nor madization

NAME: MOHAN MUDICERE PUTTARASO.

9w10: 639789082.

1) a. order (order #, order_date, customer #, Tokal-amount)

orden-2tem (orden #, 2tem #, Quantity_ordened, price_each, total.price, ob (ount x.)

RI { order #, order_date)

R12 4 customen #t, Total amount)

R11 & quantity-ordered, price-each, Total-whowet)

R21 { @ order tt, I ten tt, ob court >- 5

R31 & Item # , obcount /.3

R325 ordn#)

010

2)a. RX (Doctor#, Patient#, voite, Diagnosis, Treatment code, change).

The proporte relation is not in BCNF.

{reatment_code} -> {changes

R & pochos #, patient#, Date, Diagnosis, meatment_codes

Riz meatment code, changes

{ Dr Ctor #, pedtent #, peda } > { piagnosis, recutnar code. Change}

Both Violate BCNF.

RX & decomposed 12to. R& 2 R1.

3)Q.

R. (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, 1, 3)

functional de pendencies {AB > c, A > DE, B > F, F > GH, D > 15}

A minimal set of attributes whose closure includes all the attributes in R is a key.

Since the clasure of ¿A, B3 + = B estation property

one key of R is 2A,B3

Normalization of R into 2NF and 3NF.

partial dependencies that violate 2NF.

¿AS & ¿B} alone

 $\{A\} + = \{A, 0, E, 1, 3\}$ Hence $\{A\} + \{0, E, 1, 3\}$

2A) is a trivial dependency

{B}+ = {B,F,G,H} Hence {B} → {F,G,H}

Ess is a trivial dependency.

To normal who enfr we remove the altributes that are FO on part of the key - (A SIB) from B and place Then to depute relations R1 and R2

 $R_1 = \{A, 0, E, 1, J\}$ $R_3 = \{A, b, c\}$

R2 = {B, F, h, H}

The new keep for R1, R2, R3

Transière dependencies in R1, R2 R1.

The relation R1 has the transitive dependency (A) -> (B) -> (ii) dos we remove the transitively dependent attributes (1,i) from R1. 140 a relation R11 and copy the attributes p. they are dependent on who R11.

Renerative attributes are kept in a relation R12.

Hence he is decompose into R11 and 112.

RU = 20.1,53

R12 = & A, D, E}

relation R2 is similarly decomposed who R21 and R22.

based on the transitive. depending 253 > 36, 45

R2 = 2F,6,43

R2 = 3B, 63

The fright ser of relations on 3NF one & RII, R12, R21, R22, R23.

Function al dependencies

{AL → C, BO → EF, AO → GAH, A→ 2, H→)}

\A\+ -> \A,25.

BS+ > 285

2 c3+ → 9 c3

505+ → 505

ZES+ → ZES

{E}+, → \$E}

3 Ch) + 7 2 Ch)

SHI+ > SHISS IN THE SHARE WITH MANAGEMENT AND THE STATE OF THE SHARE SHA

{2)+ - 12}

{5{+ + } {5}

none of the strippe attributes are key

clasule i attributes at pairs of attributes that passible keys.

\$A,B\$+ → \$A,B, C,2}

{B,0}+→ {B,0,E,F}

(A,05+ > 3A,0,G, H.2,55

none of these attributes are key bet union of the these

SA,B,O)+ -> 3A,B,C,DE, F,G,H, 85.

Hence SA, &B, OS is a key.

we have R= {A, B, C, O, E, F, G, H, 2}

First level functional dependencies. On key are.

8A,BS > 20,23

₹B,05 > 2E,F3

{A,0}+→ {G,H,2,5}

Hence R Can be decomposed into R1, R2, R2 R4.

B(= {A, B, C, Z})

B3 = 3 A, O, G, H, 2, J)

R2 = 3 B, p, E, FS

Ru= & A, B, O}

Additionally parties dependencies exist in R1 and B3. because (AS >> \$1.5)
Hence remove 425 into R5.

resulting 2Nx decomposition &.

[BI = { A, B, C} R2 = { B, D, E, FS R3 = {ADD, G, H, J} R4 = { A, B, B} R5 = {A, E}

Transitue dependencies

Only B2 has transvive dependencies SA, OS > {HS > {J}

so decompese no R31, and R32 as follows.

R31, = S, H,J} B32 = S, A, D, G, HS

The Hiral Set of 3NF relation is & RI, R2, R21, R22. R4, RS)

the a different way

- as manufacturer, social primber > moder, bester, apacity, retailer.
- b) model -> manufacturer.
- c) manufacture, batch -> mod model.
- d) moder capacity.
- To Decompose DIAK-dove who INF.
 - R & Serial Member, manufacetura, models beeten, apactry, Retailer)
 - Ri & Snied-number, Batch, apacity, Retailer}
 - R23 monufacturer, modely

No trivial relation dependencies. dependencies.