

# TARGET SQL – Case Study

1. Import the dataset and do usual exploratory analysis steps like checking the structure & characteristics of the dataset:

1. Data type of all columns in the "customers" table.

Row	column_name	data_type
1	customer_id	STRING
2	customer_unique_id	STRING
3	customer_zip_code_prefix	INT64
4	customer_city	STRING
5	customer_state	STRING

The above image gives the datatype information of all columns in the “customers” table

2. Get the time range between which the orders were placed.

Row	first_order_timestamp	last_order_timestamp
1	2016-09-04 21:15:19 UTC	2018-10-17 17:30:18 UTC

Seeing the data, the orders were placed between 4<sup>th</sup> Sep 2016 and 17<sup>th</sup> Oct 2018

3. Count the Cities & States of customers who ordered during the given period.

Row	num_of_cities	num_of_states
1	4119	27

Customers ordered during the given period belong to 4119 cities and 27 states

## 2. In-depth Exploration:

- Is there a growing trend in the no. of orders placed over the past years?

The screenshot shows a SQL query interface with the following code:

```

1  SELECT
2    EXTRACT(year
3    FROM
4      | order_purchase_timestamp) AS year,
5    COUNT(order_id) AS num_of_orders
6  FROM
7    | `target_sql.orders`
8  GROUP BY
9    | year
10 ORDER BY
11  | year asc

```

Below the code is a table titled "Query results" with three tabs: "JOB INFORMATION", "RESULTS", and "JSON". The "RESULTS" tab is selected, showing the following data:

Row	year	num_of_orders
1	2016	329
2	2017	45101
3	2018	54011

YES, there is a growing trend in the no. of orders placed over the past years. There was a huge increase in orders from year 2016 to 2017

- Can we see some kind of monthly seasonality in terms of the no. of orders being placed?

The screenshot shows a SQL query interface with the following code:

```

13  SELECT
14    EXTRACT(year
15    FROM
16      | order_purchase_timestamp) AS year,
17    EXTRACT(month
18    FROM
19      | order_purchase_timestamp) AS month,
20    COUNT(order_id) AS num_of_orders
21  FROM
22    | `target_sql.orders`
23  GROUP BY
24    year,
25    month
26  ORDER BY
27    year,
28    month ASC

```

Below the code is a table titled "Query results" with five tabs: "JOB INFORMATION", "RESULTS", "JSON", "EXECUTION DETAILS", and "EXECUTION GRAPH". The "RESULTS" tab is selected, showing the following data:

Row	year	month	num_of_orders
1	2016	9	4
2	2016	10	324
3	2016	12	1
4	2017	1	800
5	2017	2	1780
6	2017	3	2682
7	2017	4	2404
8	2017	5	3700
9	2017	6	3245
10	2017	7	4026
11	2017	8	4331
12	2017	9	4285
13	2017	10	4631

At the bottom right, it says "Results per page: 50 ▾ 1 - 25 of 25".

- There is no monthly seasonality observed
- In the year 2017 we can observe an increasing trend in num\_of\_orders from the month Jan to Nov
- In the year 2016 and 2018(Q3-Q4) sales were comparatively very less

- During what time of the day, do the Brazilian customers mostly place their orders? (Dawn, Morning, Afternoon or Night)
  - 0-6 hrs : Dawn
  - 7-12 hrs : Mornings
  - 13-18 hrs : Afternoon
  - 19-23 hrs : Night

```

29 WITH
30   CTE1 AS (
31     SELECT
32       order_id,
33       CASE
34         WHEN EXTRACT(hour FROM order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 0 AND 6 THEN 'Dawn'
35         WHEN EXTRACT(hour
36           FROM
37           order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 7
38           AND 12 THEN 'Mornings'
39           | WHEN EXTRACT(hour FROM order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 13 AND 18 THEN 'Afternoon'
40           ELSE
41             'Night'
42           END
43           AS time_of_day
44           FROM
45           `target_sql.orders`)
46   SELECT
47     time_of_day,
48     COUNT(order_id) AS count
49   FROM
50   | CTE1
51   GROUP BY
52   | time_of_day
53   ORDER BY
54   | count DESC
55   LIMIT
56   | 1;

