



CRC (Cyclic Redundancy Check) - Student Guide



What is This?

This demonstration shows how **CRC (Cyclic Redundancy Check)** works for error detection in data transmission. You'll see:

- How senders calculate CRC checksums
- How receivers verify data integrity
- **Why some errors go undetected** (the surprising part!)



Learning Objectives

After running this demonstration, you should understand:

1. The step-by-step CRC calculation process
2. How polynomial division using XOR works
3. Why CRC is effective but not perfect
4. The mathematical reason behind undetected errors



How to Run

```
python crc_demonstration.py
```

No external libraries needed - just Python 3!



What the Code Does

Part 1: Sender Side

The sender wants to transmit: `1100101011101100`

Steps:

1. **Pad with zeros:** Add 4 zeros (matching CRC degree) → 11001010111011000000
2. **Divide by generator:** Use XOR division with generator 11011
3. **Get remainder:** The remainder is the CRC checksum → 1100
4. **Create codeword:** Append CRC to original message → 11001010111011001100

Key Insight: The resulting codeword is mathematically designed to be perfectly divisible by the generator polynomial!

Part 2: Receiver Side

The receiver gets: 11001010110110100100 (corrupted!)

Steps:

1. **Divide received data:** Divide entire received message by generator 11011
2. **Check remainder:**
 - If remainder = 0 → No error detected ✓
 - If remainder ≠ 0 → Error detected ✗

The Surprise: In this example, the remainder is 0 even though errors occurred!

Part 3: The Mathematical Explanation

Why did CRC fail to detect the errors?

The Math:

- Transmitted: T (divisible by G)
- Received: T' (corrupted)
- Error pattern: $E = T \oplus T'$ (XOR of transmitted and received)

The receiver checks: $T' \div G = (T \oplus E) \div G$

If E is also divisible by G , then:

$$T' \div G = (T \div G) \oplus (E \div G) = 0 \oplus 0 = 0$$

Result: **False negative** - CRC says "no error" when errors actually occurred!



Understanding XOR Division

Traditional division uses subtraction, but binary polynomial division uses **XOR**:

Traditional: $5 - 3 = 2$
XOR: $101 \oplus 011 = 110$

XOR Rules:

- $0 \oplus 0 = 0$
- $1 \oplus 1 = 0$
- $0 \oplus 1 = 1$
- $1 \oplus 0 = 1$

Why XOR? Because we're working with polynomials over GF(2) (Galois Field with 2 elements), where addition = subtraction = XOR!



Key Concepts Explained

Generator Polynomial

$$G(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$$

Translation to binary:

- Write coefficients: $1 \cdot x^4 + 1 \cdot x^3 + 0 \cdot x^2 + 1 \cdot x^1 + 1 \cdot x^0$
- Take coefficients: **11011**

The degree (highest power) is 4, so CRC will be 4 bits.

Why Pad with Zeros?

We need room for the remainder!

- Original message: 16 bits

- Add 4 zeros: 20 bits
- After division: last 4 bits become the CRC
- Final codeword: 16 bits (message) + 4 bits (CRC) = 20 bits

Error Pattern

The error pattern shows which bits flipped:

```
Transmitted: 11001010111011001100
Received:    110010101110110100100
Error (XOR): 00000000001101101000
              ↑      ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑
              Bits that were flipped
```

CRC Effectiveness

What CRC Detects Well:

- **All single-bit errors** (100% detection)
- **All double-bit errors** (for most generators)
- **All odd-number bit errors** (if generator has factor $x+1$)
- **Most burst errors** (up to degree length)

What CRC Can Miss:

- Error patterns that are multiples of $G(x)$
- Probability $\approx 1/2^n$ where n = CRC degree
- For 4-bit CRC: $1/16 = 6.25\%$ of random error patterns

Real-World CRC Standards:

- **CRC-8:** 8-bit, used in 1-Wire protocol
- **CRC-16:** 16-bit, used in USB, Modbus
- **CRC-32:** 32-bit, used in Ethernet, ZIP files
- **CRC-64:** 64-bit, used in storage systems

Larger CRCs = lower probability of undetected errors!



Common Student Questions

Q: Why not just use parity bits?

A: Parity only detects odd numbers of errors. CRC detects much more, including all single and double-bit errors.

Q: Can CRC correct errors?

A: No! CRC only **detects** errors. To correct errors, you need codes like Hamming codes or Reed-Solomon.

Q: Why use polynomial division?

A: Polynomials have nice mathematical properties. Certain generators guarantee detection of specific error types (e.g., all burst errors up to length n).

Q: Is this the same CRC in ZIP files?

A: Same concept! ZIP uses CRC-32 (32-bit) with generator polynomial `0x04C11DB7`. The principle is identical.

Q: How do I choose a good generator?

A: Use standardized generators! They're carefully chosen to maximize error detection. Common properties:

- Should have at least 2 terms (not just x^4)
- Should have factor $(x+1)$ for odd-error detection
- Should be irreducible (can't be factored)



Experiment Ideas

Try modifying the code to explore:

1. Different generators:

- 11001 ($x^4 + x^3 + 1$)
- 10011 ($x^4 + x + 1$)
- Do they detect the same errors?

2. Different error patterns:

- Single bit flip
- Two adjacent bits
- Bits exactly 4 apart

3. Longer messages: Does message length affect detection?

4. Burst errors: Try flipping consecutive bits. What's the longest burst that goes undetected?








Further Reading

- **Theory:** Look up "polynomial codes" and "cyclic codes"
- **Standards:** Search "CRC-32" or "IEEE 802.3 CRC"
- **Advanced:** "Galois Field arithmetic" explains the XOR operations
- **Applications:** Error detection in networks, storage, and communication protocols






Real-World Context

Where is CRC used?

-  **Ethernet:** Every network packet has a CRC-32
-  **Hard drives:** Sector error detection
-  **Bluetooth:** Link-layer error checking
-  **File compression:** ZIP, PNG, GZIP all use CRC
-  **Space communication:** Combined with error correction codes

Why not 100% perfect?

CRC balances:

-  Fast computation (XOR is cheap!)
-  Simple hardware implementation
-  Very good error detection

- ❌ Small chance of undetected errors (acceptable trade-off!)

For critical applications (space, medical), CRC is combined with error-correcting codes for redundancy.

Check Your Understanding

After running the demonstration, try answering:

1. What is the relationship between CRC degree and checksum length?
 2. Why must the transmitted codeword be divisible by G?
 3. What makes an error pattern "undetectable"?
 4. If we used a 32-bit CRC instead of 4-bit, how would the error detection probability change?
 5. Can you think of a scenario where an 8-bit error would go undetected?
-



Summary

CRC is a brilliant error detection technique that:

- Uses polynomial mathematics to create checksums
- Detects the vast majority of transmission errors
- Has a small, predictable probability of missing errors
- Is fast enough for real-time applications
- Is used everywhere in modern computing!

The key insight: **CRC transforms error detection into a polynomial division problem**, which computers can solve very efficiently using simple XOR operations.

Questions? Review the code output carefully - every step shows you exactly what's happening mathematically!