

MAT 2125 Lecture Notes

Last Updated:

February 16, 2023

Contents

1	The Real Numbers \mathbb{R}	2
2	Completeness of \mathbb{R}, Absolute Value, Sequences	3
3	Convergence of Sequences	4
4	Properties of Convergence, Squeeze Theorem, Monotone Sequences	5
5	Subsequences, Cauchy Sequences	6
6	Limsup and Liminf	7
7		8
8		9
9	\mathbb{R}^d	10
	9.1 Convergence	10
10	Open and Closed Sets in \mathbb{R}^d	13
11	Compactness	18
12	Limits of a Function of Continuous Variables	19

Lecture 1

The Real Numbers \mathbb{R}

TBC.

Lecture 2

Completeness of \mathbb{R} , Absolute Value, Sequences

TBC.

Lecture 3

Convergence of Sequences

TBC.

Lecture 4

Properties of Convergence, Squeeze Theorem, Monotone Sequences

TBC.

Lecture 5

Subsequences, Cauchy Sequences

TBC.

Lecture 6

Limsup and Liminf

TBC.

Lecture 7

Lecture 8

Lecture 9

\mathbb{R}^d

Recall: $\|(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| := \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2}$. This is a norm. i.e.

$$\|a + b\| \leq \|a\|_2 + \|b\|_2 \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\|ca\| = |c| \cdot \|a\|_2, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\|a\|_2 > 0, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$$

$$\|(0, \dots, 0)\|_2 = 0$$

Other examples of norms:

- $\|(x_1, \dots, x_d)\|_1 := |x_1| + \dots + |x_d|$
- $\|(x_1, \dots, x_d)\|_\infty := \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_d|\}$

Exercise: For $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|a\|_\infty \leq \|a\|_2 \leq \|a\|_1 \leq d\|a\|_\infty (\leq d\|a\|_2)$$

Interesting Fact: There are other norms. but they are all equivalent in the sense that if $\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|'$ are norms on \mathbb{R}^d , then $\exists v, R > 0$ such that

$$r\|a\| \leq \|a\|' \leq R\|a\|$$

9.1 Convergence

Definition 9.1.1. Let $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^d and let $L \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we say $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ **converges** to L , and write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$ or $a_n \rightarrow \infty$, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n - L\|_2 = 0$$

Note: We could define convergence instead using some other norm, say $\|\cdot\|_1$.

If $\|a_n - L\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, then $\|a_n - L\|_1 \leq d\|a_n - L\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. If $\|a_n - L\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, then $\|a_n - L\|_2 \leq d\|a_n - L\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

in general, if $\|\cdot\|$ is any norm, then since $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are equivalent.

$$\|a_n - L\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \iff \|a_n - L\| \rightarrow 0$$

Example: Say $a_n = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$, then

$$\|a_n - L\|_2 = \sqrt{1/n^2 + \dots + 1/n^2} = \sqrt{\frac{d}{n^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{d}}{n} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\therefore a_n \rightarrow L$$

Given a sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{R}^d , we write $a_1 = (a_1^{(1)}, a_1^{(2)}, \dots, a_1^{(d)})$ where $a_1^{(1)}, a_1^{(2)}, \dots, a_1^{(d)} \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly,

$$a_1 = (a_1^{(1)}, a_1^{(2)}, \dots, a_1^{(d)})$$

$$a_2 = (a_2^{(1)}, a_2^{(2)}, \dots, a_2^{(d)})$$

$$a_3 = (a_3^{(1)}, a_3^{(2)}, \dots, a_3^{(d)})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$L = (L^{(1)}, L^{(2)}, \dots, L^{(d)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

We get d sequences in \mathbb{R} , and d possible limit points $L^{(1)}, \dots, L^{(d)} \in \mathbb{R}$

Proposition 9.1.1. *Given $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and L as above, $a_n \rightarrow L$ as $n \rightarrow \infty \iff a_n^{(i)} \rightarrow L^{(i)}$ in \mathbb{R} as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $i = 1, \dots, d$.*

Proof. \implies : Suppose $a_n \rightarrow L$, i.e.

$$\|a_n - L\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\|x\|_2^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 \geq x_i^2 = |x_i|^2 \therefore |x_i| \leq \|x\|_2$$

Applying this to (*), we get

$$0 \leq |a_n^{(i)} - L^{(i)}| \leq \|a_n - L\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

So by the squeeze theorem,

$$|a_n^{(i)} - L^{(i)}| \rightarrow 0$$

\implies : Suppose $a_n^{(i)} \rightarrow L^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$.

$$\|a_n - L\|_2^2 = (a_n^{(1)} - L^{(1)})^2 + \dots + (a_n^{(d)} - L^{(d)})^2 \rightarrow 0$$

By algebra of limits,

$$\therefore \|a_n - L\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

□

Example: $a^n = ((-1)^n, \frac{1}{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Does (a_n) converge? No, since $(-1)^n$ does not converge.

