

## Finding k-majority element

**Problem:** Given an array  $A$  storing  $n$  elements and a parameter  $k$  which is a positive integer, an element of  $A$  is said to be  $k$ -majority of  $A$  if it appears more than  $n/k$  times in  $A$ . Design an algorithm which computes a  $k$ -majority element, if exists, in array  $A$ . The algorithm must have  $O(nk)$  time complexity.  $O(k)$  extra space can be used.

### Solution:

Let  $p$  be a  $k$  majority element in  $A$ . we state the following lemma that establishes the presence of  $p$  as a  $k$ -majority element in  $A$  after deleting  $k$  different elements from it.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $p$  is a  $k$ -majority element in an array of size  $n$ ; then it is a  $k$ -majority element in an array of size  $n - k$  obtained after neglecting  $k$  different elements from the original array.*

*Proof.* Case1: ( $p$  is among the neglected  $k$  'different' elements)

Let  $count$  be the number of times  $p$  appears in original array, clearly  $count > n/k$  Now  $count$  decreases by 1 after neglecting  $k$  elements. and number of elements in new array is  $n-k$ . So  $p$  appears greater than  $n/k - 1 = (n-k)/(k)$  times in an array of size  $n-k$ . Hence it still a  $k$ -majority element in new array.

Case2: ( $p$  is not among the neglected  $k$  'different' elements)

Here, after neglecting  $k$  different elements,  $count > n/k$  and number of elements in new array are  $(n-k)$ . As,  $n/k$  is greater than  $(n-k)/k$ ; so  $p$  is a  $k$ -majority element of the new array.  $\square$

### Proving correctness of the algorithm:

#### NOTATIONS:

- a) 'Corresponding count' of an element  $B[i]$  is the value  $C[i]$
- b)  $B$  is said to be full when count corresponding to each of its element in  $C$  is non-zero.
- c) First empty location in  $B$  is the minimum  $i$  for which  $C[i]=0$
- d) Corresponding count of  $B[i]$  is  $C[i]$
- e) 'Remembered elements' of  $A$  are those which have not yet been scanned or are present as some element in array  $B$ .

We need to prove that Algorithm 1 is correct. Note that if a  $k$ -majority element does not exist, our algorithm outputs nothing.

Further, It follows from the discussion preceding Lemma 1 that it suffices if we can show that Algorithm 1 indeed neglect sets of  $k$  distinct elements [if they exist] traversing from 0th element to end of array  $A$ .

If a  $k$ -majority element exists, its presence is guaranteed in final array  $B$  which is obtained by exhausting elements of array  $A$  by lemma 1 and since we are checking the number of occurrences of all elements of  $B$  in the end, we can identify the  $k$ -majority element if it exists.

**Lemma 2.** *Whenever array  $B$  is full, it neglects  $k$  different elements of array  $A$  and it does this until the size of 'remembered elements' of  $A$  becomes less than or equal to  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Each element of array  $B$  stores distinct values from  $A$  at any instant and  $C$  stores their corresponding count.

We keep on filling  $B$  until it is full and then neglect all current entries of  $B$  which are  $k$  in number. This is signified by decreasing their count by one.

Note, this is as if those  $k$  entries never existed in array  $A$ .

Hence, after each instant of array  $B$  being full,  $k$  distinct entries of  $A$  are forgotten.

**Data:** Input will be an array  $A[0.....n - 1]$  and the number  $k$ . The algorithm will use two additional arrays  $B[0.....k-1]$  and  $C[0.....k-1]$  each of size  $k$  such that  $B[i]$  will store some element of  $A$  and  $c[i]$  will store the corresponding count of that element in a certain 'sense' which gets clear as you read the algorithm.

**Result:** The algorithm outputs a  $k$ -majority element of  $A$  if it exists.

```

1 Initialise all elements of  $C$  to be equal to 0.;
2 Initialise all elements of  $B$  to a value unexpected/not present in  $A$ 
3 for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
4   if  $A[i]$  is present in  $B$  then
5     Let  $B[t] = A[i]$ ;
6      $C[t] \leftarrow C[t] + 1$ ;
7   else
8     find the first empty location of  $B$ , say  $e$ .  $B[e] \leftarrow A[i]$ ;
9      $C[e] \leftarrow 1$ ;
10  end
11  if array  $B$  is full then
12    decrease the count of each element of  $C$  by 1;
13  end
14 end
15 for each element in  $B$  whose corresponding count  $> 0$  do
16   scan array  $A$  to check its number of occurrences;
17   If number of occurrences of a certain number say  $B[x] > n/k$ , output  $B[x]$ .break;
18 end

```

**Algorithm 1:** Algorithm to find  $k$ -majority element in an array

This aspect, along with the fact that repetition of an element already present in  $B$  adds no new entry in  $B$  ensures that after exhausting all elements of  $A$ , only  $k$  or less distinct elements remain behind as entries of  $B$ . Since maximum number of neglects are less than or equal to floor function applied to  $n/k$  (which is further strictly less than occurrences of a  $k$ -majority element if it exists); hence such an element is bound to be present in the final array  $B$ .  $\square$

### Analysis of Time and Space complexity the Algorithm

Algorithm 1 executes “for” loop  $n - 1$  times, and in each iteration it spends  $O(k)$  time. Thereafter, it spends a total of  $O(nk)$  time in the “for” loop. Thereafter, it scans ‘non-zero count’ entries of array  $B$  and finds their number of occurrences in array  $A$ . This also takes  $O(nk)$  time. So overall time complexity of the algorithm is  $O(nk)$ . The algorithm uses two additional arrays  $B$  and  $C$  each of size  $k$  in addition to a few variables. Hence, the algorithm uses  $O(k)$  extra space.