## Model of Patel-Rangan-Cai on J Comput Neurosci 09

Reproduction, by Mogei Wang, in 2015-9-6, at NYUAD

## 1 Membrane potentials

The membrane potential for each PN is governed by the following equation:

$$C_m \frac{dV_{PN}}{dt} = -g_L(V_{PN} - E_L) - I_{Na} - I_K - I_A - I_{GABA} - I_{nACH} - I_{stim} - I_{slow},$$

where  $C_m = 1.0 \mu F$ ,  $g_L = 0.3 \mu S$ ,  $E_L = -64 mV$ .

The membrane potential for each LN is governed by the following equation:

$$C_m \frac{dV_{LN}}{dt} = -g_L(V_{LN} - E_L) - I_{Ca} - I_K - I_B - I_{GABA} - I_{nACH} - I_{stim},$$

where  $C_m = 1.0 \mu F$ ,  $g_L = 0.3 \mu S$ ,  $E_L = -50 mV$ .

## 2 Intrinsic currents

The intrinsic currents consisted of fast sodium and potassium currents  $I_{Na}$  and  $I_K$ , a transient calcium current  $I_{Ca}$ , a calcium-dependent potassium current  $I_B$ , and a transient potassium current  $I_A$ . All such currents obeyed equations of the following form:

$$I_j = g_j m^M h^N (V - E_j),$$

where j is K, Na, Ca, A or B.

The maximal conductances were  $g_{Na}=120\mu S, g_K=3.6\mu S, g_A=1.43\mu S$  for PNs; and  $g_{Ca}=5.0\mu S, g_B=0.045\mu S, g_K=36\mu S$  for LNs.

For PNs, the reversal potentials were  $E_{Na}=40mV, E_K=E_A=-87mV$ ; and for LNs, they were  $E_{Ca}=140mV, E_K=E_B=-95mV$ .

The gating variables m and h take values between 0 and 1 and obey the following equations:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{m_{\infty}(V) - m}{\tau_m(V)},$$

and

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \frac{h_{\infty}(V) - h}{\tau_h(V)}.$$

Detailed parameters can be found from Table [1] and [2], where the dynamics of intracellular calcium concentration [Ca] were governed by the following equation:

$$\frac{d[Ca]}{dt} = -AI_{Ca} - \frac{[Ca] - [Ca]_{\infty}}{\tau},$$

where  $[Ca]_{\infty} = 0.00024 mM, A = 0.0002 (mM \cdot cm^2)/(ms \cdot \mu A), \tau = 150 ms$ .

Table 1: m parameters for gating variables

| Gating              | M | $m_{\infty}$  | $	au_m$   |  |
|---------------------|---|---|---|--|
| $\overline{I_{Na}}$ | 3 | $\frac{0.1v - 2.5}{0.1v - 2.5 + 4(1 - e^{0.1V - 2.5})e^{\frac{V}{18}}}$ | $\frac{e^{0.1v-2.5}}{0.1v-2.5+4(1-e^{0.1V-2.5})e^{\frac{V}{18}}}$       |  |
| $I_K$               | 4 | $\frac{0.01(V-10)}{0.01(V-10)+0.125e^{\frac{v}{80}}}$                   | $\frac{e^{\frac{V-10}{10}}-1}{0.01(V-10)+0.125e^{\frac{V}{80}}}$        |  |
| $I_{Ca}$            | 2 | $\frac{1}{1+e^{-\frac{V+20}{6.5}}}$                                     | 1 + 0.014(V + 30)   |  |
| $I_A$               | 4 | $\frac{1}{1+e^{-\frac{V+60}{8.5}}}$                                     | $0.1 + \frac{0.27}{e^{\frac{V+35.8}{19.7}} + e^{-\frac{V+79.7}{12.7}}}$ |  |
| $I_B$               | 1 | $\frac{[Ca]}{[Ca]+2}$   | $\frac{100}{[Ca]+2}$  |  |

Table 2: h parameters for gating variables

| Gating   | N | $h_{\infty}$  | $	au_h$  |
|----------|---|---|--|
| $I_{Na}$ | 1 | $\frac{0.07e^{0.05V}(e^{0.1V-3}+1)}{1+0.07e^{0.05V}(e^{0.1V-3}+1)}$ | $\frac{e^{0.1V-3}+1}{1+0.07e^{0.05V}(e^{0.1V-3}+1)}$                       |
| $I_K$    | 0 | -   | -  |
| $I_{Ca}$ | 1 | $\frac{\frac{1}{1+e^{\frac{V+25}{12}}}$                             | $0.3e^{\frac{V-40}{13}} + 0.002e^{-\frac{V-60}{29}}$                       |
| $I_A$    | 1 | $\frac{1}{1+e^{\frac{V+78}{6}}}$                                    | 5.1 (V > -63mV)  |
|          |   |   | $\frac{0.27}{e^{\frac{V+46}{5}}} + e^{-\frac{V+238}{37.5}} \text{ (else)}$ |
| $I_B$    | 0 | -   | -  |

## 3 Synaptic currents

The GABA and nicotinic acetylcholine currents were governed by equations of the following form:

$$I_i = g_i[O](V - E_i),$$

where j is nACH or GABA.

The reversal potentials were  $E_{nACH}=0mV$  and  $E_{GABA}=-70mV$  for both PNs and LNs. For PNs, the maximal synaptic conductances were  $g_{GABA}=0.36\mu S$  (from LNs to this PN),  $g_{nACH}=0.009\mu S$  (from other PNs to this PN); and for LNs, they were  $g_{GABA}=0.3\mu S$  (from other LNs to this LN),  $g_{nACH}=0.045\mu S$  (from PNs to this LN).

The fraction of open channels [O] obeyed the equation

$$\frac{d[O]}{dt} = \alpha(1 - [O])[T] - \beta[O].$$

For GABAergic synapses the rate constants were  $\alpha = 10ms^{-1}$ ,  $\beta = 0.16ms^{-1}$ , while for nicotinic acetylcholine synapses the rate constants were  $\alpha = 10ms^{-1}$ ,  $\beta = 0.2ms^{-1}$ .

For GABAergic synapses [T] was governed by the equation  $[T] = \frac{1}{1+e^{\frac{-(V(t)-V_0)}{\sigma}}}$ , where  $V_0 = -20mV$ ,  $\sigma = 1.5$ .

For nicotinic acetylcholine synapses [T] was governed by the equation

$$[T] = A\theta(t_0 + t_{max} - t)\theta(t - t_0),$$

where  $\theta(x)$  is the Heaviside step function,  $t_0$  is the time of receptor activation, A = 0.5, and  $t_{max} = 0.3ms$ .

The slow inhibitory current from LNs to PNs was governed by the following scheme:

$$I_{slow} = g_{slow}(V - E_K) \frac{[G]^4}{[G]^4 + K},$$

where

$$\frac{d[G]}{dt} = r_3[R] - r_4[G]$$
; and in turn  $\frac{d[R]}{dt} = r_1(1 - [R])[T] - r_2[R]$ ,

 $g_{slow}=0.36\mu S$  from LNs to PNs only, the reversal potential was  $E_K=-95mV$  and  $K=100\mu M^4$ ; rate constants were  $r_3=0.1ms^{-1},~r_4=0.033ms^{-1},~r_1=0.5mM^{-1}ms^{-1},$  and  $r_2=0.0013ms^{-1}$