Simple Linear Regression

Parameters

The simple model is
$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$$
with $E(y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i, \text{Var}(y_i) = \text{Var}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon) = \sigma^2$

Estimates for β_0, β_1

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i y_i = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$$

$$k_i = \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$S_{xy} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i(x_i - \bar{x})$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2$$

Estimation on σ^2

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 = (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

SSE has $n - 2$ degrees of freedom.

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SSE}{n-2} = MSR$$

Hypothesis Testing

Testing on the slope for a constant β
$$H_0 : \hat{\beta}_1 = \beta, \ H_1 : \hat{\beta}_1 \neq \beta$$

If σ^2 is known,
$$Z_0 = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/S_{xx}}}$$

If σ^2 is unknown,
$$t_0 = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta}{\sqrt{MSE/S_{xx}}}$$

We reject the null hypothesis $|t_0| > t_{\alpha/2, n-2}$. We test the intercept similarly,
$$H_0 : \beta_0 = \beta, \ H_1 : \beta_0 \neq \beta$$
$$t_0 = \frac{\beta_0 - \beta}{se(\hat{\beta}_0)}$$

where $se^2(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{MSE}{S_{xx}}$, $se^2(\hat{\beta}_0) = MSE(1/n + \bar{X}^2/S_{xx})$

Significance of Regression

We test signficance with
$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0, \ H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0$$

Using the same statistic, $|t_0| > t_{\alpha/2, n-2}$.

Source	SS	DF
Regression	$SSR = \hat{\beta}_1^2 \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2$	$p - 1$
Error	$SSE = \sum (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2$	$n - p$
Total	$SSTO = \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$	$n - 1$

MS=SS/df	E(MS)	F
MSR	$\sigma^2 + \beta_1^2 \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2$	MSR/MSE
MSE	σ^2	

Confidence Intervals

The confidence interval on the slope β_1,
$$\hat{\beta}_1 - t_{\alpha/2, n-2} se(\hat{\beta}_1) \leq \hat{\beta}_1 \leq \hat{\beta}_1 + t_{\alpha/2, n-2} se(\hat{\beta}_1)$$
For the intercept β_0,
$$\hat{\beta}_0 - t_{\alpha/2, n-2} se(\hat{\beta}_0) \leq \hat{\beta}_0 \leq \hat{\beta}_0 + t_{\alpha/2, n-2} se(\hat{\beta}_0)$$
For σ^2,
$$\frac{(n-2)MSE}{\chi^2_{\alpha/2, n-2}} \leq \sigma^2 \leq \frac{(n-2)MSE}{\chi^2_{1-\alpha/2, n-2}}$$

Interval Estimation on Mean Response

An unbiased estimator for $E(y|x_0)$ for a value of regressor $x = x_0$ is
$$\widehat{E(y|x_0)} = \hat{\mu}_{y|x_0} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0$$

The variance is
$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{y|x_0}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma^2(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}$$

The sampling distribution for
$$\frac{\hat{\mu}_{y|x_0} - E(y|x_0)}{\sqrt{MSE(1/n + (x_0 - \bar{x})^2/S_{xx})}} \sim t_{n-2}$$

So the confidence interval is then
$$\left[\hat{\mu}_{y|x_0} \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-2} \sqrt{MSE\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}\right)} \right]$$

Correlation

The coefficient of determination is
$$R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST}$$

The adjusted R^2 value is
$$R^2_{Adj} = 1 - \frac{SSE/(n-k)}{SST/(n-1)}$$

The pearson correlation coefficient is
$$\rho = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}\sqrt{\text{Var}(Y)}}$$

When applied to a sample,
$$r = b_1 \left(\frac{S_{xx}}{SST}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}}$$
$$= \frac{S_{xy}}{(S_{xx} SST)^{1/2}}$$

If we want to test $\rho = 0$,
$$H_0 : \rho = 0, \ H_1 : \rho \neq 0$$

We use the t statistic,
$$t = \frac{r\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \sim t_{n-2}$$

