Econ8740 Assignment 2 September 28 2022

1. [21 Points] The data contain 935 observations on 17 variables. Use the describe command to get definition of all 17 variables.

Obtain summary statistics for all variables in the data and note the number of missing values for educ, sibs, meduc, and feduc.

1 . describe

Contains data from /Users/reihaneh/Desktop/Georgia state University/Fall2022/ECON 8740 :

> onometrics/Assignments/2/WAGE2.DTA

obs: 935 vars: 17 size: 20,570

14 Apr 1999 13:41

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
wage	int	%9.0g		monthly earnings
hours	byte	%9.0g		average weekly hours
IQ	int	%9.0g		IQ score
KWW	byte	%9.0g		knowledge of world work score
educ	byte	%9.0g		years of education
exper	byte	%9.0g		years of work experience
tenure	byte	%9.0g		years with current employer
age	byte	%9.0g		age in years
married	byte	%9.0g		=1 if married
black	byte	%9.0g		=1 if black
south	byte	%9.0g		=1 if live in south
urban	byte	%9.0g		=1 if live in SMSA
sibs	byte	%9.0g		number of siblings
brthord	byte	%9.0g		birth order
meduc	byte	%9.0g		mother's education
feduc	byte	%9.0g		father's education
lwage	float	%9.0g		natural log of wage

Sorted by:

. summarize

Variable	0bs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
wage	935	957.9455	404.3608	115	3078
hours	935	43.92941	7.224256	20	80
IQ	935	101.2824	15.05264	50	145
KWW	935	35.74439	7.638788	12	56
educ	935	13.46845	2.196654	9	18
exper	935	11.56364	4.374586	1	23
tenure	935	7.234225	5.075206	0	22
age	935	33.08021	3.107803	28	38
married	935	.8930481	.3092174	0	1
black	935	.1283422	.3346495	0	1
south	935	.3411765	. 4743582	0	1
urban	935	.7176471	.4503851	0	1
sibs	935	2.941176	2.306254	0	14
brthord	852	2.276995	1.595613	1	10
meduc	857	10.68261	2.849756	0	18
feduc	741	10.21727	3.3007	0	18
lwage	935	6.779004	.4211439	4.744932	8.032035

Note the number of missing values for educ, sibs, meduc, feduc

. count if missing(educ)

0

- . count if missing(sibs)
- . count if missing(meduc)
 78
- . count if missing(feduc)
 194

A. Obtain basic summary statistics for educ, sibs, meduc, and feduc for non-missing observations of meduc and feduc. (Hint: You should get 722 observations for each of the 4 variables.)

2 . sum educ sibs meduc feduc if meduc ~=. & feduc ~=.

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
educ	722	13.66343	2.236755	9	18
sibs	722	2.858726	2.250471	0	14
meduc	722	10.80609	2.828636	0	18
feduc	722	10.25485	3.305757	0	18

B- Estimate a linear regression of educ on sibs, meduc, and feduc. Write down the predicted equation. How much of the variation in educ is explained by sibs, meduc and feduc? Use the results to answer parts 1C through 1I below.

. regress educ	sibs meduc f	educ				
Source	SS	df	MS	Number	of obs	= 722
				- F(3, 7	18)	= 65.20
Model	772.281437	3	257.427146	Prob >	F	= 0.0000
Residual	2834.93324	718	3.94837499	R-squa	red	= 0.2141
				– Adj R-	squared	= 0.2108
Total	3607.21468	721	5.00307168	Root M	SE	= 1.9871
educ	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf	. Interval]
sibs	0936359	.0344713	-2.72	0.007	1613124	0259594
meduc	.1307872	.032689	4.00	0.000	.0666098	.1949646
feduc	.2100041	.0274748	7.64	0.000	.1560635	.2639447
_cons	10.36426	.3585001	28.91	0.000	9.660422	11.06809

The predicted equation is:

Educ = 10.36426 - 0.0936359*Sibs + 0.1307872*meduc +0.2100041*feduc

Based on R-square, 21.41% of variation in educ is explained by independent variables including sibs, meduc and feduc

C- Does sibs have the expected effect? Explain

There is a negative relation between the number of siblings and the number of years of education in a family. According to the results of the predicted equation, one more sibling reduced the number of years of education by 0.0936359. This can be interpreted as when the number of children increase in a family, ceteris paribus, the parents will have less time to spend for each child and less money to pay school fees.

