#### PM Evaluation Form

#### PROJECT MANAGER EVALUATION FORM

Project Team: NEU Life

Date:

08/15/2017

Project Manager Evaluated:

Bhavik Vora

Please rate the following attributes of your peer, on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is very poor, 2 is poor, 3 is average, 4 is better than average, and 5 is outstanding. If you feel unable to evaluate a particular attribute, select N/A.

		AX.			* # 18 A			
Item	Description	ÖOF	90	80	70	60	Score	
1	Project tasks were planned and managed well	5	4	3	2	1		
2	PM was open to suggestions and comments.	5	4	3	2	1		
3	PM handled conflicts well	5	4	3	2	1		
4	PM made good project decisions	(5)	4	3	2	1		
5	Project meetings were effective	5	4	3	2	1		
×	2 mg 8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		8 8 N		osi k 180			

Total Score:

### **Team Member Evaluation**

# PROJECT TEAM MEMBER PEER EVALUATION FORM

Project Team:

NEU life

Date:

08/15/2017

Peer being Evaluated:

Sameer Suman

Please rate the following attributes of your peer, on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is very poor, 2 is poor, 3 is average, 4 is better than average, and 5 is outstanding. If you feel unable to evaluate a particular attribute, select N/A.

Item	Description B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	100	90	80	70	60		Comments
1	Completes tasks on time	(5)	4	3	2	1	N/A	
2	Attends team meetings on time and stays until end	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
3	Contributes fair share of work	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
4	Assists other team members when needed	(5)	4	3	2	1	N/A	
5	Produces high qualitywork	(5)	4	3	2	1	N/A	

Other Comments

### **Team Member Evaluation**

# PROJECT TEAM MEMBER PEER EVALUATION FORM.

Project Team : NEU Life

Date: 08/15/2017

Peer being Evaluated:

Anita Mohandas

Please rate the following attributes of your peer, on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is very poor, 2 is poor, 3 is average, 4 is better than average, and 5 is outstanding. If you feel unable to evaluate a particular attribute, select N/A.

Item	Description	100	90	80	.70	60,		Comments
1	Completes tasks on time	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
2	Attends team meetings on time and stays until end	(5)	4	3	2	1	N/A	
3	Contributes fair share of work	(5)	4	3	2	1	N/A	
4	Assists other team members when needed	5 (	4	3	2	1	N/A	
5	Produces high quality work	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	

Other Comments

### **Team Member Evaluation**

#### PROJECT TEAM MEMBER PEER EVALUATION FORM

Project Team: N € U

08/15/2017 Date:

Peer being Evaluated:

Lipsa

Panda

Please rate the following attributes of your peer, on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is very poor, 2 is poor, 3 is average, 4 is better than average, and 5 is outstanding. If you feel unable to evaluate a particular attribute, select N/A.

38 4		Cod Bas :	R 6	8 3	## B	g 11 g	7 28	
Item	Description,	100	90	.80	70 /	60		Connects
4	Completes tasks on time	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
2	Attends team meetings on time and stays until end	(5)	4	3	2	1	N/A	
3	Contributes fair share of work	(5)	4	3	2	1	N/A	
4	Assists other team members when needed	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
5	Produces high qualitywork	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
Other	Other Comments							