ko-PIQA. The results reveal significant challenges in Korean physical commonsense reasoning, with performance ranging from 59.86% to 83.22%.

Model	Overall	Cultural
<i>Multilingual Models</i>		
Qwen3-32B	83.22	86.21
Qwen3-8B	71.88	74.71
Gemma3-27B-it	79.59	79.31
Gemma3-4B-it	65.53	70.11
Meta-Llama-3-8B	59.86	67.82
<i>Korean-specialized Models</i>		
EXAONE-4.0-32B	81.86	87.36
KANANA-1.5-8B	66.89	79.31

Table 1: Accuracy (%) on Ko-PIQA dataset. Cultural refers to the 87 culturally-specific questions.

**Overall Performance.** The best-performing model, Qwen3-32B, achieves 83.22% accuracy, while the weakest, Meta-Llama-3-8B, reaches only 59.86%. This substantial performance gap demonstrates that Korean physical commonsense reasoning remains

challenging for current language models, with even the strongest models falling short of human-level performance (estimated at 95% for English PIQA).

**Cultural vs. Universal Questions.** Interestingly, most models perform comparably or even better on culturally-specific questions than on universal ones. EXAONE-4.0-32B shows the strongest cultural performance (87.36%), likely benefiting from its Korean-centric training. However, models like Gemma3-27B-it struggle more with cultural questions (79.31% vs. 79.59% overall), suggesting that cultural adaptation requires more than just language proficiency.

**Model Size Effects.** Larger models generally outperform smaller ones within the same family (Qwen3-32B > Qwen3-8B, Gemma3-27B > Gemma3-4B), indicating that scale helps with complex reasoning tasks. However, KANANA-1.5-8B’s strong cultural performance (79.31%) despite its smaller size highlights the importance of Korean-specific training data.

## 6 Limitations

While Ko-PIQA addresses the lack of Korean physical commonsense reasoning benchmarks, it has several limitations. First, with 441 questions, it is much smaller than PIQA (20K+ examples), which may limit its utility for model fine-tuning or few-shot training. Second, although we include 87 culturally-specific items (19.7%), this does not cover the full breadth of Korean cultural practices. Finally, we only report zero-shot model performance and leave few-shot or fine-tuning evaluation for future work.

## 7 Conclusion

We present Ko-PIQA, the first Korean physical commonsense reasoning dataset with 441 question-answer pairs, including 87 culturally-specific questions (19.7%). Our evaluation reveals that current language models achieve 59.86-83.22% accuracy, with Korean-centric models showing superior cultural understanding. Ko-PIQA demonstrates that effective physical reasoning requires cultural knowledge beyond simple translation, providing a valuable benchmark for developing culturally-aware AI systems. The dataset will be publicly available.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Brian Impact, a non-profit organization dedicated to advancing science and technology.