Definition 9.1.2. A sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{R}^d is **Cauchy** if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that

$$\|a_n - a_m\|_2 < \epsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq n_0$$

Theorem 9.1.1 (Cauchy Convergence Criterion for \mathbb{R}^d). Let $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^d . It converges \iff it is Cauchy.

Proof. \implies : Suppose $a_n \rightarrow L \in \mathbb{R}^d$. To show it is Cauchy, let $\epsilon > 0$. $\|a_n - L\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, so $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that

$$\|a_n - L\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n \geq n_0$$

Then if $m, n \geq n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_m - a_n\|_2 &= \|a_m - L + L - a_n\|_2 \\ &\leq \|a_m - L\|_2 + \|L - a_n\|_2 \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy. \implies : Suppose $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy, write

$$a_n = (a_n^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(d)})$$

For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$,

$$|a_n^{(i)} - a_m^{(i)}| \leq \|a_n - a_m\|_2$$

$\therefore (a_n^{(i)})_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{R} . So by the Cauchy Convergence Criterion, $\exists L^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $a_n^{(i)} \rightarrow L^{(i)}$. By the previous proposition,

$$a_n \rightarrow (L^{(1)}, \dots, L^{(d)})$$

□

Definition 9.1.3. $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is **bounded** if $\exists M > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq M \quad \forall x \in S$$

A sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{R}^d is bound if $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}\}$ is a bounded set.

Theorem 9.1.2 (Bolzano-Weierstrass for \mathbb{R}^d). If $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence in \mathbb{R}^d , then it has a subsequence $(a_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ that converges.

Proof. Write $a_n = (a_n^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(d)})$.

Naive Attempt: TBC.

□

Lecture 10

Open and Closed Sets in \mathbb{R}^d

Roughly, an open set is one that we draw with dotted lines. The line represents a "boundary" that is not in the set. This is not a rigorous definition.

Definition 10.0.1 (Open Ball). Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $r > 0$. The **open ball** of radius r centered at a is

$$B(a; r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x - a\|_2 < r\}$$

Relation to Convergence: If $a_n \rightarrow L$, then this means that $\|a_n - L\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all n large. So, $a_n \in B(L; \epsilon)$

Definition 10.0.2 (Open Sets). A set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is **open** if $\forall a \in U, \exists r > 0$, such that $B(a; r) \subseteq U$

Idea: If $a \in U$, then a is not on the boundary but it is truly "inside" the set, so we can fit a ball containing a in the set.

Definition 10.0.3 (Closed Sets). A set $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is **closed** if its complement $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus k$ is open.

Example: $U \subseteq (0, 1)$. Is this open? Yes.

Proof. Let $a \in U$. We let $r := \min\{|a - 0|, |a - 1|\}$ (We do this so that r is at most the distance to the closest bound, i.e. if a is closer to 0, then the radius r cannot be $|a - 1|$) then

$$B(a; r) = (a - r, a + r) \subseteq (0, 1) = U$$

□

Example: $U := [0, 1]$. Is this open? No.

Proof. Let $a := 0 \in U$. The for any $r > 0$, $\exists z \in B(a; r) = (-r, r)$ s.t $z < 0$, so $z \notin U$. Therefore $B(a; r) \not\subseteq U$ □

Is U closed? This is the same as asking if $\mathbb{R} \setminus U = (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$ is open. This is open.

Proof. Let $a \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$.

- **Case 1:** $a \in (-\infty, 0)$. Set $r := |a|$, so

$$B(a; r) = (a - r, a + r) = (2a, 0) \subseteq U$$

- **Case 2:** $a \in (1, \infty)$ similar.

□

Therefore $U = [0, 1]$ is closed.

Example: Is $U := (0, 1]$ open? No, for any $r > 0$

$$B(1; r) \not\subseteq U$$

Therefore, it is not open.

Note: Sets are not always open or closed. Most sets are neither open nor closed.

This set U is one such example U is not closed since $\mathbb{R} \setminus U = (-\infty, 0] \cup (1, \infty)$
 $0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus U$ but $\forall r > 0, B(0; r) \not\subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus U$

Example: For any $a \in \mathbb{R}^d, r > 0$ $B(a; r)$ is an open set.