```

#### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	time_of_day		count		
1	Afternoon		38135		

Maximum number of orders were placed during the afternoon hours

### 3. Evolution of E-commerce orders in the Brazil region:

1. Get the month on month no. of orders placed in each state.

```

1   SELECT
2     c.customer_state AS state,
3     EXTRACT(month
4     FROM
5     | o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS month,
6     COUNT(order_id) AS num_of_orders
7   FROM
8     target_sql.orders o
9     INNER JOIN
10    target_sql.customers c
11   ON
12     o.customer_id = c.customer_id
13   GROUP BY
14     state,
15     month
16   ORDER BY
17     state,
18     month;

```

#### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	state	month		num_of_orders	
1	AC	1		8	
2	AC	2		6	
3	AC	3		4	
4	AC	4		9	
5	AC	5		10	
6	AC	6		7	
7	AC	7		9	
8	AC	8		7	
9	AC	9		5	
10	AC	10		6	
11	AC	11		5	
12	AC	12		5	

Results per page: 50 ▾ 1 – 50 of 322

## 2. How are the customers distributed across all the states?

```

13 |     SELECT
14 |         customer_state AS state,
15 |         COUNT(customer_id) AS num_of_cust
16 |     FROM
17 |         `target_sql.customers`
18 |     GROUP BY
19 |         state
20 |     ORDER BY
21 |         num_of_cust DESC
22 |
23 |

```

Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	state	num_of_cust			
1	SP	41746			
2	RJ	12852			
3	MG	11635			
4	RS	5466			
5	PR	5045			
6	SC	3637			
7	BA	3380			
8	DF	2140			
9	ES	2033			
10	GO	2020			
11	PE	1652			
12	CE	1336			
13	PA	975			
14	MT	907			
15	MA	747			
16	MS	715			
17	PR	526			

Results per page: 50 ▾ 1 – 27 of 27

State Sao Paulo (SP) has the most number of customers compared to other states

## 4. Impact on Economy: Analyze the money movement by e-commerce by looking at order prices, freight and others.

- Get the % increase in the cost of orders from year 2017 to 2018 (include months between Jan to Aug only).

You can use the "payment\_value" column in the payments table to get the cost of orders.

```

1   with
2   orders_2017 as
3   (select
4       extract(year from order_purchase_timestamp) as Year_2017,
5       extract(month from order_purchase_timestamp) as month_ordered,
6       round(sum(payment_value),2) as total_payment_value_2017
7   from
8       `target_sql.payments` p
9   inner join
10      `target_sql.orders` o
11  on
12     o.order_id = p.order_id
13  where
14     extract(month from order_purchase_timestamp) between 1 and 8
15     and extract(year from order_purchase_timestamp) = 2017
16  group by Year_2017,month_ordered
17  order by month_ordered,Year_2017),
18  orders_2018 as
19  (select
20      extract(year from order_purchase_timestamp) as Year_2018,
21      extract(month from order_purchase_timestamp) as month_ordered,
22      round(sum(payment_value),2) as total_payment_value_2018
23  from
24      `target_sql.payments` p
25  inner join
26      `target_sql.orders` o
27  on
28     o.order_id = p.order_id
29  where
30     extract(month from order_purchase_timestamp) between 1 and 8
31     and extract(year from order_purchase_timestamp) = 2018
32  group by Year_2018,month_ordered
33  order by month_ordered,Year_2018)
34  select o17.month_ordered,Year_2017,total_payment_value_2017,Year_2018,total_payment_value_2018,
35  round(((total_payment_value_2018-total_payment_value_2017)/total_payment_value_2017)*100,2) as percentage_increase
36  from orders_2017 o17
37  inner join
38  on o17.month_ordered = o18.month_ordered
39  order by o17.month_ordered;

