## **WEEK7 LAB CLUSTERING**

ALGORITHM	ACCURACY	INTERTIA	Correctly	VISUALISATION
ALGORITHIVI	7100010101	IIVI LIVIII/V	classified	(we used pca for 2D visualisation)
			instances	(we used ped for 2D visualisation)
1/ A 45 A N I C	0.44128	3972590.		
K-MEANS	2	64168897 83	124	200 -
				100 - 0 - -100 -
				0 200 400 600 800
EM- GMM	0.3950178	-	111	250 -
				200 -
				150 -
				100 -
				50 -
				0-
				-50 -
				-100
				-150 -
				0 200 400 600 800

## **CONCLUSION**

K-means clustering performs better that GMM. However, the caveat is that this is binary classification as we transformed out target variable from numeric to binary, it has only 2 classes. The K-means models keeps getting better and better with increasing number of clusters. Below is the inertia curve that applies the elbow technique to figure out the optimal number of clusters in our K-means clustering. Optimal number of clusters is 4 as shown in the graph.

