

* Randomized Algorithms:

① Application by Verifying Polynomial Identities.

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - a_i)$$

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i$$

$$f(x) \stackrel{?}{=} g(x)$$

→ Algo chooses integer r uniformly at random in range

$$\{1, \dots, 100n\} : S$$

∴ $f(r)$ in $O(n)$ (Multiply n numbers)

$g(r)$ in $O(n)$ (Horner's Rule)

If $f(x) \equiv g(x)$ & $f(r) = g(r)$ } Correct ans

$f(x) \not\equiv g(x)$ & $f(r) \neq g(r)$

If $f(x) \not\equiv g(x)$ & $f(r) = g(r)$ Incorrect ans

⇒ $f(x) - g(x) = 0$ has a root r

where deg is almost n

∴ \exists almost n roots in S

∴ Error $\leq 1/100$

Run Algo for k times: Error $\leq \left(\frac{1}{100}\right)^k$

→ Monte Carlo Algo.

② Verifying Matrix Multiplication (All operations modulo 2)
All entries are either 0 or 1.

→ $A_{n \times n}$ $B_{n \times n}$ $C_{n \times n}$ (all entries are either 0 or 1)

$$\bar{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in \{0,1\}^n$$

$$(jD - x) \tilde{U} = \{x\}$$

\therefore Prob to pick 1 random vector $\frac{1}{2^n}$

$$(A(B\gamma)) \stackrel{?}{=} C\gamma \rightarrow O(n^2)$$

X Y

$$(20) \stackrel{?}{=} (30)$$

If $AB = C$ and $ABr = Cr$ } : 80001, ..., 17
 $AB \neq C$ (and $ABr \neq Cr$) } Correct 10000 of 10000

If $AB \neq C$ and $AB\tau = C\tau$ then ans is wrong.

$$\therefore D = AB - C \neq 0 \quad (\star)D \in (\star)T \quad \text{and} \quad (\star)C \in (\star)T$$

$\therefore \exists$ at least one component of D not equal to zero

WLOG assume $d_{11} \neq 0$

spat-temporal (x)P = (x)T + (x)P + (x)T

$$x = y$$

$$\Rightarrow (AB)\gamma = c\gamma$$

$$\Rightarrow (AB - C)r = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_C = 0$$

$\nabla D\sigma = 0$ only if correct

$$\therefore d_{11}r_1 + d_{12}r_2 + \dots + d_{1n}r_n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1 = \frac{-(d_{12}r_2 + \dots + d_{1n}r_n)}{d_{11}}$$

* (r_2, random) is determined already
then we have only one choice of r_1 for which the
equality holds out of the 2 choices of r_1 , i.e. 0.41.

$$\therefore \text{error} \leq \frac{1}{12}$$

after K runs error $\leq \left(\frac{1}{12}\right)^k$: Monte Carlo

→ Fingerprinting

Try only at one sample point rather than at every point.

* Abundance of Witness

* Above Idea (Principle of deferred decisions)

If \exists several random variables such as r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k
some are set at one point in algo & other left random
or deferred until some further point in analysis.

* LAS Vegas: Randomized algo that always returns a
correct result. But running time may vary
between executions.

* Monte Carlo: A randomized algo that terminates in
polynomial time, but might produce erroneous
result.

③ Pattern Matching (Only Binary strings considered)

T: It's a fine n

P :  m

s → shift

$s \rightarrow \text{shift}$
TM : First occurrence of P in T

三

1

* Worst case T. C. : $O((n-m+1)m)$

→ Valid shift

Invalid Shift

* fingerprint function

$$f(T[s+1 \dots s+m]) = T[s+m] \times 2^0 + T[s+m-1] \times 2^1$$

2023-24 Session - Page 10 of 10

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + \dots + T(1) + T(0) + n + T(n+1) \times 2^{m-1}$$

* Homer's Rule:

$$T[s+m]+2(\dots + 2(T[s+2]+2T[s+1]))$$

∴ it takes $O(m)$ time to compute the fingerprint

$$\therefore f(T[s+1, \dots, s+m]) = t_s$$

$$f(p[1..m]) = p$$

$$f(T[s+2 \dots s+m+1]) = t_{s+1}$$

$$\therefore t_{s+1} = 2(t_s - 2^{m-1}T[s+1]) + T[s+m+1]$$

\therefore Given t_s we can compute t_{s+1} in $O(1)$ time

* Precompute powers of 2 till 2^m

\rightarrow s is a valid shift $\Leftrightarrow t_s = p$

(Hence to compute $t_0 \in \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{n-m}\}$)

$$p: O(m)$$

$$t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{n-m}: O(n-m+1)$$

$$(q-1) \cdot 2^m \text{ entries} : O(n-m+1) \cdot O(2^m)$$

\therefore Compute $p: O(m)$ & $t_0: O(m) \Rightarrow q-1$ \therefore

$$t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-m}: O(n-m) \text{ no need to store}$$

$$\therefore T.C. O(2m + (n-m)) = O(n+m)$$

* $t_s + p$ can be \uparrow calculate them w.r.t. modulo $q \in \text{Prime}$

* Whenever string match we compare the substring with p

Fingerprints $\mod q$ and comparing $p \mod q$

$$\therefore \text{Worst Case T.C.} = O(2m + (n-m) + (n-m+1)m)$$

$$\approx O(nm)$$

Max possible no of checks

* Avg case:

$$f(p) = k \text{ where } k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$$

* Spurious hits:



\downarrow
Finger print match
but strings don't.

