

# Justification of the Gradient Direction (Orthogonality to Level Sets)

Group 2 – Ferschl Martin, Reiter Roman, Zenkic Mirza

December 12, 2025

## 1 Task specification

We consider a scalar function

$$f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x, y),$$

which is sufficiently smooth (at least continuously differentiable). For a fixed value  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , the equation

$$f(x, y) = c$$

defines a curve in the plane (a *level set* or *contour line* of  $f$ ). Under suitable regularity assumptions (e.g.  $f_x$  and  $f_y$  not both zero on the curve), this level set can locally be parameterized as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in I \subset \mathbb{R},$$

so that

$$f(x(t), y(t)) = c \quad \text{for all } t \in I.$$

The vector

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x'(t) \\ y'(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

is the tangent (velocity) vector to the curve at the point  $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x(t), y(t))^\top$ . The claim is that the gradient

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} f_x(x, y) \\ f_y(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

is orthogonal to this tangent vector at each point on the level curve.

We prove this using the chain rule.

## 2 Chain rule argument

Let  $f(x, y)$  be  $C^1$  (continuously differentiable), and let  $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x(t), y(t))^\top$  be a differentiable parameterization of the level set  $f(x, y) = c$ . By definition of the level set, we have

$$f(x(t), y(t)) = c \quad \text{for all } t.$$

We now differentiate this identity with respect to  $t$ .

### Step 1: Differentiate the composition

Consider the composite function

$$g(t) := f(x(t), y(t)).$$

We know that  $g(t) \equiv c$  is constant, hence

$$g'(t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t.$$

On the other hand, by the (one-dimensional) chain rule applied to the composition  $f \circ \mathbf{x}$ , we have

$$g'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} f(x(t), y(t)) = f_x(x(t), y(t)) x'(t) + f_y(x(t), y(t)) y'(t),$$

where  $f_x$  and  $f_y$  denote the partial derivatives of  $f$  with respect to its first and second argument.

Combining both expressions for  $g'(t)$ , we obtain the scalar equation

$$f_x(x(t), y(t)) x'(t) + f_y(x(t), y(t)) y'(t) = 0.$$

### Step 2: Interpret as a dot product

We can rewrite this as a dot product of two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\nabla f(x(t), y(t)) \cdot \mathbf{x}'(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_x(x(t), y(t)) \\ f_y(x(t), y(t)) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x'(t) \\ y'(t) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Thus, at every point on the level curve where  $\mathbf{x}'(t) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we have

$$\nabla f(x(t), y(t)) \cdot \mathbf{x}'(t) = 0,$$

i.e. the gradient vector is orthogonal to the tangent vector.

## 3 Geometric interpretation and connection to gradient descent

The above calculation shows that at any point  $(x, y)$  on the level set  $f(x, y) = c$ , the gradient  $\nabla f(x, y)$  is perpendicular to the level curve passing through that point. Equivalently:

- $\nabla f(x, y)$  points in the direction of *steepest increase* of  $f$ .
- $-\nabla f(x, y)$  points in the direction of *steepest decrease* of  $f$ .
- The level curve  $f(x, y) = c$  is locally “flat” in the sense that moving along the curve (i.e. along  $\mathbf{x}'(t)$ ) leaves the value of  $f$  unchanged; hence there is no component of  $\nabla f$  in the tangential direction, only in the normal direction.

This orthogonality property justifies the gradient descent step used in Task 4:

$$(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) = (x_k, y_k) - \gamma \nabla f(x_k, y_k).$$

At each iteration, we move from the current point in the direction  $-\nabla f$ , i.e. orthogonally to the contours of  $f$ , in the direction of steepest decrease of the function value. For convex functions, this iteration can be shown (under suitable step-size conditions) to converge to a global minimizer.