

⚠ General guidelines for TPs

Each team shall upload its report on Teide before the deadline indicated at the course website. Please **include the name of all members of the team** on top of your report.

The report should contain graphical representations. For each graph, axis names should be provided as well as a legend when it is appropriate. Figures should be explained by a few sentences in the text. Answer to the **questions in order and refer to the question number in your report**. Computations and graphics have to be performed with R.

The report should be written using the **Rmarkdown** format. This is a file format that allows users to format documents containing text and R instructions. You should include all of the R instructions that you have used in the `rmd` document so that it may be possible to replicate your results. From your `rmd` file, you are asked to generate an `html` file for the final report. In Teide, you are asked to submit both the `rmd` and the `html` files. In the `html` file, you should limit the displayed R code to the most important instructions.

TP: analysis of Prostate cancer data

A medical study made on patients with prostate cancer aims to analyze the correlation between the prostate tumor volume and a set of clinical and morphometric variables. These variables include prostate specific antigens, a biomarker for prostate cancer, and a number of clinical measures (age, prostate weight, etc.). The goal of this practical is to build a regression model to predict the severity of cancer, expressed by logarithm of the tumor volume (`lcavol` variable) from the following predictors

- `lcavol`: log of the tumor volume,
- `lweight`: log of prostate weight,
- `age`: age of the patient,
- `lbph`: log of benign prostatic hyperplasia amount,
- `svi`: seminal vesicle invasion,
- `lcp`: log of capsular penetration,
- `gleason`: Gleason score (score on a cancer prognosis test),
- `pgg45`: percent of Gleason scores 4 or 5,
- `lpsa`: log of a prostate specific antigen,
- `train`: a logical to indicate the train subset.

The file `prostate.data.txt` contains measures of the logarithm of the tumor volume and of the 8 predictors for 97 patients. This file contains also an additional variable, `train`, which will not be used and has to be removed.

Preliminary analysis of the data

1. Download the file `prostate.data` and store it in your current folder. Read the data with `prostateCancer <- read.table("prostate.data.txt", header=TRUE)`. Check that `prostateCancer` is of class `data.frame` that contains, for each patient, the `lcavol` variable (in first column) and the values of the 8 predictors. Remove the last column (`train`) of the data frame.

Help: You can remove columns in data frames by using negative indices to exclude them. With `headers = TRUE` in `read.table`, the column names are given by `colnames(prostateCancer)`.

2. Use the command `pairs` to visualize the correlations between all the variables. Pairs plots scatterplots (clouds of points) between all pairs of variables. Analyse the correlations between all the variables and identify the variables which are the most correlated to `lcavol`.

Linear regression

3. Perform a multiple linear regression to build a predictive model for the `lcavol` variable. The variables `gleason` and `svi` have to be considered as qualitative variables: convert them with `factor()`. Provide the mathematical equation of the regression model and define the different parameters. Use `summary` to display the regression table and explain what are the regression coefficients of the lines which names start by `svi` and `gleason`. Comment the results of the regression.
4. Give confidence intervals of level 95% for all the coefficients of the predictors with `confint`. Comment the results.
5. What can you say about the effect of the `lpsa` variable? Relate your answer to the p -value of a test and a confidence interval.
6. Plot the predicted values of `lcavol` as a function of the actual values. Plot the histogram of residuals. Can we admit that the residuals are normally distributed? Compute the residual sum of squares.
7. What do you think of the optimality of this model?
8. What happens if predictors `lpsa` and `lcp` are removed from the model? Try to explain this new result.
9. What happens if predictor `lweight` is removed from the model? Try to explain this new result.