```

Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH	
Row	month_ordered	Year_2017	total_payment_value	Year_2018	total_payment_value	percentage_increase
1	1	2017	138488.04	2018	1115004.18	705.13
2	2	2017	291908.01	2018	992463.34	239.99
3	3	2017	449863.6	2018	1159652.12	157.78
4	4	2017	417788.03	2018	1160785.48	177.84
5	5	2017	592918.82	2018	1153982.15	94.63
6	6	2017	511276.38	2018	1023880.5	100.26
7	7	2017	592382.92	2018	1066540.75	80.04
8	8	2017	674396.32	2018	1022425.32	51.61

The above details give the month wise % increase in the cost of orders for the years 2017 and 2018. Looking at the stats above, we can see a positive increase in the cost of orders in every month of 2018 compared with 2017.

```

1 WITH orders_2017 AS (
2     SELECT
3         1 AS temp,
4         EXTRACT(year FROM order_purchase_timestamp) AS Year_2017,
5         ROUND(SUM(payment_value), 2) AS total_payment_value_2017
6     FROM
7         `target_sql.payments` p
8     INNER JOIN
9         `target_sql.orders` o ON o.order_id = p.order_id
10    WHERE
11        EXTRACT(month FROM order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 1 AND 8
12        AND EXTRACT(year FROM order_purchase_timestamp) = 2017
13    GROUP BY
14        Year_2017
15    ORDER BY
16        Year_2017),
17 orders_2018 AS (
18     SELECT
19         1 AS temp,
20         EXTRACT(year FROM order_purchase_timestamp) AS Year_2018,
21         ROUND(SUM(payment_value), 2) AS total_payment_value_2018
22     FROM
23         `target_sql.payments` p
24     INNER JOIN
25         `target_sql.orders` o ON o.order_id = p.order_id
26    WHERE
27        EXTRACT(month FROM order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 1 AND 8
28        AND EXTRACT(year FROM order_purchase_timestamp) = 2018
29    GROUP BY
30        Year_2018
31    ORDER BY
32        Year_2018)
33 SELECT
34     Year_2017, total_payment_value_2017, Year_2018, total_payment_value_2018,
35     ROUND((total_payment_value_2018 - total_payment_value_2017) / total_payment_value_2017 * 100, 2) AS percentage_increase
36 FROM
37     orders_2017 o17
38 INNER JOIN
39     orders_2018 o18 ON o17.temp = o18.temp;

```

#### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS		EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	Year_2017	total_payment_value	Year_2018	total_payment_value	percentage_increase	
1	2017	3669022.12	2018	8694733.84	136.98	

The above details give the % increase in the cost of orders for the years 2017 and 2018. Looking the stats above, we can see a positive increase in the cost of orders in 2018 compared with 2017.

## 2. Calculate the Total & Average value of order price for each state.

```

1 SELECT
2     c.customer_state AS state,
3     ROUND(SUM(i.price),2) AS total_price_value,
4     COUNT(DISTINCT o.order_id) AS num_of_orders,
5     ROUND(SUM(i.price)/COUNT(DISTINCT o.order_id),2) AS avg_price_value
6     FROM
7         `target_sql.orders` o
8     INNER JOIN
9         `target_sql.customers` c
10    ON
11        o.customer_id = c.customer_id
12    INNER JOIN
13        `target_sql.order_items` i
14    ON
15        o.order_id = i.order_id
16    GROUP BY
17        state
18    ORDER BY
19        avg_price_value desc;

```

#### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS		EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	state	total_price_value	num_of_orders	avg_price_value		
17	BA	511349.99	3358	152.28		
18	AM	22356.84	147	152.09		
19	GO	294591.95	2007	146.78		
20	SC	520553.34	3612	144.12		
21	RJ	1824092.67	12762	142.93		
22	DF	302603.94	2125	142.4		
23	RS	750304.02	5432	138.13		
24	MG	1585308.03	11544	137.33		
25	PR	683083.76	4998	136.67		
26	ES	275037.31	2025	135.82		
27	SP	5202955.05	41375	125.75		

For the state SP, even though the average price value is less, there seems to be a huge market in that state, which led to a higher number of orders and so higher price value

