

## Function parameters max number



Tired of recruiter spam?  
Want jobs tailored to your needs?

[Get started](#)

I did not find any limitation of count function parameters in the C99 standard and I guess it is only limited by stack size.

However I've written a simple test program to demonstrate the behavior of a function with a large count of parameters. When its about 10k, I get the following error on gcc (gcc version 4.5.3 on Cygwin):

```
/usr/lib/gcc/i686-pc-cygwin/4.5.3/../../../../libcygwin.a(libcmain.o):(.text+0xa9):  
undefined reference to `__winMain@16'
```

I realize that such large count of parameters is unlikely but I wonder what parameter of the compiler determines this limit?

EDIT

script to generate C-source

```
#!/bin/sh  
  
num=$1  
  
echo "" > out.c  
echo "#include <stdio.h>" >> out.c  
  
echo "int getsum( " >> out.c  
  
i=0  
while [ $i -lt $num ]  
do  
    ((i++))  
    if [ $i -eq $num ]  
    then  
        echo "int p$i )" >> out.c  
    else  
        echo -ne "int p$i," >> out.c  
    fi  
done  
  
echo "{" >> out.c  
  
echo -ne "    return " >> out.c  
  
i=0  
while [ $i -lt $num ]  
do  
    ((i++))  
    if [ $i -eq $num ]  
    then  
        echo "p$i;" >> out.c  
    else  
        echo -ne "p$i + " >> out.c  
    fi  
done  
  
echo "}" >> out.c  
  
echo "int main(){ " >> out.c  
echo "printf(\"Sum of %d elements is %d\\n\", $num, getsum(" >> out.c  
  
i=0  
while [ $i -lt $num ]  
do  
    ((i++))  
    if [ $i -eq $num ]  
    then  
        echo "$i" >> out.c  
    else  
        echo -ne "$i," >> out.c  
    fi  
done  
  
echo ");" >> out.c  
  
echo "return 0;}" >> out.c  
gcc out.c  
./a.exe
```

[c](#)[parameter-passing](#)[stack-overflow](#)[edited Jan 27 '12 at 14:41](#)[asked Jan 27 '12 at 14:30](#)[tricosan](#)

3,474

● 2

● 17

● 38

Care to share the code that caused this? – [Martin Green](#) Jan 27 '12 at 14:35

@Martinsh Shaiters i've wrote some sh-script to generate sources. See updt – [tricosan](#) Jan 27 '12 at 14:37

## 1 Answer

The Standard specifies a certain minimum number which every implementation must support,

### 5.2.4.1 Translation Limits

- 127 parameters in one function definition
- 127 arguments in one function call

edited Jan 27 '12 at 16:52



jørgensen

7,464 ● 1 ● 9 ● 19

answered Jan 27 '12 at 14:34



Alok Save

142k ● 25 ● 277 ● 425

Interesting. That's not a whole lot! I would have thought "unlimited, depending on memory size" too. — [Mr Lister](#) Jan 27 '12 at 14:56

1 These are the *minimum acceptable limits* an implementation may impose. It's certainly allowable (and desirable) to have a larger limit or no explicit limit at all on things like this. — [R..](#) Jan 27 '12 at 16:33

1 There is also the limit on the maximum length of a source line, 1K-something, or maybe 4K-something. The test program will likely hit this limit, too. (whatever comes first ...) — [wildplasser](#) Jan 27 '12 at 17:00

9 Not to mention there is a *maximum acceptable limit* imposed by the humans who have to read your code, and exceeding this limit can cause Undefined Behavior in those persons. So don't stretch it :) — [jørgensen](#) Jan 27 '12 at 17:43

@wildplasser thank you for this notation — [triclosan](#) Jan 28 '12 at 12:11