

Extending Accessibility Analysis With True Multi-Modality

Master Thesis



Author: Moritz Gottschling (Student ID: 7350270)

Supervisor: Univ.-Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Ketter

Co-Supervisor: Philipp Peter

Department of Information Systems for Sustainable Society
Faculty of Management, Economics and Social Sciences
University of Cologne

September 11, 2023

Eidesstattliche Versicherung

Hiermit versichere ich an Eides statt, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig und ohne die Benutzung anderer als der angegebenen Hilfsmittel angefertigt habe. Alle Stellen, die wörtlich oder sinngemäß aus veröffentlichten und nicht veröffentlichten Schriften entnommen wurden, sind als solche kenntlich gemacht. Die Arbeit ist in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form oder auszugsweise im Rahmen einer anderen Prüfung noch nicht vorgelegt worden. Ich versichere, dass die eingereichte elektronische Fassung der eingereichten Druckfassung vollständig entspricht.

Die Strafbarkeit einer falschen eidesstattlichen Versicherung ist mir bekannt, namentlich die Strafandrohung gemäß § 156 StGB bis zu drei Jahren Freiheitsstrafe oder Geldstrafe bei vorsätzlicher Begehung der Tat bzw. gemäß § 161 Abs. 1 StGB bis zu einem Jahr Freiheitsstrafe oder Geldstrafe bei fahrlässiger Begehung.

Moritz Gottschling

Köln, den xx.xx.20xx

Abstract

[Abstract goes here (max. 1 page)]

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Related Work	2
2.1	Accessibility Analysis	2
2.2	Routing Algorithms	2
2.2.1	Dijkstra	2
2.2.2	MLC	2
A	Appendix	4
	References	5

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Introduction

"Results show that bicycles significantly reduce the average transfer times, the average path length of passengers' trips and the Gini coefficient of an urban public transport network" (Yang et al., 2018).

Public transport frequency is significantly positively correlated with the number of bicycle trips, especially short and medium distance trips up to 3 km (Radzimski & Dziecielski, 2021).

(Murphy & Usher, 2015) conduct a questionnaire, which shows that 39% of bicycle sharing users (in Dublin) use bicycle sharing in conjunction with another mode of transport. Of those, 91.5% use public transport, which indicates that bicycle sharing is synergetic with public transport.

(Fishman, Washington, & Haworth, 2013) perform a literature review on bicycle sharing in general and find that bicycle sharing is synergetic with public transport.

(Ma, Liu, & Erdoğan, 2015) run a linear regression with data ... in which the number of passengers of public transport is regressed on the number of bicycle sharing trips. They find a positive correlation between the two and conclude that bicycle sharing and public transport are complementary. As a possible reason for this, they state that bicycle sharing can be used to solve the first and last mile problem.

2 Related Work

...

2.1 Accessibility Analysis

... In order to assess the accessibility from a given origin to one or multiple points of interest, a routing algorithm is required. The routing algorithm finds the shortest path from the origin to the destination.

2.2 Routing Algorithms

2.2.1 Dijkstra

The most straightforward approach to compute the shortest paths in a graph is the Dijkstra algorithm (Dijkstra, 1959). The Dijkstra algorithm operates on a graph $G = (V, E)$, where V is the set of nodes and E is the set of edges. Each edge $e \in E$ has a weight $w(e) \in \mathbb{R}$. The graph data structure is general enough to represent a wide range of problems, including road networks and public transport networks.

Dijkstra's algorithm initiates at a designated start node $s \in V$ and employs a priority queue to systematically determine the shortest path to each subsequent node $v \in V$. Initially, the distance to the start node s is set to zero, while the distances to all other nodes are set to infinity. In each iteration, the algorithm dequeues the node u with the smallest known distance from the priority queue. It then examines each outgoing edge $e = (u, v)$ from u , updating the distance to v if a shorter path through u is discovered. Specifically, if $dist(u) + w(e) < dist(v)$, then $dist(v)$ is updated to $dist(u) + w(e)$, and v is enqueued into the priority queue for future exploration. The node u is marked as visited by adding it to the set $V_{visited}$.