We reject the null hypothesis $H_0 : \rho = 0$ if $|t_0| > t_{\alpha/2, n-2}$. To test $\rho = \rho_0$,
$$H_0 : \rho = \rho_0, \ H_1 : \rho \neq \rho_0$$

Use the Z statistic,
$$Z = \text{arctanh} \, r = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right) \sim N\left(\mu_z, \frac{1}{n-3}\right)$$

where
$$\mu_z = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}\right)$$

Then we standardize it to test
$$Z_0 = (\text{arctanh}(r) - \text{arctanh}(\rho_0))\sqrt{n-3}$$

We can obtain our confidence interval with
$$\left[\tanh\left(\text{arctanh}(r) \pm \frac{Z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n-3}}\right) \right]$$

where $\tanh(u) = (e^u - e^{-u})/(e^u + e^{-u})$. We reject $H_0 : \rho = \rho_0$ if $|Z_0| > Z_{\alpha/2}$.

Multiple Linear Regression

Model

We write the multiple linear regression model as

$$Y = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

where (Note $p = k + 1$.)

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1k} \\ 1 & x_{21} & \cdots & x_{1k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1k} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Y is $n \times 1$, X is $n \times p$, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is $p \times 1$, and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is $n \times 1$. In matrix form, we get the fitted line

$$\hat{Y} = X\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = X(X'X)^{-1}X'Y = HY$$

$H = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$ is the **hat matrix**.

Properties of the Hat Matrix

(a) H is a projection matrix, so it is idempotent and symmetric $HH = H$, $H' = H$.

(b) The matrix H is orthogonal to the matrix $I - H$, so $(I - H)H = H - HH = 0$. Moreover, $(I - H)$ is idempotent and a project matrix as well.

(c) The vector of residuls is

$$\boldsymbol{e} = Y - \hat{Y} = Y - HY = (I - H)Y$$

(d) Y is projected onto a space spanned by the columns of H, and the residuals are in an orthogonal space.

$$Y = HY + (I - H)Y$$

Estimation of σ^2

Residual sum of squares is

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 = \boldsymbol{e}'\boldsymbol{e} = Y'Y - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}'X'Y$$

SSE has $n - p$ degrees of freedom, then MSE is

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = MSE = \frac{SSE}{n - p}$$

Estimation and Hypothesis Testing

Testing for Significance

We test for significance with

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_k = 0, \ H_1 : \beta_j \neq 0$$

Rejecting the null hypothesis means at least one regressor contributed signficantly. We use an F statistc

$$F_0 = \frac{SSR/k}{SSE/(n-p)} = \frac{MSR}{MSE} \sim F_{k,n-p}$$

We reject the null hypothesis when $F_0 > F_{\alpha,k,n-k-1}$.

The **total sum of squares** is

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right)^2 = Y'Y - \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right)$$

The **regression sum of squares** is

$$SSR = \hat{\beta}'X'Y - \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right)$$

The **residual sum of squares** is

$$SSE = Y'Y - \hat{\beta}'X'Y = Y'(I - H)Y$$

We can also write SST and SSR in terms of the J_n, and $n \times n$ matrix with 1's.

$$SST = Y'\left(I - \frac{1}{n}J_n\right)Y$$

$$SSR = Y'\left(H - \frac{1}{n}J_n\right)Y$$

Tests on Individual Coefficients

To test an indivual coefficient β_j, we use

$$H_0 : \beta_j = 0, \ H_1 : \beta_j \neq 0$$

The test statistic is

$$t_0 = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 C_{jj}}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{se(\hat{\beta}_j)}$$

Where C_{jj} is the diagonal entry of $(X'X)^{-1}$. We reject H_0 when $|t_0| > t_{\alpha/2,n-p}$.

If we fail to reject the null hypothesis, we can remove the corresponding regressor x_j from the model.

Extra Sum Of Squares

We want to partition r of the k regressors to test

$$H_0 : \beta_2 = 0, H_1 : \beta_2 \neq 0$$

$Y = X\beta + \epsilon$, where Y is $n \times 1$, X is $n \times p$, β is $p \times 1$, and ϵ is $n \times 1$ with $p = k + 1$.