### 3. Calculate the Total & Average value of order freight for each state.

```

23  SELECT
24    c.customer_state AS state,
25    ROUND(SUM(i.freight_value),2) AS total_freight_value,
26    COUNT(DISTINCT o.order_id) AS num_of_orders,
27    ROUND(SUM(i.freight_value)/COUNT(DISTINCT o.order_id),2) AS avg_freight_value
28  FROM
29    `target_sql.orders` o
30  INNER JOIN
31    `target_sql.customers` c
32  ON
33    o.customer_id = c.customer_id
34  INNER JOIN
35    `target_sql.order_items` i
36  ON
37    o.order_id = i.order_id
38  GROUP BY
39    state
40  ORDER BY
41    avg_freight_value desc;

```

Query results

	JOB INFORMATION	RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	state		total_freight_value	num_of_orders	avg_freight_value
17	BA		100156.68	3358	29.83
18	MS		19144.03	709	27.0
19	GO		53114.98	2007	26.46
20	RS		135522.74	5432	24.95
21	SC		89660.26	3612	24.82
22	ES		49764.6	2025	24.58
23	RJ		305589.31	12762	23.95
24	DF		50625.5	2125	23.82
25	PR		117851.68	4998	23.58
26	MG		270853.46	11544	23.46
27	SP		718723.07	41375	17.37

Same case as above, for the state SP, even though the average freight value is less, there seems to be a huge market in that state, which led to a higher number of orders and so higher freight value

### 5. Analysis based on sales, freight and delivery time.

- Find the no. of days taken to deliver each order from the order's purchase date as delivery time.

Also, calculate the difference (in days) between the estimated & actual delivery date of an order.

Do this in a single query.

You can calculate the delivery time and the difference between the estimated & actual delivery date using the given formula:

- **time\_to\_deliver** = `order_delivered_customer_date - order_purchase_timestamp`
- **diff\_estimated\_delivery** = `order_estimated_delivery_date - order_delivered_customer_date`

```

1  SELECT
2    distinct order_id,
3    DATE_DIFF(order_delivered_carrier_date, order_purchase_timestamp, day) AS time_to_deliver,
4    DATE_DIFF(order_estimated_delivery_date, order_delivered_carrier_date, day) AS diff_estimated_delivery
5  FROM
6    `target_sql.orders`
7  WHERE
8    | order_delivered_carrier_date IS NOT NULL
9    order by time_to_deliver desc

```

**Query results**

Row	order_id	time_to_deliver	diff_estimated_delivery
1	da81fbc27b55e0f3d2813cf207...	125	-99
2	97f48024fcc76f1898e397ad69...	107	-80
3	8b7fd198ad184563c3165367...	104	-90
4	866314550f6d7a55c82917d9b...	66	-39
5	5cc475c7c03290048eb2e742c...	62	-12
6	a4a57ff1ff25b590dea1f150fee8...	61	-10
7	2805499c211b52dfc1e64a134...	55	-31
8	2631dba338efbce9c3ace77c...	55	-39
9	7d86c4aaa9e59504b23f16c7ca...	54	-11
10	bfb0f9bdef84302105ad712d...	53	-34
11	5d6e9993ecc20a59e637ce711...	52	-14
12	bc9c2fb123725add99fb7688...	49	-9
13	7141a7eee8944cb711fa1f1d4f7...	49	-12
14	d8734ba226623fc1c86b3cce8...	49	-16
15	840d7cc10faeb8a460cc8ea...	49	-19
16	abbbbf52551bc34cd52a7851c0...	49	-3
17	e4d88b96fb1801eb436496008...	48	-13
18	8ab08a832f16966f270a9bbfe...	47	-18

On looking at the data, we found that there are multiple orders delivered on the same day. The most delayed order took 125 days to deliver which was 99 days later than the estimate delivery date.

- Find out the top 5 states with the highest & lowest average freight value.

