

Explainable Artificial Intelligence

Sargur N. Srihari


srihari@buffalo.edu

Topics in Explainable AI (XAI)


1. Types of AI Models
2. Need for Explainability
3. Post-hoc and Ante-hoc Explainability
4. Sensitivity Analysis and Layerwise Relevance Propagation
5. Measures of Explanation Quality

Summary of AI Models


Rule-based System



Classic Machine learning




Deep Learning




Shaded boxes indicate components that can learn from data

Explainability of AI Models


AI Models



Learning Techniques (today)





Explainability (notional)



A neural network is defined by weights

Vector x is converted into vector y by multiplying x by a matrix W


$$\text{A linear classifier } y = Wx^T + b$$




$$w^{t+1} = w^t - \varepsilon \nabla_w f(w^t)$$

Deep Neural Network

Supervised Deep Network




Source: XenonStack



Source: TIME

Unsupervised Deep Network



Deep Learning as Blackbox models

Blackbox:

- A function that is too complicated for any human to comprehend
- A function that is proprietary
- A model that is difficult to trouble-shoot

Deep Learning Models are blackbox models

- Popular networks are recursive
- Opaque, non-intuitive and difficult for people to understand



Source Image: Wikipedia

Summary of need for explainable AI

Verification of the system


Ability to explain one's decision to other people is an important aspect of human intelligence

Ex: Due to lack of data no correlation of asthma-heart disease with death from pneumonia

Improvement of the system

First step to improve system is to understand its weaknesses.

Detecting bias in system.



Compliance to legislation

"Right to explanation" when Algorithm makes a loan decision

Learning from the system

AI systems are trained with millions of examples
They may observe patterns in data not available to humans

Explain to control

- Credit decisions:
 - How did DL model provide /deny credit to an individual? Was there bias: ethnicity, race religion?
 - In the US
 - lender must provide *reasons* for adverse decision
 - Take-home insufficient, insufficient collateral, poor credit rating
 - In the European Union,
 - GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation)
 - *right to explanation* for high-stakes automated decisions
- Healthcare:
 - Highly regulated due to HIPAA
 - How did AI predict grade 3 or grade 4 tumor?

Explain to justify: Ethical AI

- Surveillance system:
 - Why did model interpret that individual in livestream video is suspicious? Are there biases?
- Autonomous vehicle:
 - Model decides on saving passenger or pedestrian

Explain to justify

1. Medical

- Patient discharge to nursing home, Reading EKGs

2. Legal

- People incorrectly denied parole
- Bail decision leads to release of dangerous criminal

3. Environment

- Pollution model states that dangerous is safe
- Poor use of limited valuable resources in
 - Medicine, Justice, energy reliability, finance

Explain to Justify in Forensics





This chart represents some of the handwriting features I used to reach my conclusion, and is representative of what I examined but is not meant to replicate my entire examination. There are several handwriting similarities noted using the green arrows/bar, and a dissimilarity noted using the red arrows.

Similarities include the pointed first arch of the letter “n”,
the counterclockwise motion of the formation of the front portion of the “d”
and the way the stroke connects from the “n” into the middle of the “d”,
and the relative height of the staff of the “d” compared to the other letters.

A **dissimilarity** is noted in the connecting stroke from the “a” to the “n”.
Is it below the baseline and has a sharp angle in the questioned “and”,
and at or above the baseline and rounded in the known “and”.

Conclusion would likely be “indications one writer wrote both words”.
A more definitive conclusion could not be reached because of the limited amount
of writing for comparison, and the dissimilarity noted.


DARPA goals of XAI



Why did you do that?
Why not something else?
When do you succeed?
When do you fail?
When can I trust you?
How do I correct an error?

Taxonomy of XAI

- Post-hoc (Explain the Blackbox)
 - Explainability based on test cases and results
- Ante-hoc (Build a new learning model)
 - Seeding explainability into model from the start



Some examples of XAI

- Post-hoc (Blackbox)
 1. Sensitivity Analysis (SA)
 2. Layer-wise Relevance Propagation (LRP)
 3. Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations (LIME)
- Ante-hoc (New learning process)
 1. Reversed Time Attention Model (RETAIN)
 2. Bayesian Deep Learning (BDL)

Sensitivity Analysis (SA)

- Explains a prediction $f(\mathbf{x})$ based on the model's locally evaluated gradient (partial derivative)
 - It quantifies importance of each input x_i (e.g., image pixel) as


SA: Partial derivatives

$$R_i = \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(\mathbf{x}) \right\|$$

(how much do changes in each pixel affect the prediction)

- Assumes that most relevant input features are those to which the output is most sensitive

Explanation using Sensitivity Analysis



SA: Partial derivatives

$$R_i = \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(\mathbf{x}) \right\|$$

(how much do changes in each pixel affect the prediction)

Assumes that most relevant features are those to which output is most sensitive. Which pixels need to be changed to make image look more/less like the predicted class


e.g., changing yellow occluding pixels improves score, but does not explain rooster

Explains prediction based on locally evaluated gradient
Does not explain $f(\mathbf{x})$ but a variation of input

