Problem Set 1

Applied Stats II

Due: February 12, 2023 - Marcus Ó Faoláin, 16327268

Instructions

- Please show your work! You may lose points by simply writing in the answer. If the problem requires you to execute commands in R, please include the code you used to get your answers. Please also include the .R file that contains your code. If you are not sure if work needs to be shown for a particular problem, please ask.
- Your homework should be submitted electronically on GitHub in .pdf form.
- This problem set is due before 23:59 on Sunday February 19, 2023. No late assignments will be accepted.

Question 1

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test uses cumulative distribution statistics test the similarity of the empirical distribution of some observed data and a specified PDF, and serves as a goodness of fit test. The test statistic is created by:

$$D = \max_{i=1:n} \left\{ \frac{i}{n} - F_{(i)}, F_{(i)} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right\}$$

where F is the theoretical cumulative distribution of the distribution being tested and $F_{(i)}$ is the *i*th ordered value. Intuitively, the statistic takes the largest absolute difference between the two distribution functions across all x values. Large values indicate dissimilarity and the rejection of the hypothesis that the empirical distribution matches the queried theoretical distribution. The p-value is calculated from the Kolmogorov- Smirnoff CDF:

$$p(D \le x) \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{x} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-(2k-1)^2 \pi^2 / (8x^2)}$$

which generally requires approximation methods (see Marsaglia, Tsang, and Wang 2003). This so-called non-parametric test (this label comes from the fact that the distribution of the test statistic does not depend on the distribution of the data being tested) performs

poorly in small samples, but works well in a simulation environment. Write an R function that implements this test where the reference distribution is normal. Using R generate 1,000 Cauchy random variables (rcauchy(1000, location = 0, scale = 1)) and perform the test (remember, use the same seed, something like set.seed(123), whenever you're generating your own data).

As a hint, you can create the empirical distribution and theoretical CDF using this code:

```
# create empirical distribution of observed data

ECDF <- ecdf(data)

empiricalCDF <- ECDF(data)

# generate test statistic

D <- max(abs(empiricalCDF - pnorm(data)))
```

Question 1: Answers

Our objective in this question is to generate a function that can successfully calculate the p-value of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. We can test the accuracy of our function by testing it against the built-in ks.test() function at the end.

First, we generate 1,000 Cauchy variables:

```
\frac{data}{data} \leftarrow \frac{reauchy}{1000}, \ location = 0, \ scale = 1)
```

We can check that they have generated by running:

```
1 data
```

Next, we can use the hint code to generate the empirical CDF and the test statistic, D:

```
ECDF <- ecdf(data)
empiricalCDF <- ECDF(data)
```

```
3 # generate test statistic

4 # D = \max(|Fo(x) - Ft(x)|)

5 D <- \max(abs(empiricalCDF - pnorm(data)))

6 D
```

When run, this gives us an output of 0.1347281.

We can now test the data using the built in ks.test() function, which yields the following results:

```
ks.test(data, "pnorm")

> ks.test(data, "pnorm")

Asymptotic one-sample
    Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

data: data
D = 0.13573, p-value =
2.22e-16
alternative hypothesis: two-sided
```

We can see from this that the p-value is extremely small, at 2.22e-16.

The purpose of the KS test is to see if two data samples come from the same distribution in a two sample test, or in the case of one sample test, to see if a data sample comes from a given fixed distribution, such as the normal distribution.

If the p-value is above our critical alpha value (such as alpha = 0.05), then we cannot reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the sample data is from a normal distribution.

If the p-value is below our critical alpha value (such as alpha = 0.05), then we reject null hypothesis and must conclude that the data sample was not collected from a normal distribution.

Since our p-value is well below 0.05, we can conclude that the data sample does not come from a normal distribution.

We can now attempt to create our own ks.test function, by attempting to convert the formula from Marsaglia, Tsang and Wang 2003 into R code.

First, we attempt to recreate the mMultiply function from the paper:

```
mMultiply <- function(A, B, C, m){
```

```
i <-0
      j < -0
      while (i <m) {
5
         while (j <m) {
6
            s < -0
            k~<\!\!-0
8
9
            while (k<m) {
               s \leftarrow s + A[i*m+k]*B[k*m+j]
10
              C[i*m+j] \leftarrow s
11
               k < -k+1
12
13
14
            j < -j +1
15
         i < -i+1
16
17
18
```

Next, we attempt to create the mPower function from the paper.

```
_{1} mPower \leftarrow function (A, eA, V, eV, m, n) {
      if(n ==1){
         i\!<\!\!-1
3
         while (i <m*m) {
           V[i] <- A[i]
           eV <\!\!-eA
6
            i < -i+1
8
        B <- matrix (nrow=m, ncol =m)
9
         mMultiply (V, V, B, m)
10
        \mathrm{eB}~<\!\!-2\mathrm{*eV}
11
12
      if (n\%2==0){
13
         i <-0
14
         while (i <m) {
15
           V[i] <- B[i]
16
           eV \leftarrow eB
17
            i < -i+1
18
19
      }
20
      else {
21
        mMultiply (A,B,V,m)
22
23
        eV \leftarrow eA + eB
24
      if(V[(m/2)*m+(m/2)]>1e140){
25
         i\!<\!\!-0
26
         while (i<m) {
27
           V[i] < -V[i] * (1e-140)
28
           \mathrm{eV} \, < \!\! - \, \mathrm{eV} \, + \! 140
29
         i < -i+1
30
31
зз return (В)
```