### Seller info highest

```

1 WITH
2   sellers_info AS (
3     SELECT
4       s.seller_state AS state,
5       ROUND(AVG(i.freight_value),2) AS avg_value
6     FROM
7       `target_sql.order_items` i
8     INNER JOIN
9       `target_sql.orders` o
10    ON
11      i.order_id = o.order_id
12    INNER JOIN
13      `target_sql.sellers` s
14    ON
15      i.seller_id = s.seller_id
16    GROUP BY
17      state
18  SELECT
19    state,
20    avg_value
21  FROM
22  sellers_info
23 ORDER BY
24  avg_value DESC
25 LIMIT
26  5

```

### Query results

Row	state	avg_value
1	RO	50.91
2	CE	46.38
3	PB	39.19
4	PI	36.94
5	AC	32.84

### Seller info lowest

```

1 WITH
2   sellers_info AS (
3     SELECT
4       s.seller_state AS state,
5       ROUND(AVG(i.freight_value),2) AS avg_value
6     FROM
7       `target_sql.order_items` i
8     INNER JOIN
9       `target_sql.orders` o
10    ON
11      i.order_id = o.order_id
12    INNER JOIN
13      `target_sql.sellers` s
14    ON
15      i.seller_id = s.seller_id
16    GROUP BY
17      state
18  SELECT
19    state,
20    avg_value
21  FROM
22  sellers_info
23 ORDER BY
24  avg_value ASC
25 LIMIT
26  5

```

### Query results

Row	state	avg_value
1	SP	18.45
2	PA	19.39
3	RJ	19.47
4	DF	20.57
5	PR	22.72

## Customer info highest

```

7 WITH
8   customer_info AS (
9     SELECT
10    c.customer_state AS state,
11    ROUND(AVG(i.freight_value),2) AS avg_value
12   FROM
13   `target_sql.order_items` i
14  INNER JOIN
15   `target_sql.orders` o
16  ON
17   i.order_id = o.order_id
18  INNER JOIN
19   `target_sql.customers` c
20  ON
21   o.customer_id = c.customer_id
22 GROUP BY
23   state
24 SELECT
25   state,
26   avg_value
27 FROM
28   customer_info
29 ORDER BY
30   avg_value DESC
31 LIMIT
32 5

```

### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXEC
Row	state	avg_value		
1	RR	42.98		
2	PB	42.72		
3	RO	41.07		
4	AC	40.07		
5	PI	39.15		

## Customer info lowest

```

7 WITH
8   customer_info AS (
9     SELECT
10    c.customer_state AS state,
11    ROUND(AVG(i.freight_value),2) AS avg_value
12   FROM
13   `target_sql.order_items` i
14  INNER JOIN
15   `target_sql.orders` o
16  ON
17   i.order_id = o.order_id
18  INNER JOIN
19   `target_sql.customers` c
20  ON
21   o.customer_id = c.customer_id
22 GROUP BY
23   state
24 SELECT
25   state,
26   avg_value
27 FROM
28   customer_info
29 ORDER BY
30   avg_value ASC
31 LIMIT
32 5

```

### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXEC
Row	state	avg_value		
1	SP	15.15		
2	PR	20.53		
3	MG	20.63		
4	RJ	20.96		
5	DF	21.04		

As the question didn't give any brief about whether we have to consider the seller state or customer state to calculate the freight value, so we have executed both ways.

3. Find out the top 5 states with the highest & lowest average delivery time.

### Highest

```

59 SELECT
60   c.customer_state AS state,
61   ROUND(AVG(DATE_DIFF(o.order_delivered_customer_date, o.order_purchase_timestamp, day)),2) AS avg_time
62   FROM
63   `target_sql.orders` o
64  INNER JOIN
65   `target_sql.customers` c
66  ON
67   o.customer_id = c.customer_id
68 GROUP BY
69   state
70 ORDER BY
71   avg_time DESC
72 LIMIT
73 5

```

### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	state	avg_time			
1	RR	28.98			
2	AP	26.73			
3	AM	25.99			
4	AL	24.04			
5	PA	23.32			

### Lowest

```

59 SELECT
60   c.customer_state AS state,
61   ROUND(AVG(DATE_DIFF(o.order_delivered_customer_date, o.order_purchase_timestamp, day)),2) AS avg_time
62   FROM
63   `target_sql.orders` o
64  INNER JOIN
65   `target_sql.customers` c
66  ON
67   o.customer_id = c.customer_id
68 GROUP BY
69   state
70 ORDER BY
71   avg_time ASC
72 LIMIT
73 5

