#### Suggested Prompt for You to Use

\*"I have a pedestrian detection dataset and I ran visualizations (age vs crossing, gender vs crossing, distraction, hand signals, relative position, etc.). I want you to:

- 1. Extract the **key findings** from each visualization.
- 2. Turn them into clear Q&A format (like a quiz or discussion points).
- 3. Provide **concise explanations** that link the visualization to the insight.
- 4. Highlight **statistical evidence** (like p-values, probabilities, percentages) whenever available.
- Suggest how these findings could be useful in real-world autonomous car decision-making."\*

Perfect — now I'll follow your prompt and turn the visualizations into **Q&A** with key findings, evidence, and real-world implications for autonomous cars ...

# Pedestrian Detection – Key Findings as Q&A

#### 1. Crossing vs Waiting

Q: Are pedestrians more likely to cross or wait?

A: About 61.5% crossed while 38.5% waited.

**Explanation:** The majority of pedestrians chose to cross.

**Autonomous Car Insight:** Cars should expect pedestrians to cross in most cases, so crossing prediction should bias towards anticipating crossing.

#### 2. Age and Crossing

Q: Do children, adults, and seniors behave differently when crossing?

A: Yes. Adults and children crossed more often, while seniors tended to wait, especially

when distracted or on the sidewalk.

**Evidence:** Example: Senior + distracted + on sidewalk  $\rightarrow$  **100% waited**. Adult + attentive + not on sidewalk  $\rightarrow$  **100% crossed**.

**Autonomous Car Insight:** Age is a strong context signal; seniors may require extra caution as they hesitate more.

#### 3. Gender and Crossing

**Q:** Does gender affect crossing behavior?

**A:** No significant effect. **Both males and females showed similar crossing behavior** (Chi-square p = 0.2482 > 0.05).

**Explanation:** Gender alone does not predict crossing reliably.

**Autonomous Car Insight:** Gender should not be prioritized in pedestrian intent prediction models.

### 4. Distraction and Crossing

Q: Are distracted pedestrians more or less likely to cross?

**A: Distracted pedestrians almost never crossed**. Bayesian probability of crossing given distracted = **0.0**.

**Evidence:** All distracted pedestrians waited.

**Autonomous Car Insight:** Detecting distraction (e.g., phone use) is crucial; cars can assume lower crossing risk but must still monitor closely.

#### 5. Hand Signal and Crossing

**Q:** Does giving a hand signal increase the chance of crossing?

A: Surprisingly, hand-signal users mostly waited, while non-hand-signal users crossed (Chi-square  $p \approx 0.0685$ , borderline significance).

**Autonomous Car Insight:** Hand gestures may not always indicate intent to cross. Cars must interpret signals carefully, not as a guaranteed crossing action.

#### 6. Relative Position and Crossing

Q: Does being closer to the road make pedestrians more likely to cross?

**A:** Yes. Pedestrians **near the curb were more likely to cross** compared to those in the middle or far.

**Autonomous Car Insight:** Distance from curb is a strong spatial cue — near curb = higher crossing likelihood.

#### 7. Dress Code and Crossing

Q: Does dress style (Casual vs Formal) affect crossing?

A: No clear difference — crossing behavior was similar across dress styles.

**Autonomous Car Insight:** Clothing is not a reliable predictor.

#### 8. Location and Crossing

Q: Does location (onSideWalk vs notOnSidewalk) affect crossing?

A: Yes. Pedestrians not on the sidewalk were more likely to cross.

**Autonomous Car Insight:** Cars should give higher crossing probability to pedestrians already off the sidewalk.

#### 9. Combined Profiles

Q: How do combined factors (age + distraction + location) influence crossing?

A:

- Adult + not distracted + not on sidewalk → 100% crossed.
- Senior + distracted + on sidewalk → 100% waited.
   Autonomous Car Insight: Risk prediction should consider multi-factor profiles, not single features.

#### 10. Statistical Tests

**Q:** Which factors are statistically significant?

**A:** None of the single variables (age, gender, distraction, hand signals, location, position) had strong significance (all p > 0.05).

**Explanation:** Crossing is influenced by **interactions**, not single categories.

**Autonomous Car Insight:** Models should use feature interactions (e.g., age × distraction × location) to improve predictions.

## 11. Feature Importance (Modeling)

**Q:** Which features are most important for prediction?

**A:** The Random Forest model highlighted **location**, **distraction**, **age**, **and relative position** as top predictors.

**Autonomous Car Insight:** These features should be prioritized in real-time detection systems.