## HWII

1100 constantion	11 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
NeOrganization	Let E be an instance for 3-SAT with m clauses and 3 literals.
The same of the sa	For each clause in E there is a corresponding TA who is assigned
	to the exam. Additionally, for each TA there exists a set of questions
	corresponding to the literals of the clause from which it was
	made. For each clause in E there is also a corresponding TA who
	is assigned to the makeup exam. For each of these This there
	exists a set of questions corresponding to the negation of the
	literals of the clause from which it was made.
No. of	2007 P = 0
MP-Hardness:	3SAT & TA Problem. We claim that E is satisfiable if and only if
=>	the exams are disjoint in the TA problem. Suppose we have R, a
	satisfying assignment for E. For this to be the case, a must assign
	at least one literal, in each clause of E, to true. In the TA problem
	contructed from E, E', for each TA assigned to the exam, choose the
	questions corresponding to the literals set to true in the corresponding
	clauses, for each TA assigned to the makeup exam, chase the
	questions corresponding to the negations of the literals set to true
	in the corresponding clauses of R. See Figure () for darification.
2.	
	$\overline{X}_1 = P_4$ ; $\overline{X}_2 = P_5$ ; $\overline{X}_3 = P_6$ ; $X_1 = P_1$ ; $X_2 = P_2$ ; $X_3 = P_3$
	$(\overline{X}, \vee X_2 \vee X_3) \wedge (\overline{X}, \vee X_2 \vee \overline{X}_3) \wedge (X_1 \vee \overline{X}_2 \vee \overline{X}_3)$
Figure (1)	Exam Makeup Exam
J	TA1: P4, P2, P3 TA4: P1, P5, P6
	TA2: Py, Pz, Pc TAS: P, Ps, P3
	TA3: P., Ps, Pc TA6: Pu, P2 P3
	1,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1
	In R: X, X2, and X3 are set to true, so P4, P2, and P6 are chosen for the exam.
	Accordingly, the negations of those set to true are X, X, and X, so we
	pick P., Ps, and Ps for the makeup exam. Thus we have sets of
	EPu, Pz, Po3 for the exam and &P., Ps, Ps3 for the makeup exam; these sets
	are disjoint. E' will fulfill the requirements of the TA problem because each TA
S 40	assigned to either exam will have at least one of their questions chosen. This
11.1	is because each clause in that at least one literal set to true. The sets
	of questions chosen for each exam will be disjoint as the questions chosen
	for the makeup exam are derived from the negations of the literals
	corresponding to the questions chosen for the exam. Thus there will be
	no common questions between exams and the sets are disjoint.

## HWII

NIP II I		
NP-Hordness:	Consider a disjoint set in E'; we know from the TA problem specification	
Cont. <=	that all TA's will have at least one of their questions chosen. Each TA	
	corresponds to a clause in the 3SAT and each question corresponds to	
	a literal in the clause corresponding to the associated Th. As such, if	
	each TA has at least one question chosen, then each clause in the	
	35AT will have at least one literal set to true.	
τ	tributed to the product All the second to the second the second to the s	
time complexity	We traverse m clauses with exactly the literals in each clause.	The state of the s
	In the TA problem, each clause becomes 2 TAs (which can be done	7
	in O(1)) and their associated 3 questions. Thus the reduction is done in	and the
	O(3m) = O(m) and is with the required runtime necessary to achieve	3 -
	polynomial reduction.	
( )	Downfare the 20ne 11 1 TM	
Conclusion:	Therefore, the 3SAT problem reduces to the TA problem. As stated in the	
	scribe notes, the 35AT problem is NP-Hard. Thus, the TA problem is	
	NP-Hard.	
	[하고] 1000년 1000년 1200년	
		20 Ta 10