```

### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	state	avg_time			
1	SP	8.3			
2	PR	11.53			
3	MG	11.54			
4	DF	12.51			
5	SC	14.48			

4. Find out the top 5 states where the order delivery is really fast as compared to the estimated date of delivery.

You can use the difference between the averages of actual & estimated delivery date to figure out how fast the delivery was for each state.

```

62 |   SELECT
63 |     c.customer_state AS state,
64 |     ROUND(AVG(DATE_DIFF(order_estimated_delivery_date, order_delivered_customer_date, day)),2) AS early_delivery
65 |   FROM
66 |     `target_sql.orders` o
67 |   INNER JOIN
68 |     `target_sql.customers` c
69 |   ON
70 |     o.customer_id = c.customer_id
71 |   GROUP BY
72 |     state
73 |   ORDER BY
74 |     early_delivery ASC
75 |   LIMIT
76 |     5

```

**Query results**

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	state	early_delivery			
1	AL	7.95			
2	MA	8.77			
3	SE	9.17			
4	ES	9.62			
5	BA	9.93			

Above were the top 5 states where the orders were delivered before the estimated delivery date

## 6. Analysis based on the payments:

1. Find the month-on-month no. of orders placed using different payment types.

```

1 |   SELECT
2 |     EXTRACT(month
3 |     FROM
4 |       o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS month_of_order,
5 |     p.payment_type,
6 |     COUNT(p.order_id) AS num_of_orders
7 |   FROM
8 |     `target_sql.payments` p
9 |   INNER JOIN
10 |     `target_sql.orders` o
11 |   ON
12 |     o.order_id = p.order_id
13 |   GROUP BY
14 |     payment_type,
15 |     month_of_order
16 |   ORDER BY
17 |     payment_type,
18 |     month_of_order ASC

```

**Query results**

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	month_of_order	payment_type		num_of_orders	
7	7	UPI		2074	
8	8	UPI		2077	
9	9	UPI		903	
10	10	UPI		1056	
11	11	UPI		1509	
12	12	UPI		1160	
13	1	credit_card		6103	
14	2	credit_card		6609	
15	3	credit_card		7707	
16	4	credit_card		7301	
17	5	credit_card		8350	
18	6	credit_card		7076	

Results per page: 200 ▾ 1 - 50 of 50

Looking at the data most people were using credit cards for purchasing and very few were using debit cards.

2. Find the no. of orders placed on the basis of the payment installments that have been paid

```

19 |     SELECT
20 |     payment_installments,
21 |     COUNT(order_id) AS num_of_orders
22 | FROM
23 |     `target_sql.payments`
24 | WHERE
25 |     payment_installments != 0
26 | GROUP BY
27 |     payment_installments
28 | ORDER BY
29 |     num_of_orders DESC
30 |

```

Pres  
Query results  
JOB INFORMATION RESULTS JSON EXECUTION DETAILS EXECUTION GRAPH

Row	payment_installment	num_of_orders
1	1	52546
2	2	12413
3	3	10461
4	4	7098
5	10	5328
6	5	5239
7	8	4268
8	6	3920
9	7	1626
10	9	644
11	12	133
12	15	74
13	18	27
14	11	23
15	24	18
16	20	17

Results per page: 50 ▾ 1 – 23 of 23

By looking at the data we can say that most people preferred to pay in a single instalment.

## 7. Insights from this case study :

```

2 |     SELECT
3 |     DATE_DIFF(order_approved_at, order_purchase_timestamp, day) AS approval_time_in_days,
4 |     COUNT(order_id) AS count
5 | FROM
6 |     `target_sql.orders`
7 | GROUP BY
8 |     approval_time_in_days
9 | ORDER BY
10 |     approval_time_in_days DESC;

```

Query results  
JOB INFORMATION RESULTS JSON EXECUTION DETAILS EXECUTION GRAPH

Row	approval_time_in_days	count
6	23	3
7	16	1
8	13	2
9	12	15
10	11	5
11	10	14
12	9	3
13	8	13
14	7	17
15	6	31
16	5	262
17	4	397
18	3	1491
19	2	2904
20	1	12254
21	0	81861

