Using Explanatory Variables

- Now let X_1 be an indicator variable, which takes the value zero if an individual is on the standard drug, and unity if an individual is on the new drug.
- If x_{1i} is the value of X_1 for the ith individual in the study, i=1, 2,..., n, the hazard function for this individual can be written as

$$h_i(t) = e^{\beta * x_{1i}} h_0(t)$$

- where $x_i=1$ if the ith individual is on the new treatment and $x_i=0$ otherwise.
- This is the proportional hazards model for the comparison of two treatment groups.
- Let $h_0(t)$ be the hazard function for an individual for whom the values of X_1 zero.
 - The function $h_0(t)$ is called the baseline hazard function.



Using Exploratory Variables

- To get to the Cox Regression model we now generalize to the situation where there also other explanatory variables , such as
 - Gender
 - Age,....
 - etc...

These are represented by variables

• Then the hazard of death at a particular time also depends on the values $x_2,...,x_p$ of p explanatory variables, $X_1,X_2,...,X_p$.

So
$$h_i(t) = e^{(\beta_1 * x_{1i} + \beta_2 * x_{2i} +)} h_0(t)$$

- Note:
 - These variables can be continuous, categorical, Binary.....
 - The values of these variables will be assumed to have been recorded at the time origin of the study.



The General form of the Proportions Hazard Model

Since this model can be re-expressed in the format,

Log
$$(h_i(t)/h_o(t)) = Log(\psi) = \beta_1 * x_{1i} + \beta_2 * x_{2i} + \dots$$

- The proportional hazards model may also be regarded as a linear model for the logarithm of the hazard ratio.
- It is a generalized linear model with the link function being the log function
- There are other possible forms for ψ , but the choice $\psi(x_i) = \exp(\beta' x_i)$ leads to the most commonly used model for survival data.
 - Alternatives are the Weibull parametric model, the lognormal model, the Gompertz model
- Notice that there is no constant term in the linear component of the proportional hazards model.
 - If a constant term β_0 , say, were included, the baseline hazard function could simply be rescaled by dividing $h_0(t)$ by $\exp(\beta_0)$, and the constant term would cancel out.
 - *Also* we have made no assumptions concerning the actual form of the baseline hazard function $h_0(t)$.