Anova – effects of the qualitative variables

10. Consider only the `gleason` variable in the regression. Use `model.matrix()` to check the regression matrix used by `lm()`.
11. Remove the intercept (see help `?formula`) and redo the previous question.
12. Perform a one-way ANOVA to assess the effect of `gleason` on `lcavol` using `anova(lm(.))`. Analyze also the output of `model.tables(aov(.))`. Do not consider intercept in the regression formula.
13. By performing a two-way ANOVA, decide if the predictors `svi` et `gleason` affects `lcavol`. Consider the formula with single and cross effects `0+svi*gleason`. Comment the output. Are there some coefficients not fitted? if yes, why?
14. Consider now cross-effect only with `0+svi:gleason`. Are there some coefficients not fitted? if yes, why? Do you think useful to consider crossed effects?

Best subset selection

A regression model that uses k predictors is said to be of size k . For instance, $lcavol = \beta_1 \text{ lpsa} + \beta_0 + \varepsilon$ and $lcavol = \beta_1 \text{ lweight} + \beta_0 + \varepsilon$ are models of size 1. The regression model without any predictor $lcavol = \beta_0 + \varepsilon$ is a model of size 0.

The goal of this question is to select the best model of size k for each value of k in $\{0 \dots 8\}$.

15. Describe the models implemented in

```
lm.pro.5a <- lm(lcavol ~ 1, data=prostateCancer)
lm.pro.5b <- lm(lcavol ~ ., data=prostateCancer[, c(1,2,3)])
lm.pro.5c <- lm(lcavol ~ ., data=prostateCancer[, c(1,2,5)])
```

Compute their residual sums of squares. NB: `deviance()` on "lm" objects computes the sum of squares. Comment the outputs of `anova(lm.pro.5a, lm.pro.5b, lm.pro.5c)`.

16. Compute the residual sums of squares for all models of size $k = 2$ using the code below. What is the best choice of 2 predictors among 8? *Help:* `combn(m,k)` gives all the combinations of k elements among n .

```
> all2colnum <- rbind(1, 1+combn(8, 2))
> #compute
> all2colrss <- sapply(1:NCOL(all2colnum),
+   function(i)
+     deviance(lm(lcavol ~ ., data=prostateCancer[, all2colnum[,i]])))
> #add names
> names(all2colrss) <- sapply(1:NCOL(all2colnum),
+   function(i)
+     paste(colnames(prostateCancer)[all2colnum[-1,i]], collapse = "-"))
```

17. For each value of $k \in \{0, \dots, 8\}$, select the set of predictors that minimizes the residual sum of squares: make a **function**. Plot the residual sum of squares as a function of k . Provide the names of the selected predictors for each value of k .
18. Do you think that minimizing the residual sum of squares is well suited to select the optimal size for the regression models? Could you suggest another possibility?

Split-validation

You have now found the best model for each of the nine possible model sizes. In the following, we wish to compare these nine different regression models.

19. Give a brief overview of split-validation: how it works?

The validation set will be composed of all individuals whose indices are a multiple of 3. Store these indices in a vector with `test <- 1:n %% 3 == 0` where `n=NROW(prostateCancer)` is the number of individuals.

20. Let us assume that the best model is of size 2 and contains the i -th and j -th predictor (replace i and j by their true values). Describe what is evaluated when using the function `lm(lcavol ~ ., data=prostateCancer[, c(1, i, j)], subset=!test)`. What is the mean training error for the model ?

Help: if we compute test index as row numbers and not logicals, we need to `subset==test` when calling `lm`.

21. Predict values of `lcavol` on the validation set for the regression model of size two. Compute the mean prediction error and compare it to the mean training error.

Hint: Use `?predict.lm`. Note that you will have to provide the matrix containing the data of the validation set to the `predict` function, using the `newdata` argument.

22. Reusing parts of the code implemented in previous questions, perform split-validation to compare the 9 best RSS models (obtained before). The code has to be done by yourself : do NOT use CRAN packages such as `caret`.

Plot training and prediction errors as a function of the model dimension. Choose one model, giving the parameter estimates for the model trained on the whole dataset, and explain your choice.

23. What is the main limitation of split-validation ? Illustrate this issue on the cancer dataset. How could you do to address this problem for split-validation? Do NOT code such alternative method and comment the result.
24. What is your best model to predict `lcavol` ? Apply the best model and comment the results.