Holding meduc and feduc fixed, by how much does sibs have to increase to reduce predicted years of education by one year? (A non-integer answer is acceptable here).

 Δ Educ = - 0.0936359* Δ Sibs

1=- 0.0936359*∆Sibs

ΔSibs=1/- 0.0936359

 Δ Sibs= -10.67.

To reduce the predicted years of education by one year, holding everything else constant, Sibs has to increase by 10.67.

Reihaneh Moghisi Jean Marc Kangah Yagaize anyanwu

D. Discuss the interpretation of the coefficient on feduc

One year increase in the level of father's education affects education by 0.2 if holding everything else constant.

E. Suppose that Man A has no siblings, and his mother and father each have 10 years of education. Man B has no siblings, and his mother and father each have 15 years of education.

What is the predicted difference in years of education between B and A?

Educ ManA = 10.36426 - 0.0936359*(0) + 0.1307872*(10) + 0.2100041*(10)

Educ ManA-= 13.7721

Educ ManB = 10.36426 - 0.0936359*(0) + 0.1307872*(15) + 0.2100041*(15)

Educ ManB= **15.4761**

The predicted difference between ManA and ManB is: 15.4761-13.7721 = 1.704.

F. Which of the factors are individually statistically significant at the 5% level of significance?

All the factors including sibs, meduc and feduc are statistically significant on educ P>|t|

Sibs: 0.007 Meduc: 0.000 Feduc: 0.000

G. Construct the 99% confidence interval for each of the population coefficients associated with the explanatory variables: sibs, meduc, and feduc.

. regress educ sibs meduc feduc, level(99)

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	722
Model	772.281437	3	257.427146	F(3, 718) Prob > F	=	65.20 0.0000
Residual	2834.93324	718	3.94837499	R-squared Adj R-squared	=	0.2141 0.2108
Total	3607.21468	721	5.00307168	Root MSE	=	1.9871

educ	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[99% Conf.	Interval]
sibs	0936359	.0344713	-2.72	0.007	1826646	0046072
meduc	.1307872	.032689	4.00	0.000	.0463616	.2152128
feduc	.2100041	.0274748	7.64	0.000	.139045	.2809631
_cons	10.36426	.3585001	28.91	0.000	9.43836	11.29015

[99% Conf. Interval]

Sibs: (-0.1826646, -0.0046072) Meduc: (0.0463616, 0.2152128) Feduc: (0.139045, 0.2809631)

H. Test the joint significance of sibs, meduc and feduc.

For the regression equation: Educ = $\beta_0 + \beta_1$ *Sibs + β_2 *meduc + β_3 *feduc

null hypothesis is: H₀: $\beta 1 = \beta 2 = \beta 3 = 0$

Compute the F-statistic: Fq, N-k = (RSSR - RSSUR) / q

. test sibs meduc feduc

```
( 1) sibs = 0
( 2) meduc = 0
( 3) feduc = 0
F( 3, 718) = 65.20
Prob > F = 0.0000
```

I. Test the joint significance of meduc and feduc.

. test meduc feduc

Question 2.