Most of the orders were approved on the same day of order, but there are still many orders that are taking time for approval. This should be taken care.

```

13 |
14 |
15 |     SELECT
16 |     customer_state AS state,
17 |     COUNT(customer_id) AS num_of_cust
18 | FROM
19 |     `target_sql.customers`
20 | GROUP BY
21 |     state
22 | ORDER BY
23 |     num_of_cust ASC

```

Query results  
JOB INFORMATION RESULTS JSON EXECUTION DETAILS

Row	state	num_of_cust
1	RR	46
2	AP	68
3	AC	81
4	AM	148
5	RO	253
6	TO	280
7	SE	350
8	AL	413
9	RN	485
10	PI	495

State RR has the least num of customers, where the company might have to consider marketing more.

```

20  SELECT
21    review_score,
22    COUNT(review_id) AS count
23  FROM
24  | `target_sql.order_reviews`_
25  GROUP BY
26    review_score
27  ORDER BY
28    1 ASC

```

#### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DET
Row	review_score	count		
1	1	11424		
2	2	3151		
3	3	8179		
4	4	19142		
5	5	57328		

Most of the customers were satisfied with their orders which led to more 5 star ratings.

```

29  SELECT
30    review_comment_title,
31    COUNT(review_id) AS count
32  FROM
33  | `target_sql.order_reviews`_
34  WHERE
35    review_score = 1
36    AND review_comment_title IS NOT NULL
37  GROUP BY
38    review_comment_title
39  ORDER BY
40    count DESC

```

#### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXEC
Row	review_comment_title	count		
1	I recommend	94		
2	I didn't receive the product	52		
3	Product not delivered	45		
4	Bad	43		
5	Wrong product	40		
6	PÃ© ssimo	32		
7	Defective product	30		
8	I didn't receive	22		
9	Delivery delay	15		
10	I do not recommend	15		
11	No recommend	14		
12	Incomplete delivery	13		

Above were some of the review comments given by customers who gave a 1-star rating. Most people complained as they are not receiving the product. These need to be taken care.

```

41  SELECT
42    product_category,
43    COUNT(product_id) AS count
44  FROM
45  | `target_sql.products`_
46  GROUP BY
47    product_category
48  ORDER BY
49    count DESC |

```

#### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUT
Row	product_category	count		
1	bed table bath	3029		
2	sport leisure	2867		
3	Furniture Decoration	2657		
4	HEALTH BEAUTY	2444		
5	housewares	2335		
6	automotive	1900		
7	computer accessories	1639		
8	toys	1411		

Among all the products, the product category “bed table bath” has more no of products and “cds music dvds” has less no of products.

```

50 | SELECT
51 |   COUNT(product_id) AS products_with_no_desc
52 | FROM
53 |   `target_sql.products`
54 | WHERE
55 |   product_description_length IS null

```

#### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS
Row	products_with_no_desc			
1	610			

There were 610 products available with no descriptions

```

58 | SELECT
59 |   seller_state,
60 |   COUNT(seller_id) AS count
61 | FROM
62 |   `target_sql.sellers`
63 | GROUP BY
64 |   seller_state
65 | ORDER BY
66 |   count DESC;

```

#### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS
Row	seller_state	count		
1	SP	1849		
2	PR	349		
3	MG	244		
4	SC	190		
5	RJ	171		
6	RS	129		
7	GO	40		
8	DF	30		
9	ES	23		
10	BA	19		
11	CE	13		

State Sao Paulo (SP) has the most number of sellers compared to other states same as the customers

```

2
3 | SELECT
4 |   DATE_DIFF(order_delivered_carrier_date, order_purchase_timestamp, day) AS delivery_days,
5 |   COUNT(order_id) AS count
6 | FROM
7 |   `target_sql.orders`
8 | GROUP BY
9 |   delivery_days
10 | ORDER BY
11 |   1

```

#### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	delivery_days	count			
2	-171	1			
3	-4	1			

I observed some data errors where 2 records showed that the delivery date was earlier than the purchase date.