Proof. Let $x \in B(a; r)$, so $\|x - a\|_2 < r$. Set

$$r_0 := r - \|x - a\|_2 > 0$$

Claim: $B(x; r_0) \subseteq B(a; r)$ To see this, let $y \in B(x; r_0)$ so $\|y - x\|_2 < r_0$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - a\|_2 &\leq \|y - x\|_2 + \|x - a\|_2 && (\triangle\text{-inequality}) \\ &< r_0 + \|x - a\|_2 \\ &= r \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 10.0.1. (i) \emptyset, \mathbb{R}^d are both open in \mathbb{R}^d

(ii) If $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ are all open, then so is $U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \dots \cap U_n$.

(iii) If $U_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open set for all $\alpha \in I$, (I is some index set) then

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$$

is open.

Proof. (i),(ii) are exercises.

(iii): Set

$$V := \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha}$$

Let $a \in V$. This means $\exists \alpha \in I$ such that $a \in U_{\alpha}$. U_{α} is open so $\exists r > 0$ s.t $B(a; r) \subseteq U_{\alpha}$. $U_{\alpha} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha} = V$ So $B(a; r) \subseteq V$ as required. \square

Example: For any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$.

$$\left(\frac{-1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right) = B(0; \frac{1}{n})$$

is open in \mathbb{R} . The intersection of these open sets is

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{-1}{n} \right) = \{0\}$$

which is not open. This shows that openness is not preserved by infinite intersections.

Example: Let

$$U := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x > 0, y > 0\}$$

U is open but not closed.

$$U = V \cap W$$

where

$$V := \{(x, y) : x > 0\} \quad W := \{(x, y) : y > 0\}$$

To show V is open, let $a = (x, y) \in V$. Set $r := x > 0$. Then if $(w, z) \in B(a; r)$. Then

$$|w - z| \leq \|(w, z) - a\|_2 < r = x$$

$$\therefore w > x - x = 0$$

So $(w, z) \in U$. Similarly, W is open. Therefore U is open.

Not Closed: Exercise.

Proposition 10.0.2. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. K is closed \iff for any subsequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in K , If it converges, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \in K$$

Proof. (\implies) Suppose K is closed. Let $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in K s.t

$$L := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$$

exists. Suppose for a contradiction $L \notin K$. This means $L \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$, which is open. So $\exists r > 0$ such that

$$B(L; r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$$

Since $a_n \rightarrow L$, we must have $a_n \in B(L; r)$ for some n (in fact, for all n sufficiently large). So $a_n \in B(L; r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$. Therefore $a_n \notin K$, which is a contradiction.

(\impliedby) Suppose K is not closed, and we'll prove $\exists (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in K such that $a_n \rightarrow L \notin K$. Since K is not closed, $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$ is not open. So $\exists L \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$ such that $\forall r > 0$

$$B(L; r) \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, we can find $a_n \in B(L; \frac{1}{n})$ such that $a_n \notin \mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$. So $a_n \in K$. This gives a sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in K and

$$\|a_n - L\|_2 < \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore by the Squeeze Theorem,

$$\|a_n - L\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \implies a_n \rightarrow L$$

$L \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$, so $L \notin K$. □

Definition 10.0.4. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and let $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a is:

- (i) an **interior point** if $\exists r > 0$ s.t $B(a; r) \subseteq A$
- (ii) an **accumulation point** if \exists a sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in A s.t $a_n \rightarrow a$
- (iii) a **boundary point** if it is an accumulation point and it is not an interior point.

$$A^\circ := \{\text{All interior points}\}$$

$$\bar{A} := \{\text{All accumulation points}\}$$

$$\partial A := \{\text{All boundary points}\} = \bar{A} \setminus A^\circ$$

Note: The set of interior points, accumulation points, and boundary points are referred to as the **interior** of A , the **closure** of A , and the **boundary** of A respectively

Example: $A := (0, 1] \cup \{2\}$

$$A^\circ = (0, 1)$$

$$\bar{A} = [0, 1] \cup \{2\}$$

$$\partial A = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

Example: $A := \mathbb{Q}$

Since any open interval contains irrational numbers, we have

$$A^\circ = \text{set}$$

Proposition from chapter 2,

$$\bar{A} = \mathbb{R}$$

$$\partial A = \mathbb{R}$$

Lecture 11

Compactness

Definition 11.0.1. *A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is (sequentially) compact if every sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in A has a subsequence $(a_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ that converges to a point in A .*

Example TBC

Lecture 12

Limits of a Function of Continuous Variables

A sequence is a function $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Here, we'll consider function that are going from $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$).