2 provide des and detail of data des, detail

37 . * 2 provide des and detail of data

38 . des,detail

Contains data from /Users/reihaneh/Desktop/Georgia state University/Fall2022/ECON 8740 > Stat and Econometrics/Assignments/2/data7aweb.dta

obs: 426,792 vars: 24 width: 115 size: 49,081,080

30 Jul 2001 07:15

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
cty1	int	%9.0g		IFS Country Code 1
cty2	int	%9.0g		IFS Country Code 2
year	int	%8.0g		
pairid	int	%9.0g		Unique Country-Pair Identifier
landl	byte	%9.0g		# Landlocked 0/1/2
island	byte	%9.0g		# Islands 0/1/2
border	byte	%8.0g		Land Border Dummy
comlang	byte	%8.0g		1 for Common Language
comcol	byte	%8.0g		Dummy for Common Colonizer post 1945
comctry	byte	%8.0g		Dummy for Same Nation/Perennial Colonies
colony	byte	%8.0g		Dummy for pairs ever in Colonial
				Relationship
curcol	byte	%8.0g		Dummy for pairs currently in Colonial
				Relationship
custrict	byte	%8.0g		Strict Currency Union
cumed	byte	%8.0g		Strict or inferred (from Transitivity)
				Currency Union
ltrade	float	%9.0g		Log Value of Bilateral Trade in Real \$
regional	byte	%8.0g		RTA Dummy
lareap	float	%9.0g		Log of Product of Land Areas
ldist	float	%9.0g		Log of Distance
lrgdp	float	%9.0g		Log of Product of Real GDPs
lrgdppc	float	%9.0g		Log of Product of Real GDPs per capita
ctyname1	str34	%34s		
rgdp1	float	%9.0g		Real GDP
ctyname2	str34	%34s		200.000000000
rgdp2	float	%9.0g		Real GDP

Sorted by: ctyl cty2 year

* 2.1 define the set of variables called A

global A Itrade Idist Irgdp Irgdppc comlang border regional landl island lareap comcol curcol colony comctry

* 2.1 Provide descriptive statistics of variables in A by currencyunion

bysort custrict: sum \$A

- . * 2.1 define the set of variables called A
- . global A ltrade ldist lrgdp lrgdppc comlang border regional landl island lareap comc
- > ol curcol colony comctry

•

- . * 2.1 Provide descriptive statistics by currencyunion
- . bysort custrict: sum \$A

					-> custrict = 0
Max	Min	Std. Dev.	Mean	0 bs	Variable
23.86674	-16.11561	3.684208	10.70738	422,715	ltrade
9.421514	3.684131	.8195516	8.150077	306,720	ldist
58.01698	36.87086	2.632033	47.89685	216,389	lrgdp
20.89841	10.1211	1.436249	16.06051	216,389	lrgdppc
1	0	.3536102	.146503	422,715	comlang
1	0	.1401193	.0200348	422,715	border
1	0	.080525	.0065269	422,715	regional
2	0	.4546681	.2314892	306,727	landl
2	0	.5433083	.3457798	306,727	island
33.03472	4.916325	3.609643	23.78475	306,727	lareap
1	0	. 2357437	.0590634	422,715	comcol
1	0	.0401932	.0016181	422,715	curcol
1	0	.1130268	.0129425	422,715	colony
1	0	.0234712	.0005512	422,715	comctry
					-> custrict = 1
Max	Min	Std. Dev.	Mean	0bs	Variable
17.74001	-6.278147	3.099791	10.57258	4,077	ltrade
9.350468	3.782556	1.011146	7.07738	4,077	ldist
52.50938	35.3876	3.059198	44.71219	3,169	lrgdp
20.59256	11.80947	1.561307	14.51523	3,169	lrgdppc
1	0	.35961	.8474368	4,077	comlang
1	0	.364056	.1572234	4,077	border
1	0	.260321	.073093	4,077	regional
2	0	.5351257	.3056169	4,077	landl
2	0	.7077391	.4422369	4,077	island
28.60295	10.43412	4.299664	23.17425	4,077	lareap
1	0	.4734193	.6610253	4,077	comcol
1	0	.3686143	.162129	4,077	curcol
1	0	.4177425	.2251656	4,077	colony
1	0	.2841214	.0885455	4,077	comctry

