Machine Learning Engineer Nanodegree

Capstone Proposal

Mohammed Ashraf Farouq Ali June 8th, 2019

Domain Background

Telephone Companies play vital Role in our daily base lives, with numerous of thousands of services we encounter each day and hour

Context

Customer churn is defined by losing customers or clients.

Which as in overall companies like mention here telephone companies pay numerous amount of money to explore the possible reasons and causes to customers churning from the company as for voluntary causes such as customer decides to leave or go for another service provider or involuntary cause which can be due to natural causes that prevent the customer from continuing his/her subscriptions, as in overall spending capital over how to keep customers satisfied and committing to the company is often less costly than exploring new ways to get new customers to replace old ones.

What we'll be Discussing through this project is the various type of customers and how the process of their subscription went through out and how that affects the future state of customers on the long term and understand analysis of the problem.

Acknowledgements

The dataset has been collected from an [IBM Sample Data Sets]

- Check more about the dataset here.
- Related research paper <u>here</u>.

Problem Statement

In this Case of the Project we'll be dealing with:

- Exploring the Dataset
- Manipulation of data to Tenure Groups for later classification
- Use of Info provided to create Statistical Analysis of the state of Each Customer
- Understand the Cause of Customer Churning from the overall Subscribed Services & Other Info
- Visualizing the descriptive statistics of the whole Dataset
- Preprocess Data & Remove Un-Necessary Data
- Remove Un-Correlated Data
- Shuffle & Split Data
- Train & Test Both SVM & Log Reg Models
- · Resample, Shuffle & Split Data then retrain
- Measure & Compare Final Scores and Improvements

DataSet & Input:

As for our input we'll be using the Churn variables to compare results, and as well we'll split data and shuffle it for training purposes after analysis of what features are more relevant to our process.

The data set includes information about:

Customers who left within the last month – the column is called Churn

- Services that each customer has signed up for phone, multiple lines, internet, online security, online backup, device protection, tech support, and streaming TV and movies
- Customer account information how long they've been a customer, contract, payment method, paperless billing, monthly charges, and total charges
- Demographic info about customers gender, age range, and if they have partners and dependents
- As well it consists of 7044 * 21 Cells of Info Ranging From Customer ID's to their Churn State

Solution Statement

In this Case of the Project we'll be dealing with:


- Exploring the Dataset
- Manipulation of data to Tenure Groups for later classification
- Use of Info provided to create Statistical Analysis of the state of Each Customer
- Understand the Cause of Customer Churning from the overall Subscribed Services & Other Info
- Visualizing the descriptive statistics of the whole Dataset
- Preprocess Data & Remove Un-Necessary Data
- Remove Un-Correlated Data
- Shuffle & Split Data
- Train & Test Both SVM & Log Reg Models
- Resample, Shuffle & Split Data then retrain
- Measure & Compare Final Scores and Improvements

Benchmark Model

In This case we'll be using SVM(Support Vector Machine) & Logistic Regression Algorithms for the Classification and we'll be calculating Scores to test for Improvement before and after Sampling & Refinement, as they are to be the best models for benchmarking and training for this case here, we'll be calculating the precision, recall, accuracy & F-beta score for SVM, and Accuracy, F-1, Precision, Recall Score for Logistic Regression that will calculated based on the **Confusion Matrix** Scores.

Before Sampling

After Sampling



Evaluation Metrics

Recall and precision: Precision-Recall is a useful measure of success of prediction when the classes are very imbalanced. In information retrieval, precision is a measure of result relevancy, while recall is a measure of how many truly relevant results are returned. A system with high recall but low precision returns many results, but most of its predicted labels are incorrect when compared to the training labels. A system with high precision but low recall is just the opposite, returning very few results, but most of its predicted labels are correct when compared to the training labels. An ideal system with high precision and high recall will return many results, with all results labeled correctly. And here a very good article about Recall and precision score.

F-Beta: F-Beta score is a way of measuring a certain accuracy for a model. It takes into consideration both the Recall and Precision metrics. If you don't know what those are, it's highly recommended to check the previous post about Recall & Precision.

--A quick definition of recall and precision, in a non-mathematical way:

Precision: high precision means that an algorithm returned substantially more relevant results than irrelevant ones **Recall:** high recall means that an algorithm returned most of the relevant results.

Good article and info here.

Confusion Matrix for calculating Logistic Regression Scores: A confusion matrix is a table that is often used to describe the performance of a classification model (or "classifier") on a set of test data for which the true values are known. The confusion matrix itself is relatively simple to understand, but the related terminology can be confusing. Quick easy article here.

F-1 Score: is a measure of a test's accuracy, as it considers both the precision p and the recall r of the test to compute the score: p is the number of correct positive results divided by the number of all positive results returned by the classifier, and r is the number of correct positive results divided by the number of all relevant samples (all samples that should have been identified as positive). The F1 score is the harmonic average of the precision and recall, where an F1 score reaches its best value at 1 (perfect precision and recall) and worst at 0. More Info here.

Project Design

- 1. Data & DataSet Import
 - 1.1 Importing Dataset from Kaggle If Using Google Colab
 - 1.1.1 Install Kaggle Packages
 - 1.1.2 Importing Kaggle Api & Creating Kaggle Directory
 - 1.1.3 Download Dataset
 - 1.1.4 Unzip Dataset Package
 - 1.1.5 Function For Running Plotly Graphs on Google Colab
 - 1.2 Import required Libraries
- 2. Data Manipulation
 - 2.1 Replacing Yes / No Values in Dataset
- 3. Analysis
 - 3.1 Data Exploration
 - 3.1.1 Load & Display DataSet
 - o 3.1.2 Checking for Missing Data
 - 3.1.3 Data Format Description
 - 3.1.4 Data Descriptive Analysis
- 4. Methodology
 - 4.1 Data Preprocessing
 - 4.1.1 Data Normalization
 - 4.1.2 Loading Data After Normalization
- 5. Analysis 2
 - 5.1 Data Visualization
 - 5.1.1 Churn to Non-Chrun Proportion
 - 5.1.2 Statistical Analysis in Customer Churning
 - 5.1.3 Correlation Matrix
 - 5.2 Algorithms & Techniques
 - 5.3 Benchmark
- 6. Methodology 2
 - 6.1 Data Preprocessing 2
 - 6.2 Data Cleaning & Refinement of Un-necessary attributes
 - 6.3 Data Shuffling & Splitting
 - 6.4 Implementation
 - 6.4.1 Fit & Train SVM
 - 6.4.2 SVM Scoring on Whole Dataset
 - 6.4.3 Fit & Train Logistic Regression with Accuracy Score
 - 6.4.4 Calculate Confusion Matrix for Log Regression
 - o <u>6.4.5 Description of Confusion Matrix Values</u>
 - 6.4.6 Descriptive Scoring for Logistic Regression
- 7. Results
 - 7.1 Model Evaluation
 - 7.1.1 Data Resampling
 - o 7.1.2 Data Plotting after Resampling
 - 7.1.3 Shuffle and Split Data after Resampling
 - 7.2 Models Justification & Comparison after Improvement

- o 7.2.1 Fit & Train SVM after Resampling
- o 7.2.2 SVM Scoring after Resampling on Whole Dataset
- o 7.2.3 More SVM Scoring with F-Beta on Sampled Dataset
- 7.2.4 Fit & Train Log Reg after Resampling with Accuracy Score
- 7.2.5 Calculate Confusion Matrix for Log Regression
- o 7.2.6 Description of Confusion Matrix Values
- o 7.2.7 Descriptive Scoring for Logistic Regression