Definition 12.0.1. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a limit point of X . $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $L \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We say the limit of f as X approaches a is L if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in X$$

$$x \in B(a; \delta) \wedge x \neq a \implies \|f(x) - L\|_2 < \epsilon$$

The idea is like the definition of convergence of a sequence, except we replace $n \geq n_0$ (which captures "n is sufficiently large") with $x \in B(a; \delta)$, $x \neq a$ (which captures "x is close to, but not equal to a"). In other words, the definition says that if x is close to (but not equal to) a then $f(x)$ is close to L .

Why "not equal to"?: Often we consider the limit as x approaches a when $f(a)$ is not defined. Other times we compare the limit to $f(a)$. So we do not want to use $f(a)$ in the definition of the limit.

Notation: We write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$$

or

$$f(x) \rightarrow L \text{ as } x \rightarrow a$$

to mean that the limit of f is L as x approaches a .

Example: $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. $f(x) := 3x - 2$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Claim

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = 3a - 2$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - (3a - 2)| &= |3x - 2 - 3a + 2| \\ &= 3|x - a| \end{aligned}$$

We want this $< \epsilon$, set $\delta := \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Then if $x \in B(a; \delta) = (a - \delta, a + \delta)$ (i.e. $|x - a| < \delta$) then

$$|f(x) - (3a - 2)| = 3|x - a| < 3\delta = \frac{3\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$$

□

Example: $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. $g(x) := x^2$. Claim:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = a^2$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x) - a^2| &= |x^2 - a^2| \\ &= |x - a||x + a| \end{aligned}$$

What happens if x is close to a ? Intuitively, $|x + a|$ is close to $|a + a|$ and $|x - a|$ is small.

$$\begin{aligned} |x + a| &= |x - a + a + a| \leq |x - a| + |a + a| \\ &< 2|a| + \delta && (\text{if } |x - a| < \delta) \\ &\leq 2|a| + 1 && (\delta \leq 1) \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |x^2 - a^2| &= |x - a||x + a| \leq |x - a|(2|a| + 1) \\ &< \delta(2|a| + 1) && (\text{if } |x - a| < \delta) \\ &\leq \epsilon && (\text{if } \delta \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2|a|+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Important: Do not define δ in terms of x or δ ! We can use a here since a is constant

So we set $\delta := \min\{1, \frac{\epsilon}{2|a|+1}\}$ Then $\delta \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2|a|+1}$ and $\delta \leq 1$. So if $|x - a| < \delta$. Then from the work above, $|x^2 - a^2| < \epsilon$ as required. □

Note: In proofs where we have $\delta - \epsilon$, we often use

$$\delta := \min\{\dots\}$$

In proofs where we have $n_0 - \epsilon$, we often use

$$n_0 := \max\{\dots\}$$

Proposition 12.0.1 (Uniqueness of Limits). *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ($X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$), $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a limit point of X , $L, L' \in \mathbb{R}^m$. If the limit of f as $x \rightarrow a$ is L and the limit of f as $x \rightarrow a$ is L' , then $L = L'$*

Proof. By contradiction. Suppose $L \neq L'$. So

$$\|L - L'\|_2 > 0$$

Set

$$\epsilon := \frac{\|L - L'\|_2}{2} > 0$$

Since $f(x) \rightarrow L$ as $x \rightarrow a$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that if $x \in X \cap B(a; \delta) \setminus \{a\}$, then

$$\|f(x) - L\|_2 < \epsilon$$

Since $f(x) \rightarrow L'$ as $x \rightarrow a$, $\exists \delta' > 0$ such that

$$x \in X \cap B(a; \delta') \setminus \{a\} \implies \|f(x) - L'\|_2 < \epsilon$$

Let $\delta_0 \neq \min\{\delta, \delta'\}$. Let

$$x \in X \cap B(a; \delta_0) \setminus \{a\}$$

Then

$$x \in X \cap B(a; \delta) \setminus \{a\}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - L\|_2 &< \epsilon \\ \|f(x) - L'\|_2 &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|L - L'\|_2 &\leq \|L - f(x)\|_2 + \|f(x) - L'\|_2 < \epsilon + \epsilon \\ &= \|L - L'\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

And thus, a contradiction. □

Proposition 12.0.2 (Sequential Characterization of Limits). *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a limit point of X . $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $L \in \mathbb{R}^m$.*

$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L \iff$ *for every sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in X such that $x_n \rightarrow a$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L$$