Layerwise Relevance Propagation

- A general framework for decomposing predictions of modern AI systems in terms of input variables
 - Applicable to feed-forwards networks, bag-of-words models, LSTM and FisherVector classifiers
- In contrast to SA, this method explains predictions relative to the state of maximum uncertainty
 - i.e., it identifies pixels which are pivotal for the prediction “rooster”

Explanation using LRP



Layerwise
Relevance
Propagation

Redistributes the prediction $f(x)$ backwards using local redistribution rules until it assigns a relevance score R_i to each input variable (e.g., image pixel)

Explains rooster by its head

LRP: Decomposition

$$\sum_i R_i = f(\mathbf{x})$$

(how much does each pixel contribute to prediction)

Simple LRP rule

$$R_j = \sum_k \frac{x_j w_{jk}}{\sum_j x_j w_{jk} + \epsilon} R_k$$

x_j = neuron activations at layer l ,

R_k = relevance scores of neurons at layer $l+1$


w_{jk} = weight connecting neuron j to neuron k

Evaluating the quality of explanation

- Compare heatmaps of SA and LRP using *Perturbation analysis*:
 1. Perturbing important variables leads to a steeper decline of prediction score than lesser variables
 2. SA, LRP provide a score for each input. Thus, inputs can be sorted by this relevance score
 3. Iteratively perturb variables (starting from most relevant), track score after every perturbation
- Average decline of the prediction score is a measure of explanation quality
 - because a large decline indicates that explanation was successful in identifying truly relevant input variables


Comparison of SA and LRP

Two images correctly classified as *volcano, coffee cup*



LRP detects shape of mountain and ellipsoidal shape as relevant features

SA does not indicate how much each pixel contributes to prediction



Results of perturbation analysis
Y-axis shows relative decrease of prediction score averaged over 5040 images of ILSVRC2012 dataset

At every perturbation step a 9x9 patch of the image (selected according to scores) is replaced by random values sampled from an uniform distribution

Explaining Text Classification

Explaining prediction sci.med

SA


It is the body's reaction to a strange environment. It appears to be induced partly to physical **discomfort** and part to mental distress. Some people are more prone to it than others, like some people are more prone to get sick on a roller coaster ride than others. The mental part is usually induced by a lack of clear indication of which way is up or down, ie: the Shuttle is normally oriented with its cargo bay pointed towards Earth, so the Earth (or ground) is "above" the head of the astronauts. About 50% of the astronauts experience some form of motion **sickness**, and NASA has done numerous tests in space to try to see how to keep the number of occurrences down.

LRP

It is the **body**'s reaction to a strange environment. It appears to be induced partly to physical **discomfort** and part to **mental** distress. Some people are more prone to it than others, like some people are more prone to get sick on a roller coaster **ride** than others. The **mental** part is usually induced by a lack of clear indication of which way is up or down, ie: the **Shuttle** is normally oriented with its **cargo** bay pointed towards Earth, so the **Earth** (or ground) is "above" the head of the **astronauts**. About 50% of the astronauts experience some form of motion **sickness**, and **NASA** has done numerous tests in **space** to try to see how to keep the number of occurrences down.

SA and LRP heatmaps identify words such as "discomfort", "body" and "sickness" as relevant ones for explaining the prediction.


Quantitative comparison of SA and LRP



In contrast to SA, LRP distinguishes between positive (red) and negative (blue) relevances.

Explaining Human Action Recognition


Explaining prediction *sit-up*




The LRP heatmaps of a video which was classified as “sit-up” show increased relevance on frames in which the person is performing an upwards and downwards movement..

A post-hoc system: LIME


Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations (LIME)



Explaining individual prediction to a human decision-maker



Explaining model to a human decision-maker




Source:
[https://www.oreilly.com/
learning/introduction-to-
local-interpretable-model-
agnostic-explanations-lime](https://www.oreilly.com/learning/introduction-to-local-interpretable-model-agnostic-explanations-lime)

Ante-hoc systems: DARPA

Today



Training Data



Learned Function

This is a cat
($p = .93$)

Output




User with a Task

- Why did you do that?
- Why not something else?
- When do you succeed?
- When do you fail?
- When can I trust you?
- How do I correct an error?

Tomorrow



Training Data



Explainable Model

This is a cat:
- It has fur, whiskers, and claws.
- It has this feature:


Explanation Interface




User with a Task

- I understand why
- I understand why not
- I know when you'll succeed
- I know when you'll fail
- I know when to trust you
- I know why you erred


Performance vs Explainability

- Produce more explainable models, while maintain a high level of performance, e.g., prediction accuracy
- Enable human users to understand, trust and manage emerging AI partners



complex black box models such as RNN)
or less accurate traditional models with
better interpretation, e.g., logistic regression


Future AI Models



Measures of Explanation Effectiveness

- User satisfaction
 - Clarity of explanation (user rating)
 - Utility of explanation (user rating)
- Task performance
 - Does it improve user decision, performance
- Trust assessment
 - Future use and trust

Explanation Framework



DARPA XAI Program

1. Techniques to select the training examples most influential in a decision
2. Techniques to identify the most salient input features used in a decision
3. Network dissection techniques to identify meaningful features inside the layers of a deep net
4. Deep learning techniques to generate explanations