Full Model

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon = X_1\beta_1 + X_2\beta_2 + \epsilon$$

X_1 is $n \times (p - r)$, X_2 is $n \times r$.

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y, \ SSR(\beta) = \hat{\beta}'X'Y$$

which has $k = p - 1$ degrees of freedom.

Reduced Model

To test regressors in β_2, fit the model assuming $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$ is true.

$$Y = X_1\beta_1 + \epsilon$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = (X_1'X_1)^{-1}X_1'Y, \ SSR(\beta_1) = \hat{\beta}_1'X_1'Y$$

which has $k - r = p - 1 - r$ degrees of freedom. The sum of squares due to β_2 given that β_1 is already in the model is

$$SSR(\beta_2|\beta_1) = SSR(\beta) - SSR(\beta_1)$$

The null hypothesis $\beta_2 = 0$ can be tested with (partial F-test)

$$F_0 = \frac{SSR(\beta_2|\beta_1)/r}{MSE}$$

If $F_0 > F_{\alpha,r,n-p}$, then we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that at least one regressor in X_2 contributes.

Lack of Fit

Pure Error Sum of Squares:

$$SS_{PE} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2$$

Sum of Squares Due to Lack of Fit:

$$SS_{LOF} = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i(\bar{y} - \hat{y}_i)$$

F-**Statistic:**

$$F^* = \frac{SS_{LOF}/(m-2)}{SS_{PE}(n-m)} = \frac{MS_{LOF}}{MS_{PE}}$$

Testing Lack of Fit

If the regression is linear, then $E(y_i) = \beta_+ \beta_1 x_i$,

$$H_0 : E(y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i, \ H_1 : E(y_i) \neq \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$$

Reject the null hypothesis when $F^* > F_{\alpha,m-2,n-m}$.

Anova Table for Lack of Fit

Source	Sum of Squares	DF
Regression	$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n_i}(y_{ij}-\hat{y}_i)^2$	1
Residuals	$SSE(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n_i}(y_{ij}-\hat{y}_i)^2$	$n-2$
Lack of Fit	$SS_{LOF} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i(\bar{y}_i-\hat{y}_i)^2$	$m-2$
Pure Error	$SS_{PE} = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n_i}(y_{ij}-\bar{y}_i)^2$	$n-m$
Total	$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n_i}(y_{ij}-\bar{y})^2$	$n-1$

Source	Mean Square = SS/df	F-Statistic
Regression	$SSR/1$	MSR/MSE
Residuals	$SSE(R)/n-2$	
Lack of Fit	$SS_{LOF}/m-2$	MS_{LOF}/MS_{PE}
Pure Error	$SS_{PE}/n-m$	

Model Adequacy

- **Using a boxplot:** Box plot of residuals should be symmetric around a median of 0.
- **Histogram:** Should be of the shape of a normal distribution.
- **QQ-Plot:** Plot $E_k = \sqrt{MSE} \cdot \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{k-0.375}{n+0.25}\right)$ vs the residuals $e_{(k)}$, should be a straight line.

Studentize the residuals, and plot $\sqrt{e_i^*}$ vs $\hat{Y}_i$.

$$e_i^* = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{MSE(1-h_{ii})}}$$

- Plot should show a random distribution of points. Otherwise, signs of non-constant variance.
- Residuals lie in a narrow band around 0 $\implies$ no need of correction.
- Residuals are increasing or decreasing $\implies$ variance is non constant.
- Double-bow pattern $\implies$ variance in the middle is larger than the variance at the extremes.
- Quadratic relationship (parabola shape) $\implies$ maybe a nonlinear relationship

Confidence Intervals

To construct a confidence interval on β_j, use the statistic

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 C_{jj}}} \sim t_{n-p}$$

The CI is then

$$\hat{\beta}_j - t_{\alpha/2,n-p}\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 C_{jj}} \leq \beta_j \leq \hat{\beta}_j - t_{\alpha/2,n-p}\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 C_{jj}}$$