^{* 2.2} restricting data to year 1997 from now on

summarize \$A if year == 1997

^{* 2.}A. obtain summary statistics for variable set A

. summarize \$A if year == 1997

Variable	0bs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
ltrade	14,105	10.14634	4.095246	-7.163213	23.86674
ldist	10,889	8.149808	.8190299	3.684131	9.421514
lrgdp	7,996	48.23964	2.833131	38.49837	58.01698
lrgdppc	7,996	16.22658	1.592804	11.23118	19.90353
comlang	14,105	.1386033	.3455444	0	1
border	14,105	.0180078	.1329841	0	1
regional	14,105	.0139667	.1173567	0	1
landl	10,890	.3421488	.5355533	0	2
island	10,890	.3606979	.5516053	0	2
lareap	10,890	23.26414	3.697372	5.521061	32.76884
comcol	14,105	.0702588	.2555917	0	1
curcol	14,105	.0008508	.0291565	0	1
colony	14,105	.0103509	.1012152	0	1
comctry	14,105	.0007799	.0279162	0	1

* 2.B restricting data to non missing of Irgdp set A summarize \$A if Irgdp !=. & year ==1997 . * 2.B restricting data to non missing of Irgdp set A

summarize \$A if lrgdp !=. & year ==1997

Variable	0bs	Mean	Std. Dev	. Min	Max
ltrade	7,996	9.771477	3.651089	-7.163213	20.70488
ldist	7,996	8.159072	.8054172	3.782556	9.421514
lrgdp	7,996	48.23964	2.833131	38.49837	58.01698
lrgdppc	7,996	16.22658	1.592804	11.23118	19.90353
comlang	7,996	.1885943	.3912105	0	1
border	7,996	.0251376	.1565527	0	1
regional	7,996	.0231366	.1503466	0	1
landl	7,996	.3528014	.5393517	0	2
island	7,996	.3289145	.5294285	0	2
lareap	7,996	23.82492	3.285805	11.39347	32.19601
comcol	7,996	.0975488	.2967221	0	1
curcol	7,996	0	0	0	0
colony	7,996	.0147574	.1205878	0	1
comctry	7,996	0	0	0	0

^{* 2.}C obtain summary statistics for non missing lrgdp set A by currency union by custrict, sort : summarize \$A if Irgdp !=. & year ==1997

by custrict, sort : summarize \$A if lrgdp !=. & year ==1997

custrict = 0					
Variable	0bs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
ltrade	7,913	9.783523	3.654291	-7.163213	20.7048
ldist	7,913	8.175889	.7876403	4.016798	9.42151
lrgdp	7,913	48.28449	2.802683	39.00064	58.0169
lrgdppc	7,913	16.24903	1.578818	11.23118	19.9035
comlang	7,913	.1810944	.3851207	0	
border	7,913	.0230001	.1499132	0	
regional	7,913	.0218628	.1462446	0	
landl	7,913	.3506887	.5377366	0	
island	7,913	.3290787	.5269625	0	
lareap	7,913	23.83256	3.266342	11.45953	32.1960
comcol	7,913	.0898521	. 2859879	0	
curcol	7,913	0	0	0	
colony	7,913	.0147858	.1207022	0	
comctry	7,913	0	0	0	
> custrict = 1					
Variable	0bs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
ltrade	83	8.623031	3.144354	-5.870682	15.6336
ldist	83	6.555757	.8716879	3.782556	8.10210
lrgdp	83	43.96355	2.445333	38.49837	52.3188
lrgdppc	83	14.08631	1.470661	12.23975	19.1566
comlang	83	.9036145	.2969133	0	3
border	83	.2289157	. 4226889	0	(5) (3)
regional	83	.1445783	.353813	0	
landl	83	.5542169	.648695	0	
island	83	.313253	.7313154	0	:
lareap	83	23.09632	4.763322	11.39347	28.0827
comcol	83	.8313253	.3767407	0	(3
curcol	83	0	0	0	
				172	
colony comctry	83 83	.0120482	.1097643	0	

^{* 2.}D what is std for current colony? what assumption it violates?