However, this simple approach has multiple problems. Firstly, the Dijkstra algorithm is not able to handle multiple criteria. Secondly, the runtime of Dijkstra's algorithm is $O(|E| + |V| \log |V|)$, which is too slow for large graphs.

2.2.2 MLC

The Multi-Label Correcting (MLC) (Gottschling, 2019) extends Dijkstra's algorithm to handle multiple criteria. Concerning the inputs, the only difference between Dijkstra's algorithm and MLC is that the edges in the graph have vectors as weights instead of scalars $w(e) \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

One of the most prominent routing algorithms for public transport is the Round based Public Transit Optimized Router algorithm (RAPTOR) (Delling, Pajor, & Werneck, 2015), which is also used by R5. The RAPTOR algorithm (Round-Based Public Transit Optimized Router) is designed to compute all Pareto-optimal journeys in a dynamic public transit network. Unlike traditional Dijkstra-based algorithms, RAPTOR operates in rounds, looking at each route (such as a bus line) in the network at most once per round. This makes it highly efficient and capable of handling fully dynamic scenarios, including delays and cancellations. In order to allow intermediate transfers between trips, e.g. walking between stops, RAPTOR uses a transitively closed transfer graph. More precisely RAPTOR takes a graph as an input whose nodes are stops and whose edges represent transfers without a schedule, meaning that the transfer can be made at any time. The original RAPTOR papers suggests no method to compute the transfer graph. Theoretically, this graph is enough to constitute all possible journeys. However, in practice, it can be very challenging to compute the graph and it can be very large.

To overcome problem of RAPTOR (Delling, Dibbelt, Pajor, Wagner, & Werneck, 2013) introduce Multimodal Multicriteria RAPTOR (MCR). MC

ULTRA

A Appendix

...

References

- Delling, D., Dibbelt, J., Pajor, T., Wagner, D., & Werneck, R. F. (2013). Computing Multimodal Journeys in Practice. In V. Bonifaci, C. Demetrescu, & A. Marchetti-Spaccamela (Eds.), *Experimental Algorithms* (pp. 260–271). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-38527-8_24
- Delling, D., Pajor, T., & Werneck, R. F. (2015, August). Round-Based Public Transit Routing. *Transportation Science*, 49(3), 591–604. (implemented in <https://transnetlab.github.io/transit-routing/html/index.html> introduction of raptor) doi: 10.1287/trsc.2014.0534
- Dijkstra, E. W. (1959). A note on two problems in connexion with graphs. *Numerische mathematik*, 1(1), 269–271.
- Fishman, E., Washington, S., & Haworth, N. (2013, March). Bike Share: A Synthesis of the Literature. *Transport Reviews*, 33(2), 148–165. doi: 10.1080/01441647.2013.775612
- Hansen, P. (1980). Bicriterion Path Problems. In G. Fandel & T. Gal (Eds.), *Multiple Criteria Decision Making Theory and Application* (pp. 109–127). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. (MCL cited as first apperacne) doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-48782-8_9
- Ma, T., Liu, C., & Erdoğan, S. (2015, January). Bicycle Sharing and Public Transit: Does Capital Bikeshare Affect Metrorail Ridership in Washington, D.C.? *Transportation Research Record*, 2534(1), 1–9. doi: 10.3141/2534-01
- Murphy, E., & Usher, J. (2015, February). The Role of Bicycle-sharing in the City: Analysis of the Irish Experience. *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*, 9(2), 116–125. (questionnaire reports that 39% of bicycle sharing users use it in conjunction with another mode of transport. 91.% of those use it together with public transport) doi: 10.1080/15568318.2012.748855
- Radzimski, A., & Dziecielski, M. (2021, March). Exploring the relationship between bike-sharing and public transport in Poznań, Poland. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 145, 189–202. (stops positively correlate with bicycle trips) doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2021.01.003

Yang, X.-H., Cheng, Z., Chen, G., Wang, L., Ruan, Z.-Y., & Zheng, Y.-J. (2018, January). The impact of a public bicycle-sharing system on urban public transport networks. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 107, 246–256. (bike sharing can significantly reduce the average transfer times) doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2017.10.017