∴ Probability for t_s to match with $p \pmod q$
is $\frac{1}{q}$

∴ Avg Case: $O(2m + n - m + \frac{(n-m+1)}{q}m)$
if $q > m$: $O(n+m)$

$$\Pr(f(T[s+1..s+m]) = f(p) | T[s+1..s+m] \neq p)$$

= $\Pr(t_s - p \text{ is divisible by } q | T[s+1..s+m] \neq p)$

$T[s+1]..T[s+m]$: max value $2^m - 1$ (# grp)

∴ $t_s - p \leq 2^m$

⇒ $t_s - p$ has at most m prime divisor

If $>m$ prime divisors

$$t_s - p > 2^m > 2^m (\# \text{ divisors}) \neq \text{multiple of } q$$

* Choose q uniformly from $[1, T]$

∴ no. of primes $\frac{T}{\ln T} \approx 0.2T$ and almost all
are divisible by q

1 : ... : T

Only atmost m of these
can divide $t_s - p$

$$\therefore \Pr(t_s \equiv p \pmod q | T[s+1..s+m] \neq p) = \Pr(Z)$$

Expect Union bound
among q prime div

$$\therefore \Pr(Z) \leq \frac{m}{T/\ln T} = \frac{m \ln T}{T}$$

$$\Rightarrow T = n^2 m \lg(n^2 m)$$

$\ln T \approx \log T$ (# only a const factor)

$$\therefore \Pr(Z) \leq \frac{m \lg(n^2 m \lg(n^2 m))}{n^2 m \lg(n^2 m)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\lg(\alpha \lg(\alpha))}{n^2 \lg(\alpha)}$$

where $\alpha = n^2 m$

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg(\alpha \lg(\alpha))}{\lg(\alpha)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha \lg(\alpha)}(1 + \lg \alpha)}{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\lg(\alpha)} \approx 1$$

$$\therefore \Pr(Z) \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$$

\therefore Monte Carlo: $O(n+m)$

$$\text{Error} \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$$

→ LAS VECAS:

Whenever two fingerprint match explicit check by naive

$$O(2m + (n-m))(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}) + O(nm)(\frac{1}{n^2}) : \text{Expected time}$$

$$= O\left(n+m\left(\frac{n^2-1}{n^2}\right) + \frac{m}{n}\right)$$

$$\approx O(n+m + \frac{m}{n})$$

★ TC

$$\text{Total time} = m \cdot n^2 + 2m^2 \cdot n^2 = 3m^2n^2$$

Naive $O((n-m+1)m)$

W.C. $O(nm)$

Arg Care $O(n+m)$

MonteCarlo $O(n+m)$ Error $\leq 1/n^2$

Las Vegas $O(n+m + m/n)$: Expected time

→ Fingerprinting Types:

① Matrix Mul.

Poly check

② Pattern matching

} fix field then choose point of eval for fingerprint.

(x, p1, p2)

} After fixing point of evaluation we fix 1 and γ (i.e. field)

(m+n)O(mn) work

origin of algorithm: https://www.mathematik.uni-konstanz.de/~wagner/lehre/alg-entwurf/alg-entwurf.pdf

initial step(2): $(1)(mn) + (-1)(-1)(1)(mn) + 0$

$m + n - mn + 1 = (m + n - mn + 1) \cdot n^2$

$(m^2 + mn + n^2) \cdot n^2$

④ Determine whether an odd number is prime or not.

Naive: $O(\sum_{d|n} \text{div}(n)) = O(2^{\lg n / 2} \text{div}(n))$

↓
large n

pseudo polynomial

time algo

PSL implied to about $\log n \cdot \log \log n$ time algo

* Fermat's little theorem:

→ If n is prime then $\forall 1 \leq a < n \quad a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

→ Contrapositive: $\exists a \in 1 \leq a < n \ni a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$
then n is not a prime

→ Possibility of error / one-sided → If no. of maybe K
then error K -sided
i.e. said prime but is composite (maybe)

* Pseudoprime to base a :

A composite integer n is pseudoprime to base a

whenever $(a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}) \wedge (a > 1)$

* Absolute pseudoprime (Carmichael numbers)

A composite integer n is called absolute pseudoprime

then $\forall a \in \{1, n\} \quad a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

$\forall a \in [1, n] \ni \gcd(a, n) = 1$

* If composite $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is not an absolute pseudoprime
then $\exists b \in [1, n) \ni \gcd(b, n) = 1 \wedge b^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

Note 3 Only 646 Carmichael numbers below 10⁹.
so we can safely assume n is not a Carmichael number.

$$\therefore \exists b \in [1, n) \exists \gcd(b, n) = 1 \quad 4 \cdot b^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

n is composite & not a Carmichael number

then

If $a \in [1, n)$ and $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

i.e. a passes Fermat's test mod n

then $\exists x \in [1, n) \exists x^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

(Here $x \equiv ab \pmod{n}$) now to discuss t

(admon) Wisconsin state society 1908-1911

\therefore no. of numbers fail the test \geq no. of nos. possible

\therefore Pr(Passing the test) n is composite & not committed

< 112

→ Abundance of Witness paradigm applied to reduce the error probability to $(\frac{1}{2})^k$

$\therefore T.C \in O(k \log(n) \text{ mult}(n)) \Rightarrow$ weakly polynomial.

→ Miller-Rabin error ($\frac{1}{4}$)

→ AKS algo T.C. $O((\log n)^5)$

→ Pattern matching revisited

q chosen from $[1, T]$

No. of prime numbers $\approx \frac{T}{\ln T}$

∴ probability to choose a prime $\frac{\frac{T}{\ln T}}{T} = \frac{1}{\ln T}$

∴ we need $\ln T$ iterations to find a prime

(i.e. choose a no. put it back if not prime)

∴ T.C. Monte Carlo: $O(\ln T + n + m)$: Expected Time

→ Monte Carlo algo usually do not have Expected Times

but in this case it does.