

the output:

It is  $\forall X \in M_{n,p}(\mathbb{R})$ , choose  $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\forall R=1, \dots, T$ ,  $u_R = \frac{X v_{R-1}}{\|X v_{R-1}\|}$ ,  $v_R = \frac{X^T u_R}{\|X^T u_R\|}$  }  $u_T, v_T$

Goal of the proof (we will use in both cases geometric convergence)

Let's show that under good assumptions,  $(v_R)_{R \geq 1}$  converges (up to a factor) to the leading right singular vector of  $X$ ,  
 ie let's show that under good assumptions,  $(v_R)_{R \geq 1}$  converges (up to a factor) to an eigen vector of  $A = X^T X$  associated to the biggest (in module) eigen value of  $A = X^T X$ .

2) let's show  $(u_R)_{R \geq 1}$  converges to leading left singular vector of  $A^T = X X^T$   
 ie  $(u_R)_{R \geq 1}$  converges to an eigen vector of  $A^T = X X^T$  associated to the biggest (in module) eigen value of  $A^T = X X^T$

Proof number 1

Over initial  $X \in M_{n,p}(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $A = X^T X \in M_{p,p}(\mathbb{R})$  and is symmetric (because  $A^T = (X^T X)^T = X^T (X^T)^T = X^T X = A$ )  
 $\Rightarrow A$  is diagonalizable, so let  $\text{Sp}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p\}$  s.t.  $|\lambda_1| \leq \dots \leq |\lambda_{p-1}| < |\lambda_p|$  (it's our assumption number 1)  
 and  $\exists (v_1, \dots, v_p)$  an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^p$  by definition (and  $A v_i = \lambda_i v_i, \forall i=1, \dots, p$ )

So our  $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$  which is given can be written as  $v_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i v_i$  with  $c_1, \dots, c_p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c_p \neq 0$  (assumption 2)  
 so  $A v_0 = A \sum_{i=1}^p c_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i A v_i = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \lambda_i v_i$   
 $A^2 v_0 = A \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \lambda_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \lambda_i^2 v_i$   
 so by direct recursion,  $\forall R=1, \dots, T$ ,  $A^R v_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \lambda_i^R v_i$   
 so  $A^R v_0 = \lambda_p^R (c_p v_p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} c_i (\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_p})^R v_i)$

Now, let's note that  $\forall R=1, \dots, T$ ,  $v_R = \frac{X^T u_R}{\|X^T u_R\|} = \frac{X^T X v_{R-1}}{\|X^T X v_{R-1}\|} = \frac{A v_{R-1}}{\|A v_{R-1}\|}$   
 have that  $\forall R=1, \dots, T$ ,  $v_R = \frac{A^R v_0}{\|A^R v_0\|} \approx \frac{\lambda_p^R (c_p v_p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} c_i (\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_p})^R v_i)}{\lambda_p^R \|c_p v_p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} c_i (\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_p})^R v_i\|} \approx \frac{A^R v_0}{\|A^R v_0\|}$ , so by direct recursion, we

Finally, let's show that  $\|v_R - \frac{\lambda_p^R c_p v_p}{\|A^R v_0\|}\| \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow +\infty} 0$

We have  $\|v_R - \frac{\lambda_p^R c_p v_p}{\|A^R v_0\|}\| = \|\frac{A^R v_0}{\|A^R v_0\|} - \frac{\lambda_p^R c_p v_p}{\|A^R v_0\|}\| = \frac{1}{\|A^R v_0\|} \|\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} c_i \lambda_i^R v_i\|$   
 $= \frac{|\lambda_p|^R}{\|A^R v_0\|} \|\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} c_i (\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_p})^R v_i\|$

triangular inequality  
 and  $\forall i=1, \dots, p-1, |\lambda_i| < |\lambda_p|$   
 and  $\|v_i\| = 1$

$\leq \frac{|\lambda_p|^R}{\|A^R v_0\|} |\frac{\lambda_{p-1}}{\lambda_p}|^R \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} |c_i| \leq C |\frac{\lambda_{p-1}}{\lambda_p}|^R \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} |c_i|$

$\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow +\infty} 0$  because geometrically  $|\lambda_{p-1}| < |\lambda_p|$

So we just proved, that  $v_R \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow +\infty} v_p = v^*$  (up to a factor)  
 we proved before that  $A^R v_0 \approx \lambda_p^R c_p v_p$   
 so there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $|\lambda_p|^R \leq C \|A^R v_0\|$

Proof number 2 : Same method !

We see that  $\forall R \geq 2$ ,  $u_R = (A^T)^R u_1$  and  $A^T$  is symmetric so diagonalizable with  $(u_1, \dots, u_p)$  it's orthonormal basis,  $\text{Sp}(A^T) = \text{Sp}(A)$   
 and we do the same method as before to show that  $\|u_R - \frac{\lambda_p^R c_p u_p}{\|(A^T)^R u_1\|}\| \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow +\infty} 0$  (we just adapt the proof number 1)