## References

- Alisson Azzolini, Junjie Bai, Hannah Brandon, Jiaxin Cao, Prithvijit Chattopadhyay, Huayu Chen, Jinju Chu, Yin Cui, Jenna Diamond, Yifan Ding, and 1 others. 2025. Cosmos-reason1: From physical common sense to embodied reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.15558*.
- Yunju Bak, Hojin Lee, Minho Ryu, Jiyeon Ham, Seungjae Jung, Daniel Wontae Nam, Taegyeong Eo, Donghun Lee, Doohae Jung, Boseop Kim, and 1 others. 2025. Kanana: Compute-efficient bilingual language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.18934*.
- Hritik Bansal, Zongyu Lin, Tianyi Xie, Zeshun Zong, Michal Yarom, Yonatan Bitton, Chenfanfu Jiang, Yizhou Sun, Kai-Wei Chang, and Aditya Grover. 2024. Videophy: Evaluating physical commonsense for video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.03520*.
- Yonatan Bisk, Rowan Zellers, Jianfeng Gao, Yejin Choi, and 1 others. 2020. Piqa: Reasoning about physical commonsense in natural language. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 34, pages 7432–7439.
- BM-K. 2020. Kosentencebert: Sentence embeddings for korean using siamese bert networks. <https://github.com/BM-K/KoSentenceBERT-SKT>.
- Ernest Davis. 2023. Benchmarks for automated commonsense reasoning: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 56(4):1–41.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, and 1 others. 2024. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2407.
- EleutherAI. 2023. lm-eval-harness. <https://github.com/EleutherAI/lm-eval-harness>. Commit/version: replace-with-commit-hash-if-available.
- Jiyeon Ham, Yo Joong Choe, Kyubyong Park, Ilji Choi, and Hyunjoon Soh. 2020. Kornli and korsts: New benchmark datasets for korean natural language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.03289*.
- Aaron Hurst, Adam Lerer, Adam P Goucher, Adam Perelman, Aditya Ramesh, Aidan Clark, AJ Ostrow, Akila Welihinda, Alan Hayes, Alec Radford, and 1 others. 2024. Gpt-4o system card. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.21276*.
- Jihae Jeong, DaeYeop Lee, DongGeon Lee, and Hwanjo Yu. 2025. Everyday physics in korean contexts: A culturally grounded physical reasoning benchmark. *Preprint*, arXiv:2509.17807.
- Jiho Jin, Jiseon Kim, Nayeon Lee, Haneul Yoo, Alice Oh, and Hwaran Lee. 2024. Kobbq: Korean bias benchmark for question answering. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 12:507–524.
- Chen Cecilia Liu, Iryna Gurevych, and Anna Korhonen. 2025. Culturally aware and adapted nlp: A taxonomy and a survey of the state of the art. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 13:652–689.
- Rifki Afina Putri, Faiz Ghifari Haznitrama, Dea Adhistha, and Alice Oh. 2024. Can llm generate culturally relevant commonsense qa data? case study in indonesian and sundanese. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17302*.
- LG Research, Kyunghoon Bae, Eunbi Choi, Kibong Choi, Stanley Jungkyu Choi, Yemuk Choi, Kyubeen Han, Seokhee Hong, Junwon Hwang, Taewan Hwang, and 1 others. 2025. Exaone 4.0: Unified large language models integrating non-reasoning and reasoning modes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.11407*.
- Keisuke Sakaguchi, Ronan Le Bras, Chandra Bhagavatula, and Yejin Choi. 2021. Winogrande: An adversarial winograd schema challenge at scale. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(9):99–106.
- Jaehyung Seo, Jaewook Lee, Chanjun Park, SeongTae Hong, Seungjun Lee, and Heui-Seok Lim. 2024. Ko-commongen v2: A benchmark for navigating korean commonsense reasoning challenges in large language models. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics ACL 2024*, pages 2390–2415.
- Abhishek Sharma. 2025. Commonsense reasoning in ai systems. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 14(03):1638–1657.
- Alon Talmor, Jonathan Herzig, Nicholas Lourie, and Jonathan Berant. 2018. Commonsenseqa: A question answering challenge targeting commonsense knowledge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.00937*.
- Gemma Team, Aishwarya Kamath, Johan Ferret, Shreya Pathak, Nino Vieillard, Ramona Merhej, Sarah Perrin, Tatiana Matejovicova, Alexandre Ramé, Morgane Rivière, and 1 others. 2025. Gemma 3 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.19786*.
- NAVER Cloud HyperCLOVA X Team. 2025. Hyperclova x think technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.22403*.
- Cheng Xue, Vimukthini Pinto, Chathura Gamage, Ekaterina Nikonova, Peng Zhang, and Jochen Renz. 2023. Phy-q as a measure for physical reasoning intelligence. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5(1):83–93.
- An Yang, Anfeng Li, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Gao, Chengen Huang, Chenxu Lv, and 1 others. 2025. Qwen3 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.09388*.
- Rowan Zellers, Yonatan Bisk, Roy Schwartz, and Yejin Choi. 2018. Swag: A large-scale adversarial dataset for grounded commonsense inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.05326*.