## Introduction to Machine Learning - HW2 - Q2

Professors: Abolghasemi & Arabi Student: Mohamad Mahdi Samadi

Student ID: 810101465

Q2. Given a training dataset, we're going to use Naive Bayes to predict some new unseen data.

## Training dataset is:

$f_1$	$f_2$	$f_3$	у
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0
0	0	1	0
1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1
1	1	0	1

## And the test dataset is:

$f_1$	$f_2$	$f_3$
0	0	0
1	1	1
X	1	0
X	0	1

First, let's calculate the prior probabilities.

$$P(y) = \frac{3}{7} \text{ and } P(\bar{y}) = \frac{4}{7}$$

Next step is to calculate the conditional probability of each feature given a target class.

у	$f_{1}$	$f_2$	$f_3$
y = 0	$f_1 \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}$ and $\bar{f}_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}$	$f_2 \rightarrow \frac{2}{4}$ and $\bar{f}_2 \rightarrow \frac{2}{4}$	$f_3 \rightarrow \frac{2}{4}$ and $\bar{f}_3 \rightarrow \frac{2}{4}$
<i>y</i> = 1	$f_1 \rightarrow \frac{4}{4} \text{ and } \bar{f_1} \rightarrow \frac{0}{4}$	$f_2 \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}$ and $\bar{f}_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}$	$f_3 \rightarrow \frac{2}{4}$ and $\bar{f}_3 \rightarrow \frac{2}{4}$

We want to know P(y|F) where F is the vector of features. Since it is hard to calculate and we only want to know which class is more probable, we aim to calculate P(y, F) for each of the two classes and compare them. The Bayes Law shows why the two of these are equivalent:

$$P(y|F) = \frac{P(F|y)P(y)}{P(F)} \to A = \frac{P(y|F)}{P(\bar{y}|F)} = \frac{\frac{P(F|y)P(y)}{P(F)}}{\frac{P(F|\bar{y})P(\bar{y})}{P(F)}} = \frac{P(F|y)P(y)}{P(F|\bar{y})P(\bar{y})}$$

P(F) is the same for two probabilities of P(y|F) and  $P(\bar{y}|F)$ . So we can compare the P(F|y)P(y) term.

- A > 1: class y = 1 is more probable than the other class.
- A < 1: class y = 0 is more probable than the other class.
- A = 1: Two classes have the same probability.

The simplifying assumption is that all features are independent of each other. So using chain rule of probabilities we can rewrite the P(F|y) term.

$$\begin{split} &P(F|y) = P(f_1|y)P(f_2|f_1,y)P(f_3|f_1,f_2,y) = P(f_1|y)P(f_2|y)P(f_3|y) \\ &\frac{P(y)P(f_1|y)P(f_2|y)P(f_3|y)}{P(\bar{y})P(f_1|\bar{y})P(f_2|\bar{y})P(f_3|\bar{y})} = \frac{P(y)}{P(\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_1|y)}{P(f_1|\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_2|y)}{P(f_2|\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_3|y)}{P(f_3|\bar{y})} \\ &i. \ F = \{0, \ 0, \ 0\} \\ &\frac{P(y)}{P(\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_1|y)}{P(f_1|\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_2|y)}{P(f_2|\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_3|y)}{P(f_3|\bar{y})} = \frac{3}{4} \times \frac{0}{1} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{2} = 0 < 1 \rightarrow \hat{y} = 0 \\ &ii. \ F = \{1, \ 1, \ 1\} \\ &\frac{P(y)}{P(\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_1|y)}{P(f_1|\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_2|y)}{P(f_2|\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_3|y)}{P(f_3|\bar{y})} = \frac{3}{4} \times \frac{4}{3} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{2}{2} = 1.5 > 1 \rightarrow \hat{y} = 1 \\ &iii. \ F = \{X, \ 1, \ 0\} \end{split}$$

The value of  $f_1$  is missing. So we'll assume that  $P(f_1|y) = P(f_1|\bar{y})$ .

$$\frac{P(y)}{P(\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_1|y)}{P(f_1|\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_2|y)}{P(f_2|\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_3|y)}{P(f_2|\bar{y})} = \frac{3}{4} \times 1 \times \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{2}{2} = \frac{18}{16} > 1 \rightarrow \hat{y} = 1$$

$$iv. F = \{X, 0, 1\}$$

Again, the value of  $\boldsymbol{f}_1$  is missing. So we'll make the same assumption.

$$\frac{P(y)}{P(\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_1|y)}{P(f_1|\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_2|y)}{P(f_2|\bar{y})} \times \frac{P(f_3|y)}{P(f_3|\bar{y})} = \frac{3}{4} \times 1 \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{2} = \frac{6}{16} < 1 \rightarrow \hat{y} = 0$$

	$F = \{0, 0, 0\}$	$F = \{1, 1, 1\}$	$F = \{X, 1, 0\}$	$F = \{X, 0, 1\}$
ŷ	0	1	1	0