Recall C_{jj} is the jth diagonal entry of $(X'X)^{-1}$ the standard error is

$$se(\hat{\beta}_j) = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 C_{jj}}$$

To construct confidence intevrals at points $x_{01}, x_{02}, \ldots, x_{0k}$, define

$$x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{01} & x_{02} & \cdots & x_{0k} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

The fitting value is then

$$\hat{y}_0 = x_0'\hat{\beta}$$

This is an unbiased estimator, $E(y|x_0) = x_0'\beta = E(\hat{y}_0)$, and $\text{Var}(\hat{y}_0) = \sigma^2 x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0$. The CI is then

$$\left[\hat{y}_0 \pm t_{\alpha/2,n-p}\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0}\right]$$

Theorem (Bonferroni Inequality). *For two events A_1, A_2, we have that*

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2) \leq P(A_1) + P(A_2)$$

From DeMorgan's identity, we also have

$$P(A_1^c \cap A_2^c) = 1 - P(A_1 \cup A_2) \geq 1 - P(A_1) - P(A_2)$$

If we define the events

$$A_1^c : \hat{\beta}_0 \pm t_{1-\alpha/2,n-2}s(\hat{\beta}_0)$$
$$A_2^c : \hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{1-\alpha/2,n-2}s(\hat{\beta}_1)$$

From Bonforroni's Inequality, if we have $P(A_1) = P(A_2) = \alpha$, then

$$P(A_1^c \cap A_2^c) \geq 1 - P(A_1) - P(A-2) = 1 - 2\alpha$$

In general, if we have p parameters and each confidence interval has confidence, $1 - \frac{\alpha}{p}$, then

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} A_i^c\right) \geq 1 - p\frac{\alpha}{p} = 1 - \alpha$$

Transformations and Weighting

- **Poisson** ($\mu = \sigma^2$): $y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda) \implies \sqrt{y}$ is nearly normal and has variance 1/4 if λ is large.
- **Binomial:** $y \sim \text{Bin}(n,p)$ with mean $m = np$, then

$$y' = \sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{y+c}{n+2c}}\right)$$

The optimal value of c is 3/8 when m and $n-m$ are large. The variance is approximately $\frac{1}{4}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}$.

Transformations to Linearize Models.

- **Exponential:** $\beta_0' = \ln\beta_0$, $\epsilon' = \ln\epsilon$,

$$y = \beta_0 e^{\beta_1 x}\epsilon \to y' = \ln y = \beta_0' + \beta_1 x + \epsilon'$$

- **Reciprocal:** $x' = \frac{1}{x}$,

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1\frac{1}{x} + \epsilon \to y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x' + \epsilon$$

$$\frac{1}{y} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon \to y' = \frac{1}{y}$$

- **Two Step Reciprocal:** $y' = \frac{1}{y}$, $x' = \frac{1}{x}$,

$$y = \frac{x}{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x} \to y' = \beta_0 x' + \beta_1$$

When data is not normally distrubted, can apply a power transformation

$$y^{(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} \frac{y^\lambda - 1}{\lambda \dot{y}^{\lambda-1}} & \lambda \neq 0 \\ \dot{y}\ln y & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}, \quad \dot{y} = \ln^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ln y_i\right)$$

We want a value for λ that mimizes SSE, this value is found by trial and error.

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & w_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X_W = \begin{bmatrix} 1\sqrt{w_1} & \cdots & x_{1k}\sqrt{w_1} \\ 1\sqrt{w_2} & \cdots & x_{2k}\sqrt{w_2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1\sqrt{w_n} & \cdots & x_{nk}\sqrt{w_n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_W = \begin{bmatrix} y_1\sqrt{w_1} \\ y_2\sqrt{w_2} \\ \vdots \\ y_n\sqrt{w_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

New Weighted Model: $Y_w = X_w\beta + \epsilon_W$, estimate becomes

$$\hat{\beta} = (X_W'X_W)^{-1}X_W'Y_W = (X'WX)^{-1}X'WY$$

Weighted mean square error is

$$MSE_W = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(y_1-\hat{y}_i)^2}{n-p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i e_i^2}{n-p}$$