34 }

Finally, we attempt to recreate the function K(n, D) function from the paper.

```
1 \text{ knd} \leftarrow \text{function}(n, d)  {
     k < - (n*d) +1
     m <\!\! - \ 2\!*\!k\!-\!1
     h \leftarrow k-n*d
     H \leftarrow matrix(nrow=m, ncol = m)
     Q \leftarrow matrix(nrow=m, ncol = m)
6
     i < -0
8
     j < -0
9
     while (i < m) {
10
        while (j < m) {
11
           if(i-j+1 < 0){
12
             H[i*m+j]<-0
13
           }
14
           else {
15
             H[i*m+j] < -1
16
17
           j \leftarrow j+1
18
19
        i < -i+1
20
21
     i < -0
22
     while (i < m) {
23
        H[i*m] \leftarrow H[i*m] - (h^{(i+1)})
24
        H[(m-1)*m+1] \leftarrow H[(m-1)*m+1] - (h^{(m-i)})
25
        i < -i +1
26
27
     H[(m-1)*m] \leftarrow H[(m-1)*m] + ifelse(2 * h - 1>0, (2*h-1)^m, 0)
28
     i < -0
29
     j < -0
30
     while (i∢m) {
31
        while (j <m) {
32
           if(i-j+1 > 0){
33
34
             g < -1
              while (g \le i-j+1)
35
                H[i*m+j] <- H[i*m+j]/g
36
                g \leftarrow g+1
37
38
39
           j \leftarrow j+1
40
41
        i < -i+1
42
43
     eH < -0
44
     mPower(H, eH, Q, m, n)
45
46
     s \leftarrow Q[(k-1)*m+k-1]
47
     i < -1
48
```

```
while (i \le 1)
         s < - s * i / n
50
             if (s < 1e - 140) 
51
             s < - s * 1e140
52
             \mathrm{eQ} <\!\!- \mathrm{eQ}{-}140
53
54
55
         i < -i+1
56
      s < -s * (10^eQ)
57
      return(s)
58
59
```

We then test our created function, which generates the following output:

1 knd (1000,D)

```
> knd(1000,D)
Error in mPower(H, eH, Q, m, n) :
   argument "n" is missing, with no default
```

As we can see, the function performs poorly at generating the p-value, giving an error message instead of a p-value.

Question 2

Estimate an OLS regression in R that uses the Newton-Raphson algorithm (specifically BFGS, which is a quasi-Newton method), and show that you get the equivalent results to using 1m. Use the code below to create your data.

```
set.seed (123)

2 \text{ data2} \leftarrow \text{ data.frame}(x = \text{runif}(200, 1, 10))

3 \text{ data2\$y} \leftarrow 0 + 2.75*\text{data2\$x} + \text{rnorm}(200, 0, 1.5)
```

Question 2 Answers

We start off by generating the data as above.

```
set.seed (123) data2 <- data.frame(x = runif(200, 1, 10)) data2$y <- 0 + 2.75* data2$x + rnorm(200, 0, 1.5)
```

We can inspect the top six observations of the data using the head() function.

head (data2)

> head(data2)

```
x y
1 3.588198 8.801934
2 8.094746 22.645878
3 4.680792 12.502141
4 8.947157 24.083367
5 9.464206 24.599137
6 1.410008 3.809982
```

We start off by estimating the slope and intercept of the data using the linear regression method.

```
q_{lm} < lm(y^x, data = data2)
summary(q_{lm})
```

This function call generates the following output.

```
Call:
lm(formula = y \sim x, data = data2)
Residuals:
             10 Median
                             3Q
    Min
                                    Max
-3.1906 -0.9374 -0.1665
                         0.8931
                                 4.8032
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 0.13919
                        0.25276
                                  0.551
                                           0.582
             2.72670
                        0.04159
                                 65.564
                                          <2e-16 ***
Х
___
                0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1
Signif. codes:
Residual standard error: 1.447 on 198 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.956,
                                Adjusted R-squared:
                                                     0.9557
F-statistic: 4299 on 1 and 198 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

As we can see, the linear model gives us an intercept estimate of 0.13919 and a slope estimate of 2.72670.

We must estimate an OLS regression that uses the Newton-Raphson algorithm.

We set up the linear likelihood function that returns the log likelihood of the Maximum likelihood estimate, since the slope and intercepts values that maximise the log likelihood will also maximise likelihood and is less computationally intensive.

Next, we can find the values that maximise the log likelihood and therefore return the maximum likelihood intercept and slope values using the optim() function.

We can get find out the elements of the MLE estimation using \$par.

linear.MLE\$par

When we run this we generate the following output:

```
> linear.MLE$par
```

```
[1] 0.1398324 2.7265559 -1.4390716
```

As we can see from the output, we get similar results to the linear model: around 0.1398 for the intercept and around 2.7266 for the slope, which is approximately equivalent to our linear model estimates of 0.13919 for our intercept and 2.72670 for our slope.

This shows that we can generate equivalent results using a linear model as we can with an OLS regression that uses the Newton-Raphson algorithm.