The std =zero. if we want to include this in reg analysis it will violate the third assumption of no perfect collinearity

* 2.E Run OLS regression of "Log real trade" on the explanatory variables identified in Table 2

- * Run OLS egression of Log real Trade on B reg Itrade \$B if year == 1997
- reg ltrade custrict ldist lrgdp lrgdppc comlang border regional landl island lareap
- comcol colony if year == 1997

Source	SS	df	MS		er of obs	=	7,996
			2222222		, 7983)	=	1420.75
Model	72588.3656	12	6049.03047	Prob	> F	=	0.0000
Residual	33988.5662	7,983	4.25761821	L R-sq	uared	=	0.6811
				- Adj	R-squared	=	0.6806
Total	106576.932	7,995	13.330448	Root	MSE	=	2.0634
ltrade	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Co	nf.	Interval]
40.000.00							
custrict	.903533	.2422384	3.73	0.000	.428682	25	1.378383
ldist	-1.273237	.0347425	-36.65	0.000	-1.34134	2	-1.205133
lrgdp	.9414817	.0145966	64.50	0.000	.912868	86	.9700948
lrgdppc	.424044	.0222102	19.09	0.000	.380506	52	.4675817
comlang	.4206994	.0659431	6.38	0.000	.291433	37	.549965
border	.7448734	.1631055	4.57	0.000	.42514	4	1.064603
regional	.8932714	.1653786	5.40	0.000	.56908	86	1.217457
landl	3016932	.0461099	-6.54	0.000	392080	6	2113058
island	0832614	.0550904	-1.51	0.131	191253	1	.0247302
lareap	0931269	.0119216	-7.81	0.000	116496	64	0697575
comcol	.3863664	.0903623	4.28	0.000	.209232	27	.5635001
colony	1.310422	.1967422	6.66	0.000	.92475	6	1.696088
cons	-29.97018	.5021204	-59.69	0.000	-30.9544	17	-28.98589

```
(1)
VARIABLES
                 ltrade
custrict 0.904***
         (0.242)
ldist
        -1.273***
         (0.0347)
lrgdp
        0.941***
         (0.0146)
lrgdppc 0.424***
        (0.0222)
comlang 0.421***
         (0.0659)
border
        0.745***
         (0.163)
regional 0.893***
         (0.165)
landl
        -0.302***
         (0.0461)
island
        -0.0833
         (0.0551)
        -0.0931***
lareap
         (0.0119)
        0.386***
comcol
         (0.0904)
        1.310***
colony
         (0.197)
Constant -29.97***
         (0.502)
Observations
                 7,996
R-squared
                 0.681
Standard errors in parentheses
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1
```

- * 2.F Would you say that the independent variables explain much of the variation in log real trade in part (2.2E) above?
- * we can say 68.11% of variation in dependent variable which is "log real trade" is explained by independent variables

Please include pdf of your do .le and well-labelled output (log) .le. In addition, prepare a summary table for results from part (2.2E) using outreg2 command. Your summary table should be similar in format to Table 2 of Glick and Rose (2002), but use 3 or 4 decimal points for coe¢ cient estimates and their standard errors.

```
(1)
VARIABLES
                 ltrade
custrict 0.904***
        (0.242)
ldist
        -1.273***
        (0.0347)
lrgdp
        0.941***
        (0.0146)
lrgdppc 0.424***
        (0.0222)
comlang
        0.421***
        (0.0659)
border
        0.745***
        (0.163)
regional 0.893***
        (0.165)
landl
        -0.302***
        (0.0461)
island
        -0.0833
        (0.0551)
        -0.0931***
lareap
        (0.0119)
comcol
        0.386***
        (0.0904)
colony
        1.310***
        (0.197)
Constant -29.97***
        (0.502)
Observations
                 7,996
R-squared
                 0.681
Standard errors in parentheses
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1
```